RANDALL D. COLLINS, DIRECTOR

CHRISTI CRADDICK, *CHAIRMAN* RYAN SITTON, *COMMISSIONER* WAYNE CHRISTIAN, *COMMISSIONER*



RAILROAD COMMISSION OF TEXAS HEARINGS DIVISION

GUD NO. 10580 Proposal for Decision

STATEMENT OF INTENT TO CHANGE THE RATES OF CITY GATE SERVICE (CGS) AND RATE PIPELINE TRANSPORTATION (PT) RATES OF ATMOS PIPELINE – TEXAS

<u>PARTIES</u>

APPLICANT: Atmos Pipeline – Texas (Atmos) Ann M. Coffin, Esq., and Mark Santos, Esq. Parsley Coffin Renner LLP Counsel for Atmos

INTERVENORS: Staff of the Railroad Commission of Texas (Staff) Natalie Dubiel, Esq. Railroad Commission of Texas Counsel for Staff

> Atmos Cities Steering Committee (ACSC) Geoffrey M. Gay, Esq., and Georgia N. Crump, Esq. Lloyd Gosselink Rochelle & Townsend, PC Counsel for ACSC

> **Atmos Texas Municipalities (ATM)** Alfred R. Herrera, Esq., and Brennan J. Foley, Esq. Herrera & Boyle, PLLC *Counsel for ATM*

City of Dallas (Dallas) Norman J. Gordon, Esq., and David M. Mirazo, Esq. Mounce Green Myers Safi Payson & Galatzan PC

Mounce, Green, Myers, Safi, Paxson & Galatzan, PC Counsel for Dallas

Texas Industrial Energy Consumers (TIEC)

Phillip G. Oldham, Esq., Katie Coleman, Esq., and Benjamin Hallmark, Esq. Thompson & Knight LLP Counsel for TIEC

Smurfit Kappa North America LLC (Smurfit)

Mike Brasovan and Mark Burlingame Brasovan Energy Solutions LLC Representatives for SKNA

Nucor Steel – Texas (Nucor)

Damon E. Xenopoulos, Esq., and Stephen J. Karina, Esq. Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC *Counsel for Nucor*

Texas Gas Service Company (TGS)

Stephanie G. Houle, Esq. Texas Gas Service Company *Counsel for TGS*

CoServ Gas, Ltd. (CoServ)

John R. Hays, Jr., Esq., and Alicia R. French, Esq. Jackson Walker LLP Counsel for CoServ

Fowler Energy Company (Fowler Energy) P.D. Leonard Fowler Energy Company *Representative for Fowler Energy*

PROCEDURAL HISTORY:

Statement of Intent Filed: Suspension Order: Rate Case Expenses Severed:

Hearing on the Merits: Heard By: January 6, 2017 January 24, 2017 February 17, 2017

April 19-21, 2017 John Dodson, Administrative Law Judge Dana Lewis, Administrative Law Judge Rose Ruiz, Technical Examiner James Currier, Technical Examiner

Evidentiary Record Closed: PFD Issued: Statutory Deadline: June 26, 2017 June 26, 2017 August 1, 2017

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On January 6, 2017, Atmos Pipeline – Texas ("Atmos") filed with the Commission a statement of intent to change its rate city gate service ("CGS") and rate pipeline transportation ("PT")—and related riders (the "SOI"). Atmos was required to file this SOI because it had exhausted all allowable GRIP filings since its last full rate case in 2011. The deadline for Commission action is August 1, 2017.

Ten parties intervened, including Commission Staff. Highlights of Atmos's requested relief include:

- increased annual base revenues by \$80,750,312;
- a return on equity ("ROE") set at 13.5 percent—an increase from the current 11.8 percent;
- a capital structure consistent with its parent company, Atmos Energy— 40.17 percent long-term debt and 59.83 percent common equity;
- maintaining its current rate design, but with transmission capacity costs allocated based on Maximum Daily Quantity ("MDQ"), rather than the previous/current Maximum Daily Usage ("MDU"), and a 75-percent adjustment to the Rate PT customers' MDQ capacity cost allocation factor;
- continuation of its Equal Life Group ("ELG") depreciation method, along with new depreciation rates;
- incentive compensation consistent with prior Atmos dockets;
- the same treatment of accumulated deferred income taxes ("ADIT") that the Commission approved in Atmos's last full rate case;
- continuation of its "Rider REV Other Revenue" tracking mechanism and other tariffs, with certain amendments; and
- recovery of reasonable rate case expenses.

SUMMARY OF EXAMINER RECOMMENDATIONS

- Increase in annual base revenues by \$30,697,359;
- Cost of equity set at 11.5 percent;
- Capital structure of 47.36 percent long-term debt and 52.64 percent common equity;
- Limiting the Rate PT increase to 1.5 times the system average;
- Depreciation rates consistent with Atmos's proposed methodology and rates;
- Incentive compensation limited to only those costs associated with the direct employees for both STI and LTI, limited to 100 percent of targets;
- Treatment of ADIT consistent with Atmos's request, with the exception of an adjustment for incentive compensation; and
- Approval of Atmos's proposed "Rider REV Other Revenue" tracking mechanism and other tariffs, with certain changes.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRO	ODUCTION	1
II.	PART	IES	2
III.	PROC	EDURAL BACKGROUND	2
IV.	JURIS	DICTION, BURDEN OF PROOF, AND NOTICE	4
V.	COM	PLIANCE WITH COMMISSION RULES; BOOKS AND RECOR	DS5
VI.	OVER	VIEW OF ATMOS'S REQUEST	6
VII.	REVE	NUE REQUIREMENT	6
	A. Rate B	ase	6
	1.	Cash Working Capital (CWC)	
	2.	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes (ADIT)	
	3.	Pension and Other Postemployment (OPEB) Regulatory Asset	
	4.		
	5.		
	6.	Rate Base Conclusion	19
		tions and Maintenance (O&M) Expenses	
		Depreciation	
		Incentive Compensation	
	3.		
		Cost Center 1905 Outside Director Retirement Costs	
	5.		
	6.	Federal Income Tax	
	7.	Allocations from Shared Services Unit (SSU) and Mid-Tex	
	8.	Affiliate Expenses – Blueflame	
	9.	Unchallenged Amounts	
	10	. Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Expenses Conclusion	
	C. Rate of	f Return	
	1.	Capital Structure	41
	2.	Cost of Debt	45
	3.	Cost of Equity	46
	4.	Rate of Return Conclusion	57
	D. Revenu	e Requirement Conclusion	57
VIII.	CLASS	S COST OF SERVICE STUDY, ALLOCATION, AND RATE DES	SIGN58

TABLE OF CONTENTS

IX.	RATE SCHEDULES AND TARIFFS	64
A	A. Rider REV	
E	3. Rider SUR	
	C. Rate PT Tariff	
Ι	D. Other Rate Schedules and Tariffs	
	E. Rate Schedules and Tariffs Conclusion	
X.	PRUDENCY REVIEW AND INTERIM RATE ADJUSTMENTS	71
A	A. Review for Reasonableness and Prudence	
	3. Future Interim Rate Adjustment (IRA) Factors	
XI.	CONCLUSION	75
XII.	FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	75

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

I. INTRODUCTION

On January 6, 2017, Atmos Pipeline – Texas ("Atmos"), a division of Atmos Energy Corporation ("Atmos Energy"), filed with the Commission a statement of intent to change its rate city gate service ("CGS") and rate pipeline transportation ("PT")—and related riders—under the provisions of Subchapter C (Rate Changes Proposed by Utility) of Chapter 104 (Rates and Services) of the Gas Utility Regulatory Act ("GURA"). The statement of intent ("SOI") was docketed as GUD No. 10580. Atmos was required to file this SOI because it had exhausted all allowable GRIP filings since its last full rate case in 2011.

Highlights of Atmos's requested relief include:

- increased annual base revenues by \$80,750,312;
- a return on equity ("ROE") set at 13.5 percent—an increase from the current 11.8 percent;
- a capital structure consistent with its parent company, Atmos Energy— 40.17 percent long-term debt and 59.83 percent common equity;
- maintaining its current rate design, but with transmission capacity costs allocated based on Maximum Daily Quantity ("MDQ"), rather than the previous/current Maximum Daily Usage ("MDU"), and a 75-percent adjustment to the Rate PT customers' MDQ capacity cost allocation factor;
- continuation of its Equal Life Group ("ELG") depreciation method, along with new depreciation rates;
- incentive compensation consistent with prior Atmos dockets;
- the same treatment of accumulated deferred income taxes ("ADIT") that the Commission approved in Atmos's last full rate case;
- continuation of its "Rider REV Other Revenue" tracking mechanism and other tariffs, with certain amendments; and
- recovery of reasonable rate case expenses.

Ten parties intervened. The Commission has original jurisdiction over all matters in this docket. The deadline for Commission action is August 1, 2017.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

II. PARTIES

The parties in this proceeding are Applicant Atmos and 10 intervenors: Staff of the Railroad Commission ("Staff"), Atmos Cities Steering Committee ("ACSC"), Atmos Texas Municipalities ("ATM"), City of Dallas ("Dallas"), Texas Industrial Energy Consumers ("TIEC"), Smurfit Kappa North America, LLC ("Smurfit"), Nucor Steel – Texas ("Nucor"), Texas Gas Service Company ("TGS"), CoServ Gas, Ltd. ("CoServ"), and Fowler Energy Company ("Fowler Energy").

Atmos is a "gas utility" under GURA Section 101.003 (Definitions).¹ From January 12, 2017, through March 28, 2017, each of the above-named intervenors (collectively, the "Intervenors") became parties.² Staff's purpose for intervening was "to assert its interest in seeing that the rules and regulations of the Railroad Commission of Texas, together with the appropriate statutes, have been followed."³

III. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On January 6, 2017, Atmos filed its SOI. Subsequently, Staff, ACSC, ATM, Dallas, TIEC, Smurfit, Nucor, TGS, CoServ, and Fowler Energy properly intervened. On January 24, 2017, the Commission properly suspended the effective date of Atmos's proposed rate change for a period of 150 days pursuant to GURA Section 104.107 (Rate Suspension; Deadline).⁴ A prehearing conference was held on January 24, 2017, to consider procedural and other pre-hearing issues.

On February 17, 2017, all municipal parties were aligned for purposes of discovery pursuant to Commission Rule § 1.86 (Alignment of Municipal Intervenors

¹ Tex. Util. Code § 101.003(7) (Definitions) (defining "gas utility" as "a person or river authority that owns or operates for compensation in this state equipment or facilities to transmit or distribute combustible hydrocarbon natural gas or synthetic natural gas for sale or resale in a manner not subject to the jurisdiction of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission under the Natural Gas Act (15 U.S.C. Section 717 et seq.). The term includes a lessee, trustee, or receiver of a gas utility.").

² See Examiners' Letter No. 1 (Motions to Intervene by Staff and Atmos Cities Steering Committee), issued January 12, 2017, Examiners' Letter No. 4 (Motions to Intervene by Texas Gas Service Company and CoServ Gas, Ltd.), issued January 17, 2017, Examiners' Letter No. 6 (Ruling on Motion to Intervene by Atmos Texas Municipalities), issued February 6, 2017, Examiners' Letter No. 11 (Ruling on Motions to Intervene of Nucor Steel – Texas and the City of Dallas), issued February 21, 2017, Examiners' Letter No. 14 (Ruling on Motion to Intervene by Texas Industrial Energy Consumers), issued March 3, 2017, and Examiners' Letter No. 18 (Motions to Intervene), issued March 28, 2017 (approving the motions to intervene filed by Fowler Energy and SKNA).

³ See Staff of the Railroad Commission of Texas' Motion to Intervene, filed January 9, 2017, at 1.

⁴ See Tex. Util. Code § 104.107(a)(2) (Rate Suspension; Deadline) ("Pending the hearing and a decision...the railroad commission may suspend the operation of the schedule for not longer than 150 days after the date the schedule would otherwise be effective.").

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

for Purposes of Discovery),⁵ and the rate case expense portion of GUD No. 10580 was severed into a separate docket, GUD No. $10604.^{6}$

On February 21, 2017, Atmos provided a Public Notice of Intent to Increase Rates (the "Public Notice") to all applicable customers at their billing addresses by US Mail, postage paid, in accordance with GURA Section 104.103 (Notice of Intent to Increase Rates).⁷ The Public Notice stated that Atmos requested an increase in annual revenues by \$72.9 million.⁸ On February 24, 2017, Atmos filed certain errata to its original SOI (the "Errata Filing").⁹ The Errata Filing changed Atmos's requested increase annual revenue to \$80.8 million.¹⁰

On March 10, 2017, the Notice of Hearing was issued, setting the hearing on the merits to commence on April 19, 2017 ("Notice of Hearing").¹¹ On March 15, 2017, the Commission published the Notice of Hearing in *Gas Utilities Information Bulletin No. 1055*.¹²

The hearing on the merits was held from April 19-21, 2017 (the "Hearing"). Lists of the parties' exhibits admitted into the evidentiary record are attached to this PFD as <u>Attachment 1</u>. Previous Commission Final Orders and PFDs referenced by the parties' witnesses in their testimonies also are included in the evidentiary record.¹³

On May 25, 2017, Atmos voluntarily caused the deadline for Commission action to be extended until August 1, 2017.¹⁴ On June 26, 2017, the evidentiary record closed.¹⁵

⁵ See Examiners' Letter No. 9 (Alignment of Municipal Parties), issued February 17, 2017; see also 16 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.86 (Alignment of Municipal Intervenors for Purposes of Discovery) ("Municipal parties, whether participating as a single municipality or a coalition of municipalities, are presumed to share a common interest in a proceeding such that alignment of municipal parties as a single party for purposes of discovery is appropriate. The presiding officer shall order alignment of municipal intervenors at the earliest reasonable opportunity so as to avoid unnecessary duplication of effort and to allow aligned parties an adequate opportunity to coordinate discovery efforts in an efficient manner.").

⁶ See Examiners' Letter No. 10 (Rate Case Expense Docket), issued February 17, 2017.

⁷ Atmos Ex. 3 (Affidavit of Charles R. Yarbrough, II, sworn to on March 24, 2017 ("Affidavit of Notice")) ¶ 2; see also Tex. Util. Code § 104.103(b).

⁸ Atmos Ex. 3 (Affidavit of Notice), Exhibit A (Public Notice) ("If approved, the proposed rates will increase the Company's annual revenues by \$72.9 million or 17.38%.").

⁹ Atmos Ex. 2 (Errata Filing).

¹⁰ See id.

¹¹ See Examiners' Letter No. 16 (Notice of Hearing), issued March 10, 2017 (attaching the Notice of Hearing).

¹² See Gas Utilities Information Bulletin No. 1055, published by the Railroad Commission of Texas Oversight and Safety Division on March 15, 2017 ("Bulletin"), at 6-8.

¹³ Hearing Tr. at 25 (April 19, 2017) (ALJ taking official notice of "all the past Commission PFDs and final orders that are referenced in the testimonies in this case, and that includes information that is incorporated by reference in the final orders.").

¹⁴ See Letter to the ALJ from counsel for Atmos, dated May 25, 2017.

¹⁵ See Examiners' Letter No. 25 (Close of Evidentiary Record), issued June 26, 2017.

IV. JURISDICTION, BURDEN OF PROOF, AND NOTICE

Jurisdiction

The Commission has jurisdiction over Atmos, which is a gas utility as defined in GURA Section 101.003(7). Pursuant to GURA Section 102.001(a), the Commission has exclusive original jurisdiction to set the rates Atmos requests.

The Commission has jurisdiction over all matters at issue in this proceeding pursuant to GURA Chapters 102 (Jurisdiction and Powers of Railroad Commission and Other Regulatory Authorities), 103 (Jurisdiction and Powers of Municipality), and 104 (Rates and Services). The statutes and rules involved in this proceeding include, but are not limited to, those contained in GURA Chapters 102, 103, and 104, and Title 16 (Economic Regulation), Part 1 (Railroad Commission of Texas), Chapters 1 (Practice and Procedure) and 7 (Gas Services Division) of the Texas Administrative Code.

Burden of Proof

As the party proposing gas utility rate changes, Atmos has the burden of proving that the rate changes are just and reasonable.¹⁶

Notice

Proper notice has been issued in this proceeding in accordance with applicable statutes and rules. On February 21, 2017, Atmos provided the Public Notice to all applicable customers at their billing addresses by US Mail, postage paid, in accordance with GURA Section 104.103 (Notice of Intent to Increase Rates).¹⁷ On March 10, 2017, the ALJ issued the Notice of Hearing, which complied with Chapter 2001 (Administrative Procedure) of the Texas Government Code, Part 1 (Railroad Commission of Texas) of Title 16 (Economic Regulation) of the Texas Administrative Code, and other applicable authority. On March 15, 2017, the Commission published the Notice of Hearing in *Gas Utilities Information Bulletin No. 1055*, in compliance with Commission Rule § 7.235 (Publication and Service of Notice).¹⁸

Proper notice has been issued in this proceeding in accordance with applicable statutory and regulatory requirements.

¹⁶ Tex. Util. Code § 104.008 (Burden of Proof) ("In a proceeding involving a proposed rate change, the gas utility has the burden of proving that the rate change is just and reasonable, if the utility proposes the change.").

¹⁷ Atmos Ex. 3 (Affidavit of Notice) ¶ 2; see also Tex. Util. Code § 104.103(b).

¹⁸ See Bulletin at 6-8 (containing the Notice of Hearing); see also 16 Tex. Admin. Code § 7.235(a)(1)(A) (Publication and Service of Notice) ("The Commission shall publish the notice of hearing in the next Bulletin published after the date of issuance of the notice of hearing.").

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

V. COMPLIANCE WITH COMMISSION RULES; BOOKS AND RECORDS

Atmos presented evidence that it maintains its books and records in accordance with Commission requirements.¹⁹ Barbara W. Myers, Manager, Rates and Regulatory Affairs, Shared Services Unit of Atmos Energy, testified that Atmos complies with Commission Rule § 7.310 (System of Accounts), which requires each gas utility to "utilize the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (FERC) Uniform System of Accounts (USOA) prescribed for Natural Gas Companies subject to the Provisions of the Natural Gas Act (as amended from time to time) (FERC USAO) for all operating and reporting purposes."²⁰

Ms. Myers further testified that the information contained within Atmos's books and records, as well as the summaries and excerpts therefrom, qualify for the presumption set forth in Commission Rule § 7.503 (Evidentiary Treatment of Uncontroverted Books and Records of Gas Utilities).²¹ Ms. Myers testified that Atmos is in compliance with Commission Rule § 7.501 (Certain Matters to be Submitted in Rate Hearings), which requires the separate presentation in a rate proceeding of evidence related to certain types of financial transactions, and in some cases, exclusion of these costs from rates,²² with Commission Rule § 7.5414 (Advertising, Contributions, and Donations), which states that actual expenditures for advertising will be allowed as a cost-of-service item for ratemaking purposes, provided that the total sum of such expenditures shall not exceed one-half of one (1) percent of the gross receipts of the utility for utility services rendered to the public,²³ and with Commission Rule § 7.5252 (Depreciation and Allocations), which requires a gas utility in a rate proceeding to book depreciation and amortization on a straight-line basis over the useful life expectancy of the property or facility in question, to fairly and justly apportion certain shared or common items between service areas, and to exclude nonintegral nonutility activities from the utility's cost of service.²⁴

No party disputes that Atmos maintains its books and records in accordance with Commission requirements.

Considering the evidence, the Examiners find that Atmos has established that it complied with these Commission rules. Accordingly, Atmos is entitled to the

¹⁹ Atmos Ex. 5, Direct Testimony of Barbara W. Myers on Behalf of Atmos ("Myers Test."), at 8, 16.

²⁰ Id., p. 16; see 16 Tex. Admin. Code § 7.310(a) (System of Accounts).

²¹ Atmos Ex. 5 (Myers Test.) at 16; see 16 Tex. Admin. Code § 7.503(a) (Evidentiary Treatment of Uncontroverted Books and Records of Gas Utilities).

²² Atmos Ex. 5 (Myers Test.) at 44; see 16 Tex. Admin. Code § 7.501 (Certain Matters to be Submitted in Rate Hearings).

²³ Atmos Ex. 5 (Myers Test.) at 43-44; see 16 Tex. Admin. Code § 7.5414 (Advertising, Contributions, and Donations).

²⁴ Atmos Ex. 5 (Myers Test.) at 8, 16; and Atmos Ex. 13, Direct Testimony of Dane A. Watson on Behalf of Atmos (Watson Test.), Exhibits DAW-2, p. 4, and DAW-3, p. 4; see also 16 Tex. Admin. Code § 7.5252 (Depreciation and Allocations).

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

presumption set forth in Commission Rule § 7.503 (Evidentiary Treatment of Uncontroverted Books and Records of Gas Utilities) that the unchallenged amounts shown in its books and records are presumed to have been reasonably and necessarily incurred.²⁵

VI. OVERVIEW OF ATMOS'S REQUEST

Atmos was required to file this rate case because it exhausted all its eligible Gas Infrastructure Reliability Program ("GRIP") filings since its last full rate case in 2011 (GUD No. 10000). For many issues, Atmos seeks to continue the *status quo*. By far the most financially impactful—and contested—issue in this docket is whether Atmos's rate of return should reflect its riskier pipeline operations or its less-risky distribution operations.

VII. REVENUE REQUIREMENT

The Commission is required to establish Atmos's overall revenues at an amount that will permit Atmos a reasonable opportunity to earn a reasonable return on its invested capital used and useful in providing service to the public in excess of its reasonable and necessary operating expenses.²⁶ Here, Atmos requests a base rate revenue requirement of \$430,875,147—an increase of \$80,750,312.²⁷ As treated below, the Examiners recommend that Atmos's base revenue requirement be \$380,821,971—an increase of \$30,697,359.

A. Rate Base

Atmos requests a total rate base amount of \$1,771,755,131, which represents Atmos's invested capital used to provide gas utility service to its customers. Most of Atmos's rate base is not challenged and therefore is presumed to have been reasonably and necessarily incurred.²⁸ Atmos's investments include assets exclusively serving Atmos's pipeline customers and an allocated portion of Atmos

²⁵ See 16 Tex. Admin. Code § 7.503(a) (Evidentiary Treatment of Uncontroverted Books and Records of Gas Utilities) ("In any proceeding before the Commission involving a gas utility that keeps its books and records in accordance with Commission rules, the amounts shown on its books and records as well as summaries and excerpts therefrom shall be considered prima facie evidence of the amount of investment or expense reflected when introduced into evidence, and such amounts shall be presumed to have been reasonably and necessarily incurred; provided, however, that if any evidence is introduced that an investment or expense item has been unreasonably incurred, then the presumption as to that specific investment or expense item shall no longer exist and the gas utility shall have the burden of introducing probative evidence that the challenged item has been reasonably and necessarily incurred.").

²⁶ Tex. Util. Code § 104.051 (Establishing Overall Revenues).

²⁷ In its original SOI, Atmos requested a base rate revenue requirement increase of \$72,918,007, which is the amount stated in the public notice for this docket. Subsequently, Atmos revised this requested increase to \$80,848,796 in errata, and then \$80,750,312 in rebuttal.

²⁸ See 16 Tex. Admin. Code § 7.503(a) (Evidentiary Treatment of Uncontroverted Books and Records of Gas Utilities).

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

Energy's Shard Services Unit ("SSU") assets serving Atmos's pipeline customers and other service areas.²⁹

ACSC is the only intervenor recommending a substantial change to the rate base, recommending a reduced amount of \$1,613,916,021.³⁰ The disputed issues include: accumulated deferred income taxes ("ADIT"), pension and other postemployment benefits ("OPEB") regulatory asset, and capitalized incentive compensation.

1. Cash Working Capital

The term "cash working capital" refers to the net funds required by Atmos to pay for goods and services between the time they are paid for by Atmos and the time revenues are recovered from customers.³¹ For Atmos, the cost of goods and services includes: operations and maintenance ("O&M") expenses, including labor expenses and non-labor expenses; federal, state, and local taxes; and employment taxes.³² Atmos's CWC amount was determined by a lead-lag study that, according to Atmos, used the same methods previously used by Atmos and approved by the Commission in its most recent rate case proceeding—GUD No. 10000.³³

No party opposes Atmos's proposed CWC. The Examiners find that Atmos established that its CWC amounts and methods used are just and reasonable. The Examiners recommend approval of CWC totaling \$8,093,285, which is just and reasonable and supported by the evidence.

2. Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes (ADIT)

Atmos seeks to include accumulated deferred income tax ("ADIT") in its rate base, as it has done in the past. Intervenor ACSC is the only party opposing Atmos's request. Barbara W. Myers, Manager, Rates and Regulatory Affairs, Shared Services Unit of Atmos Energy, and Jennifer K. Story, Director of Tax for Atmos Energy, testified in support Atmos's ADIT calculations. Constance Cannady, an Executive Consultant at NewGen Strategies & Solutions, LLC, testified on behalf of ACSC in opposition to Atmos's proposed ADIT calculation. ACSC objects to Atmos's inclusion of Net Operating Loss Carryforward ("NOLC") in its ADIT calculation and maintains that a flow-through adjustment should be made for its primary recommendation related to accrued short-term ("STI") and long-term incentive ("LTI") plan awards.³⁴

²⁹ Atmos Ex. 5 (Myers Test.) at 29.

³⁰ ACSC Ex. 1, Direct Testimony of Karl J, Nalepa on Behalf of ("Nalepa Test."), Schedule KJN-1.

³¹ Atmos Ex. 9 (Lyons Test.) at 3.

³² Id.

³³ *Id.* at 14.

³⁴ ACSC Ex. 2, Direct Testimony of Constance T. Cannady ("Cannady Test."), at 23-24.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

a. Net Operating Loss Carryforward

Atmos's Proposal

Atmos proposes to reduce the rate base for ADIT by \$343,366,465.³⁵ This total reflects direct and allocated Shared Services Unity ("SSU") ADIT amounts, as adjusted for NOLC. Also included are ADIT balances related to Working Gas in Storage that were booked in the Mid-Tex division.³⁶ Atmos contends that including these balances in rate base is consistent with the Commission's Orders in GUD Nos. 10000 and 10170.³⁷ On behalf of Atmos, Ms. Myers testified that items not related to Atmos, or that are inconsistent with other ratemaking treatments, have been removed from the ADIT calculation.³⁸ For example, ADIT related to construction work in progress has been removed. Both Ms. Myers, in her direct testimony, and Ms. Story, in her rebuttal testimony, indicate that items removed from ADIT are consistent with the Commission's 10000 and 10170.

ACSC's Proposal

ACSC argues that Atmos's proposed NOLC ADIT results in artificially added federal income tax liability, and therefore the Commission should disallow the inclusion of all NOL carryforward in Atmos's rates.³⁹ According to ACSC, the impact of this disallowance would be to reduce Atmos's total request by \$22.6 million.⁴⁰ Alternatively, if the Commission determines that including an NOL carryforward ADIT computation in conjunction with setting the federal tax liability for Atmos at the full 35 percent corporate tax rate is reasonable, then the NOL carryforward should not be any greater than the NOL carryforward resulting from the consolidated Atmos Energy federal income tax filings.⁴¹ ACSC represents that its alternative recommendation results in a reduction of approximately \$7.4 million from Atmos's total request.⁴²

In support of ACSC's position that NOLC should not be included in the ADIT computation, Ms. Cannady testifies that by computing federal income tax liability on the full statutory corporate tax rate of 35 percent, rather than on the actual taxes paid, and adding NOLC liability as well, overstates the potential tax liability of Atmos and forces ratepayers to pay for "phantom taxes."⁴³ Ms. Cannady refers to NOLC as

³⁵ See Exhibit WP_B-6.

³⁶ Atmos Ex. 5 (Myers Test.) at 31.

³⁷ Id. ³⁸ Id.

³⁹ ACSC Trial Br. at 5.

⁴⁰ Id.

⁴¹ Id.

⁴² Id.

⁴³ ACSC Ex. 2 (Cannady Test.) at 22.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

a phantom tax because NOLC may only be applied to actual taxable income,⁴⁴ and given Atmos Energy's continued actual tax losses, Ms. Cannady does not think it likely that Atmos Energy will ever be able to apply all of the NOLC to future taxable income.⁴⁵ According to Ms. Cannady, ratepayers will not receive future benefit for contributing NOLC ADIT.⁴⁶ Furthermore, she argues, the proposed NOLC does not take into account the differences between tax treatment and regulatory treatment of each of the ADIT components included in the NOLC computation.⁴⁷ Ms. Cannady alleges that continuing the NOLC computation approved in GUD No. 10170 does not result in rates that are just and reasonable in accordance with GURA Section 104.051.⁴⁸

Alternatively, ACSC offers that the NOLC ADIT should be no more than the calculation resulting from Atmos Energy's consolidated federal income tax return.⁴⁹ According to Ms. Cannady, her alternative proposal would result in a maximum NOLC of \$487,983,038, with a resulting decrease to ADIT of \$49,543,694.⁵⁰ Ms. Cannady noted that this alternative ACSC approach would reduce the requested revenue requirements by approximately \$7.4 million as compared to the \$22.6 million reduction if her recommendation to exclude NOLC ADIT from rate base is adopted by the Commission.⁵¹

Atmos's Rebuttal

Atmos disagrees with ACSC's position that including NOLC ADIT will increase the rate base.⁵² Atmos maintains that it is proposing a credit for ADIT, which results in a decrease in the rate base of approximately \$343.4 million.⁵³ Atmos notes that Ms. Canady acknowledged that her proposal with regard to ADIT NOLC is inconsistent with Commission precedent in GUD No. 10170, but nevertheless advocates for its disallowance.⁵⁴ Atmos maintains that Ms. Cannady fails to provide support for her contentions,⁵⁵ and Atmos provided rebuttal testimony of Jennifer K. Story to address ACSC's objections.

Regarding ACSC's objection to inclusion of NOLC in Atmos's ADIT calculation, Ms. Story testified that all ADIT balances, assets, and liabilities must be included in the calculation of ADIT.⁵⁶ According to Ms. Story, NOLC ADIT must be considered in

⁴⁴ NOLC may be applied retroactively for two years or forward looking for up to 20 years.

⁴⁵ ACSC Ex. 2 (Cannady Test.) at 21.

⁴⁶ Id.

⁴⁷ Id. at 22.

⁴⁸ *Id*. ⁴⁹ *Id*. at 23.

⁵⁰ Id.

⁵¹ Id.

⁵² Atmos Reply Br. at 15.

⁵³ Id.

⁵⁴ Atmos Br. at 7.

⁵⁵ Atmos Ex. 19, Rebuttal Testimony of Jennifer K. Story ("Story Rebuttal Test."), at 4-5.

⁵⁶ Id. at 7.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

the calculation of the rate base; otherwise, the rate base does not reflect the true quantity of cost-free cash available to Atmos.⁵⁷ Furthermore, Atmos argues that it must include the NOLC in its ADIT calculation, since failure to do so would result in a federal normalization violation of the Internal Revenue Code ("IRC").⁵⁸ A normalization violation, according Ms. Story, would result in the utility losing the ability to claim accelerated tax depreciation on future tax returns.⁵⁹

Regarding ACSC's alternative proposal that NOLC ADIT should be no more than the calculation resulting from Atmos Energy's consolidated federal income tax return, Ms. Story, on behalf of Atmos, testified that Atmos Energy reports its taxable income on a consolidated basis and files a consolidated tax return in accordance with special IRC rules governing taxation of corporations under common control, such as Atmos Energy.⁶⁰ However, each member of the affiliated group is required to compute its taxable income on an individual basis as well.⁶¹ Thus, Atmos Energy's tax department prepares separate pro forma tax returns for each individual member of the affiliate group, of which Atmos Energy and Atmos (Pipeline) are distinct and separate members.⁶² According to Ms. Story, these separate pro forma returns allow Atmos to determine taxable income and loses generated by utility operations versus nonutility operations, to include ADIT NOLC.⁶³ Atmos maintains that using Atmos Energy's consolidated tax return would result in including in its ADIT NOLC calculation NOLC resulting from both regulated and non-regulated tax items in Atmos's rate base.⁶⁴

Examiner Findings and Recommendations

After review and consideration of the evidence, argument, and relevant law, the Examiners find that Atmos established that its calculation of the ADIT NOLC is just and reasonable. As discussed above, ACSC contends that Atmos is increasing the rate base by including NOLC in its ADIT calculation, while Atmos maintains that it is proposing a credit to the rate base for ADIT. Both Atmos and ACSC are correct. Atmos does propose a credit to rate base for ADIT in the amount of \$343.3 million. However, that credit—or reduction in rate base—is decreased by the allocated NOLC amount of \$151,239,359.⁶⁵

A general understanding of ADIT and NOLC is important before treating this issue in depth. Deferred taxes arise because of timing differences between

⁵⁷ Id.

⁵⁸ Id. at 37.

⁵⁹ Id. at 39.

⁶⁰ Id. at 10-11; see also Treasury Reg. §1.1502-1-12.

⁶¹ Atmos Ex. 19 (Story Rebuttal Test.) at 12.

⁶² Id.

⁶³ Id. at 12-14.

⁶⁴ Id. at 29.

⁶⁵ Atmos Exhibit 18, Myers Rebuttal Testimony ("Myers Rebuttal Test."), schedules, WP_B-6.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

recognition of certain items for book purposes versus tax purposes.⁶⁶ Deferred taxes represent the difference between what is included as income taxes in the ratemaking context and what is actually paid in federal income taxes. ADIT is the amount of the difference that has accumulated over time. The ADIT balances are either an asset or liability that represent the cumulative amounts of additional income taxes that are estimated to become receivable or payable in future periods. An ADIT liability is considered a cost-free loan by the government.⁶⁷

As presented by Atmos in this case, an ADIT liability is an ADIT balance that results in a decrease to rate base.⁶⁸ Generally, it represents an amount of revenues collected for income taxes that are not yet due, but have been contributed by the ratepayer. Thus, an ADIT liability is a credit for ratemaking purposes, and the effect of the credit is to reduce the cost of providing service to ratepayers by an amount equal to the deferred income taxes multiplied by the overall rate of return.⁶⁹ In the context of ratemaking, a typical example of an ADIT credit is usually related to depreciation expense. ADIT associated with depreciation expense results because of differences due to the amount of depreciation expenses recovered versus the amount of depreciation expenses.

Depending on the income and deductions reported by Atmos on its tax returns, either a positive or negative taxable income is reported on the tax return. A tax net operating loss is realized when Atmos's tax deduction exceed its earned income and all tax has been offset.⁷⁰ Under provisions of the IRC, a tax NOL may first be carried back to offset taxable income from the prior two years.⁷¹ Any loss remaining after the carryback is available to carry forward for up to twenty years to reduce taxable income in a future period.⁷² NOLC represents tax deductions that have not yet been used to offset tax, but are available to offset future taxes.⁷³ Ms. Story, on behalf of Atmos, testified that because the deductions have not yet been used to offset taxes, the government has not yet extended a cost-free loan.⁷⁴ Thus, Atmos's rate base should not be reduced for a cost-free loan that has not yet be realized.⁷⁵

NOLC has become a more prominent issue in recent years because Congress passed a stimulus measure to increase the availability of bonus depreciation, which along with accelerated depreciation and other deductions, has allowed Atmos to depreciate assets much faster than is allowed for financial accounting or regulatory

- ⁷⁰ Id. at 7.
- ⁷¹ Id. at 13. ⁷² Id.

- ⁷⁴ Id. at 7.
- ⁷⁵ Id.

⁶⁶ Atmos Ex. 19 (Story Rebuttal Test.) at 17.

⁶⁷ Id. at 19.

⁶⁸ Id. at 18.

⁶⁹ Id. at 18-19.

⁷³ Id. at 20.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

purposes.⁷⁶ Ms. Cannady, on behalf of ACSC, claims that the ratepayers will never have the opportunity to reap the benefits of the NOLC because it is unlikely Atmos will actually have to pay taxes in the future due to current tax policies.⁷⁷ However, the evidence in this case indicates that current law phases out bonus depreciation at the end of 2019, which is likely to result in utility operations generating taxable income to which the NOLC may be used prior to its expiration.⁷⁸ Furthermore, Atmos provided decisions from other regulatory bodies supporting Atmos's treatment of ADIT, as well as numerous Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") Private Letter Rulings that validate Atmos's position on normalization rules for NOLC ADIT.⁷⁹

ACSC recommends that, if not excluded altogether, the NOLC ADIT balance should be calculated based upon the consolidated returns of Atmos Energy rather than on the stand-alone taxes of Atmos. As explained by Atmos, Atmos Energy reports its taxable income on a consolidated basis and files a consolidated tax return.⁸⁰ The filing includes both the regulated and non-regulated legal entities of Atmos Energy. On a consolidated basis, the ADIT NOLC asset balance totaled approximately \$725,716,695.⁸¹ Atmos Energy, however, did not apply the allocated portion of this asset to the ADIT calculation for Atmos. Although Atmos Energy files a consolidated return, it calculates the taxable income of the regulated operations separately from the non-regulated operations. Instead of applying the consolidated ADIT calculation for NOLC, Atmos calculated an ADIT asset for its regulated entities in the amount of \$494,035,140.⁸² A portion of this amount was allocated to Atmos and based upon Atmos Energy's proposed allocation, the ADIT asset attributable to NOLC that was assigned to Atmos was \$151,239,359. This ADIT asset was added to rate base as a matching offset.

Furthermore, the issue of whether the NOLC ADIT balance should be calculated based upon the consolidated returns of Atmos Energy or the calculation of the standalone taxes of the regulated entities was previously addressed by this Commission in GUD No. 10170. In GUD No. 10170, the Commission found that Atmos Mid-Tex established that the regulated operations generated substantial ADIT liabilities which gave rise to the NOLC, and that Atmos Mid-Tex's approach matched the ADIT liabilities to the ADIT NOLC asset created by those deductions.⁸³ Here, the Examiners find that Atmos's current proposal uses the same procedure to arrive at its ADIT

⁷⁶ Id. at 20-21.

⁷⁷ ACSC Ex. 2 (Cannady Test.) at 21.

⁷⁸ Atmos Ex. 19 (Story Rebuttal Test.) at 28.

⁷⁹ Id. at 30, footnotes 7 and 8; Application of Gulf States Utilities Co. to Change Rates, Docket No. 8702, 17 P.U.C. Bull. 703 (May 2, 1991), and Statement of Intent filed by Atmos Energy Cor., to Increase Gas Utility Rates Within the Unincorporated Areas Served by the Atmos Energy Corp., Mid-Tex Division, GUD 10170, Final Order (Dec. 4, 2012). See also Atmos Ex. 19 (Story Rebuttal Test.), JKS-R-1.

⁸⁰ Atmos Ex. 19 (Story Rebuttal Test.) at 10-11.

⁸¹ Atmos Ex. 18 (Myers Rebuttal Test.), schedules, WP_B-6.

⁸² Id.; ACSC Ex. 2 (Cannady Test.), Schedule CTC-7.

⁸³ Statement of Intent filed by Atmos Energy Cor., to Increase Gas Utility Rates Within the Unincorporated Areas Served by the Atmos Energy Corp., Mid-Tex Division, GUD 10170, Final Order (Dec. 4, 2012).

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

computation and that methodology remains appropriate and supported by substantial evidence in this docket.

b. ADIT Adjustments for Incentive Compensation

Atmos's Proposal

Atmos included an ADIT credit associated with various incentive compensation programs.

ACSC's Opposition

ACSC argues that a flow-through adjustment should be made to the ADIT computation to reflect ACSC's recommended modifications to both STI and LTI plans, as discussed in later in the sections on incentive compensation expenses and capitalized incentive compensation.⁸⁴ On behalf of ACSC, Ms. Cannady testified and provided her work papers regarding the calculations she made to adjust ADIT to correspond to the recommendations she proffered on incentive pay.⁸⁵ Her recommended adjustment reduces rate base by \$739,054.⁸⁶

Atmos's Rebuttal

Atmos disagrees with ACSC's position, indicating that ACSC's adjustments to expenses for STI and LTI are based on calculations made using test year data, whereas ADIT related to STI and LTI is cumulative rather than reflective of the costs incurred during the test year.⁸⁷ On behalf of Atmos, Ms. Story explained in her testimony that deferred taxes related to incentive compensation result from the timing differences between accrual of expenses for book purposes versus when the amounts are deductible for tax purposes.⁸⁸ Ms. Story testified that given the timing issues of these deductions, adopting ACSC's proposal will result in a mismatch between deferred taxes and underlying incentive compensation included in rates, and that it is difficult for Atmos to bifurcate ADIT amounts resulting from current periods of costs versus those resulting from prior periods of costs.⁸⁹

Examiner Findings and Recommendation

After review and consideration of the evidence, argument, and relevant law, the Examiners find that an adjustment to the ADIT calculation included in rate base is warranted. ACSC's calculation is not appropriate here because the Examiners

⁸⁴ ACSC Br. at 11.

⁸⁵ ACSC Ex. 2 (Cannady Test.) at 23.

⁸⁶ Id. at 24.

⁸⁷ Atmos Trial Br. at 6-7. See also Atmos Ex. 19 (Story Rebuttal Test.) at 44-45.

⁸⁸ Atmos Ex. 19 (Story Rebuttal Test.) at 44.

⁸⁹ Id. at 45.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

recommend adjustments to incentive compensation that are different from either Atmos's or ACSC's proposals. The Examiners do, however, find that calculating the adjustment by using the methodology provided by ACSC witness, Ms. Cannady, as indicated in her Schedule CTC-5, is acceptable. While Ms. Story, on behalf of Atmos, testified that an adjustment should not be made to the ADIT calculation for incentive compensation, she did acknowledge that "Ms. Cannady's imprecise methodology could be used as a loose approximation for a rate base adjustment."⁹⁰

Accordingly, the Examiners find it appropriate and reasonable to use the methodology reflected in Ms. Cannady's Schedule CTC-5 to adjust the ADIT calculation, in conformance with Examiners' findings and recommendations regarding incentive compensation. The Examiners recommend an increase to Atmos's ADIT calculation of \$1,484,486, and recommend approval of an ADIT credit to the rate base of \$344,850,951, which is just and reasonable, supported by the evidence, and consistent with GURA Section 104.051 (Establishing Overall Revenues).

c. ADIT Conclusion

After review and consideration of the evidence, argument, and relevant law, the Examiners find that Atmos established that its calculation of the ADIT NOLC totaling \$151,239,359 is just and reasonable. However, the Examiners find that Atmos's proposed rate base credit of \$343.3 million should be increased by \$1,484,486 to reflect adjustments to the incentive compensation programs. Thus, the Examiners recommend approval of an ADIT credit to the rate base of \$344,850,951.

3. Pension and Other Postemployment Benefit (OPEB)

GURA Section 104.059 (Pension and Other Postemployment Benefits) allows Atmos to establish a pension and other postemployment benefit ("OPEB") regulatory asset. Atmos represents that it complied with GURA Section 104.059, stating that it used the current benchmark based on amounts approved in GUD No. 10000. Atmos also requests that the Commission approve its proposal for a one-time adjustment to allocate to utility plant the deferred pension amounts attributable to capital, approve the pension asset amount attributable to expense, and the related amortization.

Intervenor ACSC opposes the proposed one-time adjustment for deferred capital amounts.

90 Id. at 45.

Regulatory Asset

Atmos provided testimony and schedules detailing the proposed capitalization amounts showing each annual adjustment. The adjustments were based on a Willis Towers Watson Actuarial Report, using the report and the benchmarks set in GUD No. 10000, indicating that the value as of September 30, 2016, was \$6,567,664. Atmos proposes a 10-year amortization of the deferred asset be included in O&M expense in the amount of \$656,766.⁹¹

One-Time Adjustment

Atmos requests that the Commission approve its proposed request for a onetime adjustment to allocate the pension amount that is currently deferred and is attributable to capital to utility plant. The allocation of pension attributable to capital utility plant, by year, is shown below.⁹²

Year	Capital Amount	Expense Amount
FY 2012	\$399,374.25	\$1,368,602.25
FY 2013	\$725,953.94	\$2,428,821.25
FY 2014	\$544,760.88	\$1,797,393.42
FY 2015	\$385,503.57	\$1,135,945.12
FY 2016	\$47,716.20	(\$163,098.36)
TOTAL	\$2,103,308.84	\$6,567,663.68

According to Atmos, deferral of the expense-only amount will simplify the process and eliminate the need for additional adjustments between capital and expense. Atmos states that its books and records will reflect the amount of capitalized pension on a monthly basis, and the Commission will be able to account for the amount at issue. Atmos also records both capital and expense amounts related to the pension asset in its other divisions, and tracking changes is possible because the relevant benchmark is known. Atmos claims its proposal is consistent with GURA Section 104.059 (Pension and Other Postemployment Benefits), which does not dictate what amounts must be included for capital and expense. Atmos claims the proposed change does not impair the Commission's subsequent review of the amounts recorded to reserve accounts to determine whether the amounts are reasonable and necessary.⁹³

Atmos requests Commission approval of the pension asset amount attributable to expense, and that the Commission approve the pension benchmark for expenses consistent with the below table.⁹⁴ Atmos requests that the benchmark amount

⁹¹ Atmos Ex. 18 (Myers Rebuttal Test.), Schedules, WP B-7 and WP B-7.1.

⁹² Atmos Ex. 1a (Relied Files), WP_B-7.1 Pension Regulatory Asset.

⁹³ Atmos Ex. 18 (Myers Rebuttal Test.) at 15.

⁹⁴ Id. at 15 and BWM-R-1, WP F-2.3.1.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

GUD NO. 10580

approved by the Commission for future periods include only the expense amount. The amount attributable to capital would continue to be recorded to utility plant through the overhead process as described in the Cost Allocation Manual.⁹⁵

Entity	Pension Account Plan	Post- Employment Benefit Plan	Supplemental Executive Benefit Plan	Total
SSU Allocated to APT	\$ 682,388	\$ 390,508	\$ 0	\$1,072,896
Mid-Tex Allocated to APT	\$ 906,331	\$ 481,543	\$ 0	\$1,387,874
APT Direct	\$ 335,451	(\$ 193,820)	\$ 323,031	\$464,662
Total	\$1,924,170	\$ 678,231	\$ 323,031	\$2,925,432

Expense Benchmarks for Commission Approval⁹⁶

Opposition by ACSC

ACSC opposes Atmos's requested one-time adjustment for deferred capital amounts. ACSC argues the Commission should not approve the one-time adjustment for deferred capital amounts because, by capitalizing pension costs with regular employee expenses and not deferring the capital amount, it would be difficult to track the adjustments.⁹⁷ At the hearing, ACSC discussed the allocation of OPEB between capital and expense with Atmos's witness, Ms. Myers. Since 2012, the amount proposed to be included in capital is \$2.1 million and expense is \$6.6 million. The total deferred asset is approximately \$8.6 million. The capitalization ratio benchmark of approximately 23 percent, which was set in GUD No. 10000, was utilized for the entire period of 2012-2016. However, the expense ratios varied by year.⁹⁸

Examiner Findings and Recommendation

The Examiners' recommend approval of inclusion of the Pension and OPEB regulatory asset of \$6,567,664 in rate base. No party contested this. Atmos testified to the asset amounts recorded by period, as reported in the Willis Towers Watson Actuarial Study, and which are updated annually using the benchmark set in GUD No. 10000.⁹⁹

The Examiners recommend approval of Atmos's requested change from the current OPEB benchmark set by the Commission to include only expenses, and approval of the proposed one-time pension asset adjustment in the amount of

⁹⁵ Atmos Ex. 5 (Myers Test.) at 38.

⁹⁶ Atmos Ex. 18 (Myers Rebuttal Test.), WP F-2.3.1.

⁹⁷ ACSC Ex.2 (Cannady Test.) at 24.

⁹⁸ Hearing Tr. (April 19, 2017) at 130-136.

⁹⁹ Atmos Ex. 18 (Myers Rebuttal Test.), Schedules WP_B-7.1.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

\$2,102,205. This amount was allocated on a pro-rata account basis to plant in service that Atmos established is the amount of pension asset attributable to capital recorded since Atmos complied with GURA Section 104.059 (Pension and Other Postemployment Benefits), covering the period of January 2012 through September 2016.¹⁰⁰

To address ACSC's concern that, by capitalizing pension costs with regular employee expenses and not deferring the capital amount, it could be difficult to track the adjustments, the Examiners recommend requiring Atmos, in its next statement of intent, to maintain the same schedules and format for WP_F-2.3.1 and WP_B-7.1, including the monthly source documentation provided in Atmos Ex. 1a Relied Files, WP_B-7.1 Pension Regulatory Asset, as Atmos did with this filing. This will provide monthly dollar amounts capitalized and expensed and allow verification of ratios.

As Atmos explains, actual benefits are recorded based on work performed, which depending upon the activity, is recorded to capital or expense or both. These activities change and vary month to month. However, the benchmark amounts are set based on the historic period and create a baseline for determining future variances between capital and expense amounts. GURA Section 104.059 does not specify that the amount deferred be for both capital and expense. The statute says "If the gas utility establishes reserve accounts for the costs of pensions and other postemployment benefits, the regulatory authority at a subsequent general rate proceeding shall review the amounts recorded to each reserve account to determine whether the amounts for review must include capital and expense.

4. Capitalized Incentive Compensation

Atmos requests inclusion of \$6,544,983 in rate base for capitalized incentive compensation, of which \$5,013,445 is for STI and \$1,531,538 is for LTI.¹⁰² Atmos's capitalized incentive compensation includes STI and LTI for its direct Atmos employees and Shared Services Unit ("SSU") employees. Atmos requests recovery for all capitalized incentive compensation.¹⁰³ Atmos offers that its request is aligned with precedent established in GUD No. 10000 and numerous Mid-Tex Division cases.¹⁰⁴

¹⁰⁰ Atmos Ex. 18 (Meyers Rebuttal Test.), BWM-R-1, Schedule C, Rate Base cell E63.

¹⁰¹ Tex. Util. Code § 104.059(e)(1).

¹⁰² Atmos Ex. 18 (Myers Rebuttal Test.), Exhibit BWM-R-3.

¹⁰³ Atmos Ex. 5 (Myers Test.) at 42-43.

¹⁰⁴ Id. at 43.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

Opposition by ACSC

In opposition, ACSC recommends the same treatment of capitalized STI and LTI as it prescribed for expensed STI and LTI, resulting in a rate base reduction of \$2,802,860¹⁰⁵ and \$1,396,335,¹⁰⁶ respectively. Additionally, ACSC recommends removing the capitalized SSU STI, thus reducing rate base by an additional \$432,486.¹⁰⁷ ACSC explains that reducing rate base is necessary to ensure that both the capitalized and expensed portion of its incentive compensation recommendation are considered.¹⁰⁸ In support, ACSC points to an admission by an Atmos witness at the Hearing that the appropriate action to take when reversing an accounting entry is to reverse both the expense entry and the capitalization entry.¹⁰⁹

In response, Atmos cites to prior commission decisions involving Atmos Energy that do not support ACSC's recommendation to remove the capitalized portions of SSU STI.¹¹⁰ Atmos points out that the Commission did not adjust capitalized incentive compensation in GUD No. 10170, and that had the Commission intended to make an adjustment to rate base, it would have done so.¹¹¹

Examiner Findings and Recommendation

As treated below in the expenses section, the Examiners find that substantial, credible evidence supports partial—but not total—recovery for both STI and LTI, and that both shareholders and ratepayers benefit, to some degree, from incentive compensation. Consistent with the Examiners' recommendation regarding O&M expenses, the Examiners recommend that Atmos recover its direct capitalized incentive compensation expenses at the 100-percent target and that the SSU portions be removed. Atmos requests recovery of \$432,486 for SSU STI and \$819,798 for SSU LTI—the Examiners recommend removing this amount. The amounts exceeding the 100-percent target are \$1,285,517 for STI and \$85,976 for LTI. Removing these amounts results in a total adjustment of \$2,623,777 and ultimate recovery of \$3,921,206. The Examiners find recovery of \$3,921,206 to be just and reasonable, supported by the evidence, and consistent with GURA Section 104.051 (Establishing Overall Revenues).

¹¹¹ Atmos Br. at 10.

¹⁰⁵ ACSC Ex. 2 (Cannady Test.) at 7-8.

¹⁰⁶ Id. at 15-17.

¹⁰⁷ Id. at 8.

¹⁰⁸ Id. at 7-8.

¹⁰⁹ ACSC Br. at 7 (referencing Hearing Tr. (April 19, 2017) at 127-28 (Myers testifying)).

¹¹⁰ Atmos Ex. 18 (Myers Rebuttal Test.) at 6 (referencing GUD Nos. 9762, 9869, 10000 and 10170).

5. Unchallenged Amounts

As found above, Atmos established that it keeps its books and records in accordance with Commission rules. Accordingly, the unchallenged amounts shown on Atmos's books and records, as well as summaries and excerpts therefrom, are presumed to have been reasonably and necessarily incurred.¹¹²

6. Rate Base Conclusion

The Examiners find that a rate base amount totaling \$1,767,599,981 is just and reasonable, supported by the evidence, and consistent with the requirements of GURA Chapter 104 (Rates and Services).

B. Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Expenses

Atmos requests expenses totaling \$234,024,575. Challenged expenses include (1) depreciation, (2) incentive compensation, (3) Supplemental Employee Retirement Plan ("SERP"); (4) Cost Center 1905 outside director retirement costs, (5) other pay, (6) pension and other postemployment benefit (OPEB) regulatory asset expense amounts, (8) federal income tax, (9) allocations from Shared Service Unit (SSU) and Mid-Tex, (10) affiliate expenses for Blueflame Property Risk Management Program.

As set out in detail below, the Examiners recommend a \$1,597,805 reduction to Atmos's requested expenses, reducing the total amount to \$232,426,770.

1. Depreciation

The Commission is required to establish proper and adequate rates and methods of depreciation for Atmos.¹¹³ Here, Atmos requests an increase to its direct depreciation expense of approximately \$19 million and a decrease to SSU depreciation expense allocated to Atmos of approximately \$1.3 million.¹¹⁴ Atmos bases this request on two depreciation studies conducted by Alliance Consulting Group ("Alliance"), a utility consulting firm.¹¹⁵ The last change in Atmos's depreciation rates occurred in 2011.¹¹⁶ Since then, Atmos's plant balance has

 ¹¹² See 16 Tex. Admin. Code § 7.503(a) (Evidentiary Treatment of Uncontroverted Books and Records of Gas Utilities).
 ¹¹³ Tex. Util. Code § 104.054 (Depreciation, Amortization, and Depletion).

¹¹⁴ Atmos Br. at 29.

¹¹⁵ See Atmos Ex. 13, Direct Testimony of Dane A. Watson ("Watson Test."), Exhibits DAW-2 (Atmos Study) and DAW-3 (SSU Study).

¹¹⁶ GUD No. 10000 (establishing depreciation rates based on a depreciation study on plant in service as of September 30, 2009).

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

increased from \$1 billion (2009) to \$2.4 billion (2016)—a change of 140 percent.¹¹⁷ In support of its requested new depreciation rates, Atmos provided testimony from Dane A. Watson (B.S. (Electrical Engineering), MBA), an Alliance partner and Certified Depreciation Profession by the Society of Depreciation Professionals.¹¹⁸

Dallas opposes Atmos's depreciation request. The primary disagreement between Atmos and Dallas is whether Atmos should be allowed to continue using the Equal Life Group ("ELG") method to establish depreciation rates, as Atmos has done continually since the Commission first approved this method for Atmos in 1997. Dallas opposes Atmos's requested continuation of the ELG method, arguing that another method—Average Life Group ("ALG")—is more proper. The financial impact of switching from the ELG method to the ALG method is significant—according to Dallas, doing so would reduce Atmos's proposed annual depreciation expense by approximately \$23 million.¹¹⁹ Alternatively, if the Commission allows Atmos to continue using the ELG method, Dallas proposes life adjustments to seven accounts. In support, Dallas provided testimony from David J. Garrett (B.B.A. (Finance), MBA, JD), a depreciation consultant and managing member of Resolve Utility Consulting, PLLC, a utility consulting firm.¹²⁰ Mr. Garrett also is a Certified Depreciation Professional by the Society of Depreciation Professionals.

Equal Life Group (ELG) vs. Average Life Group (ALG)

In the ELG system, the annual depreciation expense for each group is computed by dividing the original cost of the asset, less allocated depreciation reserve, less estimated net salvage, by its respective equal life group remaining life.¹²¹ The resulting annual accrual amounts of all depreciable property within an account are accumulated, and the total is divided by the original cost of all account level depreciable property to determine the account-level depreciation rate.¹²² The calculated remaining lives and annual depreciation accrual rates are based on attained ages of plant in service and the estimated service life and salvage characteristics of each depreciable group.¹²³ Here, Atmos requests continuation of the *status quo* ELG method, which Atmos has used continually for nearly 20 years since the Commission first approved it for Atmos in 1997.¹²⁴ Since 1997, the Commission has approved the ELG method: for Atmos in GUD Nos. 8976 (2000), 9400 (2004), and 10000 (2007); for other Atmos Energy divisions in GUD Nos. 9145-

¹¹⁷ Atmos Ex. 13 (Watson Test.) at 15.

¹¹⁸ Id. at 24, Rebuttal Testimony of Dane A. Watson ("Watson Rebuttal Test.").

¹¹⁹ Dallas Br. at 19.

¹²⁰ Dallas Ex. 3, Direct Testimony of David J. Garrett ("Garrett Test.").

¹²¹ Atmos Ex. 13 (Watson Test.) at 10.

¹²² Id.

¹²³ Id. at 10-11.

¹²⁴ GUD No. 8664, Second Order on Rehearing (Dec. 1, 1997).

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

9148 (2000), 9400 (2004), 9670 (2007), 9762 (2008), 10170 (2012), and 10174 (2012); and for other utilities as recently as 2017.¹²⁵

In opposition, Dallas argues that the ELG method unfairly accelerates depreciation and therefore should be replaced with the ALG method. In the ALG method, a constant accrual rate based on the average life of all property in the group is applied to the surviving property.¹²⁶ According to Dallas, the ELG method results in accelerated depreciation and intergenerational inequality because it results in annual accruals that are higher during the early years of a vintage's life, thereby causing an increase in depreciation expense and revenue requirements during these years.¹²⁷ In contrast, the ALG method results in the same depreciation rate applied to each age interval.¹²⁸ While acknowledging industry acceptance of both ELG and ALG methods as "systemic and rational,"¹²⁹ Dallas highlights that Atmos's own depreciation expert previously testified in other utility rate cases in favor of the ALG method.¹³⁰ Dallas also notes that in many of the prior dockets involving Commission approval of the ELG method, the properness of the ELG method was not contested or meaningfully litigated.

In response, Atmos disputes Dallas's characterization that the ELG method results in accelerated depreciation or intergenerational inequality, arguing that ELG is more accurate and the Commission and other industry authorities historically have recognized the ELG method as the more "theoretically correct" depreciation procedure because it appropriately recognizes that specific assets within a FERC account retire at different ages—not over just the stated average service life of all assets in the account.¹³¹ The ELG procedure groups assets that share similar lives within an account and calculates a theoretical reserve amount for that account to reflect retirements that occur at ages outside of the average, unlike the ALG method.¹³² Atmos notes that the properness of the ELG method was extensively litigated in GUD Nos. 8664 (1997) and 9145 (2000), and since then Atmos has continued to maintain aged records for its assets, maintained detailed records on the type of pipe in its systems, and performed depreciation studies at regular intervals.¹³³ At the Hearing, Atmos's depreciation expert witness acknowledged his prior advocacy

¹²⁵ Atmos Ex. 24 (Watson Rebuttal Test.) at 7-8.

¹²⁶ Dallas Ex. 3 (Garrett Test.) at 11.

¹²⁷ Id. at 12 (citing NARUC Public Utility Depreciation Practices).

¹²⁸ Id.

¹²⁹ Dallas Ex. 10 (excerpt from *Depreciation Systems* publication, at 93).

¹³⁰ Dallas Ex. 7 (Watson 2014 Testimony before the New Mexico PRC) at 15; Dallas Ex. 8 (Watson 2013 Testimony before the Texas PUC) at 8; and Dallas Ex. 6 (Watson 2014 Testimony before the Public Service Commission of Nebraska) at 3, 6; see also Dallas Reply Br. at 13-16.

¹³¹ Atmos Ex. 25 (Watson Rebuttal Test.) at 6-8, 10-14; Atmos Br. at 29-30.

¹³² Atmos Ex. 25 (Watson Rebuttal Test.) at 7.

¹³³ Id. at 8-9.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

for the ALG method in other cases,¹³⁴ but maintained that—for Atmos—ELG is "the best, most accurate method."¹³⁵

Proposed Service Life Adjustments

Dallas contests Atmos's requested service life estimates for seven accounts, summarized below.

FERC Acct. No.	Account Title	Existing Service Life/Curve	Atmos Proposed Service Life/Curve	Dallas Proposed Service Life/Curve		
Underg	Underground Storage					
351	Structures and Improvements	45 yrs / S3	52 yrs / S3	69 yrs / R2		
353	Lines	41 yrs / R2	40 yrs / R0.5	42 yrs / R0.5		
354	Compressor Station Equipment	35 yrs / R2.5	40 yrs / R1.5	42 yrs / L2		
355	M&R Equipment	38 yrs / R3	40 yrs / R0.5	42 yrs / R0.5		
356	Purification Equipment	40 yrs / R3	55 yrs / R2.5			
Transmission Plant						
365.2 0	Rights of Way	85 yrs / R4	85 yrs / R4	89 yrs / R1		
370	Communication Equipment	25 yrs / L2	25 yrs / L2	28 yrs / R1.5		

Proposed Service Life Adjustments

- Account 351 (Structures & Improvements). The existing service life is 45 years. Atmos proposes an increase to 52 years. Dallas recommends lengthening this projected life to 69 years.
- Account 353 (Lines). The existing service life is 41 years. Atmos proposes a decrease to 40 years. Dallas recommends lengthening this projected life to 42 years.
- Account 354 (Compressor Station Equipment). The existing service life is 35 years. Atmos proposes an increase to 40 years. Dallas recommends lengthening this projected life to 42 years.

¹³⁴ Hearing Tr. (April 20, 2017) at 175-193.

¹³⁵ Id. at 194 ("Q: Can I ask why did you use ELG for [Atmos] specifically? A: There were a number of reasons. One is that it's been the Commission's precedent for the last 20 years to use [ELG]. The second is, you know, from what my reading of the discussions from the Commissioners in the past, they seem – they have some very solid reasons that they want it and thought it was appropriate to – to keep or give the company the cash flow to be able to keep investing in their system. And so for all of those reasons, especially because that's been the long-term precedent and it is the best, most accurate method, I chose to continue to recommend equal life group for this case.").

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

- Account 355 (Measuring & Regulating Station Equipment). The existing service life is 38 years. Atmos proposes an increase to 40 years. Dallas recommends lengthening this projected life to 42 years.
- Account 356 (Purification Equipment). The existing service life is 40 years. Atmos proposes an increase to 55 years. Dallas recommends lengthening this projected life to 69 years.
- Account 365.20 (Rights of Way). The existing service life is 85 years. Atmos does not propose a change. Dallas recommends lengthening this projected life to 89 years.
- Account 370 (Communication Equipment). The existing service life is 25 years. Atmos does not propose a change. Dallas recommends lengthening this projected life to 28 years.

For all its accounts, Atmos used actuarial analysis methods to establish average service lives for each account within a functional group.¹³⁶ Atmos supports its actuarial analysis method—and resulting service life calculations—with a 2016 Atmos Study¹³⁷ and a 2014 SSU Study.¹³⁸ In addition to mathematical analyses, Atmos considered information specific to Atmos that, according to Atmos, affects the lives of its assets, including relevant operational experience, future expectations, and existing parameters previously approved by the Commission.¹³⁹

Like Atmos, Dallas also used an actuarial analysis for these accounts. Dallas reviewed actual observed data from Atmos's records, using a combination of visual and mathematical curve-fitting techniques to calculate service lives for the above seven accounts.¹⁴⁰ Dallas stresses that these adjustments are based on Dallas's recommended ALG method (discussed above), but are also proper if the Commission chooses to keep the ELG method.¹⁴¹ Dallas offers that it reviewed the same Atmosspecific operational information considered by Atmos's depreciation expert, though Dallas disputes the usefulness of that information for estimating service lives.¹⁴²

In response, Atmos argues that Dallas's recommendations should be rejected because they overly rely on mathematical analyses and statistics, disregarding information specific to Atmos's operations that affect the lives of these assets.¹⁴³ Atmos highlights that Dallas only meaningfully addresses two accounts—356

¹³⁶ Atmos Ex. 13 (Watson Test.) at 11, and Exhibits DAW-2 (Atmos Study) and DAW-3 (SSU Study).

¹³⁷ Id., Exhibit DAW-2 (Atmos Study).

¹³⁸ Id., Exhibit DAW-3 (SSU Study).

¹³⁹ Atmos Ex. 24 (Watson Rebuttal Test.) at 15.

¹⁴⁰ Dallas Ex. 3 (Garrett Test.) at 17.

¹⁴¹ *Id.* at 19 ("As a matter of principle, it is far more important for the Commission to adopt my proposed rates because they are based on the [ALG] procedure."), 28-29.

¹⁴² Dallas Reply Br. at 19-20.

¹⁴³ Atmos Br. at 30-31.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

(Purification Equipment) and 365.20 (Rights of Way)—in the direct evidence provided by Dallas. For Account 356 (Purification Equipment), Atmos argues that: Dallas improperly excludes relevant data at the tail of the observed life table ("OLT") curve;¹⁴⁴ Atmos's proposed service life of 55 years is appropriate to comply with various regulations related to security and safety;¹⁴⁵ and Dallas's proposed 29 years a nearly 73 percent change—is excessive.¹⁴⁶ For Account 365.20 (Rights of Way), Atmos and Dallas are only four years apart in their recommendations—Atmos argues that the existing service life of 85 years is proper and supported by the general historical trend of the percent surviving at 100 to 90 percent for the first 40 years and then dropping.

Reserve Reallocation

Atmos also offered evidence supporting the appropriateness of reallocating the reserve to ensure the undepreciated plant balance in each account will be depreciated over the remaining life of those assets.¹⁴⁷ Dallas argues that reserve reallocation is not necessary.¹⁴⁸ In response, Atmos maintains that reserve reallocation is necessary to ensure the most accurate rates and doing so is standard depreciation practice.¹⁴⁹

Examiner Findings and Recommendation

<u>Method</u>

The Examiners find that Atmos's proposed ELG depreciation method is proper and adequate.¹⁵⁰ Atmos met its burden by establishing that ELG is a viable, industryaccepted method to establish depreciation rates. As Atmos and Dallas both acknowledge, neither the ELG nor the ALG methods are inherently "incorrect"—they are just different, and both are systemic and rational.¹⁵¹ The evidence supports that the ELG method is proper and adequate—Atmos is not required to prove further that ELG is superior to ALG.

Proposed Service Lives

The Examiners find that Atmos's proposed service lives are proper and adequate, and just and reasonable. The weight of evidence—including Atmos's two

¹⁴⁴ Atmos Ex. 24 (Watson Rebuttal Test.) at 17-18.

¹⁴⁵ Id. at 19 ("However, I also conducted on-site interviews with Company [subject matter experts], who informed me that the changes in this account are driven by various regulations related to security and safety, such as those already experienced at TriCities, Lapan, and New York facilities.").

¹⁴⁶ Id. at 20.

¹⁴⁷ Atmos Ex. 13 (Watson Test.), Exhibit DAW-2 (Atmos Study), pp. 8-9; Atmos Ex. 24 (Watson Rebuttal Test.) at 32-33.

¹⁴⁸ Dallas Ex. 3 (Garrett Test.) at 15-16.

¹⁴⁹ Atmos Ex. 24 (Watson Rebuttal Test.) at 33-34.

¹⁵⁰ See Tex. Util. Code § 104.054(a) (Depreciation, Amortization, and Depletion) ("The railroad commission shall establish *proper and adequate* rates and methods of depreciation, amortization, or depletion for each class of property of a gas utility or municipally owned utility.") (emphasis added).

¹⁵¹ See Atmos Ex. 24 (Watson Rebuttal Test.) at 11, and Dallas Reply Br. at 13 (both citing Depreciation Systems publication, at 93).

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

depreciation studies, along with the direct and rebuttal testimonies of Atmos's depreciation expert witness—supports Atmos's proposed service lives, including those contested by Dallas. For the seven contested accounts, both Atmos and Dallas offered persuasive expert analysis, supported by data, though in testimony Dallas only meaningfully addressed and discussed two accounts—356 (Purification Equipment) and 365.20 (Rights of Way). For these and the other contested accounts, the weight of evidence favored Atmos. The Examiners disagree with Dallas that Atmos's company-specific operational information carries no probative weight when estimating service life parameters. The analyses and considerations used by Atmos were proper and adequate, and sufficiently supported Atmos's proposed service lives.

Reserve Reallocation

The Examiners find that Atmos's proposed reserve reallocation is proper and adequate. Reallocating reserves ensures the undepreciated plant balance in each account will be depreciated over the remaining life of those assets, which ensures accurate rates.

Conclusion

Atmos's proposed depreciation rates and methods—including calculation of the reserve—are proper and adequate, just and reasonable, and meet the requirements of GURA Section 104.054 (Depreciation, Amortization, and Depletion) and Commission Rule § 7.5252 (Depreciation and Allocations). The Examiners recommend their approval.

2. Incentive Compensation

Incentive compensation is a major point of disagreement between Atmos, ACSC, and Dallas. Atmos's incentive compensation expenses include short-term incentive compensation ("STI") and long-term incentive compensation for ("LTI") its direct Atmos employees and its Shared Services Unit ("SSU") employees. Atmos argues that all test-year incentive compensation expenses should be considered reasonable and necessary, though Atmos does not seek cost recovery for the entire amount.¹⁵² Rather, Atmos—citing Commission precedent in GUD Nos. 9670, 9762, 9869, 10000, and 10170—removed allocated SSU STI.¹⁵³ Atmos requests recovery \$1,849,491 of STI and \$1,421,652 of LTI, of which \$404,401 is for direct employees and \$1,017,251 for SSU employees.¹⁵⁴ In support, Atmos provides testimony from: Barbara W. Myers, Manager of Rates and Regulatory Affairs for Atmos Energy Corporation Shared Services; Melanie P. Connelly, Director of Compensation &

¹⁵² Atmos Ex. 7, Direct Testimony of Melanie P. Connelly ("Connelly Test."), at 16-17.

¹⁵³ Id. at 16-17.

¹⁵⁴ Atmos Ex. 18, Rebuttal Testimony of Barbara W. Myers ("Myers Rebuttal Test."), Exhibit BWM-R-3.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

Human Resource Management System for Atmos Energy Shared Services Division; John R. Ellerman, Partner at Pay Governance LLC, a management consulting firm; Mr. Knights; and Mr. Erskine.

Incentive Compensation – Generally

Atmos explains that its compensation program—base pay, incentive compensation, and merit increases—targets the midpoint compensation levels of peer companies to be competitive in the labor market.¹⁵⁵ Atmos highlights prior dockets, stating that over the last ten years, the Commission repeatedly has found the same incentive compensation costs Atmos seeks to recover in this case to be reasonable and necessary expenses.¹⁵⁶ Atmos argues that incentive compensation benefits customers because an employee's receipt of the award is closely tied to individual employee performance.¹⁵⁷ Atmos explains that the variable compensation components of STI and LTI are not guaranteed and are only paid if Atmos and the individual meet established criteria.¹⁵⁸

Short-Term Incentive (STI)

Atmos explains that its STI plan, which includes a variable pay plan ("VPP") and a management incentive plan ("MIP"), offers incentives based on financial, operational, and safety goals that benefit customers and shareholders.¹⁵⁹ Atmos bases the award levels on an employee's grade, with each plan providing a payout ranging from 50 percent of the target to 200 percent of the target.¹⁶⁰ According to Atmos, this the overall design structure of the annual STI plans have remained the same since implementation in the late 1990s, except for two immaterial exceptions.¹⁶¹ Atmos also notes the following to support its request: (1) More than 80 percent of Southern Gas Association survey participants and American Gas Association survey participants offer STI;¹⁶² (2) like Atmos, 89 percent of American Gas Association survey participants rely on established targets in an STI plan for exempt, non-management, and non-exempt employees;¹⁶³ (3) the VPP threshold target range—50 percent to 200 percent—are comparable to surveyed companies;¹⁶⁴ and (4) nearly half of surveyed companies use earnings per share ("EPS") as an STI

¹⁵⁵ Atmos Ex. 7 (Connelly Test.) at 4-5.

¹⁵⁶ Atmos Ex. 5, Direct Testimony of Barbara W. Myers ("Myers Test."), at 43 n1 (referencing GUD Nos. 9670, 9762, 9869, 10000, and 10170).

¹⁵⁷ Atmos Ex. 7 (Connelly Test.) at 21.

¹⁵⁸ *Id.* at 4-9.

¹⁵⁹ *Id.* at 9-12.

¹⁶⁰ *Id.* at 10-12.

¹⁶¹ Id. at 10-13, Exhibit MPC-4, Exhibit MPC-9.

¹⁶² Id. at 10-12, Exhibit MPC-1, Exhibit MPC-2.

¹⁶³ *Id.* at 10, Exhibit MPC-8.

¹⁶⁴ Id., Exhibit MPC-8.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

metric, whereas the next most common financial metrics are present in only 15 percent of plans.¹⁶⁵

Long-Term Incentive (LTI)

Atmos describes its LTI plan as a stock-based, long-term incentive plan focusing on its long-term financial strength and viability.¹⁶⁶ Eligibility is limited to certain management personnel charged with directing and overseeing day-to-day operations and remain with Atmos Energy for a three-year period.¹⁶⁷ Atmos's LTI plan divides the value of stock awards equally between time-lapse restricted share units ("RSU") with a three-year vesting period and performance-based RSU with a three-year performance period.¹⁶⁸ The actual payout for the performance-based award ranges from 0 to 200 percent of the target, based on cumulative EPS over the three-year performance period.¹⁶⁹

Atmos explains that the time-based grants are designed to encourage management personnel to remain with Atmos to continue investing their time and talent in helping Atmos Energy successfully provide safe and reliable service.¹⁷⁰ Atmos explains that the performance-based grants have both time and performance components, with the performance-based restricted stock units (RSUs) vesting in whole or part at the end of an employee's three-year period, depending on Atmos's performance. Atmos notes that if the employee leaves before the end of the period, then both the time-based and performance-based RSUs are forfeited.¹⁷¹ Atmos contends that its LTI plan is consistent with the market, showing that 45 percent of Southern Gas Association survey participants have an LTI plan for management that includes awards of performance shares or RSUs.¹⁷²

Opposition by ACSC

In opposition, ACSC recommends removing \$1,159,144 of STI and \$1,073,267 of LTI, arguing that ratepayers should not be burdened with incentive compensation awards exceeding the 100-percent target because such excessive awards are not necessary to attract and retain employees.¹⁷³ In support, ACSC provides testimony from Constance T. Cannady, executive consultant at NewGen Strategies & Solutions, LLC.

¹⁶⁵ Id., Exhibit MPC-4.

¹⁶⁶ Id. at 14.

¹⁶⁷ Id. at 14-16.

¹⁶⁸ Id. at 14.

¹⁶⁹ Id.

¹⁷⁰ *Id*. at 15. ¹⁷¹ *Id*. at 14-16.

¹⁷² *Id.* at 16, Exhibit MPC-2.

¹⁷³ ACSC Ex. 2, Direct Testimony of Constance T. Cannady ("Cannady Test."), at 9-10, 26-28.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

ACSC's Recommendation for STI

ACSC recommends limiting any allowed STI pay to 100 percent of the targets established for the payment by position, and then disallowing the portion of the STI set at 100 percent of targets that is awarded based on meeting certain financial measures.¹⁷⁴

ACSC argues that ratepayers should not be responsible for any STI award that is greater than the target percentages set to achieve a level of total compensation that falls within the 50th percentile.¹⁷⁵ To support its position further, ACSC explains that Atmos Energy sets the target percentages for STI by position based on compensation surveys, but Atmos Energy does not review the actual percentage amounts awarded by companies included in the surveys in any particular year.¹⁷⁶ ACSC argues that the target is set at a level to attract and retain employees, and therefore Atmos may choose to reward employees for greater than 100 percent of their respective STI target percentages, but these additional pay-outs are not necessary to retain and attract employees.¹⁷⁷

ACSC disputes Atmos's claim that Atmos's request follows Commission precedent. According to ACSC, in GUD No. 10000, all the incentive targets approved by the Commission were at two percent for all employee grades. In this case, ACSC states, every employee grade is proposed to have over a 100-percent increase in the incentive target.¹⁷⁸

ACSC recommends that at least half of the STI set at the 100-percent target be disallowed because incentive pay based on financial performance measures should be disallowed in rates and should be the responsibility of shareholders.¹⁷⁹ ACSC explains that none of the variable component is paid if Atmos Energy's financial performance does not meet or exceed the targeted EPS values.¹⁸⁰ ACSC offers that while it is not known how much of any individual's incentive compensation is attributable to Atmos meeting its financial goals, it is known that no payments are made if the financial EPS goal is not met. To support its recommendation, ACSC cites

¹⁷⁴ Id. at 7-15, Exhibit CTC-2.

¹⁷⁵ ACSC Br. at 3.

¹⁷⁶ *Id.*; Atmos Ex. 7 (Connelly Test.) at 7; Hearing Tr. (April 19, 2017) at 192-96 (Connelly testifying); ACSC Ex. 22, APT Response to ACSC RFI 1-57; ACSC Ex. 56, APT Response to ACSC RFI 1-58.

¹⁷⁷ ACSC Br. at 3 (referencing Hearing Tr. (April 19, 2017) at 193 (Connelly testifying)).

¹⁷⁸ ACSC Br. at 3 (referencing Hearing Tr. (April 19, 2017) at 1 (Connelly testifying) and Hearing Tr. (April 21, 2017) at 97 (Myers testifying)).

¹⁷⁹ ACSC Br. at 4.

¹⁸⁰ Id.; Hearing Tr. (April 19, 2017) at 195, 212 (Connelly testifying); Hearing Tr. (April 21, 2017) at 169-71 (Ellerman testifying); ACSC Ex. 25, APT Response to ACSC RFI No. 15-03; ACSC Ex. 26, APT Response to ACSC RFI 15-04.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

GUD NO. 10580

the Commission's Order in GUD No. 10506, where the Commission approved the use of a 50-percent reduction computation.¹⁸¹

ACSC's Recommendation for LTI

ACSC recommends removing all performance-based LTI and setting the timebased grants to 100 percent of the targeted three-year average EPS.¹⁸² ACSC cites the same reasons used in its STI argument. ACSC cites the reasons identified above regarding the impropriety of burdening ratepayers with incentive awards gained by adding to Atmos's EPS.

Opposition by Dallas

In opposition, Dallas recommends removing \$1,519,571 of STI and \$1,427,717 of LTI, arguing that incentive compensation based on financial measures is inappropriate.¹⁸³ In support, Dallas provides testimony from Mark Garrett, an attorney, certified public accountant, and President of Garrett Group, LLC, a firm specializing in public utility regulation, litigation, and consulting services.¹⁸⁴

Dallas's Recommendation for STI

Dallas recommends the Commission exclude STI for the following reasons: (1) payment is uncertain; (2) most of the factors that significantly impact earnings are outside the control of most company employees and have limited value to customers; (3) earnings-based incentive compensation can discourage conservation; (4) the utility and its shareholders assume none of the financial risks associated with incentive payments; (5) incentive payments based on financial performance measures should be made out of increased earnings; and (6) incentive payments embedded in rates shelter the utility against the risk of earnings erosion.¹⁸⁵

Dallas disputes Atmos's claim that incentive compensation may not be offered if it is not included in rates, arguing that even when incentive compensation tied to financial performance is excluded from rates, utilities continue to offer the plans but pay for them from increased corporate earnings.¹⁸⁶ Dallas also disputes Atmos's claim related to safety and reliability, arguing that Atmos's plans are all tied to EPS and that the compensation is not paid unless the EPS targets are achieved.¹⁸⁷

 ¹⁸¹ ACSC Ex. 2 (Cannady Test.) at 10-11 n9 (citing GUD No. 10506, Final Order, at FoF 81-84 (Sep. 27, 2016)).
 ¹⁸² ACSC Ex. 2 (Cannady Test.) at 28-29.

¹⁸³ Dallas Ex. 2a, M. Garrett Errata ("Garrett Errata"), Exhibits MG-2, MG-2.1, and MG-2.2.

¹⁸⁴ Dallas Ex. 2, Direct Testimony and Exhibits of Mark E. Garrett ("Garrett Test."), at 3.

¹⁸⁵ Dallas Ex. 2 (Garrett Test.) at 8-9.

¹⁸⁶ Dallas Ex. 2 (Garrett Test.) at 18-21.

¹⁸⁷ Dallas Ex. 2 (Garrett Test.) at 18-21.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

Dallas also provides a multi-state survey showing that most respondents exclude incentive payments associated with financial performance in rates.¹⁸⁸ Dallas references GUD No. 10506, where the Commission excluded all incentive compensation in excess of 100 percent of the performance goal and split the remainder between the ratepayers and shareholders.¹⁸⁹

Dallas's Recommendation for LTI

Dallas recommends that the Commission exclude LTI, which would reduce expenses by \$1,427,718.¹⁹⁰ Dallas argues that the interests of Atmos and its customers are not always the same, and therefore not all executive compensation is presumed to be a necessary cost of providing utility service.¹⁹¹ Dallas explains that incentive compensation should be paid out of increased earnings; otherwise, the plan was poorly conceived.¹⁹² According to Dallas, most states exclude all LTI and some utilities treat it as a "below the line" expense.¹⁹³

Atmos's Rebuttal

In rebuttal, Atmos argues that ACSC's and Dallas's proposals are inconsistent with Commission precedent, noting that the Commission's decisions for Atmos Energy have not changed in ten years, nor have the rate requests regarding incentive compensation for Atmos Energy's divisions.¹⁹⁴ Atmos explains that GURA Section 104.051 (Establishing Overall Revenues) sets the standard for O&M expense recovery, and no party has challenged the reasonableness of the overall compensation Atmos Energy offers to employees, nor have they disputed the necessity of offering incentive compensation as a necessary tool to attract, motivate, and retain necessary personnel.¹⁹⁵ Atmos warns that excluding any component of its total compensation from cost recovery increases the risk of it not recovering reasonable and necessary compensation expenses.¹⁹⁶

Rebutting ACSC's and Dallas's assertions that only shareholders benefit from strong financial performance, Atmos explains that customers also benefit because consistent EPS performance results in a financially-healthy company that can provide timely and efficient service and invest in improved infrastructure.¹⁹⁷ Atmos explains that it has adopted the standard that it is a safety company striving to deliver excellent service—meaning that all employees contribute to meeting that standard

¹⁸⁸ Dallas Ex. 2 (Garrett Test.) at 10-16.

¹⁸⁹ Dallas Ex. 2 (Garrett Test.) at 17.

¹⁹⁰ Dallas Ex. 2a (Garrett Errata), Exhibit MG-2.

¹⁹¹ Dallas Ex. 2 (Garrett Test.) at 24.

¹⁹² Dallas Ex. 2 (Garrett Test.) at 28-29.

¹⁹³ Dallas Ex. 2 (Garrett Test.) at 24-26.

¹⁹⁴ Atmos Ex. 18 (Myers Rebuttal Test.) at 4-9.

¹⁹⁵ Atmos Ex. 20, Rebuttal Testimony of Melanie P. Connelly ("Connelly Rebuttal Test."), at 7.

¹⁹⁶ Atmos Ex. 21, Rebuttal Testimony of John R. Ellerman ("Ellerman Rebuttal Test."), at 5-7.

¹⁹⁷ Atmos Ex. 17 (Knights Rebuttal Test.) at 20-23.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

every day.¹⁹⁸ In support, Atmos provides several examples of employee evaluations used to determine the incentive compensation awards. According to Atmos, this demonstrates that the awards are based on more than just financial measures.¹⁹⁹

Atmos defends recovering incentive compensation costs exceeding the 100percent target as reasonable and necessary because 89 percent of companies in the American Gas Association survey have established targets exceeding 100 percent. Thus, Atmos argues, it must offer incentive plans above 100 percent to maintain competitiveness with the median of the market.²⁰⁰ Atmos explains that when an actual payout exceeds the 100-percent target, it is because its employees have operated the business successfully for the benefit of all stakeholders.²⁰¹ Atmos points out that 78 percent to 92 percent of STI plans rely on financial metrics.²⁰² Atmos explains that shareholders are bearing a responsibility for a portion of Atmos's incentive compensation costs because Atmos excludes recovery of allocated STI expenses.²⁰³

Examiner Findings and Recommendation

The Examiners find that the weight of the evidence supports partial—but not total-recovery for both STI and LTI, and that both shareholders and ratepayers benefit, to some degree, from incentive compensation. The precise degree of benefit to each is not quantified—and may not be quantifiable—but the evidence supports that a benefit exists. While Atmos, ACSC, and Dallas each provide evidence of previous Commission decisions and decisions from other states to support their respective arguments, those prior decisions do not bind the Commission here. Ultimately, the Examiners base the findings herein on the evidence unique to this case. Here, the weight of the evidence establishes that portions of both STI and LTI are reasonable and necessary and supported by the evidence. Similar to the Commission's decision in GUD No. 10000, the Examiners recommend that Atmos's incentive compensation request be limited to the expenses of direct employees.²⁰⁴ As in GUD No. 10000, direct employees regularly interface with Atmos's customers and are closer to the ground level of pipeline operations than employees headquartered elsewhere and providing services to multiple Atmos Energy divisions. Therefore, the Examiners recommend that incentive compensation cost recovery be limited to only those costs associated with the direct employees for both STI and LTI, limited to 100 percent of the target. The Examiners recommend a downward adjustment of \$1,597,736, which includes \$531,635 for STI and \$1,066,101 for LTI.

¹⁹⁸ Atmos Ex. 15 (Erskine Rebuttal Test.) at 19-20.

¹⁹⁹ Atmos Ex. 17 (Knights Rebuttal Test.), Exhibit JSK-R-8.

²⁰⁰ Atmos Ex. 7 (Connelly Rebuttal Test.) at 20; Atmos Ex. 21 (Ellerman Rebuttal Test.) at 10.

²⁰¹ Atmos Ex. 7 (Connelly Rebuttal Test.) at 21.

²⁰² Atmos Ex. 7 (Connelly Rebuttal Test.), Exhibit MPC-R-1.

²⁰³ Atmos Ex. 7 (Connelly Rebuttal Test.) at 15; Atmos Ex. 21 (Ellerman Rebuttal Test.) at 20.

²⁰⁴ GUD No. 10000, Final Order, signed April 18, 2011, at FOF 66, 67.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

Short-Term Incentive (STI)

The weight of the evidence supports partial cost recovery of Atmos's requested STI amount. Atmos only pays its employees STI if company EPS goals are met, thus making it possible that Atmos could recover for expenses it does not incur. The evidence shows that STI payout levels are variable—the amount paid out in the test year will not necessarily be the amount paid out in a subsequent year, with a target ranging from 50 percent to 200 percent. The evidence establishes that the test year STI amount in excess of 100 percent is \$531,635.²⁰⁵ The Examiners recommend excluding this amount from rates to reduce variability, and because Atmos can finance the incentive compensation amounts above 100 percent with increased earnings. The record shows that Atmos targets the median of the market and has already removed its allocated SSU STI expenses from cost recovery. The result is a total STI of \$1,362,856, an expense the Examiners find to be reasonable and necessary, supported by the evidence, and consistent with GURA Section 104.051 (Establishing Overall Revenues) and Chapter 104 (Rates and Services).

Long-Term Incentive (LTI)

The weight of the evidence also supports partial cost recovery of Atmos's requested LTI amount. Atmos limits eligibility to certain managers charged with directing and overseeing day-to-day operations and only pays LTI to employees that remain for at least three years. Thus, Atmos potentially could recover for expenses it does not incur. The evidence shows that the LTI payout levels are variable, thus the amount paid out in the test year will not necessarily be the amount paid out in subsequent year, with a target ranging from zero percent to 200 percent. The evidence shows that the LTI metric is based on both encouraging employee retention and financial performance. The evidence establishes that the test-year LTI amount for SSU employees is \$1,017,251. The Examiners recommend excluding this amount from LTI for cost recovery because these expenses are for employees who do not regularly and directly interact with customers on behalf of the Atmos Energy's pipeline division, and it is more appropriate for shareholders to burden these expenses. The remaining \$404,401 is for direct employees. Like with STI, the Examiners recommend removing the test year amount in excess of 100 percent, which amounts to \$48,850.²⁰⁶ The result is a total LTI of \$355,551, an expense the Examiners find to be reasonable and necessary, supported by the evidence, and consistent with GURA Section 104.051 (Establishing Overall Revenues) and Chapter 104 (Rates and Services).

²⁰⁵ Atmos Response to Examiners' RFI 3-01 and 3-02, filed on May 26, 2017.

²⁰⁶ Atmos Response to Examiners' RFI 3-03, filed on May 26, 2017.

<u>Conclusion</u>

Considering the evidence, the Examiners recommend a combined STI and LTI recovery of \$1,718,407—an expense the Examiners find to be a reasonable and necessary, supported by the evidence, and consistent with the requirements of GURA Section 104.051 (Establishing Overall Revenues) and Chapter 104 (Rates and Services).

3. Supplemental Executive Retirement Plans (SERP)

Atmos requests recovery of SERP expenses totaling \$93,683.²⁰⁷ Consistent with prior Atmos Energy cases, Atmos requests recovery only of direct SERP costs and has excluded SSU SERP costs.²⁰⁸ Atmos explains that the SERP Benefit puts key employees in a position regarding retirement income that is comparable to employees whose compensation is not subject to limitations in the Internal Revenue Code.²⁰⁹

Opposition by Dallas

In opposition, Dallas objects to all SERP cost recovery.²¹⁰ Dallas explains that by disallowing the SERP costs, ratepayers will pay for all of the executive benefits included in Atmos's regular pension plans, up to the income limitations, and shareholders will pay for the additional executive benefits included in the supplemental plan.²¹¹ Dallas opines that shareholders should bear the additional costs associated with supplemental benefits to highly-compensated executives since these costs are not necessary for the provision of utility service but are instead discretionary costs of the shareholders designed to attract, retain and reward highly compensated employees.²¹² Dallas points out that SERP has been disallowed in many jurisdictions²¹³

In response, Atmos argues that SERP expenses are not discretionary costs because the SERP plan is a necessary component of a competitive compensation package for management employees and that without it, Atmos Energy would be unable to attract and retain the management talent necessary to operate the utility.²¹⁴

²⁰⁷ Atmos Response to Examiners' RFI 3-04, filed on May 26, 2017.

²⁰⁸ Atmos Ex. 18 (Myers Rebuttal Test.) at 10.

²⁰⁹ Atmos Ex. 21 (Ellerman Rebuttal Test.) at 27.

²¹⁰ Dallas Ex. 2a (Garrett Errata), Exhibits MG 2, MG 2.3.

²¹¹ Dallas Ex. 2 (Garrett Test.) at 31.

²¹² Dallas Ex. 2 (Garrett Test.) at 31.

²¹³ Dallas Ex. 2 (Garrett Test.) at 30-33.

²¹⁴ Atmos Ex. 21 (Ellerman Rebuttal Test.) at 28, Exhibit JRE-R-2.

Examiner Findings and Recommendation

Considering the evidence, the Examiners find that Atmos's request is reasonable and necessary. The evidence shows that SERP is beneficial to recruit and retain executives, which benefits both shareholders and ratepayers. The evidence shows that Atmos has already excluded the SSU portion of SERP, thus consistent with the Examiners' incentive compensation recommendation, the Examiners recommend cost recovery for all the SERP expenses directly assigned to Atmos Pipeline—\$93,683. This amount is reasonable and necessary, supported by the evidence, and consistent with the requirements of GURA Section 104.051 (Establishing Overall Revenues) and Chapter 104 (Rates and Services).

4. Cost Center 1905 Outside Director Retirement Costs

Atmos requests recovery of the test-year compensation costs recorded in Cost Center 1905, totaling \$610,588.²¹⁵ Atmos explains that these costs represent costs associated with the annual grant of share units to non-employee directors for their service on the Board of Directors.²¹⁶

Opposition by ACSC

In opposition, ACSC recommends removing the LTI awarded during the test year to a director who retired on February 9, 2017.²¹⁷ ACSC alleges that the only reason given for the significant increase in SSU Cost Center 1905 was that an extra director was added during the test year, and each director receives 3,000 shares per year as compensation.²¹⁸ ACSC explains that after the retirement, the Board at test-year end is the same number of directors it had prior to 2016 and although Atmos Energy added another director in November of 2016, it could not identify any additional services being provided by this new director that would justify recovering the director's compensation from ratepayers.²¹⁹ ACSC's recommendation would reduce Atmos's revenue requirement by \$46,741.²²⁰

Atmos rebuts ACSC's position by explaining that the Board periodically adds or removes directors.²²¹ Atmos points out that the Board had 13 directors in March of 2016, increased to 14 in November and returned to 13 in February of 2017.²²² Atmos concludes that no adjustment is necessary to the test-year expense because it

²¹⁶ Atmos Ex. 18 (Myers Rebuttal Test.) at 16.

²¹⁵ Atmos Response to Examiners' RFI 3-05, filed on May 26, 2017.

²¹⁷ ACSC Ex. 2 (Cannady Test.) at 34, Attachment P.

²¹⁸ ACSC Ex. 2 (Cannady Test.) at Attachment Q.

²¹⁹ ACSC Ex. 31, Atmos Response to ACSC RFI 15-19; ACSC Ex. 45, Atmos Response to ACSC RFI 10-03.

²²⁰ Atmos Ex. 1 (Nalepa Test.), Schedule KJN.

²²¹ Atmos Ex. 18 (Myers Rebuttal Test.) at 16; Atmos Ex. 21 (Ellerman Rebuttal Test.) at 17-18.

²²² Atmos Ex. 18 (Myers Rebuttal Test.) at 16-17.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

reflects the expenses related to a 13-member Board of Directors on a going forward basis when the rates established in this proceeding will be in effect.²²³

Examiner Findings and Recommendation

Considering the evidence, the Examiners find that Atmos's request for \$610,588 is reasonable and necessary. The evidence shows that the test year expense is for a 13-member Board of Directors and that no adjustment is necessary. This amount is reasonable and necessary, supported by the evidence, and consistent with the requirements of GURA Section 104.051 (Establishing Overall Revenues) and Chapter 104 (Rates and Services).

5. Other Pay

Within Atmos's request are expenses related to settlement pay, relocation expenses, and severance pay.

Opposition by ACSC

In opposition, ACSC argues that shareholders be responsible for these expenses, thus reducing Atmos's request by \$87,465.²²⁴ ACSC explains that settlement pay given during the test year was confirmed by Atmos to have been an error that was reversed outside the test year and booked to pension expense thus it is not a non-recurring expenses and should not be corrected in the test year to reflect a higher pension amount for purposes of setting rates in this case.²²⁵ ACSC alleges that the only information Atmos offered with regard to what the home sale expenses might include is that they include "marketing assistance" for a period of 120 days.²²⁶ ACSC argues that Atmos did not provide a basis for any argument that these expenses are necessary for the provisions of services by Atmos.²²⁷

In rebuttal, Atmos agreed to remove its severance pay request but insists on keeping the other expenses.²²⁸ Atmos explains that the record demonstrates that the challenged settlement pay amounts are valid business expenses related to pensions and that the amounts were inadvertently recorded to labor expense in the test-year and were subsequently reclassified to pension expense-the proper account and that no other adjustment should occur.²²⁹ Regarding relocation expenses, Atmos explains that Atmos Energy serves eight states and relocates employees on a routine and ongoing basis for promotions, transfers, changes in business requirements,

²²³ Atmos Ex. 18 (Myers Rebuttal Test.) at 16-17; Atmos Ex. 21 (Ellerman Rebuttal Test.) at 17-18.

²²⁴ ACSC Ex. 2 (Cannady Test.) at 33-34.

²²⁵ ACSC Br. at 17; ACSC Ex. 30, APT Response to ACSC RFI No. 15-09.

²²⁶ ACSC Br. at 17, ACSC Ex. 29, APT Response to ACSC RFI No. 15-08.

²²⁷ ACSC Br. at 17 (referencing Hearing Tr. (April 21, 2017) at 105-07 (Myers testifying)).

²²⁸ Atmos Ex. 18 (Myers Rebuttal Test.) at Exhibit BWM-R-1.

²²⁹ Atmos Ex. 18 (Myers Rebuttal Test.); Atmos Br. at 28

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

resignation and retirements and that the test year includes relocation expenses related to a single Atmos employee.²³⁰

Examiner Findings and Recommendation

Considering the evidence, the Examiners find that Atmos's request for \$53,682²³¹ for settlement pay and relocation expenses are reasonable and necessary. This amount is reasonable and necessary, supported by the evidence, and consistent with the requirements of GURA Section 104.051 (Establishing Overall Revenues) and Chapter 104 (Rates and Services).

6. Federal Income Tax

Atmos requests federal income tax expenses at the current 35-percent corporate rate. While no party challenges the properness of Atmos recovering federal income tax expenses, Dallas raises the issue that Congress may lower this rate to 15 percent before Atmos's next full rate case.²³² Dallas is concerned that, should this occur, Atmos will have the opportunity for a huge windfall.²³³ Dallas recommends that the Final Order in this docket put Atmos on notice that the Commission will act if the federal tax law changes.²³⁴

In response, Atmos argues that prospective changes to federal tax laws are too speculative to impact this docket, and the Commission need not put Atmos "on notice," as Dallas recommends.²³⁵

Examiner Findings and Recommendation

The proper, lawful federal income tax rate in this proceeding is 35 percent. Atmos's income tax expenses at this rate are reasonable and necessary. Possible future changes in federal tax law are too speculative to warrant Commission action in this proceeding. Should the corporate federal income tax rate change in the future, a procedural path exists under Texas law to ensure that Atmos and other utilities do not over-recover.²³⁶

²³⁰ Atmos Ex. 18 (Myers Rebuttal Test.) at 18.

²³¹ Atmos Response to Examiners' RFI 3-01 and 3-06, filed on May 26, 2017.

²³² Dallas Br. at 32-33; Dallas Reply Br. at 23-24.

²³³ Dallas Br. at 33.

²³⁴ Dallas Br. at 33; Dallas Reply Br. at 24.

²³⁵ Atmos Reply Br. at 23-24.

²³⁶ See Tex. Util. Code §§ 104.151 (Unreasonable or Violative Existing Rates) ("If the regulatory authority, on its own motion or on complaint by an affected person, after reasonable notice and hearing, finds that the existing rates of a gas utility for a service are unreasonable or in violation of law, the regulatory authority shall: (1) enter an order establishing the just and reasonable rates to be observed thereafter, including maximum or minimum rates; and (2) serve a copy of the order on the gas utility."), and 104.008 (Burden of Proof) ("In a proceeding involving a proposed rate change, the gas utility has the burden of proving that...an existing rate is just and reasonable, if the proposal is to reduce the rate.").

7. Allocations From Shared Services Unit (SSU) and Mid-Tex

Atmos receives allocations of common costs from Atmos Energy's SSU for General Office services.²³⁷ Certain SSU-related expenses, such as O&M, depreciation and taxes other than income taxes, are allocated on Atmos Energy's general ledger using the allocation methodologies described in Atmos Energy's Cost Allocation Manual ("CAM").²³⁸ Other common costs, such as commonly utilized plant in service and other rate base items are not allocated on the books of Atmos Energy but are allocated for ratemaking purposes based on accepted methodologies.²³⁹

Opposition by ACSC

In opposition, ACSC recommends that the composite SSU O&M factor be 71.85 percent for base labor-related charges that are allocated to Atmos and ultimately capitalized, which reflects the fact that the SSU base labor expenses that is not directly charged to Atmos operating entities is capitalized into SSU Cost Center 1910 and charged to operating entities from the capitalized overhead account.²⁴⁰

In rebuttal, Atmos reduced its SSU O&M Expense factor from 95.76 percent to 71.08 percent.²⁴¹ Atmos explains that the factor is derived by calculating the ratio of capital and expenses amounts recorded in Atmos Energy's General Ledger for the test period and is the same methodology used in GUD No. 10000.²⁴² Atmos points out that with the adjustment, the main difference is that ACSC uses the 2016 capital allocation factors and Atmos uses the 2017 capital allocation factors.²⁴³ Atmos claims that the 2017 factors are known and measurable adjustments and will be in place at the time rates are implemented.²⁴⁴

Examiner Findings and Recommendation

Considering the evidence, the Examiners find that Atmos's SSU O&M Expense Allocation Factor of 71.08 percent is reasonable. It is based on data that is known and measurable and will be in effect for the rate period.

²³⁷ Atmos Ex. 5 (Myers Test.) at 22-28.

²³⁸ Atmos Ex. 5 (Myers Test.) at 25.

²³⁹ Atmos Ex. 5 (Myers Test.) at 25.

²⁴⁰ ACSC Ex. 2 (Cannady Test.) at 29.

²⁴¹ Atmos Ex. 18 (Myers Rebuttal Test.) at 22-24.

²⁴² Atmos Ex. 18 (Myers Rebuttal Test.) at 22.

²⁴³ Atmos Ex. 18 (Myers Rebuttal Test.) at 23.

²⁴⁴ Atmos Ex. 18 (Myers Rebuttal Test.) at 24.

8. Affiliate Expenses – Blueflame Insurance Services, Ltd.

Atmos requests recovery of affiliate expenses associated with Blueflame Insurance Services, Ltd. ("Blueflame"), Atmos's captive insurer.²⁴⁵ No party has challenged the insurance rates Atmos paid to Blueflame for property insurance coverage. Nonetheless, the Commission is required to make specific findings related to affiliate transactions before rates may be adopted.²⁴⁶ Those findings include: (1) a specific finding of the reasonableness and necessity of each item or class of items allowed; and (2) a finding that the price to the gas utility is not higher than the prices charged by the supplying affiliate to its other affiliates or division or to a non-affiliated person for the same item or class of items.²⁴⁷

Blueflame is a wholly owned subsidiary of Atmos Energy, and only provides insurance to Atmos Energy's affiliates and divisions.²⁴⁸ According to Derek W. Boyd, Director of Risk Management for Atmos, Blueflame was formed for the purpose of providing consistent insurance rates over the long-term, as well as to provide a continuity of insurance product at a cost that is considerably lower than what could be achieve if Atmos Energy sought insurance in the general marketplace.²⁴⁹ Boyd testified that through Blueflame, Atmos direct access to reinsurance markets, which allows Atmos to avoid general market that include profit, commissions, overhead, and other transactional costs that significantly increase premiums.²⁵⁰ Boyd indicated in his testimony that "by accessing these markets directly, Blueflame has the ability to customize policy language and to reach additional capacity in the insurance marketplace, ensuring the most competitive rates and pricing structures for Atmos."²⁵¹

Boyd testified that the costs included in the cost of service for property insurance provided to Atmos by Blueflame are reasonable and necessary because they are less than costs of traditional coverage.²⁵² He also explained that the premiums charged to APT are determined based on the annual plant balance, which is the same manner premiums are determined for every other division and affiliate of Atmos Energy.²⁵³ Blueflame does not provide insurance services to any non-affiliated entity.

²⁴⁵ Atmos Ex. 5, Direct Testimony of Derek W. Boyd on Behalf of Atmos ("Boyd Test."), at 4-5.

 ²⁴⁶ Tex. Util. Code § 104.055 (Net Income; Allowable Expenses).
 ²⁴⁷ Id.

²⁴⁸ Atmos Ex. 5 (Boyd Test.) at 4.

²⁴⁹ Id.

²⁵⁰ Id.

²⁵¹ Id.

²⁵² Id. at 12.

²⁵³ Id. at 12-14.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

Considering the evidence, Atmos has established that the services provided to it by Blueflame are reasonable and necessary. The affiliate expenses included in Atmos's filing are reasonable and necessary costs of providing gas utility service, and the prices charged to Atmos by Blueflame are no higher than the prices charged by Blueflame to other affiliates or divisions of Atmos, for the same item or class of items. Accordingly, the Examiners recommend the expenses for Blueflame be approved.

9. Unchallenged Amounts

As found above, Atmos established that it keeps its books and records in accordance with Commission rules. Accordingly, the unchallenged amounts shown on Atmos's books and records, as well as summaries and excerpts therefrom, are presumed to have been reasonably and necessarily incurred.²⁵⁴

10. Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Expenses Conclusion

The Examiners find that operations and maintenance expenses totaling \$232,426,770 is reasonable and necessary, supported by the evidence, and consistent with GURA Section 104.051 (Establishing Overall Revenues) and Chapter 104 (Rates and Services). Accordingly, the Examiners recommend approval of this amount.

C. Rate of Return²⁵⁵

The Commission may not establish a rate that yields more than a fair return on the adjusted value of the invested capital used and useful in providing service to the public.²⁵⁶ Based on the capital structure and costs indicated below, Atmos proposes the rate of return ("ROR") be set at 10.47 percent.

²⁵⁴ See 16 Tex. Admin. Code § 7.503(a) (Evidentiary Treatment of Uncontroverted Books and Records of Gas Utilities).

²⁵⁵ As noted by the Austin Court of Appeals in *Railroad Commission of Texas v. Lone Star Gas Company*, to achieve the rate of return that a utility should be allowed to earn, the regulatory agency should consider the cost to the utility of its capital expressed as follows: (1) interest on long-term debt; (2) dividends on preferred stock; and (3) earnings on common stock. *Railroad Commission of Texas v. Lone Star Gas Company*, 599 S.W.2d 659 (Tex. App. C Austin 1980). As stated by the United States Supreme Court, the annual rate that will constitute just compensation depends upon many circumstances and must be determined by the exercise of a fair and enlightened judgment:

A public utility is entitled to such rates as will permit it to earn a return on the value of the property which it employs for the convenience of the public equal to that generally being made at the same time and in the same general part of the country on investments in other business undertakings which are attended by corresponding risks and uncertainties The return should be reasonably sufficient to assure confidence in the financial soundness of the utility, and should be adequate, under efficient and economical management, to maintain and support its credit and enable it to raise the money necessary for the proper discharge of its public duties. A rate of return may be reasonable at one time, and become too high or too low by changes affecting opportunities for investment, the money market, and business conditions generally. *Bluefield Water Works and Improvements Co. v. Public Serv. Comm'n of West Virginia*, 262 U.S. 679 (1923). *See also Federal Power Comm'n v. Hope Natural Gas Co.*, 320 U.S. 591 (1942).

²⁵⁶ Tex. Util. Code § 104.052 (Establishing Fair Rate of Return).

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

	Capital Structure	Cost	Weighted Average
LT Debt	40.17%	5.95%	2.39%
Equity	59.83%	13.50%	8.08%
Rate of Return		10.47%	

Atmos's Proposed Rate of Return

In support, Atmos provides testimony from the following witnesses: Richard A. Erskine, President of Atmos Pipeline-Texas; Robert B. Hevert, Partner of ScottMadden, Inc.; Jeffrey S. Knights, Vice President of Technical Services for the Mid-Tex Division of Atmos Energy; and John J. Reed, Chairman and CEO of Concentric Energy Advisors, Inc., and CE Capital Advisors.

ACSC, ATM, Dallas, Smurfit, Staff, and TIEC oppose Atmos's request. The table below summarizes their proposed ROR components.

	ACSC	ATM	Dallas	Smurfit	Staff	TIEC
LT Debt	48%	33.8%	45%		40.2%	38.1%
ST Debt		12.8%				9.99%
Equity	52%	53.4%	55%		59.8%	51.9%
Cost of LT Debt	5.95%	5.95%	4.81%		5.95%	5.95%
Cost of ST Debt		1%				1.05%
Cost of Equity	9%	8.92%	9.25%	9.5% - 10%	10%	9.5%
Rate of Return	7.54%	6.90%	7.25%		8.37%	7.30%

Intervenors' Recommendations

In support of its position, ACSC provides testimony from Richard A. Baudino, consultant with J. Kennedy and Associates, Inc., an economic consulting firm specializing in utility ratemaking and planning issues, and Karl J. Nalepa, President of ReSolved Energy Consulting, LLC, an independent utility consulting company. ATM provides testimony from J. Randall Woolridge, a finance professor at Penn State, Director of the Smeal College Trading Room, and President of the Nittany Lion Fund, LLC. Dallas provides testimony from Daniel J. Lawton, an attorney who has worked in the utility consulting business as an economist since 1983. Smurfit provides testimony from Mike Brasovan, President of Brasovan Energy Solutions. Staff provides testimony from Frank M. Tomicek, a financial analyst in the Commission's Market Oversight Section of the Gas Services Division. TIEC provides testimony from Michael P. Gorman, Managing Principal of Brubaker & Associate, Inc., an economics and regulatory consulting firm.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

The components of rate of return—capital structure, cost of debt, and cost of equity—are treated separately below.

1. Capital Structure

Atmos's Proposal

Atmos proposes a capital structure of 59.83 percent common equity and 40.17 percent long-term debt ("LT-debt"), which Atmos represents is based on the actual capital structure of Atmos Energy and is within the range of its proxy group companies' actual capital structures.²⁵⁷

Opposition by ACSC

ACSC recommends a capital structure of 52 percent equity and 48 percent LTdebt, which recognizes the use of short-term debt ("ST-debt") and is consistent with the common equity ratios of ACSC's LDC proxy group.²⁵⁸ ACSC states that Atmos Energy consistently, year-after-year, uses ST-debt, and ACSC points out that STdebt in Atmos Energy's capital structure—for calculation of the 13-month average common equity ratio for the fiscal year ending September 2016—is 51.9 percent.²⁵⁹

Opposition by ATM

ATM recommends a capital structure of 53.43 percent equity, 33.77 percent LT-debt, and 12.8 percent ST-debt. ATM argues this structure reflects Atmos Energy's 2016 fiscal-year ending capital structure when considering its use of ST-debt.²⁶⁰ To support its recommendation, ATM provides the following three explanations: (1) Atmos's proposed equity ratio exceeds those of the gas and gas pipeline proxy groups;²⁶¹ (2) Atmos's consistent use of ST-debt as part of its financing program in recent years;²⁶² and (3) the unfairness to customers of not having the historically low ST-debt cost rates reflected in rates.²⁶³

Opposition by Dallas

Dallas recommends using a hypothetical capital structure of 55 percent equity and 45 percent LT-debt.²⁶⁴ Dallas argues that Atmos's proposed capital structure is out of line with capital structures of comparable risk companies—55 percent in the

²⁵⁷ Atmos Ex. 10, Direct Testimony of Robert B. Hevert ("Hevert Test."), at 63-64.

²⁵⁸ ACSC Ex. 3, Direct Testimony of Richard A. Baudino ("Baudino Test."), at 32-34.

²⁵⁹ ACSC Ex. 3 (Baudino Test.) at 34-35.

²⁶⁰ ATM Ex. 1, Direct Testimony of J. Randall Woolridge on Behalf of ATM ("Woolridge Test."), at 6-7, Exhibit JRW-1.

²⁶¹ ATM Ex. 1 (Woolridge Test.) at 25, Exhibit JRW-4.

²⁶² ATM Ex. 1 (Woolridge Test.) at 25.

²⁶³ ATM Ex. 1 (Woolridge Test.) at 25.

²⁶⁴ Dallas Ex. 1, Direct Testimony and Exhibits of Daniel J. Lawton ("Lawton Test."), at 44.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

2016 to 2018 forecast period—and the current authorized equity ratio in Atmos Energy's regulated operations—in the 55-percent range.²⁶⁵

Opposition by TIEC

TIEC recommends a capital structure of 51.9 percent equity, 38.11 percent LTdebt, and 9.99 percent ST-debt. TIEC argues this structure accurately reflects Atmos's actual capital structure when considering its use of ST-debt.²⁶⁶ TIEC shows that Atmos Energy had ST-debt in its capital structure in every quarter of the test year, as well as all but two quarters of the last three years.²⁶⁷ Moreover, TIEC demonstrates that Atmos Energy uses ST-debt as a permanent source of financing for its gas Plant In-Service and Working Capital.²⁶⁸ TIEC argues that Atmos's proposed equity ratio of nearly 60 percent exceeds the average equity ratios in both TIEC's diversified proxy group—49.2 percent—and LDC proxy group—55.3 percent.²⁶⁹ Alternatively, if the Commission declines to include ST-debt, TIEC recommends using the same capital structure approved in GUD No. 10000—50.5 percent equity and 49.5 percent LT-debt.²⁷⁰ According to TIEC, Atmos's investment risk has declined since Atmos's last rate case in 2011.²⁷¹

Atmos's Rebuttal

In rebuttal, Atmos makes the following arguments to support its initial proposal: (1) including ST-debt is not reasonable, nor is it supported by wellestablished Commission precedent²⁷²; (2) Atmos's proposed capital structure is consistent with those of other pipeline companies; and (3) Atmos's proposed capital structure supports the credit profile needed to access long-term capital at reasonable rates.²⁷³ Atmos cites GUD Nos. 9762, 9902, and 10170—cases where the Commission rejected intervenor requests to include ST-debt in the capital structure. Atmos explains that utilities primarily invest in, and therefore must finance, long-term assets. In practice, this means that the weighted average maturity of outstanding long-term capital is matched with the expected life of the underlying assets, such that the income produced from the assets over its life can cover the debt service payments used to finance the asset.²⁷⁴

²⁶⁵ Dallas Ex. 1 (Lawton Test.) at 45, Schedule DJL-4.

²⁶⁶ TIEC Ex. 1, Direct Testimony of Michael P. Gorman ("Gorman Test."), at 35, Exhibit MPG-6.

²⁶⁷ TIEC Ex. 16, APT Amended Response to ATM 1-06.

²⁶⁸ TIEC Ex. 1 (Gorman Test.) at 35, Exhibit MPG-8.

²⁶⁹ TIEC Ex. 1 (Gorman Test.) at MPG-10.

²⁷⁰ TIEC Ex. 1 (Gorman Test.) at 37.

²⁷¹ TIEC Ex. 1 "Gorman Test.) at 11.

²⁷² GUD 9902, Final Order-*Nunc Pro Tunc.*, at FOF 87; GUD 9762, Final Order, at FOF 98; GUD 10170, Final Order, at FOF 269-271.

²⁷³ Atmos Ex. 10 (Hevert Test.) at 6-7.

²⁷⁴ Atmos Ex. 22, Rebuttal Testimony of Robert B. Hevert ("Hevert Rebuttal Test."), at 44-46.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

Atmos further explains that consistent with the maturity matching principal, ST-debt is only used as temporary financing for the construction of long-term assets until long-term capital can be efficiently issued.²⁷⁵ Atmos points to Atmos Energy's March 28, 2016 shelf registration action as illustrating this practice.²⁷⁶ Atmos references Atmos Energy's Form 10-K filing, describing that "short-term debt is utilized to fund ongoing working capital needs, such as our seasonal requirements for gas supply and general corporate liquidity."²⁷⁷ Atmos shows that during the 418day period in which Atmos Energy carried a balance of ST-debt, changes in the daily balance ranged from \$128 million to negative \$72.5 million, averaging \$1.99 million per day, with a standard deviation of \$20.2 million.²⁷⁸ Atmos defends its equity ratio as being consistent with the industry by providing the common equity ratios of its updated proxy group, along with Staff's and other Intervenors' proxy groups.²⁷⁹ Finally, Atmos points out that the average projected equity ratio for 2020-2022 for its own updated pipeline proxy group and Dallas's combined group, is 62 percent and 59 percent, respectively.²⁸⁰

Staff's Position

Staff supports Atmos's recommendation. Staff prefers to use the actual capital structure of a utility when it is consistent with those of comparable companies for the industry segment.²⁸¹ Staff's expert witness, Mr. Tomicek, testified at the hearing that Atmos's ST-debt was "ephemeral" and "may not exist at all," and that in 2014 there were two quarters in which Atmos Energy had no ST-debt.²⁸² Staff says that because Atmos's ST-debt continues to be temporary, and subsequent orders in Atmos Energy rate cases after GUD No. 9670 have exclude ST-debt, Atmos's capital structure in this docket should not include ST-debt.²⁸³

Examiner Findings and Recommendation

After review and consideration of the evidence, the Examiners find that Atmos failed to meet its burden to support its proposed capital structure of 59.83 percent equity and 40.17 percent LT-debt. All the parties propose to use Atmos Energy's actual capital structure, except Dallas. However, Atmos Energy's capital structure can be calculated in several different ways. The table below shows the various values the parties relied upon.

²⁸³ Staff Br. at 5.

²⁷⁵ Atmos Ex. 22 (Hevert Rebuttal Test.) at 43-44.

²⁷⁶ Atmos Ex. 22 (Hevert Rebuttal Test.) at 44.

²⁷⁷ Atmos Ex. 22 (Hevert Rebuttal Test.) at 44.

²⁷⁸ Atmos Ex. 22 (Hevert Rebuttal Test.) at 45, Exhibit RBH-R-8.

²⁷⁹ Atmos Ex. 22 (Hevert Rebuttal Test.) at 45, Exhibit RBH-R-9.

²⁸⁰ Atmos Ex. 22 (Hevert Rebuttal Test.) at 45-46.

²⁸¹ Staff Ex. 3, Direct Testimony of Frank Tomicek ("Tomicek Test."), at 8.

²⁸² Hearing Tr. (April 20, 2017) at 28-29 (Tomicek testifying).

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

The Examiners disagree with Atmos that ST-debt should be ignored. The evidence shows that Atmos Energy had ST-debt in its capital structure for each quarter of the test year and all but two quarters of the last three years.²⁸⁴ The Examiners find, as suggested by Atmos, that utilities primarily invest in long-term assets and that the capital's maturity match the assets' expected lives.

As such, the Examiners recommend limiting the capital structure to the two long-term securities of LT-debt and common equity. However, the Examiners recommend calculating the equity ratio by dividing Atmos Energy's equity, as used by Atmos, by Atmos Energy's capital, as used by Atmos but adding the value of the test-year ST-debt. This results in an equity ratio of 52.64 percent and a LT-debt ratio of 47.36 percent. The evidence shows that Atmos Energy's equity ratio increased from 50.5 percent, authorized in GUD No. 10000, to 51.5 percent at the end of the fiscal year.²⁸⁵ Furthermore, the Examiners find the recommended 52.64 percent equity ratio sufficient in protecting bondholders without burdening Atmos's customers. The evidence supports that this equity ratio is consistent with the pipeline industry, as the mean of Atmos's proxy group's equity ratio was 50.46 percent for the fourth quarter of 2016.²⁸⁶ Thus, the Examiners recommend adoption of a capital structure of 52.64 percent equity and 47.36 percent LT-debt.

Туре	Value	Atmos / Staff	ACSC	ATM	TIEC
Test-year end					
LT-Debt	\$2,188,778,635	X		X	
Current Maturities for LT-Debt	\$ 250,000,000	X			
ST-Debt	\$ 829,811,164			X	
Equity	\$3,463,058,963			Х	
Equity revised to remove interest swaps	\$3,632,279,002	X			
Test-year 13-month average					
LT-Debt	\$2,454,255,294		Х		X
ST-Debt	\$ 643,444,075		X		X
Equity	\$3,342,561,732		Х		Х

Atmos Energy Actual Capital Values

²⁸⁴ TIEC Ex. 16, APT Amended Response to ATM 1-06.

²⁸⁵ TIEC Exhibit 3, Atmos Energy Corporation – 2016 Annual Report ("2016 Annual Report"), at 39.

²⁸⁶ Atmos Ex. 22 (Hevert Rebuttal Test.), Exhibit RBH-R-9.

2. Cost of Debt

Atmos's Proposal

Atmos proposes the cost of LT-debt be set at 5.95 percent, which Atmos represents was its actual cost at the end of the test year.²⁸⁷ Atmos states that no party has offered evidence to substantiate a known and measurable adjustment to Atmos's cost of debt.²⁸⁸

Opposition by Dallas

Dallas argues that Atmos fails to meet its burden to prove that 5.95 percent is the proper cost of debt.²⁸⁹ Dallas claims that no Atmos witness testified that the requested cost of debt is reasonable. Dallas opposes Atmos's proposed 5.95 percent debt cost, and instead recommends 4.81 percent that, according to Dallas, reflects Atmos Energy's treasury swaps.²⁹⁰ Dallas explains that Atmos Energy locked in treasury swaps for the 2017 issuance of \$250 million of 6.35 percent debt at 3.367 percent, and the 2019 issuance of \$450 million of 8.50 percent debt at 3.782 percent.²⁹¹ Dallas proposes that the cost of debt be reduced to 5.68 percent to reflect the 2017 reissuance.

Atmos's Rebuttal

Atmos argues that Dallas's adjustment is not known and measurable.²⁹² Atmos explains that the treasury yield component relied upon by Dallas does not reflect the full debt costs associated with a future transaction.²⁹³ Atmos argues that the cost of debt is a combination of the treasury yield and credit spread, and that the credit spread will not be known until the debt is actually issued.²⁹⁴ Furthermore, Atmos states that an adjustment to the cost of debt was first proposed in Dallas's posthearing briefing, and that the calculation for its proposed adjustment was attached to its brief, which is not part of the evidentiary record.²⁹⁵

Examiner Findings and Recommendations

Dallas is the only party to challenge Atmos's requested cost of debt. While Dallas questioned Atmos regarding its requested cost of debt during the Hearing, Dallas first proposed its adjustment in post-hearing briefing.

²⁸⁷ Atmos Br. at 21; Atmos Ex. 1 (SOI), Atmos Schedule G-Cap Structure, at Cell E25.

²⁸⁸ Atmos Br. at 21.

²⁸⁹ Dallas Br. at 2.

²⁹⁰ Id. at 9.

²⁹¹ TIEC Ex. 3 (2016 Annual Report), Attachment 1 (10-K) at 34; Dallas Br. at 8-9, Attachment A.

²⁹² Atmos Reply Br. at 14 (referencing Hearing Tr. (Apr. 20, 2017) at 140-41).

²⁹³ Id. (referencing Hearing Tr. (Apr. 20, 2017) at 87-96, 139-40).

²⁹⁴ Id. (referencing Hearing Tr. (Apr. 20, 2017) at 140-41).

²⁹⁵ Id.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

Regarding the argument that Atmos failed to meet its burden because no witness testified as to the reasonableness of Atmos's cost of debt, 5.95 percent is indicated as the cost of debt in Atmos's Schedule G (Capital Structure) in the Excel Workbook, sponsored by Atmos Witness Myers.²⁹⁶ No party, including Dallas, has disputed that Atmos's end-of-test-year cost of debt was 5.95 percent. The Examiners find that Atmos's proposed 5.95-percent cost of LT-debt is just and reasonable, supported by credible evidence, and consistent with the requirements of GURA Chapter 104 (Rates and Services). Atmos demonstrated that 5.95-percent is the average cost of debt as of September 30, 2016.²⁹⁷ While Atmos Energy has locked in treasury swaps, the effect of those swaps on the capital structure has yet to be fully evaluated and thus is not a known and measurable change. Thus, the Examiners recommend approval of Atmos's proposed cost of LT-debt.

3. Cost of Equity

The proper return on equity ("ROE") is a major point of disagreement between Atmos and all Intervenors. The central issue is whether the ROE should reflect Atmos's more-risky pipeline operations or its less-risky local distribution company ("LDC") operations. Atmos proposes an ROE set at 13.5 percent—a level Atmos argues is commensurate with pipeline operations and associated risk. In support, Atmos provides the following quantitative analyses to develop a range of 12.75 percent to 15 percent: constant growth discounted cash flow ("Constant DCF"), capital asset pricing model ("CAPM"), and bond-yield plus risk premium ("Bond Plus RP").²⁹⁸ Within this range, Atmos says that a 13.5-percent ROE is appropriate, considering factors such as lack of geographic diversity, increased competitive risk, and increased bypass risk due to the number of nearby pipelines in northern Texas.²⁹⁹

Atmos maintains that because ROE is a market-based concept, and Atmos is not a publicly traded entity, it is necessary to establish a group of comparable, publicly traded companies to serve as its "proxy." ³⁰⁰ Atmos offers a proxy group includes five companies with risk profiles that, according to Atmos, are comparable.³⁰¹ Atmos explains that since its operations are comprised of regulated transmission pipeline operations, it selected companies that are primarily engaged in regulated natural gas transmission because transmission operations are riskier than distribution operations.³⁰² Atmos includes master limited partnerships ("MLPs") and

²⁹⁶ Atmos Schedule Capital Structure 9-30-16, Tab "LTD Rate", Excel cell Q37, which incorporates information provided by Mr. Hevert.

²⁹⁷ Atmos Ex. 1 (SOI), Atmos Schedule G-Cap Structure, at Cell E25.

²⁹⁸ Atmos Ex. 10 (Hevert Test.) at 4-5.

²⁹⁹ Id. at 5; Atmos Ex. 12, Direct Testimony of Dennis L. Gordon ("Gordon Test."), at 7-10.

 ³⁰⁰ Atmos Ex. 10 (Hevert Test.) at 11.
 ³⁰¹ Id. at 16; Atmos Ex. 22 (Hevert Rebuttal Test.) at 12-13.

³⁰² Atmos Ex. 10 (Hevert Test.) at 19-22.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

excludes local distribution companies, or LDCs, citing Commission precedent and significantly different risk profiles of transmission pipelines and LDCs.³⁰³

Atmos describes itself as an intrastate natural gas pipeline providing connections to three major Texas market centers. It offers throughput service to third parties, transports natural gas to Atmos Energy's Mid-Tex distribution division, other LDCs and industrial customers, and provides its customers access to the natural gas supply basins in the Permian and Barnett Shale formations.³⁰⁴ Atmos also points out that it operates five underground natural gas storage facilities within Texas and provides ancillary pipeline services.³⁰⁵ Atmos uses a proxy group comprised of pipeline companies to recommend a 13.5-percent ROE.³⁰⁶ Atmos represents that its models reflect, and therefore are influenced by, current and expected capital market conditions that imply higher capital costs.³⁰⁷ Atmos's quantitative estimates are as follows: Constant DCF—14.49 percent to 14.73 percent; CAPM—14.83 percent to 16.46 percent; and Bond Plus RP—12.91 percent to 13.02 percent.³⁰⁸ Together, Atmos's estimates range from 12.91 percent to 16.46 percent.

Opposition by ACSC

ACSC proposes an ROE set at 9 percent based on Constant DCF applied to a proxy group of gas distribution utilities.³⁰⁹ ACSC does not rely on its CAPM analyses for its ROE recommendation but explains that the results tend to support the reasonableness of its recommendation.³¹⁰ ACSC asserts that while it would be reasonable for the Commission to authorize an ROE in the range of 8.70 percent to 8.90 percent based on its Constant DCF results, 9 percent is reasonable considering recent increases in short-term interest rates by the Federal Reserve and increases in long-term interest rates, generally.³¹¹

According to ACSC, the Commission's decision in GUD No. 10000 was inconsistent with prior Commission precedent and betrayed the purpose of Atmos—serving its captive affiliate, Atmos Mid-Tex.³¹² ACSC argues that Atmos's proxy group is not comparable to Atmos for the following reasons: (1) the proxy companies are not stand-alone companies, but rather consortiums of stand-alone companies, some of which transport natural gas, and many of which conduct diverse activities that have nothing in common with Atmos;³¹³ (2) five of the six members are MLPs that a

³⁰³ Id. at 19-22.

³⁰⁴ Atmos Ex. 11, Direct Testimony, Exhibits and Workpapers of John J. Reed ("Reed Test."), at 4.

³⁰⁵ Atmos Ex. 10 (Hevert Test.) at 14.

³⁰⁶ Id. at 3-4.

³⁰⁷ Id. at 52-62.

³⁰⁸ Atmos Ex. 22 (Hevert Rebuttal Test.) at 14, Exhibit RBH-R-1.

³⁰⁹ ACSC Ex. 3 (Baudino Test.) at 4.

³¹⁰ *Id.* at 16.

³¹¹ *Id*. at 32.

³¹² ACSC Br. at 19.

³¹³ ACSC Reply Br. at 10.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

prominent investment advisory warns are not for every investor and should only be pursued after seeking advice from a tax professional;³¹⁴ (3) because MLPs pay out all their distributable income and do not pay state or federal corporate income taxes, MLPs grow by acquisitions;³¹⁵ (4) the proxy companies are engaged in many businesses and risky investments that have no connection to the limited activity of Atmos;³¹⁶ and (5) the rates of return of Atmos's proxy group are extremely volatile compared to the stable returns Atmos contributes to Atmos Energy.³¹⁷ ACSC also takes issue with Atmos's ROE's analysis, particularly the excessive growth earnings in the Constant DCF model,³¹⁸ the imprecision of the Bond Plus model,³¹⁹ and inappropriate forecasted bond yields in the CAPM.³²⁰

Opposition by ATM

ATM proposes an ROE set at 8.92 percent based on a blend of two proxy groups.³²¹ ATM contends that the transportation rates for the captive city gate (CG) and pipeline transportation (PT) customers are regulated, and therefore, the risk of this portion of Atmos's business is reflective of a distribution utility, not a pipeline.³²² ATM explains that the transportation rates for competitive transportation ("CT") customers are subject to market prices, and therefore the risk characteristics of this portion of Atmos's business are more similar to the unregulated gas pipeline business.³²³ The first proxy group ("Gas Proxy Group") consists of eight natural gas distribution companies and the second proxy group ("Gas Pipeline Proxy Group") mirrors Atmos's proxy group.³²⁴ ATM weighs the groups in proportion to the revenues Atmos receives from its rate regulated customers-92.5 percent-and its rate unregulated customers—7.5 percent.³²⁵ ATM estimates an ROE of 8.75 percent for the Gas Proxy Group and 11 percent for the Gas Pipeline Proxy Group.³²⁶ ATM provides the following quantitative analyses: Constant DCF, FERC Two-Stage Growth DCF ("Two-Stage DCF"), and CAPM. ATM explains that its recommendation is in line with the prevailing metrics showing that interest rates and capital costs are low and are likely to remain low into the foreseeable future.³²⁷ ATM's quantitative estimates are as follows: Constant DCF-8.85 percent to 11.6 percent; Two-Stage DCF-10.65

³¹⁴ Id. (referencing Hearing Tr. at (April 20, 2017) at 66 (Hevert testifying), and quoting a March 3, 2017 Value Line Report on Spectra).

³¹⁵ Id. (referencing Hearing Tr. (April 19, 2017) at 51-52 (Erskine testifying)).

³¹⁶ *Id*. at 10-11.

³¹⁷ Id. at 11-12.

³¹⁸ ACSC Ex. 3 (Baudino Test.) at 41-42.

³¹⁹ Id. at 44-45.

³²⁰ Id. at 42-44.

³²¹ ATM Ex. 1 (Woolridge Test.) at 5-6.

³²² Id. at 5.

³²³ Id.

³²⁴ ATM Ex. 1 (Woolridge Test.) at 5-7, Exhibit JRW-4.

³²⁵ Id. at 5-6.

³²⁶ Id. at 25.

³²⁷ Id. at 6.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

percent; and CAPM—7.9 percent to 10.1 percent.³²⁸ Together, ATM's estimates range from 7.9 percent to 11.6 percent.

ATM argues that Atmos is significantly less risky than a more typical interstate pipeline company, and that Atmos's primary function is to transport and store gas for LDCs, including Atmos Mid-Tex.³²⁹ These services are under rates regulated by the Commission, and the customers that receive these services generally have no viable competitive options.³³⁰ ATM also notes the following mechanisms Atmos has to minimize risk: (1) the Gas Infrastructure Reliability Program ("GRIP");³³¹ (2) Rider Rev-Revenue Adjustment ("Rider REV") that reduces the volatility of Atmos's "Other Revenue," which is subject to competitive market pricing;³³² and (3) the Commission's previous approval of a straight fixed variable ("SFV") rate design that is intended to recover nearly 98 percent of the revenue requirement through demand charges.³³³ ATM also takes issue with Atmos's quantitative analyses and assumption of higher interest rates and capital costs than current conditions indicate.³³⁴

Opposition by Dallas

Dallas proposes an ROE set at 9.25 percent based on Dallas's proposed capital structure, or set at 9 percent if Atmos's proposed capital structure is approved.³³⁵ Dallas provided the following quantitative analyses: Constant DCF, Risk Premium ("RP"), CAPM, and empirical CAPM ("ECAPM").³³⁶ Dallas states that its recommendation is consistent with current market capital cost requirements and is more than adequate for Atmos to maintain its financial integrity and creditworthiness.³³⁷ Dallas's quantitative estimates are as follows: Constant DCF– 8.88 percent to 9.38 percent; RP–9.52 percent; CAPM–9.13 percent; and ECAPM– 9.60 percent.³³⁸ Together, Dallas's estimates range from 8.88 percent to 9.60 percent.

Dallas alleges that Atmos has the same risks as the minimal-risk CG and PT rate distribution customers it serves in Texas. ³³⁹ Dallas cites financial reports from Moody's Investors Services ("Moody's") and Standard & Poor's ("S&P") to support its position. In characterizing Atmos Energy's risks on the credit side, Moody's described Atmos Energy's supportive regulatory environment and the "low risk regulated

³²⁸ Id. at 52.

³²⁹ Id. at 3, 54.

³³⁰ Id. at 4.

³³¹ *Id.*; Hearing Tr. (Apr. 20, 2017) at 120 (Hevert testifying).

³³² ATM Ex. 1 (Woolridge Test.) at 4.

³³³ Id.

³³⁴ Id. at 4-6, 56-62.

³³⁵ Dallas Ex. 1 (Lawton Test.) at 4-5.

³³⁶ Id. at 41.

³³⁷ Id. at 3-4.

³³⁸ *Id.* at 41.

³³⁹ Id. at 28.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

activities that produce consistent financial performance."³⁴⁰ In assessing Atmos Energy's business risk profile, S&P incorporated Atmos Energy's "regulated, lowoperating risk natural gas transmission and distribution operations that benefit from generally constructive regulation across various jurisdictions."³⁴¹ Dallas argues that Atmos's claims of competitive risks are not supported by the facts and that throughput customers—CT customers providing other revenues—do not create added risk on the system.³⁴² To support its position, Dallas explains that while CT customers have competitive alternatives, the other revenues are not all at risk because Atmos is able to recover 75 percent of any loss in other revenues on a yearly basis through Rider REV.³⁴³ Using Atmos's test year other revenues and proposed revenue requirement, and assuming that all CT customers left the system—unrealistic according to Dallas—Dallas demonstrates that only 3.5 percent of other revenues are at risk.³⁴⁴

Dallas uses a proxy group comprised of eight LDCs and four pipelines to recommend a 9.25 percent ROE.³⁴⁵ Dallas selected 12 companies for its proxy group, which includes eight companies considered a gas utility by Value Line, an investment research firm, and four pipelines used by Atmos.³⁴⁶ Dallas also maintains that Atmos's requested ROE exceeds current capital market costs for risk-comparable peer gas utility companies and fails to recognize the risk reducing attributes of the annual GRIP mechanism.³⁴⁷

Opposition by Smurfit

Smurfit proposes an ROE set between 9.59 percent and 10 percent.³⁴⁸ Smurfit alleges that Atmos's request greatly exceeds both the return Atmos Energy receives on its assets in other jurisdictions—10.44 percent—and the national average for natural gas utilities—9.59 percent.³⁴⁹ Smurfit argues that Atmos's operations and finances are not 41 percent riskier than those of a natural gas utility, as Atmos's requested 13.5 percent ROE implies.³⁵⁰

Smurfit argues that Atmos's risk is significantly lower than the comparison companies submitted by Atmos for the following reasons: Atmos's rate structure is

³⁴⁰ Dallas Ex. 1, (Lawton Test.) at 24 (quoting Moody's Investment Survey, Credit Opinion, Atmos Energy Corp, dated December 14, 2016).

³⁴¹ Dallas Ex. 1, (Lawton Test.) at 25 (quoting Standard & Poor's Global Ratings, Atmos Energy Corporation, dated January 6, 2017).

³⁴² Dallas Ex. 1 (Lawton Test.) at 27-28.

³⁴³ Id. at 28.

³⁴⁴ Id. at 8, 28 n46.

³⁴⁵ Id. at 30.

³⁴⁶ Id. at 29-31.

³⁴⁷ Id. at 3, 49.

³⁴⁸ Smurfit Br. at 1.

³⁴⁹ Smurfit Ex. 1, Statement of Position / Testimony of Mike Brasovan ("Brasovan Test."), at 3.

³⁵⁰ Smurfit Br. at 11.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

favorable, with a substantial predominance of fixed-capacity rates versus throughput rates; the proxy companies all have additional operations significantly more financially risky than Atmos's intrastate pipeline operations; and Atmos's financial and operational risk strongly resembles that of its other operating segments.³⁵¹

Opposition by TIEC

TIEC proposes an ROE set at 9.5 percent.³⁵² In support, TIEC provides the following quantitative analyses to develop a range of 9 percent to 10 percent: Constant DCF, Multi-Stage DCF, CAPM, and RP.³⁵³ TIEC explains that its recommendation is consistent with market conditions and the declining cost of capital for utilities like Atmos.³⁵⁴ TIEC shows that the average ROEs for local gas delivery utilities has declined steadily over the last decade—10.40 percent in 2006, 10.15 percent in 2010, and 9.45 percent in 2016.³⁵⁵ TIEC also considers Atmos's favorable regulatory environment that has allowed it to secure multiple rate increases through interim proceedings since GUD No. 10000.³⁵⁶ TIEC's quantitative estimates are as follows: DCF—8.47 percent to 9.8 percent; and Risk Premium—8.25 percent to 9.8 percent.³⁵⁷ Together, TIEC's estimates range from 7.45 percent to 11.85 percent.

TIEC argues that Atmos's actual operational and financial characteristics are less risky than the standalone pipeline companies Atmos uses in its proxy group.³⁵⁸ TIEC recommends an ROE of 9.50 percent based on two different proxy groups—one consisting of nine pipelines and one consisting of six LDCs with similar investment risk to Atmos.³⁵⁹ TIEC explains that when evaluating an appropriate ROE for Atmos, it is important to consider Atmos Energy's market cost of capital because Atmos's only source of capital is Atmos Energy.³⁶⁰ TIEC says that Atmos Energy's cost of capital is- low and declining and that since GUD No. 10000 was decided, Atmos Energy's credit rating improved from "BBB+" to "A" by S&P, with a current credit outlook of "Stable."³⁶¹ During the same period, TIEC notes that Atmos Energy's returns have significantly outpaced the S&P 500 and a peer group of utility companies.³⁶² TIEC highlights that Atmos Energy, in its 2016 Annual Report, touted its 14-year annual earnings growth streak and 33-year annual dividend growth

³⁵¹ *Id*. at 11.

³⁵² TIEC Ex. 1 (Gorman Test.) at 11.

³⁵³ Id. at 62-63.

³⁵⁴ *Id.* at 11-13.

³⁵⁵ *Id.* at 25-31. ³⁵⁶ *Id.* at 29-33.

³⁵⁷ Id. at 62.

³⁵⁸ *Id*. at 66.

³⁵⁹ Id. at 42.

³⁶⁰ TIEC Br. at 6 (referencing Hearing Tr. (April 21, 2017) at 41 (Erskine testifying)).

³⁶¹ TIEC Ex. 1 (Gorman Test.) at 32.

³⁶² TIEC Ex. 3 (Annual Report) at Attachment 1 – (10-K) at 23.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

streak.³⁶³ TIEC points out that Atmos has been able to fund \$1.3 billion in investment since its last rate case at an 11.8-percent ROE and a roughly 50/50 capital structure.³⁶⁴ Furthermore, Atmos's own internal projections from November 2016 demonstrate that it expects to invest \$300-\$350 million in capital expenditures during fiscal year 2017, all the while assuming an 11.8-percent ROE.³⁶⁵ TIEC also takes issue with Atmos's unrealistically high growth rates in its DCF models, which TIEC states are more than twice the projected GDP growth rates.³⁶⁶

Opposition by Staff

Finally, Staff proposes an ROE set at 10 percent based on a blend of two proxy groups.³⁶⁷ Staff explains that because of the unique features of Atmos as a transportation division of a natural gas distribution company, Staff analyzed two proxy groups—one comprised of pipeline transport companies and a second comprised of gas distribution utilities—to determine a weighted ROE estimate.³⁶⁸ The first proxy group consists of eight companies primarily engaged in gas distribution operations.³⁶⁹ The second proxy group consists of six pipeline MLPs, including five of the six MLPs Atmos used in its own proxy group.³⁷⁰ Staff weighs the groups in proportion to the volumes Atmos delivered to Atmos Mid-Tex—84.83 percent—and to Atmos's remaining Rate PT and CG customers—15.17 percent.³⁷¹

Staff provides the following quantitative analyses: three Constant DCF models (30-day average stock price, 90-day average stock price, and 120-day average stock price), and CAPM.³⁷² According to Staff, these two common cost-of-equity methods have commonly been employed in rate cases before the Commission.³⁷³ Staff also considers current capital market conditions and Atmos's risk profile.³⁷⁴ Staff's quantitative estimates are as follows: Constant DCF—9.63 percent to 12.74 percent; and CAPM—8.96 percent to 12.44 percent.³⁷⁵ Together, Staff's estimates range from 8.96 percent to 12.74 percent.

Staff maintains that while Atmos is a pipeline transmission division by industry definition, it has lower risks compared to other pipeline.³⁷⁶ Staff Witness Mr. Frank

³⁶³ TIEC. Ex. 3 (Annual Report) at inside of cover page.

³⁶⁴ TIEC Br. at 36 (referencing Hearing Tr. (Apr. 19, 2017) at 87 (Erskine testifying)).

³⁶⁵ TIEC Ex.6, Excerpt from Atmos Response to ATM RFP 1-01—Attachment 1 – pp. 653, 659, and 686, at 2. ³⁶⁶ TIEC Ex. 1 (Gorman Test.) at 63-67.

³⁶⁷ Staff Ex. 3 (Tomicek Test.) at 6-7.

³⁶⁸ *Id.* at 7.

³⁶⁹ *Id.* at 11.

³⁷⁰ Id.

³⁷¹ Id. at 28.

³⁷² Id. at 27.

³⁷³ Staff Ex. 3 (Tomicek Test.) at 7.

³⁷⁴ Id.

³⁷⁵ Id. at 27.

³⁷⁶ Staff Br. at 2.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

Tomicek acknowledges that in GUD No. 10000, he used a proxy group of MLPs to calculate the cost of equity. However, in this proceeding, he examined Atmos's risk profile, reconsidered his position in GUD No. 10000, and now concludes that it is most appropriate to evaluate Atmos's relative risk on a spectrum with MLPs on the upper end, and LDCs on the lower end.³⁷⁷ Staff estimates the upper end at 12.54 percent and the low end at 9.5 percent.³⁷⁸ Staff acknowledges Atmos's natural gas transmission function, but also claims that Atmos exists and was created almost exclusively to serve LDCs.³⁷⁹ Staff points out that LDC customer Atmos Mid-Tex contributes 75 percent of Atmos's gross profits and is overwhelmingly Atmos's largest-served customer.³⁸⁰ According to Staff, basing an ROE on the assumption of higher interest rates and the resultant capital costs, as Atmos proposes, ignores the test year concept and enters the realm of prospective ratemaking.³⁸¹ Staff argues that since future economic events are unknown, an ROE based on projected future conditions asks ratepayers to provide a downside market risk hedge to Atmos.³⁸² Staff also disputes some of the inputs and methodologies in Atmos's quantitative analyses.383

Atmos's Rebuttal

In rebuttal, Atmos warns that assigning Atmos an ROE based on the risk profile of an LDC would be a complete reversal from its decision in GUD No. 10000 and immediately would signal to investors that transmission pipeline investment in Texas cannot be made with long term certainty because the Commission would have unexpectedly changed what has been a consistent and predictable regulatory environment for Atmos.³⁸⁴ Atmos asserts that investors are aware of the different risk profiles between LDCs and pipeline companies and require a higher return for investments in pipeline companies such as Atmos.³⁸⁵ To support this position, Atmos notes that Staff's and other Intervenors' pipeline ROE estimates exceed LDC estimates by approximately 300 basis points.³⁸⁶ According to Atmos, it is unreasonable to assume that having LDC customers causes a transmission pipeline to assume the same risk profile of its customers.³⁸⁷ Atmos points out that this argument was rejected by the Commission in GUD No. 10000.388

³⁷⁷ Id. at 9.

³⁷⁸ Staff Ex. 3 (Tomicek Test.) at 28.

³⁷⁹ Staff Br. at. 4-5 (referencing ACSC Ex.1 at 7-11).

³⁸⁰ Staff Ex. 3 (Tomicek Test.) at 14-15; see also APT Ex. 1, Schedule I Billing Determinants Study

³⁸¹ Id.

³⁸² Staff Ex. 3 (Tomicek Test.) at 33-34.

³⁸³ Id. at 29-31.

³⁸⁴ Atmos Ex. 15, Rebuttal Testimony, Exhibits and Workpapers of Richard A. Erskine with Errata ("Erskine Rebuttal Test."), at 10.

³⁸⁵ Atmos Br. at 14.

 ³⁸⁶ Atmos Ex. 15 (Erskine Rebuttal Test.) at 5-6, 12-13; Atmos Ex. 22 (Hevert Rebuttal Test.) at 2-12.
 ³⁸⁷ Atmos Ex. 15 (Erskine Rebuttal Test.) at 12-13; Atmos Ex. 22 (Hevert Rebuttal Test.) at 19; Atmos Ex. 23, Rebuttal Testimony of John J. Reed ("Reed Rebuttal Test."), at 7-22.

³⁸⁸ Atmos Ex. 15 (Erskine Rebuttal Test.) at 12-13; Atmos Ex. 22 (Hevert Rebuttal Test.) at 19; Atmos Ex. 23 (Reed Rebuttal Test.) at 7-22.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

Atmos also notes that a significant number of pipelines provide service to LDCs. many of which receive a large share of their revenues from that service, and that many pipelines conduct such business with closely affiliated companies.³⁸⁹ Atmos offers that where a single LDC comprises a large percentage of Atmos's total customer base, the risks associated with demand for Atmos's services are not diversified and reductions in demand from that customer are directly "passed through."³⁹⁰ Atmos explains that federal regulators draw a clear distinction between Atmos and Atmos Energy's LDC divisions, illustrated by the fact that Atmos Energy's Form 10-K filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") show Atmos to be sufficiently different from Atmos Energy's LDC operations to require separate segment-level reporting for Atmos.³⁹¹ Atmos defends its comparability to its proxy group, stating that Atmos's business is 100 percent dedicated to the transportation of natural gas-something that requires Atmos to operate its system at the same high pressures and under the same operational risks as other pipeline companies.³⁹² Atmos disputes that its risk is lessened by any particular rate design or the existence of GRIP and Rider REV, explaining that these mechanisms have not guaranteed revenues or earnings that have consistently achieved or exceeded its authorized return.³⁹³

Atmos highlights the following increased operations risks for pipelines versus LDCs: (1) more stringent integrity management and safety standards;³⁹⁴ (2) potential impact of a failure on a transmission line has the potential for more widespread damage than a failure on a distribution system;³⁹⁵ (3) a transmission failure has the potential to affect thousands of customers relying on gas delivery at a downstream city gate station versus the relatively small impact of a failure on a distribution system;³⁹⁶ and (4) the compressors and compressor stations of pipelines present unique operational risks.³⁹⁷

Atmos argues that it is inappropriate to use credit ratings to support the use of LDCs as proxies for Atmos because those ratings relate to Atmos Energy, not Atmos. Thus, according to Atmos, they are not direct measures of Atmos's business risk. However, Atmos explains that a major contributor to Atmos Energy's credit rating is the constructive regulatory environment reflected in the Commission's Order

³⁸⁹ Atmos Ex. 23 (Reed Rebuttal Test.) at 7-22.

³⁹⁰ Id. at 5.

³⁹¹ Atmos Ex. 22 (Hevert Rebuttal Test.) at 19.

³⁹² Atmos Ex. 10 at 19-20 (Hevert Test.); Atmos Ex. 17, Rebuttal Testimony, Exhibits and Workpapers of Jeffrey S. Knights with Errata ("Knights Rebuttal Test."), at 6-20.

³⁹³ Atmos Ex. 15 (Erskine Rebuttal Test.) at 13-18.

³⁹⁴ Atmos Ex. 17 (Knights Rebuttal Test.) at 5-7.

³⁹⁵ Id. at 8.

³⁹⁶ Id. at 9.

³⁹⁷ Id. at 16.

in GUD No. 10000, and that rating agencies consider the regulatory environment to be an important determinant of the subject company's credit profile.³⁹⁸

Atmos states that directly observable and commonly referenced measures of market conditions cannot support arguments that Atmos's cost of equity has fallen nearly 300 basis points since GUD No. 10000 was decided. Rather, it establishes that Atmos's cost of equity has increased.³⁹⁹ Atmos also defends its quantitative analyses and disagrees with Intervenors' analyses.⁴⁰⁰ Atmos shows that changing some of the assumptions and inputs produces ROE estimates closer to its bottom range estimate of 12.75 percent.⁴⁰¹

Examiner Findings and Recommendation

After review and consideration of the evidence, the Examiners find that Atmos failed to meet its burden to support its proposed 13.5 percent ROE. The Examiners find that setting the ROE at 11.5 percent is just and reasonable, supported by the facts and evidence unique to this case, and consistent with the requirements contained in GURA Chapter 104 (Rates and Services).

The evidence demonstrates that since Atmos's last rate case in 2011, both Atmos Energy and Atmos have had favorable access to capital.⁴⁰² As TIEC noted, the S&P upgraded Atmos Energy's long-term issuer credit rating by two notches to an "A" and Atmos Energy's returns have significantly outpaced the S&P 500 and a peer group of utility companies.⁴⁰³ In its 2016 Annual Report, Atmos Energy highlighted its 14-year annual earnings growth streak and 33-year annual dividend growth streak.⁴⁰⁴ The qualitative and quantitative evidence, discussed below, does not support an ROE of 13.5 percent, or even the base of Atmos's recommended range—12.75 percent.

The parties use a variety of methodologies to derive their respective ROE recommendations, ranging from 8.92 percent to 13.5 percent, with a midpoint of 11.21 percent. Of the many quantitative ROE estimates, only Atmos provides estimates at or above 12.75 percent. Intervenors' ROE recommendations range from 8.92 percent to 10 percent. Even when narrowing the analysis to only a pipeline proxy group, as Atmos recommends, the quantitative evidence does not support Atmos's requested 13.5 percent ROE. Staff and ATM estimate a pipeline ROE of 12.54 percent and 11 percent, respectively.

³⁹⁸ Atmos Ex. 22 (Hevert Rebuttal Test.) at 128.

³⁹⁹ Id. at 6, 36-42, 59.

⁴⁰⁰ Atmos Ex. 22 (Hevert Rebuttal Test.).

⁴⁰¹ Id. at 8-9, 79-83, 106-108, 120-127.

 ⁴⁰² Atmos is a division of Atmos Energy and does not issue stock nor have access to the capital markets. The only source of capital for Atmos is Atmos Energy. *See* Hearing Tr. (April 21, 2017) at 41 (Erskine testifying).
 ⁴⁰³ TIEC Ex. 1 (Gorman Test.) at 32; TIEC Ex. 3 (2016 Annual Report), Attachment 1 – (10-K) at 23.

⁴⁰⁴ TIEC. Ex. 3 (2016 Annual Report), inside of cover page.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

Substantial credible evidence supports that Atmos's risk profile is unique and between that of an LDC and a pipeline. Atmos's proxy group includes companies with S&P credit ratings three to four notches below Atmos Energy's "A" rating.⁴⁰⁵ Value Line assigns its safest and least risky rating of "1" to Atmos Energy, while rating the other members of Atmos's proxy group two to three notches below.⁴⁰⁶ The evidence also shows that Atmos's pipeline operations contributed only 29 percent to Atmos Energy's net income for fiscal year 2016, with Atmos Energy's LDCs contributing more than double—66 percent.⁴⁰⁷ Staff and ACSC develop distinct ROE estimates for LDCs and pipelines, together ranging from 8.75 percent to 12.54 percent. The evidence supports that Staff's LDC estimate of 9.5 percent is more aligned with recently-authorized LDC ROEs, and thus is an appropriate comparison.⁴⁰⁸ The midpoint of Staff's 9.5 to 12.54 range is 11.02 percent, which is close to the midpoint of all ROE recommendations—11.21 percent.

Of the seven different quantitative models used to estimate ROE, the Constant DCF model is the only model common to all parties' analyses.⁴⁰⁹ Atmos conducted a Constant DCF analysis on its five-company proxy group, using three different time periods of stock prices—30-day, 90-day and 180-day—resulting in median ROE estimates of 11.41 percent, 11.80 percent and 11.97 percent, respectively.⁴¹⁰ The evidence shows that the median mitigates the influence of outliers, which in Atmos's proxy group include estimates for Kinder-Morgan of 26.57 percent, 26.59 percent and 26.62 percent in its 30-day, 90-day and 180-day models.⁴¹¹ The evidence also shows that Atmos has had sufficient access to capital on favorable terms at its currently authorized 11.8-percent ROE. Thus, an 11.5-percent ROE likely will not harm Atmos in attracting capital.

The Examiners find that an ROE of 11.5 percent recognizes the less risky nature of Atmos's pipeline operations compared to other pipelines, is based on a varied range of sound methodologies, and balances the interests of Atmos's ratepayers and Atmos Energy's shareholders. The record shows that a monopoly in a stable service territory, with revenue stabilizing mechanisms such as Rider REV, GRIP, and SFV rate design, along with the potential of earning 11.5 percent on its

⁴⁰⁵ Four companies are rated "BBB-" and one company is rated "BBB."

⁴⁰⁶ ACSC Ex. 3 (Baudino Test.) at 13.

⁴⁰⁷ Staff Ex. 3 (Tomicek Test.) at 15.

⁴⁰⁸ TIEC Ex. 1 (Gorman Test.) at 25-31.

⁴⁰⁹ CAPM was also used by all parties providing quantitative analyses but ACSC ultimately did not rely on it for its recommendation.

⁴¹⁰ Atmos Ex. 22 (Hevert Rebuttal Test.), Exhibit RBH-R-1.

⁴¹¹ The mean of Atmos' proxy group using the 30-day stock price average is 14.49 percent, with estimates ranging from 10.81 percent to 26.57 percent. The mean of Atmos's proxy group using the 90-day stock price average is 14.66 percent, with estimates ranging from 10.88 percent to 26.59 percent. The mean of Atmos's proxy group using the 180-day stock price average is 14.73 percent, with estimates ranging from 10.94 percent to 26.62 percent.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

equity, will allow Atmos fair opportunity to attract the necessary capital to provide safe and reliable service to its customers. Finally, an 11.5-percent ROE properly reflects current capital market conditions and does not speculate inappropriately on future conditions.

The Examiners find that the reliable, credible evidence unique to this docket supports an ROE of 11.5 percent, which is just and reasonable and consistent with the requirements contained in GURA Chapter 104 (Rates and Services). Accordingly, the Examiners recommend setting an ROE of 11.5 percent.

4. Rate of Return Conclusion

The Examiners find a rate of return of 8.87 percent is just and reasonable, supported by substantial, credible evidence, and will not yield more than a fair return on the adjusted value of the invested capital used and useful in providing service to the public in compliance with GURA Chapter 104 (Rates and Services). The Examiners recommend setting the rate of return at 8.87 percent, incorporating the components as indicated in the table below.

	Capital Structure	Cost	Weighted Average
LT Debt	47.36%	5.95%	2.82%
Equity	52.64%	11.50%	6.05%
Rate of Return		8.87%	•

Examiner Recommended Rate of Return

D. Revenue Requirement Conclusion

The Examiners find that an overall base revenue requirement of \$380,821,971—an increase of \$30,697,359—is just and reasonable, supported by the evidence, and permits Atmos a reasonable opportunity to earn a reasonable return on Atmos's invested capital used and useful in providing service to the public in excess of its reasonable and necessary operating expense.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

VIII. CLASS COST OF SERVICE STUDY, ALLOCATION, AND RATE DESIGN

Once the cost of service is determined, the Commission must determine the appropriate allocation of costs among various customer classes. Several parties disagree with Atmos's proposal to recover its revenue requirement from customers. Atmos, ACSC, Nucor, Smurfit, and TIEC each provided evidence in support of their respective positions. Atmos provided testimony from J. Stephen Gaske, Senior Vice President of Concentric Energy Advisors, Inc. ACSC provided testimony from Karl J. Nalepa, President of ReSolved Energy Consulting, LLC. Nucor provided testimony from Paul J. Wielgus, Managing Director with GDS Associates, Inc. Smurfit provided testimony from Mike Brasovan, President of Brasovan Energy Solutions. TIEC provided testimony from Michael P. Gorman, a consultant with Brubaker & Associates.

Atmos's Position

Atmos uses a class cost of service study ("CCOSS") to allocate revenue to the City Gate (CG) and Pipeline Transportation (PT) classes.⁴¹² Atmos explains that CCOSSs are widely used in utility rate making to provide a benchmark for determining the fairness of rate designs, which means that revenues collected from a customer class align with the costs associated with serving that customer class.⁴¹³ Atmos requests to allocate costs in a manner consistent with GUD No. 10000-Atmos's last full rate case in 2011—except now allocating transmission capacity costs based on Maximum Daily Quantity ("MDQ") specified in customer contracts, rather than the previous/current Maximum Daily Usage ("MDU"). Atmos offers that doing so is appropriate because customers now are billed based on their MDQ.⁴¹⁴ According to Atmos, using MDQ better reflects the costs, benefits, and rights of both PT and CG customers.⁴¹⁵ Atmos proposes to apply a 75-percent adjustment to PT customers' MDQ capacity costs allocation factor to reflect the fact that PT customers are interruptible.⁴¹⁶ Atmos contends that its 75-percent adjustment is consistent with its practice prior to GUD No. 10000, where PT customers' charges were based on the higher of 75 percent of their MDQ or 75 percent of their MDU during winter months in the preceding 12 months.⁴¹⁷

To allocate storage costs, Atmos maintains its use of the "equitable" method, which allocates half of the fixed storage costs based on the amount of working gas capacity dedicated to a class or service and half of the costs based on the maximum

⁴¹² Atmos Ex. 14, Direct Testimony of J. Stephen Gaske with Errata ("Gaske Test."), at 19-21.

⁴¹³ Id. at 8.

⁴¹⁴ Id. at 10, 14-16.

⁴¹⁵ Id. at 15-16.

⁴¹⁶ Id.

⁴¹⁷ *Id.* at 15; Hearing Tr. (Apr. 20, 2017) at 237-38 (Gaske testifying).

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

deliverability dedicated to the class or service. ⁴¹⁸ Atmos's proposal increases CG's rates by 22 percent and PT's rates by 60 percent.⁴¹⁹

Opposition by ACSC

ACSC characterizes Atmos's 75-percent weighting as unreasonable, unsupported by analysis, and simply based on "judgment."⁴²⁰ ACSC also notes that it is different from the 4-MDU methodology approved in GUD No. 10000.⁴²¹ The 4-MDU methodology allocates transmission capacity between classes based on the ratio of MDU for each customer class to system-wide usage on the peak day of each of the four winter season months during the test year. ACSC argues that shifting the allocation factor from the average of four peak days to a single peak day generally would increase the amount allocated to CG customers because of their seasonal load.⁴²² According to ACSC, using the 4-MDU method would result in a 110-percent rate increase for PT customers—a sudden increase too high for PT customers to bear.⁴²³

Atmos's 75-percent weighting results in a 60-percent increase for PT customers.⁴²⁴ ACSC argues that Atmos failed to articulate a specific rate increase between 60 percent and 110 percent that would be so high as to drive PT customers off the system.⁴²⁵ ACSC concludes that no new adjustment is necessary because no adjustment was applied in GUD No. 10000.⁴²⁶ Furthermore, according to ACSC, PT service is not materially inferior to CG service because PT service, while subject to curtailment, is rarely interrupted.⁴²⁷ ACSC estimates that its recommendation would shift \$4 million from the CG class to the PT class, explaining that the PT service discount provides sufficient recognition of any difference in service between the customers.⁴²⁸

Opposition by Nucor

Nucor disagrees with Atmos's proposed 75-percent weighting, arguing that because the contract capacity taken by Rate PT customers is fully interruptible, Atmos has a firm call option on 100 percent of that capacity. Therefore, Nucor offers that

⁴²⁴ Hearing Tr. (April 20, 2017) at 2 (Gaske testifying).

428 ACSC Ex. 1 (Nalepa Test.) at 21-23.

⁴¹⁸ Atmos Ex. 14 (Gaske Test.) at 17.

⁴¹⁹ Id. at 21; Atmos Ex. 18 (Myers Rebuttal Test.), Exhibit BWM-R-1, Schedule K-3.

⁴²⁰ ACSC Ex. 1 (Nalepa Test.) at 21-23, Attachment J.

⁴²¹ ACSC Ex. 1 (Nalepa Test.) at 22.

⁴²² Id. at 22-23.

⁴²³ ACSC Br. at 33 (referencing Hearing Tr. (April 21, 2017) at 188-89 (Gaske testifying)).

⁴²⁵ ACSC Br. at 33 (referencing Hearing Tr. (April 21, 2017) at 189 (Gaske testifying)).

⁴²⁶ ACSC Ex. 1 (Nalepa Test.), Attachment K; Atmos Ex. 25 (Gaske Rebuttal Test.) at 14; Hearing Tr. (April 20, 2017) at 10-16 (Gaske testifying).

⁴²⁷ ACSC Ex. 1 (Nalepa Test.), Attachment K; Atmos Ex. 25 (Gaske Rebuttal Test.) at 14; Hearing Tr. (April 20, 2017) at 235 (Gaske testifying).

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

it is Atmos—and not the PT customer—that is entitled to all the MDQ-contracted capacity.⁴²⁹ Nucor provides three primary reasons for its opposition. *First*, Nucor points out that Atmos relied on Professor James C. Bonbright's rate making criteria explained in his 1961 book, *Principles of Public Utility* Rates, rather than relying on the later 1988 edition of the book, which states that no capacity pricing costs should be included in pricing interruptible service.⁴³⁰ *Second*, Nucor argues that the magnitude of Atmos's proposal results in a significant subsidy to both the firm customers—including Atmos's affiliated company Mid-Tex, the largest customer—and the non-regulated negotiated rate customers.⁴³¹ *Third*, Nucor explains that in addition to the rate subsidy, the fully-recallable capacity of the PT customers provides an operational subsidy to Atmos's firm customers because the MDQ capacity is a banked capacity reserve that can be called on to support the reliability of the firm customers, while most of those transportation reserves are being paid for by the PT customers.⁴³²

Nucor warns that a 60-percent base rate increase will result in rate shock to the PT customers, increasing their risks and creating an economic development impact on their businesses.⁴³³ Nucor notes that a 60-percent increase does not comport with one of Dr. Bonbright's criteria for a sound rate structure—stability of rates.⁴³⁴ Nucor proposes reducing the MDQ adjustment to 25 percent.⁴³⁵ Alternatively, if the Commission declines to accept Nucor's adjustment, Nucor recommends adopting a single system-wide percentage increase.⁴³⁶

Opposition by Smurfit

Smurfit recommends that the Commission deny the cost allocation increase for PT customers and keep the existing 47-percent cost allocation.⁴³⁷ According to Smurfit, no evidence introduced in this case indicates that the cost to serve Rate PT customers has increased since GUD No. 10000, relative to the costs to serve Rate CG customers.⁴³⁸ Smurfit believes that Atmos's proposed increase for PT customers is based on the highest prices Atmos thinks it can charge PT customers before they would seek alternatives to Atmos's pipeline service.⁴³⁹

⁴²⁹ Nucor Ex. 1, Direct Testimony of Paul J. Wielgus ("Wielgus Test."), at 4-5.

⁴³⁰ Nucor Ex. 1 (Wielgus Test.) at 5 (referencing James C. Bonbright *Principles of Public Utility Rates* (2d ed., 1988), p. 502).

⁴³¹ Nucor Ex. 1 (Wielgus Test.) at 5.

⁴³² *Id.* at 5-6.

⁴³³ Id. at 6.

⁴³⁴ Id.

⁴³⁵ Id. at 8.

⁴³⁶ Id.

⁴³⁷ Smurfit Br. at 1.

⁴³⁸ *Id*. at 2. ⁴³⁹ *Id*. at 12.

^{10.} at 12.

Opposition by TIEC

TIEC argues that Atmos's CCOSS is flawed and unreliable because it significantly over-allocates fixed-demand capacity costs and certain fixed storage costs to Rate PT customers.⁴⁴⁰ TIEC warns that Atmos's proposed revenue spread— Rate PT customers receiving a 2.76x system average increase—would create undue distress for Rate PT customers and should be mitigated to ensure that no customers are detrimentally impacted by such a significant change.⁴⁴¹ TIEC proposes reducing the MDQ adjustment to 55 percent to better reflect PT customers' use of Atmos's TIEC notes that this is close to the proportional allocation the capacity.442 Commission approved in GUD No. 10000, which amounted to 47.3 percent of PT customers' MDQ.⁴⁴³ TIEC contends that Atmos's proposal violates cost causation and shifts significant capacity costs to PT customers because Atmos's investments since its last rate case have largely benefitted CG customers through expanded capacity and improved reliability for firm service.⁴⁴⁴ TIEC explains that its recommended 55percent adjustment approximates the ratio of PT customers' actual test year daily peak to their contractual MDQ—52.3 percent—and reflects that PT customers receive credit for capacity included in its base rates form negotiated contract rates.⁴⁴⁵ TIEC demonstrates that applying 52.3 percent of MDQ results in a PT capacity allocation factor of 2.45 percent-much closer to PT's historical peak allocation of 2.36 percent than Atmos's proposed 3.47 percent.⁴⁴⁶

TIEC also disagrees with Atmos regarding fixed storage costs allocation, recommending demand not throughput be used, resulting in reducing PT's proportion from 12 percent to 3 percent.⁴⁴⁷ For reasonableness, TIEC tested the results of its proposals against FERC's method for setting interruptible rates, which uses a volumetric charge equal to the firm rate at a 100-percent load factor.⁴⁴⁸ TIEC concludes that its proposed rate of \$0.39/Dth is much closer to the FERC-implied rate—\$0.43/Dth—than is Atmos's proposed rate of \$0.56/Dth.⁴⁴⁹ Alternatively, if the Commission declines to accept TIEC's primary adjustment, TIEC recommends limiting the rate increase to 1.5 times the system average.⁴⁵⁰

⁴⁴⁰ TIEC Ex. 1 (Gorman Test.) at 7.

⁴⁴¹ Id. at 7.

⁴⁴² Id. at 19-20.

⁴⁴³ TIEC Br. at 24-25 (referencing Hearing Tr. (Apr 20, 2017) at 223-24 (Gaske testifying)).

⁴⁴⁴ TIEC Ex. 1 (Gorman Test.) at 8.

⁴⁴⁵ *Id.* at 20-21.

 ⁴⁴⁶ Id. at 19-20.
 ⁴⁴⁷ Id. at 21-22.

⁴⁴⁸ *Id.* at 22-23.

⁴⁴⁹ *Id.* at 21-23.

⁴⁵⁰ Id. at 7-8.

Atmos's Rebuttal

In rebuttal, Atmos defends its 75-percent MDQ adjustment against the competing arguments and recommendations of ACSC, Nucor, Smurfit, and TIEC. Responding to ACSC, Atmos argues that since Rate PT customers are interruptible, it is important to ensure the rate does not become so high that it compels PT customers to leave the system.⁴⁵¹ Responding to Nucor and TIEC, Atmos explains that PT customers are rarely interrupted and the Commission has appropriately recognized in prior cases that system capacity costs are joint costs.⁴⁵² Atmos notes that over the last seven years, PT customers have only seen a 23-percent rate increase, whereas CG customers experienced most of the cost increases approved in GUD No. 10000 and subsequent GRIP filings.⁴⁵³ Atmos points out that GUD No. 10000 established the PT capacity charge at 64 percent of the CG capacity charge, but now is only 53 percent.⁴⁵⁴ Atmos maintains that its 75-percent adjustment represents a more reasonable balance among customer classes and is more consistent with the proportion of capacity costs allocated to Rate CG and PT customers in GUD No. 10000.⁴⁵⁵ Atmos explains that if it now used the same methodology as in GUD No. 10000, PT customers' rates would increase by about 110 percent.⁴⁵⁶ Atmos defends its storage costs allocation by explaining that Rate PT customers benefit from the operational use of storage capacity to maintain pressures and ensure deliveries on various part of the system during both peak and off-peak periods.⁴⁵⁷

City Gate customer CoServ, a retail gas distribution utility providing service at over 108,000 customer meters in North Central Texas, filed a reply brief supporting Atmos's proposed rate design.⁴⁵⁸

Staff's Position

Staff does not oppose Atmos's proposed revenue allocation.

⁴⁵¹ Atmos Ex. 25 (Gaske Rebuttal Test.) at 13.

⁴⁵² Id. at 11-13.

⁴⁵³ Id. at 5-7.

⁴⁵⁴ Id. at 4.

⁴⁵⁵ Id. at 13; Hearing Tr. (Apr. 21, 2017) at 209-10 (Gaske testifying).

⁴⁵⁶ Hearing Tr. (Apr. 20, 2017) at 240 (Gaske testifying); Hearing Tr. (April. 21, 2017) at 189, 210 (Gaske testifying).

⁴⁵⁷ Atmos Ex. 25 (Gaske Rebuttal Test.) at 15.

⁴⁵⁸ CoServ Reply Br.

Summary of Parties' Positions

The below table summarizes the effects of each parties' primary revenue allocation recommendation on the magnitude of increase for the PT and CG rate classes, assuming Atmos's proposed revenue requirement.

Party	System	Rate PT	Total CGS
Atmos	23%	60%	22%
ACSC	23%	108%	21%
Nucor	23%	-38%	25%
TIEC	23%	21%	23%

The below table shows the relative increase for each class by dividing the class increase by the system increase. For example, Rate PT's increase under Atmos's proposal would be 2.61 times the system average.

Party	Rate PT	Total CGS
Atmos	2.61	0.96
ACSC	4.68	0.91
Nucor	(1.67)	1.07
TIEC	0.93	1.00

Examiner Findings and Recommendations

Considering the evidence, the Examiners find that Atmos's CCOSS is reasonable to use as a guide to design rates. The evidence shows that the CCOSS functionalizes, classifies, and allocates costs in a fair, just, and reasonable manner that is largely consistent with the CCOSS approved in GUD No. 10000. The weight of evidence, however, supports that limiting the increase here to 1.5 times the system average for any class is just and reasonable, and is likely to mitigate rate shock. Atmos, ACSC, Nucor, and TIEC present different transmission cost allocations that largely impact the end results—rates. The evidence supports that PT customers have inferior service to CG customers due to interruptibility, thus warranting a discounted rate. The evidence also shows that interruptions have been minimal—17 over a six-year period, with seven restored the same day and three within three days.⁴⁵⁹ Thus, PT customer service is inferior to CG customers, but not so much so to warrant capacity rates for PT customers that are less than half of CG's rates.

Atmos's allocation method, while imperfect, is reasonable. It attempts to balance the interests of both PT and CG customers and recognizes the inferiority of

⁴⁵⁹ Atmos Ex. 25 (Gaske Rebuttal Test.) at 13-14.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

PT customers' interruptible service. Limiting the rate increase to 1.5 times the system average for any class is just and reasonable, supported by the evidence, and consistent with GURA Chapter 104 (Rates and Services). As such, the Examiners recommend using the results of the CCOSS as a guide, but limiting the increase to 1.5 times the system average. Using the Examiners' recommended revenue requirement of \$380,821,971 results in a PT capacity charge of \$5.47, which is 54 percent of the resulting CG charge. This ratio is between the ratio from GUD No. 10000—64 percent—and the current ratio of 53 percent. The resulting rates, revenue allocation, and percentage increases are shown in the table below.

Revenue and Rates

Examiners Recommendation	System	CGS	РТ	Mid-Tex WGIS
Revenue Requirement	\$380,821,971	\$356,905,066	\$9,588,199	\$14,328,705
Revenue Requirement Increase Percentage	8.8%	8.7%	13.2%	-46%
Capacity Charge	per MDQ	\$10.20461	\$5.46962	\$0.43694
Usage Charge	per MMBTU	\$0.02785	\$0.01325	

IX. RATE SCHEDULES AND TARIFFS

Atmos proposes rate schedules and tariffs reflecting its requested rates. The proposed tariffs are listed below.

- Rate CGS Mid-Tex
- Rate CGS Other
- Rate PT Pipeline Transportation
- Rider MF Municipal Fee Adjustment
- Rider RA Retention Adjustment
- Rider REV Revenue Adjustment
- Rider SUR Surcharges
- Rider TAX Tax Adjustment

Various parties challenged portions of Rider REV, Rider SUR, and Rate PT. Each of these is treated separately, below.

A. Rider REV

Atmos proposes two modifications to Rider REV in this proceeding, and Dallas recommends one.

1. Tariff provision allowing for the continued collection of the current Rider REV annual adjustment through Oct. 31, 2017 (Proposed by Atmos)

Transition Provision: The adjustment under this Rider in effect pursuant to the version authorized in GUD No. 10295 will remain in effect following the effective date of the Final Order in GUD No. 10580 until October 31, 2017.

Atmos wants to include this provision so that it would be allowed to continue the most recently-approved Rider adjustment until October 31, 2017. This would permit a full recovery of the Rider REV annual adjustment approved by the Commission in October 2016. According to Atmos, this is required to enable Atmos to recover the Rider REV balances that remain uncollected at the time the new base rates go into effect because of this current statement of intent.⁴⁶⁰ Because Rider REV adjustments are based on past periods, Atmos says, failure to allow continued recovery of the 2016 annual adjustment will deny Atmos the opportunity to recover the revenue authorized by the Commission.⁴⁶¹

2. A modification to the current Rider REV calculation allowing an adjustment for over/under recoveries caused by the annual adjustment of customer MDQs (Proposed by Atmos)

Any difference between the amount authorized to be collected from each class effective the second prior November 1 under this Rider and the amount collected from each class under this Rider during the twelve-month period beginning on the second prior November 1 will be **added to or subtracted** from each class' respective allocated portion of the difference in Other Revenue.

As a part of the renewal of Rider REV in GUD No. 10295, the Commission required Atmos to review its LDC and Rate PT customers' MDQs annually and update them. Under Atmos's contracts with its Rate CGS customers, MDQ adjustments become effective on November 1st of each year. Atmos says that this date is utilized because it allows the customers to fully review their operations from the past winter

⁴⁶⁰ Atmos Ex. 14 (Gaske Test.) at 22.

⁴⁶¹ Atmos Ex. 12 (Gordon Test.) at 13.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

and to evaluate most of the changes on their systems due to residential and commercial construction through the summer. The proposed change looks back to the most recently completed recovery period and compares the recovery to the amount authorized for recovery, and allows any difference to be added or subtracted from the next year's adjustment amount for each class of customer.⁴⁶² Atmos also notes that the November 1st date is a contractual date set in the CGS contracts which comprises 99.9 percent of the class MDQ.⁴⁶³

In opposition, ACSC disagrees with allowing a "true-up of a true-up" because this would complicate the process and would also take two years to refund an overcollection. ACSC recommends two alternative methods. ACSC's primary recommendation is that Atmos determine MDQs by September 1st rather than November 1st. In the alternative, ACSC recommends the Commission either approve a dollar amount recovery for each Rider REV filing and require Atmos to calculate the adjustment factor once MDQs are known. ACSC also recommends that the Commission require Atmos to refund the acknowledged over-recovery from previous Rider REV adjustments.⁴⁶⁴

3. Proposal to modify Rider REV allocation to Regulated Customers (Proposed by Dallas)

Dallas proposes to modify Rider REV such that 100 percent of the revenue requirement would be allocated to regulated customers. Any excess contribution by non-regulated customers can then be credited to regulated customer's cost. Dallas claims that as proposed by Atmos, the increase to CGS and PT customers is 96.5 percent of the revenue requirement. Dallas recommends that Rider REV be changed so that 100 percent of revenue requirement—rather than 96.5 percent—be the responsibility of regulated customers. This way, according to Dallas, any excess contribution by non-regulated customers can be credited to the regulated customers' cost responsibility. Most importantly, Dallas argues, Atmos's claims of bypass risk, competitive pipeline risks, and pipeline risks in general can be dismissed, and with those unfounded risk claims aside, the resulting equity return in the case may be established at the low business risk level associated with distribution operations.⁴⁶⁵

Atmos opposes this change and seeks to continue the current Rider REV allocation, as previously approved in GUD No. 10000 and renewed in GUD 10295. The current allocation adjusts the Rate CGS and Rate PT rates annually for 75 percent of the difference between (1) the dollar amount of Other Revenue used in GUD No. 10000 to reduce the cost of service, and (2) the actual amount of Other Revenue billed during the period from July 1 of the prior year through June 30 of the current

⁴⁶² Id. at 13.

⁴⁶³ Atmos Ex. 16 (Gordon Rebuttal Test.) at 4.

⁴⁶⁴ ACSC Ex. 1 (Nalepa Test.) at 25.

⁴⁶⁵ Dallas Ex. 1 (Lawton Test.) at 48.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

year. If the Other Revenue in any given annual period is higher than the Other Revenue in GUD No. 10000, the Rate CGS and Rate PT rates are reduced. If the Other Revenue in any given annual period is lower than the Other Revenue in GUD No. 10000, the Rate CGS and Rate PT rates are increased. Atmos maintains that the continuation of Rider REV is important because it will reduce the need for a rate case when the primary driver is the annual change in revenues received from the Other Revenue customer class.⁴⁶⁶

Examiner Findings and Recommendations – Rider REV

Continue Collection Provision (Proposed by Atmos)

No intervenor opposed this transition provision. The 2016 Rider REV is for the period ended June 30, 2016. As shown on Schedule K-4, current revenue is adjusted for the Rider REV revenues and therefore not collected through current rates. The Examiners find that this transition provision is necessary to allow Atmos the opportunity to collect the previously approved revenues. Therefore, the Examiners recommend approval of this tariff amendment.

<u>Update MDQ and Refund Over-recovery (Proposed by Atmos)</u>

ACSC did not provide the Examiners with a detailed alternative mechanism or explain why ACSC's preferred "true-up" is superior to Atmos's proposal. As Atmos explained the annual Rider REV filing deadline is August 15th. The September true-up date ACSC proposes does not allow for an MDQ update prior to the annual Rider REV filings.⁴⁶⁷ Therefore, the Examiner's recommend approval of this tariff amendment.

Atmos agrees with ACSC that any over-recovery due to MDQ updates must be refunded. GUD No. 10295 modified the Rider REV to update annual MDQs by customer. Therefore, this refund should be addressed in the next Rider REV filing dating back to the final order in GUD No. 10295. Atmos should provide a true-up of Rider REV collections from the 2014 Rider REV to the present when Atmos updates the MDQs for the 2016 Rider REV adjustment.

Allocation Change (Proposed by Dallas)

Dallas arrives at the 96.5 percent calculation by taking the proposed revenue requirement allocated to CGS and PT of \$422,871,232 plus 75 percent of Other Revenue of \$69,699,015, or \$52,274,261. The \$52,274,261 assumes a total loss of other revenues. Under this assumption, the total revenue requirement requested is

⁴⁶⁶ Atmos Ex. 12 (Gordon Test.) at 12.

⁴⁶⁷ Atmos Ex. 16 (Gordon Rebuttal Test.) at 4.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

\$492,570,247. Thus, Regulated rate customers would be responsible for \$475,145,493, or 96.5 percent of revenue requirements under Atmos's proposal.

The Examiners recommend continuation of the current Rider REV allocation of 75 percent and 25 percent, which is just and reasonable. The balance of sharing of any future loss of Other Revenues has been litigated and approved by the Commission previously in GUD Nos. 10000 and 10295.

B. Rider SUR

Atmos proposes to continue Rider SUR. Rider SUR allows for recovery of charges authorized by state or governmental entities and regulatory authorities. Atmos proposes adding a surcharge for recovery of \$7,140,134 in deferred costs related to a project to review and digitize pipeline records regarding the maximum allowable operating pressure ("MAOP"), as required by federal law.⁴⁶⁸ Atmos proposes recovery over a three-year period.

In support, Atmos testified that in December 2011, Congress passed the 2011 Reauthorization Act, which was signed into law on January 3, 2012. This required PHMSA to direct each owner or operator of a gas transmission pipeline to provide verification that their records accurately reflect the MAOP of their pipelines. To comply Atmos formed a team, of primarily engineers and contract employees, to locate, centralize, review, and scan all Atmos records. In GUD No. 10209, Atmos requested Commission approval to establish a regulatory asset account to track the "2011 Reauthorization Act" expenses. Commission Staff administratively approved the requested deferred account subject to review at its next rate case. Atmos completed its review in July 2013. ⁴⁶⁹

Atmos performed verification to include all Atmos transmission records.⁴⁷⁰ In GUD No. 10209, Atmos requested Commission clarification to include additional costs in the deferred account. Staff administratively approved the requested deferred account subject to review at its next rate case.⁴⁷¹

In opposition, ACSC argues that it was not reasonable for Atmos to have a regulatory asset account, in which Atmos could record the deferred expenses related to the required MAOP records review, because responding to legislative and regulatory mandates is an expected part of the Atmos's operations. Atmos stated that these costs do not qualify for recovery under GRIP because they do not extend the life of the asset and therefore are not capitalized. ACSC argues that singling out a certain expense for deferral and recover is piecemeal ratemaking.⁴⁷²

ACSC does not oppose recovery of these authorized costs through Rider SUR. However, ACSC requests to extend the amortization period from the requested three years to six years. Their basis is that the recovery period should match the period in

⁴⁶⁸ Atmos Ex. 6 (Knights Test.) at 17.

⁴⁶⁹ *Id.* at 31-32, Exhibit JSK-8.

⁴⁷⁰ Id. at 17-18.

⁴⁷¹ *Id.* at 31-32, Exhibit JSK-9.

⁴⁷² ACSC Ex. 1 (Nalepa Test.) at 27.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

which expenses were incurred. This recommended adjustment has an annual impact reduction of \$1.2 million dollars.⁴⁷³

In rebuttal, Atmos maintains that a three-year amortization period is reasonable because it is typical to recover this type of costs over a time period that is less than the time when Atmos might be expected to file its next rate case. Because Atmos is not accruing interest on the unrecovered balance, a longer amortization period would reduce the effective cost recovery for Atmos. Nevertheless, Atmos states that it is amenable to an amortization period in the range of three-to-five years. According to Atmos, a six-year amortization period is excessive.⁴⁷⁴

Examiner Findings and Recommendation – Rider SUR

The Examiners find that it is proper to recover expenses over a period that matches the period in which the expenses were incurred. In GUD No. 10209, Atmos stated that it started incurring expenses as of October 2011 and incurred through the test-year end September 30, 2016, and does not anticipate completion until 2018.⁴⁷⁵ The period from inception to the TYE is five years. The Examiners' recommend recovery of this regulatory asset over a 5-year period, which is just and reasonable. Additional expenses after the TYE to the project conclusion will be reviewed at the next rate case. This does not reduce the revenue requirement but does reduce the annual amount of Rider SUR by \$952,008. The monthly reduction is set out below.

Customer Class	Requested Monthly Amount ⁴⁷⁶	Recommended Monthly Amount	Monthly Reduction
CGS-Mid-Tex	\$180,264	\$108,159	(\$72,105)
CGS-Other	\$9,000	\$5,400	(\$3,600)
PT	\$9,072	\$5,443	(\$3,629)
Total	\$198,337	\$119,002	(\$79,334)

Examiner Recommended Rider SUR Recovery

C. Rate PT Tariff

Atmos's proposed Rate PT Tariff includes an MDQ adjustment provision that the Commission previously approved in GUD No. 10000. Atmos is not proposing to change this provision.⁴⁷⁷

In opposition, Nucor and TIEC argue that the MDQ adjustment provision is unfair as Rate CGS customers are not subject to the same adjustment. Nucor states that the MDQ adjustment results in PT customers paying more for capacity to which they are not entitled because of Atmos's firm call option on all the interruptible

⁴⁷³ Id. at 28.

⁴⁷⁴ Atmos Ex. 25 (Gaske Rebuttal Test.) at 19.

⁴⁷⁵ Atmos Ex. 6 (Knights Test.) at 18.

⁴⁷⁶ Atmos Ex. 14 (Gaske Test), Exhibit JSG-4.

⁴⁷⁷ Id., Exhibit JSG-2, p. 7.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

customers' capacity, and the PT customers already are penalized by paying 200 percent for gas they take over the stated level of interruption when Atmos exercises its interruptible recall option.⁴⁷⁸

TIEC argues that MDQ adjustment requirements should be transparent and fairly describe customers' capacity entitlement, and that the MDQ adjustments required for Rate PT customers should also be required of Rate CGS customers, including the timing of the adjustment. TIEC offers that consistent adjustment requirements would reflect the amount of capacity needed for winter load.⁴⁷⁹

In response, Atmos states that although the Rate CGS tariff does not have the same provision as the Rate PT tariff, that same provision is contained in most of the Rate CGS contracts. For example, the Mid-Tex contract states:

2.2 MDQ Adjustment: If Shipper's daily usage on any day exceeds Shipper's MDQ as set forth herein by 10% or more, then Shipper's MDQ will be increased to equal such daily usage up to the firm capacity available through the then existing APT facilities. The effective date of such increase in the MDQ will be the first day of the calendar month following the day on which Shipper's daily usage exceeded Shipper's MDQ by 10% or more.

Similar language currently applies to the contracts of all Rate CGS customers except WTG and Rising Star, which account for 0.01 percent of the CGS class MDQ. Thus, Rate CGS and Rate PT customers generally are subject to the same provision that adjusts their MDQ beginning the month immediately after a month in which their demand exceeds their MDQ by at least 10 percent. There is not a lag in Rate CGS MDQ adjustments that may cause the Rate CGS MDQ to be understated during the test year.⁴⁸⁰

In response to Nucor's claim that the MDQ adjustment applies a double penalty to Rate PT customers by charging a curtailment overpull fee during interruptions, Atmos states that the MDQ adjustment and the curtailment overpull fee are unrelated. The MDQ adjustment can occur at any time and is tied to the MDQ in the customer contracts. The Curtailment Overpull Fee applies only in those rare circumstances when Atmos is forced to call for a curtailment of Rate PT customers and a Rate PT customer fails to sufficiently curtail on that day. ⁴⁸¹

⁴⁷⁸ Nucor Ex. 1 (Wielgus Test.) at 6-8.

⁴⁷⁹ TIEC Ex. 1 (Gorman Test.) at 16-17.

⁴⁸⁰ Atmos Ex. 25 (Gaske Rebuttal Test.) at 16-17.

⁴⁸¹ *Id*. at 19.

Examiner Findings and Recommendations – Rate PT

For transparency, the Examiners find it just and reasonable to include the MDQ provision in the CGS Mid-Tex and CGS-Other tariffs:

2.2 MDQ Adjustment: If Shipper's daily usage on any day exceeds Shipper's MDQ as set forth herein by 10% or more, then Shipper's MDQ will be increased to equal such daily usage up to the firm capacity available through the then existing APT facilities. The effective date of such increase in the MDQ will be the first day of the calendar month following the day on which Shipper's daily usage exceeded Shipper's MDQ by 10% or more.

D. Other Rate Schedules and Tariffs

Staff recommends one non-substantive change to the proposed Atmos tariffs to add clarity and avoid confusion for customers. Atmos agreed and provided updated proposed tariffs removing the temporary placeholder. Additionally, Atmos also deleted the Rider REV temporary placeholder.⁴⁸²

E. Rate Schedules and Tariffs Conclusion

Atmos's proposed tariffs, as modified herein, are just and reasonable, supported by the weight of the evidence, and consistent with GURA Chapter 104 (Rates and Services). The Examiners recommend their approval.

X. PRUDENCE REVIEW AND INTERIM RATE ADJUSTMENTS

A. Review for Reasonableness and Prudence

In the rate case a gas utility files or the Commission initiates after the implementation of an IRA under Commission Rule § 7.7101 (Interim Rate Adjustments), any change in investment and related expenses and revenues that have been included in any interim rate adjustment shall be fully subject to review for reasonableness and prudence.⁴⁸³

Here, Atmos requests a finding of reasonableness and prudence for its capital investment made since GUD No. 10000, including six interim investments filings for the period covering April 1, 2010, through December 31, 2015. The IRA filings were docketed as GUD Nos. 10078, 10144, 10240, 10338, 10422, and 10497.⁴⁸⁴ Atmos

⁴⁸² Id. at 19.

^{483 16} Tex. Admin. Code § 7.7101(j).

⁴⁸⁴ Atmos Ex. 6 (Knights Test.) at 4

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

also seeks a finding of reasonableness and prudence for its capital investments made for the period of January 1, 2016, through September 30, 2016. Additionally, Atmos seeks approval of \$7,140,134 in expenses related to the records verification process required by the Pipeline Safety, Regulatory Certainty, and Job Creation Act of 2011 ("2011 Reauthorization Act").⁴⁸⁵

In support, Atmos provided testimony that since GUD 10000 (test year ended March 31, 2010), Atmos as has placed over \$1.3 billion additional capital investment into service.⁴⁸⁶ According to Atmos, this investment was required to accommodate population growth and movement and to provide adequate capacity between upstream and downstream interconnection points. Atmos has installed over 260 miles of new steel transmission pipe, including six major system fortification projects, and over 20,700 horsepower of incremental compression.⁴⁸⁷ Atmos states that the system additions have resulted in ongoing operations and office activities that are necessary to maintain reliability and perform regulatory compliance inspections for the life of the facilities. Also, urbanization along—and adjacent to—the Atmos system has required pipe replacement due to changes in population density and regulatory class location requirements.⁴⁸⁸

"2011 Reauthorization Act" Regulatory Asset

In December 2011, Congress passed the 2011 Reauthorization Act, which was signed into law on January 3, 2012. This required PHMSA to direct each owner or operator of a gas transmission pipeline to provide verification that their records accurately reflect the maximum allowed operating pressure ("MOAP") of their pipelines. To comply, Atmos states that it formed a team—primarily engineers and contract employees—to locate, centralize, review, and scan all Atmos Pipeline records. Atmos completed its review in July 2013.⁴⁸⁹ To account for expenses related to the required verification process, Atmos established a regulatory asset account to track the "2011 Reauthorization Act" expenses, as authorized by Commission Staff on October 11, 2012, and clarified on November 8, 2013. Atmos seeks recovery of \$7,140,134.⁴⁹⁰

Staff's Recommended Adjustment

Staff reviewed capital investment projects from each of the six IRA filings since GUD 10000, as well as the projects included for the period of January 1, 2016,

⁴⁸⁵ Id. at 5. Pipeline Safety, Regulatory Certainty, and Job Creation Act of 2011, Pub. L. No. 112-90, § 23, 125 Stat. 1904 (2012); see also 49 U.S.C. § 60139.

⁴⁸⁶ Atmos Ex. 6 (Knights Test.) at 34.

⁴⁸⁷ The six projects totaled approximately 12 miles of 4-inch pipe, 16 miles of 8-inch pipe, 19 miles of 12-inch pipe, 114 miles of 24-inch pipe and 84 miles of 30-inch pipe.

⁴⁸⁸ Atmos Ex. 6 (Knights Test.) at 9.

⁴⁸⁹ *Id*. at 17.

⁴⁹⁰ Id. at 31-32, Exhibits JSK-8, JSK-9.

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

through September 30, 2016. Staff reviewed supporting documentation for a sample of capital investment projects.⁴⁹¹ Staff recommends a reduction to plant in service of \$1,255.20 for four procurement card purchases, for which Atmos was unable to provide supporting documentation.

Staff also identified several items that were included in GRIP filings that were later transferred to other Atmos divisions. Staff recommends a refund of \$1,113,043 for amounts collected through GRIP charges.⁴⁹² Staff recommends a refund to customers totaling \$1,113,043.09 in the form of one-time bill credits be applied to Rate CGS and Rate PT customers, in the amount of \$0.0315 (per MDQ) and \$0.0163 (per MDQ), respectively. Staff also recommends that Atmos be required to verify this refund via compliance filing with the Market Oversight Section of the Gas Services Division.⁴⁹³

Except for the above described \$1,255.20 (four missing invoices for procurement card documentation) and the \$1,113,043.09 (transferred projects), no other part of Atmos's capital investment was challenged as being unreasonable or imprudent.

Atmos accepts and agrees to Staff's recommendations.494

Examiner Findings and Recommendation

Considering the evidence, the Examiners find that capital investment made by Atmos through September 30, 2016, was reasonable and prudent, with the exception and the unsupported procurement card charges that required a \$1,255 reduction of plant in service. Also, while the investment subsequently transferred to other divisions may have been prudent at the time, the assets are no longer included in Atmos plant, and therefore warrant a refund of \$1,113,043.09 in GRIP collections. It is just and reasonable to refund this amount to affected customers in the form of a one-time bill credit, in the amounts listed below.

IRA REFUND PER CUSTOMER (per MDQ)

Rate Class	One-time Refund Amount
CGS	(\$0.0315)
PT	(\$0.0163)

⁴⁹¹ Staff Ex. 2 (Montoya-Fogleson Test.) at 5.

⁴⁹² Id. at 6; Atmos Ex. 18 (Myers Rebuttal Test.) at 28.

⁴⁹³ Staff Ex. 2 (Montoya-Fogleson Test.) at 7, Exhibit SMF-2.

⁴⁹⁴ Atmos Ex. 18 (Myers Rebuttal Test.) at 28.

B. Future Interim Rate Adjustment (IRA) Factors

After this rate case, Atmos may file with the Commission a tariff or rate schedule that provides for an interim adjustment in monthly customer charge or initial block rate to recover the cost of changes in the investment in service for gas utility services.⁴⁹⁵ Under Commission Rule § 7.7101 (Interim Rate Adjustments), the factors used to calculate the return on investment, depreciation expense, and incremental federal income tax used to compute the revenues to be collected through the IRA must be the same as those established by the Commission in this docket.⁴⁹⁶

Examiner Findings and Recommendation

The Examiners find that the following IRA factors are just and reasonable, supported by the evidence, and consistent with GURA Section 104.301 (Interim Adjustment for Changes in Investment) and Commission Rule § 7.7101 (Interim Rate Adjustments). The Examiners recommend these factors be followed until changed by a subsequent general rate proceeding:

- The capital structure and related components reflected in this PFD;
- For the initial filing, the Net Investment, including the detail of Plant in Service amounts—along with the associated depreciation rate for each account—as shown in Schedules C, D, F-3, and F-4;
- For the initial filing, the net plant in service shall be \$1,996,851,900;
- For the initial filing, the customer charges or volumetric rates reflected in this PFD will be the starting rates to apply to any IRA adjustment; and
- The base rate revenue allocation factors to spread any change in IRA increase/decrease to the appropriate customer classes are as follows:

Customer Class	Examiners' Recommended Revenue	Percentage
PT	\$9,588,199	2.5%
CGS	\$356,905,066	93.7%
Mid-Tex WGIS	\$14,328,705	3.8%
TOTAL	\$380,821,971	100%

⁴⁹⁵ Tex. Util. Code § 104.301 (Interim Adjustment for Changes in Investment).

⁴⁹⁶ 16 Tex. Admin. Code § 7.7101(f)(5).

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

XI. CONCLUSION

Atmos's request for a rate change was warranted. The recommendations contained herein are just and reasonable, supported by the weight of reliable and probative evidence, and consistent with GURA Chapter 104 (Rates and Services) and applicable Texas law. Accordingly, the Examiners respectfully recommend that the Commission approve them.

XII. FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in the Proposed Final Order, issued contemporaneously with this PFD, are incorporated herein by reference.

SIGNED June 26, 2017.

John Dodson Administrative Law Judge

Dana Avant Lewis Administrative Law Judge

Rose Ruiz

Technical Examiner

un

James R. Currier III Technical Examiner

Proposal for Decision <u>ATTACHMENT 1</u>

GAS UTILITY DOCKET 10580

STATEMENT OF INTENT TO CHANGE THE RATE CGS AND RATE PT RATES OF ATMOS PIPELINE – TEXAS

ATMOS EXS.

Date	Ex. No.	Description	Offered	Admitted
04/19/17	4/19/17 1 GUD 10580 – Statement of Intent to Change the Rate CGS and Rate PT Rates of Atmos Pipeline – Texas (with all attachments and electronic files as filed on January 6, 2017)		✓ 3.	~
04/19/17	1a	Fully Linked Cost of Service Model with Conf. WPs & Conf. Relied Files	\checkmark	✓
5				. L. Setting
04/19/17	2	Atmos Errata - Filing dated February 24, 2017	\checkmark	~
	8 -		東京の語言など	
04/19/17	3	Affidavit of Charles Yarbrough (Proof of Notice) dated March 24, 2017	~	1
04/19/17	4	Direct Testimony & Exs. of Richard A. Erskine with Errata	~	~
04/19/17	5	Direct Testimony, Exs. & WPs of Barbara W. Myers with Errata	\checkmark	\checkmark
04/19/17	5a	Conf. WPs to the Direct Testimony of Barbara W. Myers	\checkmark	√
04/19/17	6	Direct Testimony, Exs. & WPs of Jeffrey S. Knights with Errata	~	✓
04/40/47	7	Direct Testimony and Exs. of Melanie P. Connelly with Errata	-	
04/19/17 04/19/17	7 7a	Conf. Exs. MPC-1 - MPC-9 to the Direct Testimony of Melanie P. Connelly	✓ ✓	√
		Direct Testingen Fre 9 M/De of Decels M/ Devel with Erroto	✓	
04/19/17	8	Direct Testimony, Exs. & WPs of Derek W. Boyd with Errata Conf. Exhibit DWB-1 to the Direct Testimony of Derek W. Boyd	✓ ✓	
04/19/17 04/19/17	8a 8b	Conf. WPs to the Direct Testimony of Derek W. Boyd	✓	✓ ✓
04/19/17	9	Direct Testimony, Exs. & WPs of Timothy S. Lyons with Errata	 Image: A second s	✓
04/20/17	10	Direct Testimony, Exs. & WPs of Robert B. Hevert	~	~
04/00/47	44	Direct Testimony, Exs. & WPs of John J. Reed	1	-
04/20/17	11 11a	Conf. WPs to the Direct Testimony of John J. Reed	· ✓	
04/19/17	12	Direct Testimony, Exs. & WPs of Dennis L. Gordon with Errata	✓	√
04/19/17	12a	Conf. Exhibit DLG-2 to the Direct Testimony of Dennis L. Gordon	√	
04/19/17	12b	HSPM WPs to the Direct Testimony of Dennis L. Gordon	✓	
04/20/17	13	Direct Testimony, Exs. & WPs of Dane A. Watson with Errata		~

GAS UTILITY DOCKET 10580

STATEMENT OF INTENT TO CHANGE THE RATE CGS AND RATE PT RATES OF ATMOS PIPELINE – TEXAS

ATMOS EXS.

Date	Ex. No.	Description	Offered	Admitted
04/20/17	14	Direct Testimony, Exs. & WPs of J. Stephen Gaske with Errata	\checkmark	✓
04/20/17	14a	HSPM WPs to the Direct Testimony of J. Stephen Gaske	✓	✓
04/21/17	15	Rebuttal Testimony, Exs. & WPs of Richard A. Erskine with Errata	~	~
04/21/17	16	Rebuttal Testimony & WPs of Dennis L. Gordon	\checkmark	~
04/21/17	16a	HSPM WPs to the Rebuttal Testimony of Dennis L. Gordon	✓	✓
04/21/17	17	Rebuttal Testimony, Exs. & WPs of Jeffrey S. Knights with Errata	~	~
04/21/17	18	Rebuttal Testimony, Exs. & WPs of Barbara W. Myers	✓	1
04/21/17	19	Rebuttal Testimony, Exs. & WPs of Jennifer K. Story	~	1
04/21/17	20	Rebuttal Testimony & WPs of Melanie P. Connelly	✓	√
04/21/17	20a	Conf. Exhibit MPC-R-1 to the Rebuttal Testimony of Melanie P. Connelly	✓	✓
04/21/17	21	Rebuttal Testimony, Exs. & WPs of John R. Ellerman	\checkmark	~
04/21/17	21a	Conf. WP to the Rebuttal Testimony of John R. Ellerman	✓	√
04/20/17	22	Rebuttal Testimony, Exs. & WPs of Robert B. Hevert with Errata	✓	~
04/20/17	22a	Conf. WPs to the Rebuttal Testimony of Robert B. Hevert	✓	
04/20/17	23	Rebuttal Testimony of John J. Reed	\checkmark	\checkmark
04/21/17	24	Rebuttal Testimony, Exs. & WPs of Dane A. Watson with Errata	 ✓ 	-
04/21/17	25	Rebuttal Testimony, Exs. & WPs of J. Stephen Gaske with Errata	✓	\checkmark
04/20/17	26	Staff's Response to APT's RFI No. 2-1	✓	\checkmark
04/20/17	27	Staff's Response to APT's RFI No. 2-2	✓	\checkmark
04/20/17	28	Staff's Response to APT's RFI No. 2-5	\checkmark	\checkmark
04/20/17	29	Staff's Response to APT's RFI No. 2-6	\checkmark	\checkmark
04/20/17	30	Staff's Response to APT's RFI No. 2-9	✓	✓
04/20/17	31	Staff's Response to APT's RFI No. 2-10	✓	~

GAS UTILITY DOCKET 10580

STATEMENT OF INTENT TO CHANGE THE RATE CGS AND RATE PT RATES OF ATMOS PIPELINE – TEXAS

ATMOS EXS.

Date	Ex. No.	Description	Offered	Admitted
04/20/17	32	Staff's Response to APT's RFI No. 2-11	~	~
04/20/17	33	Staff's Response to APT's RFI No. 2-12	~	~
04/20/17	34	Staff's Response to APT's RFI No. 2-14	\checkmark	~
04/20/17	35	Staff's Response to APT's RFI No. 2-16	\checkmark	~
04/20/17	36	Staff's Response to APT's RFI No. 2-17	~	\checkmark
04/20/17	37	Staff's Response to APT's RFI No. 2-19	\checkmark	\checkmark
04/21/17	38	APT's Response to Examiners' Request No. 1	\checkmark	\checkmark
04/21/17	39	APT's Response to Examiners' Request No. 2	\checkmark	\checkmark
05/09/17	40	Optional Completeness to Dallas Ex. 14 (APT Response to Dallas RFI 3-05)	~	\checkmark
05/09/17	41	Optional Completeness to ACSC Ex. 28 (APT Response to ACSC RFI 7-09)	~	~
05/09/17	42	Optional Completeness to ACSC Ex. 30 (APT's Response to ACSC RFI 7-07)	~	~
05/09/17	43	Optional Completeness to ACSC Ex. 65 (APT Responses to RFIs 9-04 and 14-02)	~	✓

Statement of Intent to Change the Rate CGS and Rate PT Rates of Atmos Pipeline-Texas

ACSC'S EXHIBIT LIST

EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	OFFERED	ADMITTED
1	Direct Testimony of Karl J. Nalepa	Y	Y
2	Redacted Direct Testimony of Constance T. Cannady	Y	Y
2A	Confidential Direct Testimony of Constance T. Cannady	Y	Y
3	Direct Testimony of Richard A. Baudino	Y	Y
4	Cross-Rebuttal Testimony of Karl J. Nalepa	Y	Y
5	Cross-Rebuttal Testimony of Richard A. Baudino	Y	Y
6	APT Response to ACSC RFI 4-01	Y	Y
7	APT Response to ACSC RFI 4-02	Y	Y
8	APT Response to ACSC RFI 4-03	Y	Y
9	APT Response to ACSC RFI 4-04	Y	Y
9A	APT Confidential Attachment to ACSC RFI 4-04 (1 CD)	Y	Y
10	APT Response to ACSC RFI 4-05	Y	Y
11	APT Response to ACSC RFI 4-06		
12	APT Response to ACSC RFI 4-07	Y	Y
13	APT Response to ACSC RFI 4-08	Y	Y
14	APT Response to ACSC RFI 4-09	Y	Y
15	APT Response to ACSC RFI 4-10	Y	Y
16	Hevert Proxy Group Comparison	Y	Y
17	Atmos Energy 2016 Annual Report		
18	Rating Action: Moody's Upgrades Atmos Energy to Baa1. May 11, 2011		
19	Moody's Investors Service Credit Opinion. December 14, 2016		
20	Hevert Filed ROE Testimony in Texas	Y	Y
21	APT Rate Increases Since GUD 10000	Y	Y

Statement of Intent to Change the Rate CGS and Rate PT Rates of Atmos Pipeline-Texas

ACSC'S EXHIBIT LIST

EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	OFFERED	ADMITTED
22	APT Response to ACSC RFI 1-57	Y	Y
23	APT Response to ACSC RFI 3-05	Y	Y
24	APT Response to ACSC RFI 15-01	Y	Y
25	APT Response to ACSC RFI 15-03	Y	Y
26	APT Response to ACSC RFI 15-04	Y	Y
27	APT Response to ACSC RFI 15-05	Y	Y
28	APT Response to ACSC RFI 15-06	Y	Y
29	APT Response to ACSC RFI 15-08	Y	Y
30	APT Response to ACSC RFI 15-09	Y	Y
31	APT Response to ACSC RFI 15-10	Y	Y
32	APT Response to ACSC RFI 15-11	Y	Y
33	APT Response to ACSC RFI 15-12	Y	Y
34	18 CFR 35.24 – Tax normalization for public utilities		<u></u>
35	APT Response to ACSC RFI 1-29	Y	Y
36	Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. Form 10-K Excerpt	Y	Y
37	Energy Midstream Partners Website Information		
38	EnLink Midstream Partners Form 10-K Excerpt	Y	Y
39	Kinder Morgan Website Information	Y	Y
40	Spectra Energy Partners Website Information		
41	Boardwalk Pipeline Partners Website Information	Y	Y
42	TC Pipelines, L.P. 2016 Annual Report Excerpt		
43	EnLink Midstream 2017 Guidance Excerpt		
44	2010 APT Rate Case Overview Excerpt	Y	Y
45	APT Response to ACSC RFI 10-03	Y	Y
46	APT Response to ACSC RFI 1-09	Y	Y

Statement of Intent to Change the Rate CGS and Rate PT Rates of Atmos Pipeline-Texas

ACSC'S EXHIBIT LIST

EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	OFFERED	ADMITTED
47	APT Response to ACSC RFI 17-01	Y	Y
48	APT response to ACSC RFI 17-08	Y	Y
49	APT Response to ACSC RFI 17-09	Y	Y
50	APT Response to ACSC RFI 17-10	Y	Y
51	APT Response to ACSC RFI 17-11	Y	Y
52	APT Response to ACSC RFI 17-14	Y	Y
53	APT Response to ACSC RFI 17-15	Y	Y
54	APT Response to ACSC RFI 17-16	Y	Y
55	Confidential APT Response to ACSC RFI 1-43, Attachment 2 excerpts		
56	APT Response to ACSC RFI 1-58	Y	Y
57	APT Response to ACSC RFI 16-2	Y	Y
58	APT Response to ACSC RFI 16-3	Y	Y
59	APT Response to ACSC RFI 16-4	Y	Y
60	APT Response to ACSC RFI 16-5	Y	Y
61	APT Response to ACSC RFI 16-6	Y	Y
62	APT Response to ACSC RFI 16-10	Y	Y
63	APT Response to ACSC RFI 16-11	Y	Y
64	APT Response to ACSC RFI 16-14	Y	Y
65	APT Response to ACSC RFI 16-17	Y	Y
66	APT Response to ACSC RFI 16-18	Y	Y
67	APT Response to ACSC RFI 16-20	Y	Y
68	APT Response to ACSC RFI 16-21	Y	Y
69	APT Response to ACSC RFI 16-22	Y	Y
70	APT Response to ACSC RFI 17-12	Y	Y

3

BEFORE THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF TEXAS

\$ \$ \$ \$ \$

STATEMENT OF INTENT TO CHANGE THE RATE CGS AND RATE PT RATES OF ATMOS PIPELINE – TEXAS ("APT")

GAS UTILITIES DOCKET NO. 10580

TEXAS INDUSTRIAL ENERGY CONSUMERS' EXHIBIT LIST

NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	OFFERED	ADMITTED
1	Direct Testimony of Michael P. Gorman – Includes Errata	\checkmark	✓
1A	Public Workpapers to the Direct Testimony of Michael P. Gorman – Includes Errata	\checkmark	\checkmark
1B	Confidential Workpapers to the Direct Testimony of Michael P. Gorman	\checkmark	\checkmark
2	Rebuttal Testimony of Michael P. Gorman	\checkmark	\checkmark
2A	Workpapers to the Rebuttal Testimony of Michael P. Gorman	\checkmark	\checkmark
3	Atmos Energy Corporation – 2016 Annual Report	\checkmark	\checkmark
4	Excerpt from APT Response to ATM RFP 1-01 – Attachment 1 – Pgs. 709-744	\checkmark	\checkmark
6	Excerpt from APT Response to ATM RFP 1-01 – Attachment 1 – Pgs. 653, 659, and 686	\checkmark	\checkmark
7	APT Response to Nucor 1-05	\checkmark	\checkmark
8	APT Response to Nucor 1-04	\checkmark	\checkmark
9	APT Response to TIEC 1-12	\checkmark	\checkmark
11 ×	GUD 10000 – Excerpt from Final Order	\checkmark	\checkmark
12	GUD 10000 – Excerpt from Direct Testimony of J. Stephen Gaske	\checkmark	\checkmark
13	GUD 10000 – Excerpt from Rebuttal Testimony of J. Stephen Gaske	\checkmark	\checkmark
15	TIEC's Examiner's Schedules	\checkmark	\checkmark

NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	OFFERED	ADMITTED
16	APT Amended Response to ATM 1-06	\checkmark	\checkmark
17	Atmos Energy Website	\checkmark	\checkmark
18	APT Response to ACSC 17-04	\checkmark	\checkmark
19	APT Response to ACSC 17-05	\checkmark	\checkmark
20	APT Response to TIEC 3-01 – Attachments 1 through 7	\checkmark	\checkmark
22	HIGHLY SENSITIVE PROTECTED MATERIAL – Attachment to TIEC RFI No. 3-3	\checkmark	\checkmark

GUD DOCKET NO. 10580

STATEMENT OF INTENT TO CHANGE THE RATE CGS AND RATE PT RATES OF ATMOS PIPELINE-TEXAS BEFORE THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF TEXAS

CITY OF DALLAS' UPDATED EXHIBIT LIST

 $\omega \omega \omega \omega$

<u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION	<u>OFFERED</u>	ADMITTED
1	DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF DANIEL J. LAWTON	Х	Х
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF MARK E. GARRETT	Х	Х
2a	M. GARRETT ERRATA	Х	Х
3	DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF DAVID J. GARRETT	Х	Х
4	CITY OF DALLAS EXAMINERS SCHEDULES	Х	Х
5	DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF DANE A. WATSON IN PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION DOCKET NO. 45414	Х	Х
6	PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DANE A. WATSON BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF NEBRASKA (2014)	Х	Х
7	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DANE A. WATSON BEFORE THE NEXT MEXICO PUBLIC REGULATION COMMISSION	Х	Х
8	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DANE A. WATSON IN PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION DOCKET NO. 42004	Х	Х
9	NATIONAL ASSOICITION OF REGULATORY UNTILITY COMMISSIONERS PUBLIC UTILITY DEPRECIATION PRACTICES AUGUST 1996 (EXCERPT)	Х	Х

<u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION	OFFERED	ADMITTED
10	DEPRECIATION SYSTEMS BY FRANK K. WOLF AND W. CHESTER FITCH (EXCERPT)	Х	Х
11	REVISED PFD GUD 8664, STATEMENT OF INTENT OF LONE STAR GAS COMPANY AND LONE STAR PIPELINE COMPANY, DIVISIONS OF ENSERCH CORP. AND ENSAT PIPELINE COMPANY TO INCREASE THE INTRACOMPANY CITY GATE RATE (1997)	Х	Х
12	APT RESPONSE TO DALLAS RFP SET NO. 2 QUESTION 2-05	Х	Х
13	APT RESPONSE TO DALLAS RFP SET NO. 2 QUESTION 2-07	Х	Х
14	APT RESPONSE TO DALLAS RFI SET NO. 3 QUESTION 3-09	Х	Х
15	APT RESPONSE TO DALLAS RFI SET NO. 3 QUESTION 3-01	Х	Х
16	APT RESPONSE TO DALLAS RFI SET NO. 3 QUESTION 3-02	Х	Х
17	APT RESPONSE TO DALLAS RFI SET NO. 3 QUESTION 3-03	Х	Х

GAS UTILITIES DOCKET NO. 10580

STATEMENT OF INTENT TO§CHANGE THE RATES OF CITY§GATE SERVICE (CGS) AND RATE§PIPELINE TRANSPORTATION (PT)§RATES OF ATMOS PIPELINE --TEXAS (APT)

BEFORE THE

RAILROAD COMMISSION

OF TEXAS

EXHIBIT LIST FOR THE ATMOS TEXAS MUNICIPALITIES

Exhibit	Description	Offered	Admitted
1	GUD 10580 Direct Testimony & Exhibits of J. Randall Wooldridge on Behalf of ATM	~	\checkmark
1A	GUD 10580 Workpapers to the Direct Testimony & Exhibits of J. Randall Wooldridge on Behalf of ATM	\checkmark	\checkmark
2	GUD 10000 Direct Testimony of Robert B. Hevert Witness for Atmos Pipeline - Texas	\checkmark	\checkmark
3	APT's Response to ATM RFP Set 1, RFP 1-01	\checkmark	\checkmark
4	Atmos Energy Corporation - Rating Agency Presentation	\checkmark	\checkmark
5	Atmos Energy Corp Value Line Report	\checkmark	\checkmark
6	APT Response to ATM RFP 1-04 - Moody's Credit Report – Dec. 2016 for Atmos Energy Corporation	\checkmark	\checkmark

1

EXHIBIT LIST OF NUCOR STEEL – TEXAS

Nucor Exhibit No.	Description	Admitted/Denied	Date
1	Direct Testimony of Paul J. Wielgus on Behalf of Nucor Steel – Texas	Admitted	4/18/17
2	Workpapers to the Direct Testimony of Paul J. Wielgus on Behalf of Nucor Steel – Texas	Admitted	4/18/17

GUD Docket #10580

Application of Atmos Pipeline – Texas To Increase Rates Before the Texas Railroad Commission

Smurfit Kappa North America LLC's Exhibit List

EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION		ADMITTED
1			Y
2	Rebuttal Testimony of Mike Brasovan	Y	Y

Energy. Utilities. Solved.

RAILROAD COMMISSION OF TEXAS

§

\$

§

STATEMENT OF INTENT TO CHANGE THE RATES OF CITY GATE SERVICE (CGS) AND RATE PIPELINE TRANSPORTATION (PT) RATES OF ATMOS PIPELINE – TEXAS (APT)

GAS UTILITIES DOCKET NO. 10580

COMMISSION STAFF EXHIBIT LIST

Ex. No.	Description	Date	Offered	Admitted
1	Direct Testimony, Attachments and Exhibits of Christina Poole	4/20/2017	\checkmark	\checkmark
2	Direct Testimony, Attachments and Exhibits of Sarah Montoya-Foglesong	4/20/2017	\checkmark	\checkmark
3	Direct Testimony, Attachments and Exhibits of Frank Tomicek, including errata	4/20/2017	~	~

Proposal for Decision <u>ATTACHMENT 2</u>

(full schedules transmitted electronically)

	Atmos Pipeli GUD No. 10580 / Stateme Twelve Months Ending Decision Summary	nt of Intent fi September 3	30, 20	016				
	-	SOI		Errata	Rebuttal		Examiners	Differenc
Revenue Requirement Requested	\$	494,642,625			\$ 502,398,276		\$ 452,099,810	
Base Transport Revenue Requirement Requested	\$	//			\$ 430,875,147			\$ (50,053,1
Transport Base Rates Increase Requested	\$	72,918,007	\$	80,848,796	\$ 80,750,312		\$ 30,697,359	\$ (50,052,9
Rate CGS - Mid-Tex		Proposed	R	ecommended				
Capacity Charge per MDQ	\$	11.24146	\$	10.20461				
Mid-Tex Working Gas in Storage Charge	\$	0.52433	\$	0.43694				
Usage Charge per MMBtu	\$	0.02785	\$	0.02785				
Rate CGS - Other								
Capacity Charge per MDQ	\$	11.24146	\$	10.20461				
Usage Charge per MMBtu	\$	0.02785	\$	0.02785				
Rate PT								
Capacity Charge per MDQ	\$	7.70001	\$	5.46962				
Usage Charge per MMBtu	\$	0.01325	\$	0.01325				
Rate of Return				<u>Schedule G</u>				
a. Capital Structure			_		Rever	nue Requiremen	t Impact ROR by	y Party
Long-Term Debt		47.36%				Examiners	ACSC	ATM
Atmos and Staff (40.17%)				0.401705729		8.87%	7.54%	6
ACSC (48%)					Revenue	\$ (47,941,228)	\$ (84,987,569)	\$ (94,223,
ATM (33.77%)					Impact			
Smurfit (remain at current GUD 10000 - 49.5%)							a a	C
Dallas (45%)					DOD	Dallas 7.25%	Smurfit 7.79%	Staff
TIEC (38.11%) EXAMINERS (47.36%)					ROR Revenue			(\$57.516.7
EAAMINERS (47.30%)					Impact	(\$86,145,748) 4.81% Cost of Debt	(\$78,916,353) at 9.59% ROE	(\$57,516,7
Common Equity	_ _	52.64%	1	0.598294271			ut 9.59% ROE	
Atmos and Staff (59.83%)			4			TIEC	Atmos	
ACSC (52%)					ROR	7.30%	10.47%	1
ATM (53.43%)					Revenue	(\$85,736,378)	•	•
Smurfit (remain at current GUD 10000 - 50.5%)					Impact			
Dallas (55%)						-		
TIEC (51.90%)								
EXAMINERS (52.64%)								
Short-Term Debt		0.00%						
ATM (12.80%)			-					
TIEC (9.99%)								
EXAMINERS (0%)								
b. Cost of Capital								
Return on Equity		11.50%	1					
Atmos (13.5%)			-					
ACSC (9%)								
ATM (8.92%)								
Dallas (9.25%)								
Smurfit (9.59% - 10%)								
Staff (10%)								
TIEC (9.5%) EXAMINERS (11.5%)	and the second secon							
EAAIVIIIVERS (11.3%)								

Atmos Pipeline - Texas GUD No. 10580 / Statement of Intent filed 1/6/17 Twelve Months Ending September 30, 2016 Decision Summary GUD No. 10580

Cost of Long-Term Debt	5.95%
Atmos (5.95%)	5.95%
Dallas (4.81%) or (5.68%)	
EXAMINERS (5.95%)	
Cost of Short-Term Debt	0.00%
ATM (1%)	
TIEC (1.05%)	
Rate of Return Result	8.87%

	Issue	On/Off Switch (1 is on, 0 is off)	Schedule Impacted	Cell Impacted	Adjustment	Base Transport Revenue Impact	Witness
•	Operations and Maintenance Expenses	0	5.0	G17 G(0	(22,000,002)	(22.220.005)	
2	Depreciation	0	<u>F-3</u>	G17 - G60	(32,980,982)	(33,220,806)	D. Garrett
3	Incentive Compensation Direct Incentive Compensation (FERC 926 Employee Pensions and Benefits) ACSC or Dallas or Examiners	0 0 1	Schedule F-2	<u>L51</u>	(\$1,474,777) (\$1,843,941) (\$580,485)	(\$1,485,846) (\$1,858,073) (\$585,134)	
4	SSU Incentive Compensation (O&M Non-Labor Service Level Factor Adjustments) ACSC or Dallas or Examiners	0 0 1	WP F-2.7 Schedule F-2 Schedule F-2	<u>E109</u> <u>L51</u> <u>L51</u>	(\$757,634) (\$1,103,348) (\$1,017,251)	(\$763,613) (\$1,111,922) (\$1,025,179)	
5	SERP (Dallas)	0	Schedule F-2	<u>L51</u>	(\$93,446)	(\$94,440)	
6	Cost Center 1905 Outside Director (ACSC)	0	WP F-2.7	<u>E101</u>	(\$46,102)	(\$46,741)	
7	Other Pay (ACSC) Increase Adjustment for FERC 851 System Control and Load Dispatching \$25,485 Increase Adjustment for FERC 865 Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment \$8,231 Increase Adjustment for FERC 903 Customer Records and Collection Expenses \$6,344 Decrease Adjustment for FERC 922 Administrative Expenses Transferred- Credit \$47,405	0	Schedule F-2	<u>L24</u> L <u>34</u> L <u>38</u> L <u>47</u>	(\$87,465) (\$25,485) (\$8,231) (\$6,344) (\$47,405)	(\$88,123) (\$25,677) (\$8,293) (\$6,392) (\$47,761)	
8	Adjustments to Shared Services Expense Factors Decrease Labor Expense Factor for Medical and Dental Benefits Adjustment 70.28% Decrease O&M Expense Factor for Pensions and Other Postemployment Benefits Plans 70.28%	0	WP F-2.2 WP F-2.3	<u>C24</u> <u>C12</u> <u>D12</u>	70.28% 70.28%	(\$380,944)	C. Cannady
	Rate Base						
9 10	Incentive Compensation Direct Incentive Compensation Capitalized ACSC or Examiners SSU Incentive Compensation Capitalized	0 1	WP B-1 WP B-1	<u>G13</u> <u>G14</u>	\$3,358,370 \$1,371,493	(\$501,422) (\$205,064)	C. Cannady
10	ACSC or Examiners	0 1		017	\$1,273,311 \$1,252,284	(\$190,112) (\$187,265)	C. Cannady

Atmos Pipeline - Texas GUD No. 10580 / Statement of Intent filed 1/6/17 Twelve Months Ending September 30, 2016 Decision Summary GUD No. 10580

Decision Summary GUD No. 10580							
11	Reduce MIP/VPP Accrual (ACSC) \$1,132,232	0	WP B-6	<u>D12</u>	(\$1,132,232)	(\$169,048)	C. Cannady
	Reduce MIP/VPP Accrual (Examiners) \$519,292	1		D12	(\$519,292)	(\$77,825)	•
12	Reduce Restricted Stock Grant Plan (ACSC) \$3,546,322	0	WP B-6	D40	(\$3,546,322)	(\$110,345)	C. Cannady
	Reduce Restricted Stock Grant Plan (Examiner) \$4,631,448	1	WP B-6	D40	(\$4,631,448)	(\$144,401)	2
13	Remove FD-NOL Credit Carryforward - Utility	0	WP B-6	<u>D60</u>	(\$725,716,695)	(\$22,580,808)	C. Cannady
14	Increase Pension & Other Postemployment Benefit Regulatory Asset	0	WP B-7	<u>C12</u>	\$2,103,309	\$525,945	C. Cannady
15	Remove Adjustments for Capitalized Pension Regulatory Asset from APT - Direct Plant Remove Adjustment from FERC 350.2 Rights-of-Way \$28 Remove Adjustment from FERC 351 Structures and Improvements \$21,394 Remove Adjustment from FERC 352 Wells \$68,089 Remove Adjustment from FERC 353 Lines \$11,512 Remove Adjustment from FERC 354 Compressor Station Equipment \$43,377 Remove Adjustment from FERC 355 M&R Equipment \$43,999 Remove Adjustment from FERC 356 Purification Equipment \$43,377 Remove Adjustment from FERC 355 M&R Equipment \$43,999 Remove Adjustment from FERC 356 Nurfication Equipment \$43,377 Remove Adjustment from FERC 356 Nurfication Equipment \$43,377 Remove Adjustment from FERC 356 Nurfication Equipment \$43,999 Remove Adjustment from FERC 365 Nurfication Equipment \$43,499 Remove Adjustment from FERC 365 Curcures and Improvements \$9,963 Remove Adjustment from FERC 367.01 Mains - Steel \$1,243,202 Remove Adjustment from FERC 367.02 Mains - Plastic \$10,066 Remove Adjustment from FERC 367.02 Mains - Plastic \$10,066 Remove Adjustment from FERC 369 M&R Station Equipment \$130,320 Remove Adjustment from FERC 371 Other Equipment \$12,285 Remove Adjustment from FERC 390 Other Equipment \$12,285 Remove Adjustment from FERC 390 Other Equipment \$4,276 Remove Adjustment from FERC 391 Office Furniture and Equipment \$4,237 Remove Adjustment from FERC 392 Transportation Equipment \$4,237 Remove Adjustment from FERC 392 Transportation Equipment \$4,237 Remove Adjustment from FERC 392 Transportation Equipment \$4,237 Remove Adjustment from FERC 395 Laboratory Equipment \$150 Remove Adjustment from FERC 397.02 Communication Equipment \$4,263 Remove Adjustment from FERC 397 Ocommunication Equipment \$639 Remove Adjustment from FERC 397.02 Communication Equipment \$639 Remove Adjustment from FERC 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware \$532 Remove Adjustment from FERC 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software \$532 Remove Adjustment from FERC 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware \$662 Remove Adjustment from FERC	0	Schedule C	<u>E63</u>	(\$4,790) (\$28) (\$21,394) (\$68,089) (\$11,512) (\$76,646) (\$43,999) (\$43,377) (\$539) (\$16,486) (\$9,963) (\$174,070) (\$1,243,202) (\$10,066) (\$130,320) (\$198,677) (\$12,285) (\$4,276) (\$5,458) (\$4,276) (\$5,458) (\$4,276) (\$5,458) (\$4,276) (\$5,458) (\$4,276) (\$5,458) (\$4,276) (\$5,458) (\$4,276) (\$5,458) (\$4,276) (\$5,458) (\$4,276) (\$5,458) (\$4,276) (\$5,458) (\$4,276) (\$5,458) (\$4,276) (\$5,458) (\$4,276) (\$5,458) (\$4,276) (\$5,458) (\$4,276) (\$5,458) (\$4,276) (\$5,458) (\$4,276) (\$5,458) (\$6,20) (\$100) (\$7,116) (\$62) (\$532) (\$1,223) (\$62) (\$689) (\$690)	(\$381,486)	C. Cannady
	Revenue Allocation and Rate Design						
16	Allocation Factor for PT Interruptible Rate Class		Schedule I	<u>D33</u>			
		0			%) $2 = $ Nucor (25%)		
				1 = ACSC (100)	3 = TIEC(55%)		

		0		0 = Atmos (75%) 2 = Nucor (25) 1 = ACSC (100% 3 = TIEC (55%)
17	Fixed Storage Costs Allocation	0	Schedule H-3.1	<u>F31</u>
18	Limit Increase to 1.5x System Average-Examiners / TIEC Alternative	1	Schedule J Schedule K-3	<u>E39</u> K12

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT COST OF SERVICE & CLASS COST OF SERVICE SCHEDULES AND WORKPAPERS TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Totals may vary due to rounding.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT TABLE OF CONTENTS TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Schedule	Description of Schedule	Witnesses
1	Schedule A	REVENUE REQUIREMENT	MYERS
2	Schedule B	RATE BASE	MYERS
3	Schedule C	COMPONENTS OF RATE BASE - GROSS PLANT	MYERS
4	Adjustment	COMPONENTS OF RATE BASE - ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION	MYERS
5	Schedule E	CASH WORKING CAPITAL	LYONS
6	Schedule F-1	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES	MYERS
7	Schedule F-2	ADJUSTMENTS TO OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES	MYERS
8	Schedule F-3	DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION EXPENSE	MYERS
9	Schedule F-4	DEPRECIATION RATE SUMMARY	WATSON
10	Schedule F-5	TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAX	MYERS
11	Schedule F-6	TOTAL INCOME TAXES	MYERS
12	Schedule G	SUMMARY OF RETURN	HEVERT
13	Schedule H	CLASS COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY	GASKE
14	Schedule H-1	FUNCTIONALIZATION AND CLASSIFICATION OF COSTS	GASKE
15	Schedule H-1.1	FUNCTIONAL ALLOCATION OF DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	GASKE
16	Schedule H-2	ALLOCATION OF TRANSMISSION COSTS	GASKE
17	Schedule H-2.1	DEVELOPMENT OF CLASS ALLOCATION FACTORS	GASKE
18	Schedule H-3	ALLOCATION OF STORAGE COSTS	GASKE
19	Schedule H-3.1	DEVELOPMENT OF STORAGE ALLOCATOR	GASKE
20	Schedule H-4	TOTAL ALLOCATED COST	GASKE
21	Schedule H-4.1	RRC TAX ALLOCATION	GASKE
22	Schedule I	ADJUSTED BILLING DETERMINANTS	GASKE
23	Schedule J	RATE DESIGN	GASKE
24	Schedule K	SUMMARY OF CURRENT AND PROPOSED RATE STRUCTURE	GASKE
25	Schedule K-1	SUMMARY PROOF OF REVENUE AT CURRENT RATES	GASKE
26	Schedule K-2	SUMMARY PROOF OF REVENUE AT PROPOSED RATES	GASKE
27	Schedule K-3	PROPOSED CHANGE IN PRO FORMA REVENUE	GASKE
28	Schedule K-4	OTHER REVENUE	GORDON \ GASKE

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT TABLE OF CONTENTS TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Workpaper	Description of Workpaper	Witnesses
1	<u>WP_B-1</u>	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS	MYERS
2	<u>WP_B-1.1</u>	GUD NO. 10000 RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT	MYERS
3	<u>WP_B-2</u>	INJURIES AND DAMAGES RESERVE	MYERS
4	<u>WP_B-3</u>	MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES	MYERS
5	<u>WP_B-4</u>	PREPAYMENTS	MYERS
6	<u>WP_B-5</u>	UNDERGROUND STORAGE & LINE PACK GAS	MYERS
7	<u>WP_B-6</u>	ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES TEST YEAR ENDING BALANCE	MYERS
8	<u>WP_B-7</u>	PENSION AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS REGULATORY ASSET	MYERS
9	<u>WP_B-7.1</u>	PENSION AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS REGULATORY ASSET SUMMARY	MYERS
10	<u>WP_E-1</u>	ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES TEST YEAR BEGINNING BALANCE	MYERS
11	<u>WP_F-2.1</u>	BASE LABOR ADJUSTMENT	MYERS
12	<u>WP_F-2.2</u>	MEDICAL AND DENTAL BENEFITS ADJUSTMENT	MYERS
13	<u>WP_F-2.3</u>	PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS PLAN ADJUSTMENTS	MYERS
14	WP_F-2.3.1	PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS PLAN FOR APPROVAL	MYERS
15	WP_F-2.4	PROPERTY INSURANCE ADJUSTMENT	MYERS
16	<u>WP_F-2.5</u>	BLANK SHEET	MYERS
17	<u>WP_F-2.6</u>	EMPLOYEE EXPENSE ADJUSTMENT	MYERS
18	<u>WP_F-2.7</u>	SHARED SERVICES ("SSU") SERVICE LEVEL FACTORS ADJUSTMENT	MYERS
19	WP_F-2.7.1	SHARED SERVICES ("SSU") COST CENTER FUNCTIONS	MYERS
20	<u>WP_F-2.8</u>	MISCELLANEOUS ADJUSTMENTS	MYERS
21	WP_F-2.9	BLANK SHEET	MYERS
22	WP_F-2.10	RULE COMPLIANCE ADJUSTMENT	MYERS
23	WP_F-2.11	BLANK SHEET	MYERS
24	WP_F-5.1	TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAX WORKPAPER	MYERS
25	WP_F-5.2	SHARED SERVICES ("SSU") ADJUSTED TOTAL LABOR ALLOCATED TO PIPELINE FOR PAYROLL TAX CALCULATION	MYERS

Atmos Pipeline - Texas Errata Changes

 Revenue Requirement - Original Filing (1/6/2017)
 \$ 494,642,625

 Updated Revenue Requirement - Errata Filing (2/24/2017)
 \$ 502,784,446
 Amounts have been hardcoded. Can trace back to Schedule A.

 Base Transport Revenue Requirement - Original Filing (1/6/2017)
 \$ 422,871,291

 Updated Base Transport Revenue Requirement - Errata Filing (2/24/2017)
 \$ 430,973,408
 Amounts have been hardcoded. Can trace back to Schedule A.

Description of Change	Tab	Cell Reference	Value - Original Filing	Value - Errata Filing	Base Transport Revenue Requirement Impact	Sponsoring Witness	Testimony Pages	Testimony Exhibits	Print Cell Impacted	
Changed Cost Center 1910 Allocation Factor	WP_F-2.7	<u>1103</u>	14.24%	0.00%	\$ 8,102,117	Myers		N/A	p. 2, Line 93, Col (g)	
Added PT Customer to Billing Determinants Added PT Customer to Billing Determinants	Adjustment Schedule I	<u>C26</u> <u>E26</u>	1,880,220 1,650,432	1,916,220 1,686,432	\$ (171,328)	Gaske		N/A	p. 1, Line 16, Col. "MDQ Base Year" p. 1, Line 16, Col. "MDQ Test Year"	
Updated No. of PT Customers	Schedule H-2.1	<u>F19</u>	70	71	\$-	Gaske		N/A	p. 1, Line 9, Col (e)	
Rebuttal Changes Changed SSU O&M Expense Factor	WP_F-2.1	<u>E20</u>	95.76%	71.08%	\$ (334,541)	Myers	p. 22, Line 1 - p. 24,	BWM-R-2	p. 1, Line 11, Col (c)	done
Removed Severance Pay - Capital	WP_B-1	<u>G13</u>	\$-	\$ 49,108	\$ (7,332)	Myers	p. 19, Lines 3 - 18	N/A	p. 1, Line 4, Col (b)	done
Removed Severance Pay - Expense (Direct) Removed Severance Pay - Expense (SSU)	WP_F-2.8 WP_F-2.8	<u>E28</u> <u>E39</u>	\$ - \$ -	\$ 8,231 \$ 43,456		Myers Myers	p. 19, Lines 3 - 18 p. 19, Lines 3 - 18	N/A N/A	p. 1, Line 19, Col (d) p. 2, Line 30, Col (d)	done done
Remove Gas Utility Tax from Other Revenue	Schedule K-4	<u>D10</u>	\$ 75,148,577	\$ 74,859,733	\$ 287,394	Myers	p. 4, Lines 4 - 13	N/A	p. 1, Line 1, Col (c)	done
Remove Charges without invoice support Remove Charges without invoice support	Schedule C Schedule D	<u>E38</u> <u>E38</u>	\$ 12,285 \$ (541,524)			Myers Myers	p. 28, Lines 13 - 18 p. 28, Lines 13 - 18	N/A N/A	p. 1, Line 29, Col (d) p. 1, Line 29, Col (d)	

	GUD No. 10580 / Stateme Twelve Months Ending Decision Summary	September 3	30, 20	016				
		SOI		Errata	Rebuttal		Examiners	Difference
Revenue Requirement Requested	\$	494,642,625	\$		\$ 502,398,276		\$ 452,099,810	
Base Transport Revenue Requirement Requested	\$	422,871,291			\$ 430,875,147		\$ 380,821,971	
Transport Base Rates Increase Requested	\$	72,918,007	\$	80,848,796	\$ 80,750,312		\$ 30,697,359	\$ (50,052,
Rate CGS - Mid-Tex		Proposed	R	ecommended				
Capacity Charge per MDQ	\$	11.24146	\$	10.20461				
Mid-Tex Working Gas in Storage Charge	\$	0.52433	\$	0.43694				
Usage Charge per MMBtu	\$	0.02785	\$	0.02785				
Rate CGS - Other								
Capacity Charge per MDQ	\$	11.24146	\$	10.20461				
Usage Charge per MMBtu	\$	0.02785	\$	0.02785				
Rate PT								
Capacity Charge per MDQ	\$	7.70001	\$	5.46962				
Usage Charge per MMBtu	\$	0.01325	\$	0.01325				
Rate of Return				Schedule G				
a. Capital Structure			_		Rever	ue Requirement	t Impact ROR by	' Party
Long-Term Debt		47.36%				Examiners	ACSC	ATM
Atmos and Staff (40.17%)			_	0.401705729		8.87%	7.54%	6
ACSC (48%)					Revenue	\$ (47,941,228)	\$ (84,987,569)	\$ (94,223
ATM (33.77%)					Impact	J		
Smurfit (remain at current GUD 10000 - 49.5%) Dallas (45%)						D-11	C@4	614 - PP
TIEC (38.11%)					ROR	Dallas 7.25%	Smurfit 7.79%	Staff 8
EXAMINERS (47.36%)					Revenue	(\$86,145,748)	(\$78,916,353)	(\$57,516,7
					Impact	4.81% Cost of Debt		(+++,++++,+
Common Equity		52.64%		0.598294271		-		
Atmos and Staff (59.83%)						TIEC	Atmos	
ACSC (52%)					ROR	7.30%	10.47%	
ATM (53.43%) Smurfit (remain at current GUD 10000 - 50.5%)					Revenue	(\$85,736,378)		
Dallas (55%)					Impact	1		
TIEC (51.90%)								
EXAMINERS (52.64%)								
Short-Term Debt	_ _	0.00%	1					
ATM (12.80%)	L	0.0070	1					
TIEC (9.99%)								
EXAMINERS (0%)								
b. Cost of Capital								
Return on Equity	Г	11.50%	1					
Atmos (13.5%)	E E	370	4					
ACSC (9%)								
ATM (8.92%)								
Dallas (9.25%)								
Smurfit (9.59% - 10%)								
Staff (10%) TIEC (9.5%)								
EXAMINERS (11.5%)					I			

Atmos Pipeline - Texas GUD No. 10580 / Statement of Intent filed 1/6/17 Twelve Months Ending September 30, 2016 Decision Summary GUD No. 10580

Cost of Long-Term Debt	5.95%	
Atmos (5.95%)		5.95%
Dallas (4.81%) or (5.68%)		
EXAMINERS (5.95%)		
Cost of Short-Term Debt	0.00%	
ATM (1%)		
TIEC (1.05%)		
Rate of Return Result	8.87%	

	Issue	On/Off Switch (1 is on, 0 is off)	Schedule Impacted	Cell Impacted	Adjustment	Base Transport Revenue Impact	Witness
•	Operations and Maintenance Expenses	0	5.0	G17 G(0	(22,000,002)	(22.220.00.0)	
2	Depreciation	0	<u>F-3</u>	G17 - G60	(32,980,982)	(33,220,806)	D. Garrett
3	Incentive Compensation Direct Incentive Compensation (FERC 926 Employee Pensions and Benefits) ACSC or Dallas or Examiners	0 0	Schedule F-2	<u>L51</u>	(\$1,474,777) (\$1,843,941) (\$580,485)	(\$1,485,846) (\$1,858,073) (\$585,134)	
	Examiners	1			(\$380,483)	(\$383,134)	
4	SSU Incentive Compensation (O&M Non-Labor Service Level Factor Adjustments) ACSC or Dallas or Examiners	0 0 1	WP F-2.7 Schedule F-2 Schedule F-2	<u>E109</u> <u>L51</u> <u>L51</u>	(\$757,634) (\$1,103,348) (\$1,017,251)	(\$763,613) (\$1,111,922) (\$1,025,179)	
5	SERP (Dallas)	0	Schedule F-2	<u>L51</u>	(\$93,446)	(\$94,440)	
6	Cost Center 1905 Outside Director (ACSC)	0	WP F-2.7	<u>E101</u>	(\$46,102)	(\$46,741)	
7	Other Pay (ACSC) Increase Adjustment for FERC 851 System Control and Load Dispatching \$25,485 Increase Adjustment for FERC 865 Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment \$8,231 Increase Adjustment for FERC 903 Customer Records and Collection Expenses \$6,344 Decrease Adjustment for FERC 922 Administrative Expenses Transferred- Credit \$47,405	0	Schedule F-2	<u>L24</u> L <u>34</u> L <u>38</u> L <u>47</u>	(\$87,465) (\$25,485) (\$8,231) (\$6,344) (\$47,405)	(\$88,123) (\$25,677) (\$8,293) (\$6,392) (\$47,761)	
8	Adjustments to Shared Services Expense Factors Decrease Labor Expense Factor for Medical and Dental Benefits Adjustment 70.28% Decrease O&M Expense Factor for Pensions and Other Postemployment Benefits Plans 70.28%	0	WP F-2.2 WP F-2.3	<u>C24</u> <u>C12</u> <u>D12</u>	70.28% 70.28%	(\$380,944)	C. Cannady
	Rate Base						
	Incentive Compensation						
9 10	Direct Incentive Compensation Capitalized ACSC or Examiners SSU Incentive Compensation Capitalized	0 1	WP B-1 WP B-1	<u>G13</u> G14	\$3,358,370 \$1,371,493	(\$501,422) (\$205,064)	C. Cannady
10	ACSC or Examiners	0 1	W1 D-1	017	\$1,273,311 \$1,252,284	(\$190,112) (\$187,265)	C. Cannady

Atmos Pipeline - Texas GUD No. 10580 / Statement of Intent filed 1/6/17 Twelve Months Ending September 30, 2016 Decision Summary GUD No. 10580

	Decision Summary GUD No. 10580						
11	Reduce MIP/VPP Accrual (ACSC) \$1,132,232	0	WP B-6	<u>D12</u>	(\$1,132,232)	(\$169,048)	C. Cannady
	Reduce MIP/VPP Accrual (Examiners) \$519,292	1		D12	(\$519,292)	(\$77,825)	-
12	Reduce Restricted Stock Grant Plan (ACSC) \$3,546,322	0	WP B-6	D40	(\$3,546,322)	(\$110,345)	C. Cannady
	Reduce Restricted Stock Grant Plan (Examiner) \$4,631,448	1	WP B-6	D40	(\$4,631,448)	(\$144,401)	•
13	Remove FD-NOL Credit Carryforward - Utility	0	WP B-6	<u>D60</u>	(\$725,716,695)	(\$22,580,808)	C. Cannady
14	Increase Pension & Other Postemployment Benefit Regulatory Asset	0	WP B-7	<u>C12</u>	\$2,103,309	\$525,945	C. Cannady
15	Remove Adjustment from FERC 350.1 Land \$4,790 Remove Adjustment from FERC 350.2 Rights-of-Way \$28 Remove Adjustment from FERC 351 Structures and Improvements \$21,394 Remove Adjustment from FERC 351 Structures and Improvements \$21,394 Remove Adjustment from FERC 352 Wells \$68,089 Remove Adjustment from FERC 354 Compressor Station Equipment \$43,377 Remove Adjustment from FERC 355 M&R Equipment \$43,999 Remove Adjustment from FERC 355 M&R Equipment \$43,377 Remove Adjustment from FERC 355 Our City Gate \$16,486 Remove Adjustment from FERC 355 CPU - City Gate \$16,486 Remove Adjustment from FERC 357 Other Equipment \$43,099 Remove Adjustment from FERC 355 Nuck Equipment \$43,000 Remove Adjustment from FERC 365 Incutures and Improvements \$9,963 Remove Adjustment from FERC 367 Junis - Cathodic Protection \$174,070 Remove Adjustment from FERC 367.01 Mains - Cathodic Protection \$174,070 Remove Adjustment from FERC 367.02 Mains - Steel \$1,243,202 Remove Adjustment from FERC 367.02 Mains - Steel \$1,243,202 Remove Adjustment from FERC 367 Our Station Equipment \$130,320 Remove Adjustment from FERC 369 M&R Station Equipment \$12,285 Remove Adjustment from FERC 370 Other Equipment \$12,285 Remove Adjustment from FERC 390 Structures and Improvements \$2,458 Remove Adjustment from FERC 391 Office Furniture and Equipment \$12,285 Remove Adjustment from FERC 392 Transportation Equipment \$1,237 Remove Adjustment from FERC 394 Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment \$8,551 Remove Adjustment from FERC 394 Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment \$8,551 Remove Adjustment from FERC 397.02 Communication Equipment \$1,507 Remove Adjustment from FERC 397.02 Communication Equipment \$1,507 Remove Adjustment from FERC 397.02 Communication Equipment \$1,503 Remove Adjustment from FERC 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware \$532 Remove Adjustment from FERC 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Mardware \$532 Remove Adjustment from FERC 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software \$1,223 Remove Adjustment from FERC 399.04 Other Tangible Property - Se	0	Schedule C	<u>E63</u>	(\$4,790) (\$28) (\$21,394) (\$68,089) (\$11,512) (\$76,646) (\$43,999) (\$43,377) (\$539) (\$16,486) (\$9,963) (\$174,070) (\$1,243,202) (\$10,066) (\$130,320) (\$198,677) (\$12,285) (\$4,276) (\$5,458) (\$4,276) (\$4,276) (\$5,458) (\$4,277) (\$12,285) (\$4,276) (\$5,458) (\$4,276) (\$5,458) (\$4,276) (\$5,458) (\$4,276) (\$5,458) (\$4,276) (\$5,458) (\$4,270) (\$7,116) (\$62) (\$639) (\$70) (\$7,116) (\$62) (\$62) (\$62) (\$62) (\$62) (\$689) (\$690)	(\$381,486)	C. Cannady
	Revenue Allocation and Rate Design		~	Rec			
16	Allocation Factor for PT Interruptible Rate Class		Schedule I	<u>D33</u>			
		0			%) $2 = $ Nucor (25%)		
				1 = ACSC (100)	0% 3 = TIEC (55%)		

16	Allocation Factor for PT Interruptible Rate Class		Schedule I	<u>D33</u>
		0		0 = Atmos (75%) = 2 = Nucor (25%)
				1 = ACSC (100% 3 = TIEC (55%)
17	Fixed Storage Costs Allocation	0	Schedule H-3.1	<u>F31</u>
18	Limit Increase to 1.5x System Average-Examiners / TIEC Alternative	1	Schedule J	<u>E39</u>
			Schedule K-3	<u>K12</u>

GUD No. 10580 Atmos Pipeline - Texas Revenue Requirement Summary

Kevenue Keyun ement Summary				
a Operations and Maintenance Expense	Schedule F-1	Recommended \$127,410,291	Proposed \$129,008,096	Difference -\$1,597,804
b Depreciation and Amortization Expense	Schedule F-3	\$81,036,473	\$81,036,473	\$0
c Taxes Other than Income Taxes	Schedule F-5	\$25,846,258	\$26,091,547	-\$245,289
d Rate Base e Adjustment	Schedule B Schedule G	\$1,767,599,981 8.87200%	\$1,771,755,131 10.46714%	-\$4,155,151
f Return on Rate Base	d * e	\$156,821,470	\$185,452,048	-\$28,630,578
g Income Taxes	Schedule F-6	\$60,993,470	\$80,809,888	-\$19,816,418
h Revenue Requirement	a+b+c+f+g	\$452,107,963	\$502,398,052	-\$50,290,089
i Other Revenue	Schedule K-4	\$69,411,586	\$69,411,586	\$0
j Transport Revenue Requirement	h - i	\$382,696,376	\$432,986,465	-\$50,290,089
k Rider TAX Revenue	Schedule F-5	\$1,866,252	\$2,111,542	-\$245,289
1 Base Transport Revenue Requirement	j - k	\$380,830,124	\$430,874,924	-\$50,044,800
m Current Base Transport Revenues	Schedule K-1	\$350,124,612	\$350,124,612	\$0
n Revenue Requirement Deficiency	j - k - m	\$30,705,512	\$80,750,312	-\$50,044,800
o Transport Rev Increase (%)	n / m	8.77%	23.06%	
p Revenue Requirement Increase (%)	n / (m + i)	7.32%	19.25%	

Adjustment to ADIT Related to Incentive Pay Accruals (Modeled after ACSC Cannady Schedule CTC-5)

SECTION 1Atmos Direct ADIT (STI)			
Atmos Direct STI	\$	6,475,450 a	Section 3, d
Examiners Recommended Award to be included in Rates	\$	4,658,298 b	a - (Section 3, h)
Examiners Recommended Percentage		72% c	b/a
Atmos Direct ADIT Related to STI	\$	1,850,506 d	WP_B-6, column b, line 3
Examiners Recommended ADIT for STI	\$	1,331,214 e	c * d
Examiners Recommended Adjustment to ADIT	\$	(519,292) f	e-d
	÷	(010,202)	0 4
SECTION 2Atmos SSU ADIT (LTI)			
Atmos SSU LTI	\$	1,837,049 a	Section 4, f
Examiners Recommended Award	\$	- b	a - Section 3,I
Examiners Recommended Percentage		0% c	b/a
SSU ADIT for LTI before Allocation to Atmos	\$	4,631,448 d	WP_B-6, column b, line 31
Examiners Recommended SSU ADIT LTI Before Allocation to Atmos	\$	- e	c * d
Examiners Recommended ADIT Adjustment Before Allocation to Atmos	\$	(4,631,448) f	e - d
SSU Four-Allocation Factor	•	20.84% g	WP_B-6, column b, line 64
Examiners Recommended Adjustment to ADIT	\$	(965,194) h	f * g
	Ψ	(303,134)	i g
SECTION 3STI			
Atmos			
DirectExpense	\$	1,894,491 a	Atmos Ex. 18 at Exh. BWM-R-3 (Myers Reb.)
DirectCapitalized	\$	4,580,959 b	Atmos Ex. 18 at Exh. BWM-R-3 (Myers Reb.)
SSUCapitalized	\$	432,486 c	Atmos Ex. 18 at Exh. BWM-R-3 (Myers Reb.)
DirectTotal	\$	6,475,450 d	a + b
Examiners Adjustments	Ψ	0,470,400 u	
DirectExpense Adjustment	\$	531,635 e	Atmos Response to Exam RFI 3-01 + 3-02, limit award to 100%
DirectCapitalized Adjustment	\$	1,285,517 f	Atmos Response to Exam RFI 3-01 + 3-02, limit award to 100%
	ф \$, ,	Exclude all SSU
SSUCapitalized Adjustmnet		432,486 g	
Direct Total	\$	1,817,152 h	e + f
SECTION 4LTI			
Atmos			
DirectExpense	\$	404,401 a	Atmos Ex. 18 at Exh. BWM-R-3 (Myers Reb.)
DirectCapitalized	\$	711,740 b	Atmos Ex. 18 at Exh. BWM-R-3 (Myers Reb.)
SSUExpense	\$	1,017,251 c	Atmos Ex. 18 at Exh. BWM-R-3 (Myers Reb.)
SSUCapitalized	\$	819,798 d	Atmos Ex. 18 at Exh. BWM-R-3 (Myers Reb.)
·			
DirectTotal	\$	1,116,141 e	a+b
SSUTotal	\$	1,837,049 f	c + d
Examiners Adjustments	•	10.050	
DirectExpense	\$	48,850 g	Atmos Response to Exam RFI 3-03, limit award to 100%
DirectCapitalized	\$	85,976 h	Atmos Response to Exam RFI 3-03, limit award to 100%
SSUExpense	\$	1,017,251 i	Exclude all SSU
SSUCapitalized	\$	819,798 j	Exclude all SSU
DirectTotal	\$	134,826 k	g + h
SSUTotal	\$	1,837,049 l	i+j

City of Dallas Depreciation Adjustments ALG Recommendation

		[1]		[2]			[3]			[4]
			Co	ompany Propo	sal	c	ity of Dallas Prop	osal	Di	fference
Account		Original	Iowa Curve	• • •	Annual	Iowa Curve	• •	Annual		Annual
No.	Description	Cost	Type AL	Rate	Accrual	Type Al	Rate	Accrual	Rate	Accrual
	Underground Storage Plant									
350.20	Rights Of Way	32,563	R4 - 55	2.23%	726	R4 - 55	2.13%	695	-0.10%	(31)
351.00	Structures & Improvements	24,613,950	S3 - 52	2.39%	588,987	R2.5 - 75	1.37%	336,821	-1.02%	(252,166)
352.00	Wells	78,334,938	R0.5 - 55	3.27%	2,561,261	R0.5 - 55	1.89%	1,481,851	-1.38%	(1,079,410)
353.00	Lines	13,244,531	R0.5 - 40	3.66%	485,025	R0.5 - 42	2.31%	305,595	-1.35%	(179,429)
354.00	Compressor Station Equipment	88,180,195	R1.5 - 40	3.36%	2,958,943	L2 - 42	2.47%	2,174,213	-0.89%	(784,730)
355.00	Meas. & Reg. Equipment	50,619,681	R0.5 - 40	4.59%	2,323,554	R0.5 - 42	2.47%	1,250,785	-2.12%	(1,072,770)
356.00	Purification Equipment	49,904,221	R2.5 - 55	2.20%	1,097,856	R2 - 69	1.39%	695,526	-0.81%	(402,330)
357.00	Other Equipment	620,643	R2.5 - 40	3.12%	19,347	R2.5 - 40	2.69%	16,718	-0.42%	(2,629)
	Total Underground Storage Plant	305,550,724		3.28%	10,035,700		2.05%	6,262,204	-1.23%	(3,773,496)
	Transmission Plant									
365.20	ROW - City Gate	18,967,308	R4 - 85	1.31%	248,564	R1 - 89	1.23%	232,664	-0.08%	(15,900)
366.00	Structures & Improvements	11,462,500	LO - 45	4.06%	465,303	L0 - 45	2.39%	273,576	-1.67%	(191,726)
	Mains - All	1,642,131,650	L0 - 70	2.83%	46,440,537	L0 - 70	1.52%	25,010,087	-1.31%	(21,430,450)
368.00	Compressor Station Equipment	149,930,747	L0 - 32	4.40%	6,597,250	L0 - 32	2.75%	4,125,843	-1.65%	(2,471,407)
369.00	M&R Station Equipment	228,574,767	LO - 37	4.82%	11,019,272	L0 - 37	2.80%	6,397,236	-2.02%	(4,622,036)
370.00	Communication Equipment	14,133,747	L2 - 25	5.47%	773,582	R1.5 - 28	3.50%	494,346	-1.98%	(279,236)
		4,919,152	L0 - 34	3.61%	177,607	L0 - 34	2.51%	123,471	-1.10%	(54,136)
	Total Transmission Plant	2,070,119,871		3.17%	65,722,116		1.77%	36,657,225	-1.40%	(29,064,891)
	General Plant - Depreciated									
200 00	Structures & Improvements	6,279,606	R1.5 - 40	3.38%	212,345	R1.5 - 40	2.55%	160,214	-0.83%	(52,131)
390.00	Transportation Equipment	1,561,599	L1 - 7	3.30 <i>%</i> 13.28%	207,393	L1 - 7	10.40%	162,437	-2.88%	(44,956)
392.00 396.00	Power Operated Equipment	3,051,689	R1.5 - 15	6.98%	207,393 213,005	R1.5 - 15	5.49%	167,498	-2.88%	(44,956) (45,508)
	Total General Plant - Depreciated	10,892,894		5.81%	632,743		4.50%	490,148	-1.31%	(142,595)

City of Dallas Depreciation Adjustments ALG Recommendation

		[1]		[2]			[3]			[4]
				Company Propo	osal	City	of Dallas Prop	oosal	Di	fference
Account		Original	Iowa Curve		Annual	Iowa Curve		Annual		Annual
No.	Description	Cost	Type AL	Rate	Accrual	Type AL	Rate	Accrual	Rate	Accrual
	General Plant - Amortized									
391.00	Office Furniture & Equipment	4,874,479	SQ - 24	7.20%	351,183	SQ - 24	7.20%	351,183	0.00%	-
394.00	Tools,Shop, & Garage	9,837,772	SQ - 20	6.20%	609,659	SQ - 20	6.20%	609,659	0.00%	-
395.00	Laboratory Equipment	172,495	SQ - 21	6.03%	10,409	SQ - 21	6.03%	10,409	0.00%	-
397.00	Communication Equipment - All	930,477	SQ - 22	7.11%	66,191	SQ - 22	7.11%	66,191	0.00%	-
398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment	8,186,506	SQ - 32	5.49%	449,114	SQ - 32	5.49%	449,114	0.00%	-
399.00	Other Tangible Property	71,110	SQ - 7	15.93%	11,326	SQ - 7	15.93%	11,326	0.00%	-
399.01	Servers Hardware	611,913	SQ - 10	11.08%	67,779	SQ - 10	11.08%	67,779	0.00%	-
399.02	Servers Software	1,407,444	SQ - 10	12.61%	177,439	SQ - 10	12.61%	177,439	0.00%	-
399.03	Network Hardware	71,335	SQ - 10	10.98%	7,833	SQ - 10	10.98%	7,833	0.00%	-
399.06	PC Hardware	792,972	SQ - 5	22.06%	174,963	SQ - 5	22.06%	174,963	0.00%	-
399.07	PC Software	690,857	SQ - 5	23.15%	159,911	SQ - 5	23.15%	159,911	0.00%	-
	Total General Plant - Amortized	27,647,361		7.54%	2,085,808		7.54%	2,085,808	0.00%	
	TOTAL PLANT STUDIED	2,414,210,850		3.25%	78,476,366		1.88%	45,495,384	-1.37%	(32,980,982)

[1] From Company depreciation study; plant balance as of the study date

[2] From Company depreciation study

[3] Rates and Accruals from Rate Development exhibit. (Some unadjusted accounts may be hard coded to match Company proposal due to rounding differences)

[4] = [3] - [2]

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT **REVENUE REQUIREMENT TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016**

Line			Current	Proposed		Proposed		Percent
No.	Description	Reference	Revenues	Revenues	(Change (1)		Increase
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)		(e)		(f)
1	Operating Revenues							
2	Rate CGS - Mid-Tex	Schedules K-1 and K-2	\$ 326,742,207	\$ 354,331,858	\$	27,589,651		8.44%
3	Adjustment	Schedules K-1 and K-2	14,908,621	16,901,847		1,993,226		13.37%
4	Rate PT	Schedules K-1 and K-2	8,473,784	9,588,227		1,114,443		13.15%
5	Total Gas Transport Revenues	Sum Ln 2 through Ln 4	\$ 350,124,612	\$ 380,821,932	\$			8.77%
6	Other Revenue	Schedule K-4	69,411,586	69,411,586		-		0.00%
7	Total Operating Revenues	Sum Ln 5 + Ln 6	\$ 419,536,198	\$ 450,233,518	\$	30,697,320		7.32%
8								
9								
10								Base
11				Total		Rider TAX		Revenue
12	Cost of Service Elements							
13	Operation and Maintenance Expenses	Schedule F-1		\$ 127,410,291			\$	127,410,291
14								
15	Taxes Other than Income Taxes	Schedule F-5		25,846,258		1,866,252		23,980,006
16								
17	Depreciation and Amortization Expense	Schedule F-3		81,036,473				81,036,473
18								
19	Income Taxes	Schedule F-6		60,993,470				60,993,470
20								
21	Rate Base	Schedule B	\$1,767,599,981					
22	Rate of Return	Schedule G	8.872%					
23	Return on Rate Base	Col (c), Ln 21 * Ln 22		156,813,317				156,813,317
24								
25	Subtotal Revenue Requirement	Sum Ln 13 through Ln 23		\$ 452,099,810	\$	1,866,252	\$	450,233,557
26	Other Revenue	Schedule K-4		69,411,586				69,411,586
27	Total Revenue Requirement	Ln 25 - Ln 26		\$ 382,688,223	\$	1,866,252	\$	380,821,971
28	-							
29	Revenue Deficiency (1)	Col (f) Ln 27 - Col (c) Ln 5					\$	30,697,359
30							<u> </u>	, ,
31	Note:							

31 <u>Note:</u>32 1. Difference is due to rounding.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT RATE BASE TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

				Per Book			
Line No.	Description	Reference		Amounts	Adjustments	Adj	justed Amounts
	(a)	(b)		(c)	(d)		(e)
1	Net Plant in Service:						
2	Utility Plant in Service	Schedule C	\$	2,477,714,631	\$ 1,770,733	\$	2,479,485,364
3	Adjustment	Schedule D		499,811,419	(249,041)		499,562,378
4	Non-Current Gas in Storage	DTB (Acct 117.1)		16,928,914	-		16,928,914
5	Net Plant in Service (Ln 2 - Ln 3 + Ln 4)		\$	1,994,832,125	\$ 2,019,774	\$	1,996,851,900
6			_				
7	Additions:						
8	Materials & Supplies (1)	WP_B-3	\$	3,191,463	\$ 566,215	\$	3,757,677
9	Prepayments (1)	WP_B-4		4,172,121	2,484,071		6,656,192
10	Line Pack (1)	WP_B-5		4,385,237	-		4,385,237
11	Working Gas Stored Underground (1) (2)	WP_B-5		-	106,038,127		106,038,127
12	Pension and Other Postemployment Benefits Regulatory Asset	WP_B-7		-	6,567,664		6,567,664
13	Total Additions (Sum Ln 8 through Ln 12)		\$	11,748,820	\$ 115,656,076	\$	127,404,896
14							
15	Deductions:						
16	Injuries and Damages Reserve	WP_B-2	\$	1,786,169	\$ (1,664,735)	\$	121,434
17	Accum. Deferred Income Taxes	WP_B-6		407,438,827	(62,587,877)		344,850,951
18	Rate Base Adjustments	WP_B-1		-	3,591,145		3,591,145
19	Total Deductions (Sum Ln 16 through Ln 18)		\$	409,224,996	\$ (60,661,466)	\$	348,563,530
20							
21	Total Cash Working Capital	Schedule E	\$	-	\$ (8,093,285)	\$	(8,093,285)
22							
23	Total Rate Base (Ln 5 + Ln 13 - Ln 19 + Ln 21) (3)		\$	1,597,355,949	\$ 170,244,031	\$	1,767,599,981
24							

25 <u>Notes:</u>

26 1. Adjusted to 13 month averages as of September 30, 2016.

27 2. Working Gas in Storage (FERC Account 164.1) was moved to APT to reflect GUD 9400 and GUD 10000 Final Order classification for rate purposes.

28 3. For this proceeding, APT is treating its original cost of investment minus accumulated depreciation, as adjusted, as its adjusted value of invested capital rate base, as per TEX. UTIL. CODE §§ 104.051, 104.052, and 104.301(b).

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Description	A	mounts
	(a)		(b)
1	GUD No. 10000 Amortization of Employee Expenses, TYE March 31, 2010 (WP_B-1.1) (1)	\$	759,435
2	Employee Expense Adjustment, TYE September 30, 2016 (WP_B-1) (2)		127,405
3	Adjustment		31,420
3a	Adjustment for Excessive APT STI/LTIP Capitalized		1,371,493
3b	Adjustment for SSU STI Capitalized and Excessive LTP Capitalized		1,252,284
3c	Remove Capitalized SSU Severance Pay		49,108
4	Total (Sum Ln 1 through Ln 3)	\$	3,591,145
5			
6	Notes:		

7 1. In GUD 10000, rate base was approved through March 31, 2010.

8 2. See Page 2, Column (e), Ln 22. The adjustment covers the periods April 1, 2010 through September 30, 2016.

9 3. Other Employee-related Expenses adjusted beginning October 1, 2015 through September 30, 2016 for 5400 and 900 Series Review.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Description		Shared Services - Customer Support (1)		ed Services - neral Office		APT	Total Adjustment	
	(a)		(b)		(c)		(d)	(e)	
1	Twelve Months Ended September 30, 2016:								
2	Employee Expense Adjustment (4)	\$	168,422	\$	297,945	\$	264,468		
3	Allocation Factor (2) (3)	•	0.00%	•	20.84%		100.00%		
4									
5	Allocated Employee Expense Totals (Ln 2 x Ln 3)	\$	-	\$	62,092	\$	264,468		
6	Capitalization Factor (2)		100.00%		28.92%		0.00%		
7	Subtotal (Ln 5 x Ln 6)	\$	-	\$	17,957	\$	-		
8									
9	Add Charges Direct to Capital:								
10	Employee Expense Adjustment (October 1, 2015 - September 30, 2016) (4)	\$	7,065	\$	7,191	\$	8,028		
11	Employee Expense Adjustment (October 1, 2014 - September 30, 2015)		2,663		7,557		14,418		
12	Employee Expense Adjustment (October 1, 2013 - September 30, 2014)		3,470		2,247		18,046		
13	Employee Expense Adjustment (October 1, 2012 - September 30, 2013)		27,026		6,328		15,337		
14	Employee Expense Adjustment (October 1, 2011 - September 30, 2012)		43,068		3,697		18,326		
15	Employee Expense Adjustment (October 1, 2010 - September 30, 2011)		45,171		1,491		12,305		
16	Employee Expense Adjustment (April 1, 2010 - September 30, 2010)		2,356		652		1,531		
17	Employee Expense Adjustment Estimate (5)		-		1,959		14,972		
18	Subtotal (Sum Ln 10 through Ln 17)	\$	130,819	\$	31,123	\$	102,963		
19	Allocation Factor (2) (3)		0.00%		20.84%		100.00%		
20	Allocated Expense Direct to Capital (Ln 18 x Ln 19)	\$	-	\$	6,486	\$	102,963		
21									
22	Total for the Period Ending September 30, 2016 (Ln 7 + Ln 20)	\$	-	\$	24,443	\$	102,963	\$ 127,405	
23									
24	Notes:								
25	1. Shared Services - Customer Support does not provide services to APT; the	erefore A	APT is allocated ze	ro pero	cent of the amou	unts s	shown.		
26	2. See WP_F-2.1, Col (b) and Col (c), Ln 7 and Ln 11, as applicable, for the S	Shared S	Services factors, as	s adjus	ted.				
27	3. APT costs are directly charged and not allocated.								

4. Shared Services - General Office amounts have been adjusted to remove amounts in cost centers which do not allocate to APT.

5. This adjustment is to align the employee expense criteria for April 2010 through May 2011 with GUD No. 10000, Finding of Fact Nos. 34 and 35.

Data Sources:

- WP_B-1 and WP_F-2.6_Oct'15-Sep'16 Co 010 Div 002 IEXP.xlsx
- WP_B-1 and WP_F-2.6_Oct'15-Sep'16 Co 010 Div 012 IEXP.xlsx
- WP_B-1 and WP_F-2.6_Oct'15-Sep'16 Co 180 IEXP.xlsx
- WP_B-1 and WP_F-2.8_Oct'15-Sep'16 900 Series Adjustment.xlsx
- WP_B-1 and WP_F-2.8_Oct'15-Sep'16 5400 Review Adjustment.xlsx
- WP_B-1_Apr'10-May'11 IEXP Estimate.xlsx
- WP_B-1_Apr'10-Sep'15 Co 010 Div 002 IEXP Capital Only.xlsx
- WP_B-1_Apr'10-Sep'15 Co 010 Div 012 IEXP Capital Only.xlsx
- WP_B-1_Apr'10-Sep'15 Co 180 IEXP Capital Only.xlsx

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT GUD NO. 10000 RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT **TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 AMORTIZATION SCHEDULE ***

	١	/ear Ended		eginning of Year Rate Base		Annual		End of Year Rate Base Adjustment		lance as of
Line No.		Mar. 31	Adj	ustment Amount	An			Amount (2)	Septe	mber 30, 2016
		(a)		(b)		(c)		(d)		(e)
4		2010					\$	962,159		
1 2	¢	962,159	\$	962,159	\$	31,188	φ	930,971		
2	\$ \$	930,971	φ	930,971	φ	31,188		899,782		
4	\$	899,782		899,782		31,188		868,594		
4 5	φ \$	868,594		868,594		31,188		837,406		
6	э \$	837,406		837,406		31,188		806,217		
7	φ \$	806,217		806,217		31,188		775,029		
8	φ \$	775,029		775,029		31,188		743,841	\$	759,435
9	\$	743,841		743,841		31,188		743,641	φ	759,455
9 10	\$	743,641		743,641		31,188		681,464		
10	\$	681,464		681,464		31,188		650,276		
12	\$	650,276		650,276		31,188		619,088		
12	φ \$	619,088		619,088		31,188		587,899		
13	\$	587,899		587,899		31,188		556,711		
14	\$	556,711		556,711		31,188		525,523		
16	φ \$	525,523		525,523		31,188		494,334		
10	\$	494,334		494,334		31,188		463,146		
18	φ \$	463,146		463,146		31,188		431,958		
19	\$	403,140		431,958		31,188		400,770		
20	\$	400,770		400,770		31,188		369,581		
20	\$	369,581		369,581		31,188		338,393		
	Ψ ¢	,								
	ŝ									
		,		,		,		,		
	¢ ¢			,		,		,		
	ŝ	,		,						
	ŝ									
	ŝ	,		,				,		
	ŝ									
	ŝ									
	ŝ									
	Ŧ	_0,010		20,010		20,010				
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	• \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	338,393 307,205 276,016 244,828 213,640 182,452 151,263 120,075 88,887 57,698 26,510		338,393 307,205 276,016 244,828 213,640 182,452 151,263 120,075 88,887 57,698 26,510		31,188 31,188 31,188 31,188 31,188 31,188 31,188 31,188 31,188 31,188 31,188 26,510		307,205 276,016 244,828 213,640 182,452 151,263 120,075 88,887 57,698 26,510		

34

Notes: 1. The annual amortization is calculated based upon the same period reflected in GUD No. 9670, an 35

Atmos Energy Corp., Mid-Tex Division case, Schedule B, Page 2, Footnote 1 (30.85 years). 2. The March 31, 2010 amount is per GUD No. 10000 Employee Expense Rate Base Adjustment found 36 in relied file, "GUD_10000_Official Examiners Model_Final Order.xlsx."

1 in the amount of \$910,472 plus an additional \$51,687 in meals as stated in GUD No. 10000 Finding of 37 Fact 36.

38

39 * Totals may vary due to rounding.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT INJURIES AND DAMAGES RESERVE TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

		F	Per Book					Allocation	Allocated
Line No.	Account Balance As of Date	Α	mount (1)	Adju	stments (2)	Adjus	ted Amount	Factor	Amount
	(a)		(b)		(c)	(d)	= (b) + (c)	(e)	(f) = (d) * (e)
1	<u>APT - Direct</u>								
2	Adjustment	\$	59,113	\$	-	\$	59,113	100.00%	\$ 59,113
3	October 31, 2015		61,068		-		61,068	100.00%	61,068
4	November 30, 2015		62,661		-		62,661	100.00%	62,661
5	December 31, 2015		64,435		-		64,435	100.00%	64,435
6	January 31, 2016		-		-		-	100.00%	-
7	February 28, 2016		58,885		-		58,885	100.00%	58,885
8	March 31, 2016		60,659		-		60,659	100.00%	60,659
9	April 30, 2016		62,433		-		62,433	100.00%	62,433
10	May 31, 2016		57,911		-		57,911	100.00%	57,911
11	June 30, 2016		59,344		-		59,344	100.00%	59,344
12	July 31, 2016		61,118		-		61,118	100.00%	61,118
13	August 31, 2016		62,892		-		62,892	100.00%	62,892
14	September 30, 2016		61,663		-		61,663	100.00%	61,663

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT INJURIES AND DAMAGES RESERVE TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

			Per Book					Allocation	Alloca	ted
Line No.	Account Balance As of Date	A	mount (1)	Adj	ustments (2)	Adjuste	ed Amount	Factor	Amo	unt
	(a)		(b)		(C)	(d) =	(b) + (c)	(e)	(f) = (d)	* (e)
15	<u>SSU - General Office (Div. 02)</u>									
16	Adjustment	\$	6,726,995	\$	(6,538,170)	\$	188,825	20.84%	\$	39,351
17	October 31, 2015		6,655,480		(6,538,170)		117,310	20.84%		24,447
18	November 30, 2015		6,659,186		(6,538,170)		121,016	20.84%		25,220
19	December 31, 2015		6,655,587		(6,538,170)		117,417	20.84%		24,470
20	January 31, 2016		6,787,519		(6,538,170)		249,349	20.84%		51,964
21	February 28, 2016		6,812,059		(6,538,170)		273,888	20.84%		57,078
22	March 31, 2016		7,262,610		(6,988,170)		274,440	20.84%		57,193
23	April 30, 2016		7,255,311		(6,988,170)		267,141	20.84%		55,672
24	May 31, 2016		7,257,531		(6,988,170)		269,360	20.84%		56,135
25	June 30, 2016		7,259,841		(6,988,170)		271,671	20.84%		56,616
26	July 31, 2016		7,268,092		(6,988,170)		279,922	20.84%		58,336
27	August 31, 2016		7,274,731		(6,988,170)		286,561	20.84%		59,719
28	September 30, 2016		8,274,980		(7,988,170)		286,810	20.84%		59,771

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT INJURIES AND DAMAGES RESERVE TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Account Balance As of Date		Per Book mount (1)	Adiu	stments (2)	Adius	ted Amount	Allocation Factor	Allocated Amount
	(a)		(b)		(c)		= (b) + (c)	(e)	(f) = (d) * (e)
29	SSU - Customer Support (Div. 12)								
30	Adjustment	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0.00%	\$ -
31	October 31, 2015		-		-		-	0.00%	-
32	November 30, 2015		-		-		-	0.00%	-
33	December 31, 2015		-		-		-	0.00%	-
34	January 31, 2016		-		-		-	0.00%	-
35	February 28, 2016		-		-		-	0.00%	-
36	March 31, 2016		-		-		-	0.00%	-
37	April 30, 2016		-		-		-	0.00%	-
38	May 31, 2016		-		-		-	0.00%	-
39	June 30, 2016		-		-		-	0.00%	-
40	July 31, 2016		-		-		-	0.00%	-
41	August 31, 2016		-		-		-	0.00%	-
42	September 30, 2016		-		-		-	0.00%	-
43									
44	Total APT at September 30, 2016 (Col (f) = (Ln 14 + Ln 28 + Ln 42))	\$	1,786,169						\$ 121,434
45				•				:	
46	Notes:								
47	1. Account 228.2 Sub Accounts 28101	and 281	02.						

48 2. The adjustment in Column (c) removes SSU reserves not allocated to the divisions.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Account Balance As of Date	Per Book mount (1)	Ad	justments (2)		Adjusted Amount	Allocation Factor		Allocated Amount
	(a)	(b)		(c)	(c	(b) = (b) + (c)	(e)	(f) = (d) * (e)
1	APT - Direct								
2	Adjustment	\$ 7,077,903	\$	(3,257,629)	\$	3,820,273	100%	\$	3,820,273
3	October 31, 2015	4,460,783		-		4,460,783	100%		4,460,783
4	November 30, 2015	4,002,520		-		4,002,520	100%		4,002,520
5	December 31, 2015	3,966,144		-		3,966,144	100%		3,966,144
6	January 31, 2016	3,937,662		-		3,937,662	100%		3,937,662
7	February 28, 2016	3,906,863		-		3,906,863	100%		3,906,863
8	March 31, 2016	3,880,232		-		3,880,232	100%		3,880,232
9	April 30, 2016	3,840,753		-		3,840,753	100%		3,840,753
10	May 31, 2016	3,830,652		-		3,830,652	100%		3,830,652
11	June 30, 2016	3,365,938		-		3,365,938	100%		3,365,938
12	July 31, 2016	3,339,477		-		3,339,477	100%		3,339,477
13	August 31, 2016	3,307,041		-		3,307,041	100%		3,307,041
14	September 30, 2016	3,191,463		-		3,191,463	100%		3,191,463
15									
16	13 Month Average	\$ 4,008,264	\$	(250,587)	\$	3,757,677	• •	\$	3,757,677
17									

18 Notes:

19 1. Accounts 154 and 163.

20 2. Adjustment to exclude steel pipe charged to inventory due to project delay. See relied file, "FY16 Detail Trial Balance.xlsx".

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT PREPAYMENTS TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Account Balance As of Date	er Book nount (1)	Ad	justments	Adju	sted Amount	Allocation Factor		ocated mount
	(a)	(b)		(c)	(d) = (b) + (c)		(e)	(f) = (d) * (e)	
1	APT - Direct								
2	Adjustment	\$ 571,079	\$	-	\$	571,079	100.00%	\$	571,079
3	October 31, 2015	507,526		-		507,526	100.00%		507,526
4	November 30, 2015	420,994		-		420,994	100.00%		420,994
5	December 31, 2015	505,665		-		505,665	100.00%		505,665
6	January 31, 2016	371,728		-		371,728	100.00%		371,728
7	February 28, 2016	785,567		-		785,567	100.00%		785,567
8	March 31, 2016	926,158		-		926,158	100.00%		926,158
9	April 30, 2016	2,347,522		-		2,347,522	100.00%		2,347,522
10	May 31, 2016	2,853,997		-		2,853,997	100.00%		2,853,997
11	June 30, 2016	2,584,688		-		2,584,688	100.00%		2,584,688
12	July 31, 2016	2,443,099		-		2,443,099	100.00%		2,443,099
13	August 31, 2016	2,301,511		-		2,301,511	100.00%		2,301,511
14	September 30, 2016	663,755		-		663,755	100.00%		663,755
15	•	,							
16	13 Month Average	\$ 1,329,484	\$	-	\$	1,329,484	·	\$	1,329,484

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT PREPAYMENTS TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Account Balance As of Date		Per Book Amount (1)	А	djustments	Adj	usted Amount	Allocation Factor		Allocated Amount
	(a)		(b)		(c)		(d) = (b) + (c)	(e)	(f) = (d) * (e)	
17	Shared Services General Office (Div. 0) <u>2)</u>								
18	Adjustment	\$	20,331,955	\$	-	\$	20,331,955	20.84%	\$	4,237,179
19	October 31, 2015		33,755,385		-		33,755,385	20.84%		7,034,622
20	November 30, 2015		34,011,139		-		34,011,139	20.84%		7,087,921
21	December 31, 2015		31,143,313		-		31,143,313	20.84%		6,490,266
22	January 31, 2016		27,823,564		-		27,823,564	20.84%		5,798,431
23	February 28, 2016		21,886,615		-		21,886,615	20.84%		4,561,171
24	March 31, 2016		26,445,249		-		26,445,249	20.84%		5,511,190
25	April 30, 2016		25,550,137		-		25,550,137	20.84%		5,324,649
26	May 31, 2016		27,278,517		-		27,278,517	20.84%		5,684,843
27	June 30, 2016		24,655,461		-		24,655,461	20.84%		5,138,198
28	July 31, 2016		22,751,428		-		22,751,428	20.84%		4,741,398
29	August 31, 2016		19,812,706		-		19,812,706	20.84%		4,128,968
30	September 30, 2016		16,834,769		-		16,834,769	20.84%		3,508,366
31	•									
32	13 Month Average	\$	25,560,018	\$	-	\$	25,560,018	-	\$	5,326,708

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT PREPAYMENTS TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Account Balance As of Date		Book unt (1)	Adj	ustments	Adjus	ted Amount	Allocation Factor	Allocated Amount
	(a)	((b)		(c)	(d) :	= (b) + (c)	(e)	(f) = (d) * (e)
33	Shared Services Customer Support ((Div 12)							
34	Adjustment	<u>s</u>	_	\$	_	\$	_	0.00%	¢ _
35	October 31, 2015	Ψ	_	Ψ		Ψ		0.00%	Ψ -
36	November 30, 2015		_					0.00%	_
37	December 31, 2015		_				_	0.00%	_
38	January 31, 2016		_		_		-	0.00%	_
39	February 28, 2016		_		_		-	0.00%	_
40	March 31, 2016		-		-		-	0.00%	-
41	April 30, 2016		_		_		-	0.00%	_
42	May 31, 2016		_		_		-	0.00%	_
43	June 30, 2016		-		_		-	0.00%	-
44	July 31, 2016		-		_		-	0.00%	-
45	August 31, 2016		-		-		-	0.00%	-
46	September 30, 2016		-		-		-	0.00%	-
47								0.0070	
48	13 Month Average	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	-	\$ -
49	Ũ							•	•
50	September 30, 2016 Total (Ln 14 + Ln	30 + Ln 46)							\$ 4,172,121
51								=	Ŧ, ,,,,
52	13 Month Average Total (Ln 16 + Ln 32	2 + l n 48)							\$ 6,656,192
53		(0)						:	\$ 3,000,102
53 54	Note:								
54	INDIG.								

54 <u>Note:</u>55 1. Account 165.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT UNDERGROUND STORAGE & LINE PACK GAS TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

		Mid-Tex		
		Gas Stored -	Defe	erred Debits -
Line No.	Account Balance As of Date	Current (1)	Line	Pack Gas (2)
	(a)	(b)		(c)
1	Adjustment	\$ 115,530,731	\$	4,385,237
2	October 31, 2015	121,172,541		4,385,237
3	Adjustment	113,059,251		4,385,237
4	December 31, 2015	107,368,157		4,385,237
5	January 31, 2016	95,261,765		4,385,237
6	February 28, 2016	99,786,776		4,385,237
7	March 31, 2016	98,252,242		4,385,237
8	April 30, 2016	99,545,328		4,385,237
9	May 31, 2016	101,035,606		4,385,237
10	June 30, 2016	102,565,924		4,385,237
11	July 31, 2016	105,431,428		4,385,237
12	August 31, 2016	108,297,249		4,385,237
13	September 30, 2016	111,188,657		4,385,237
14				
15	13 Month Average	\$ 106,038,127	\$	4,385,237
16				
17	Notes:			

18 1. Gas Stored - Current, Account 164.1, was moved from Mid-Tex to APT to reflect the GUD 9400 and GUD 10000 Final Order classification for rate purposes.

19 2. Account 186, Sub Account 13956.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES TEST YEAR ENDING BALANCE TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line		(• • • •	Assets / (Liabilities) -		
No.	Deferred Tax Item	Per Boo	ok Balances (1) (2)	Adjustments (c)	Adjusted Bal	
	(a)		(b)	(0)	(d) = (b) +	(0)
1	APT - Direct					
2	Ad Valorem Taxes	\$	(1,361,251) \$	-	\$ (1,3	61,251)
3	Adjustment		1,850,506	(519,292)		31,214
4	Self Insurance - Adjustment		-	. ,		-
5	Worker's Comp Insurance Reserve		141,522		1	41,522
6	SEBP Adjustment		1,211,376		1,2	11,376
7	FAS 106 Adjustment		(2,050,380)		(2,0	50,380)
8	CWIP		89,061	(89,061)		-
9	RWIP		(450,230)		(4	50,230)
10	Fixed Asset Cost Adjustment		(457,524,372)		(457,5	24,372)
11	Depreciation Adjustment		(30,062,037)		(30,0	62,037)
12	Section 481(a) TPR		-			-
13	TXU - Goodwill Amortization		(39,858,377)	39,858,377		-
14	Deferred Expense Projects		(24,791)		(24,791)
15	UNICAP Section 263A Costs (3)		-	3,593,587	3,5	93,587
16	Allowance for Doubtful Accounts		8,037	(8,037)		-
17	Prepayments		(242,237)		(2	42,237)
18	Rate Case Accrual		(5,294,205)	5,294,205		-
19	WACOG to FIFO Adjustment (3)		-	(2,180,659)	(2,1	80,659)
20	Reg Asset Benefit Accrual		(3,164,472)		(3,1	64,472)
21	Intra Period Tax Allocation		-			-
22	Total APT - Direct (Sum Ln 2 through Ln 21)	\$	(536,731,850) \$	45,949,120	\$ (490,7	82,730)
23						

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES TEST YEAR ENDING BALANCE TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line		(Assets / Liabilities) -		(Assets / Liabilities) -
No.	Deferred Tax Item	Per Boo	ok Balances (1) (2)	Adjustments	Adju	isted Balances
	(a)		(b)	(c)	((d) = (b) + (c)
24	SSU - General Office (Div 02)					
25	Directors Deferred Bonus	\$	166,965	\$ -	\$	166,965
26	MIP/VPP Accrual		1,498,907	(1,498,907)		-
27	Miscellaneous Accrured		-			-
28	Self Insurance - Adjustment		2,915,283	(2,915,283)		-
29	Worker's Comp Insurance Reserve		104,671			104,671
30	SEBP Adjustment		26,316,340	(26,316,340)		-
31	Restricted Stock Grant Plan		4,631,448	(4,631,448)		-
32	Rabbi Trust		1,442,452	(1,442,452)		-
33	Restricted Stock - MIP		12,632,356	(12,632,356)		-
34	Director's Stock Awards		5,939,395			5,939,395
35	Pension Expense		(30,651,600)			(30,651,600)
36	FAS 106 Adjustment		8,944,489			8,944,489
37	CWIP		1,707,565	(1,707,565)		-
38	RWIP		(3,782)			(3,782)
39	Fixed Asset Cost Adjustment		(42,023,581)			(42,023,581)
40	Depreciation Adjustment		17,524,367			17,524,367
41	Section 481(a) Cushion Gas		549,209	(549,209)		-
42	Section 481(a) Line Pack Gas		66,639	(66,639)		-
43	Deferred Expense Projects		-			-
44	Allowance for Doubtful Accounts		2	(2)		-
45	Clearing Account - Adjustment		-			-
46	Charitable Contribution Carryover		11,032,917	(11,032,917)		-
47	Prepayments		(4,047,588)			(4,047,588)
48	Federal & State Tax Interest		439,887			439,887
49	VA Charitable Contributions		(9,275,764)	9,275,764		-
50	FD - NOL Credit Carryforward - Non Reg		(237,733,657)	237,733,657		-
51	FD - NOL Credit Carryforward - Utility		725,716,695	-		725,716,695
52	FD - NOL Credit Carryforward - Other		6,052,102	(6,052,102)		-
53	ST - State Net Operating Loss		-	-		-
54	FD - FAS 115 Adjustment		(2,481,569)			(2,481,569)
55	FD - Federal Benefit on State NOL		-	-		-
56	FD - AMT Minimum Tax Credit		10,099,286			10,099,286
57	ST - Valuation Allow Enterprise Zone ITC		(120,928)	120,928		-
58	FD - Valuation Allow Fed Tax Enterprise Zone ITC		42,325	(42,325)		-

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES TEST YEAR ENDING BALANCE TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Deferred Tax Item	Per Bo	Assets / (Liabilities) - ook Balances (1) (2)	Adjustments	Ad	Assets / (Liabilities) - justed Balances
	(a)		(b)	(C)		(d) = (b) + (c)
59	ST - Enterprise Zone ITC		1,755,554	(1,755,554)		-
60	FD - Treasury Lock Adjustment - Realized		10,520,828	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		10,520,828
61	FD - Treasury Lock Adjustment - Unrealized		97,261,210	(97,261,210)		-
62	FD - Federal Tax on Enterprise ITC		(614,444)	614,444		-
63	Total SSU General Office (Sum Ln 25 through Ln 62)	\$	620,407,979	\$ 79,840,484	\$	700,248,463
64	Allocation Factor		20.84%	20.84%		20.84%
65	Total SSU General Office Allocated to APT (Ln 63 x Ln 64)	\$	129,293,023	\$ 16,638,757	\$	145,931,780
66						
67	SSU - Customer Support (Div 12)					
68	MIP/VPP Accrual	\$	(574,777)	\$ 574,777	\$	-
69	Worker's Comp Insurance Reserve		-	-		-
70	CWIP		(255,614)	255,614		-
71	RWIP		(137)	-		(137)
72	Fixed Asset Cost Adjustment		(45,459,621)	-		(45,459,621)
73	Depreciation Adjustment		17,798,432	-		17,798,432
74	Total SSU Customer Support (Sum Ln 68 through Ln 73)	\$	(28,491,717)	\$ 830,391	\$	(27,661,326)
75	Allocation Factor		0.00%	0.00%		0.00%
76	Total SSU Customer Support Allocated to APT (Ln 74 x Ln 75)	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-
77						
78	Grand Total APT ADIT (Sum Ln 22 + Ln 65 + Ln 76)	\$	(407,438,827)	\$ 62,587,877	\$	(344,850,951)
79						

80 Notes:

81 1. Includes FERC Accounts 190, 282 and 283.

82 2. Credit Amounts are in parentheses.

83 3. Adjustment for Storage Gas ADIT booked on Mid-Tex books per the Mid-Tex Division Final Order in GUD No. 9869 and GUD No. 10000.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT PENSION AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS REGULATORY ASSET TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

ine No.	Description	i.	Amounts
	(a)		(b)
1	Summarization of Pension and Other Postemployment Benefits Regulatory Asset ("Asset"):		
2			
3	Adjustment	\$	6,567,66
4			
5	Total Asset (Sum of Line 3)	\$	6,567,66
6			
7	Summarization of Asset Amortization:		
8			
9	Asset Amortization - Calculated (Asset Amount / 10 years) (1)	\$	656,76
10			
11	Asset Amortization - Per Book Amount in the Test Year		-
12			
13	Asset Amortization Adjustment (Line 9 plus Line 11) (2)	\$	656,76
14			
15			
16	Notes:		
17	1. The annual recorded amortization of the Asset is included in O&M.		

18 2. The adjustment to Asset Amortization is shown on WP_F-2.8.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT PENSION AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS REGULATORY ASSET SUMMARY TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Time Period	Description	Expense Amount	Total Amount
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
1 2	Total Asset Amount - By Period (1): Adjustment			
3	January 1, 2012 - September 30, 2012	This asset amount represents the difference between the GUD No. 10000 benchmark and the FY12 Towers Watson Actuarial Report.	\$ 1,368,602	
4	October 1, 2012 - September 30, 2013	This asset amount represents the difference between the GUD No. 10000 benchmark and the FY13 Towers Watson Actuarial Report.	2,428,821	
5	October 1, 2013 - September 30, 2014	This asset amount represents the difference between the GUD No. 10000 benchmark and the FY14 Towers Watson Actuarial Report.	1,797,393	
6	October 1, 2014 - September 30, 2015	This asset amount represents the difference between the GUD No. 10000 benchmark and the FY15 Towers Watson Actuarial Report.	1,135,945	
7	October 1, 2015 - September 30, 2016	This asset amount represents the difference between the GUD No. 10000 benchmark and the FY16 Towers Watson Actuarial Report.	(163,098)	
8 9 10		Total Asset Value at September 30, 2016 (Sum Ln 3 through Ln 7)		\$ 6,567,664
11 12	Total Asset Amortization - By Period (2):			
13 14	January 1, 2012 - September 30, 2016	No amortization has been recorded prior to the end of the test year	\$ -	
15		Total Asset Amortization at September 30, 2016 (Ln 13)		\$ -
16 17		Net Asset Amount at September 30, 2016 (Ln 9 + Ln 15)		\$ 6,567,664
18 19	Notes:	use, the Company's calculated amount of the Pension and Other Postemployment Benefits Asset cost in a	ccordance with	

20 TEX. UTIL. CODE § 104.059.

21 2. The annual amortization of the Asset is included in O&M expense on Schedule F-1. The annual amortization is based on a ten year amortization period.

Line No.	Utility Account	Account Description		Amount Per Books	۸di	uctmonte (1)		Adjusted Amount
Line No.	(a)	(b)		(C)	Adji	ustments (1) (d)	($\frac{\text{Amount}}{\text{e}) = (c) + (d)}$
4		-						
1	<u>APT - Dire</u>	<u>ct</u> Intangible Plant						
2	A divistra on	t Miscellaneous Intangible Plant	¢	6,238,271	¢		¢	6,238,271
3	Adjustmen	Total Intangible Plant (Ln 3)	<u>\$</u> \$	6,238,271	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	6,238,271
4		rotar intangible Plant (Lh 3)	Þ	0,230,271	Φ	-	Φ	0,230,271
5 6		Storage Plant						
7	350.00		\$		¢		¢	
	350.00	Land and Land Rights Land	Φ	- E E10 E00	\$	4,790	\$	E E 1 E 200
8 9	350.10	Rights-of-Way		5,510,599 32,563		4,790		5,515,389
9 10		5 ,		,				32,592
10	351.00	Structures and Improvements		24,613,950		21,394		24,635,344
	352.00	Wells		78,334,938		68,089		78,403,027
12	353.00	Lines		13,244,531		11,512		13,256,043
13	354.00	Compressor Station Equipment		88,180,195		76,646		88,256,841
14	355.00	M&R Equipment		50,619,681		43,999		50,663,680
15	356.00	Purification Equipment		49,904,221		43,377		49,947,598
16	357.00	Other Equipment	-	620,643		539	^	621,183
17		Total Storage Plant (Sum Ln 7 through Ln 16)	\$	311,061,323	\$	270,374	\$	311,331,697
18								
19	005.00	Transmission Plant	•	4 000 070	•		•	4 000 070
20	365.00	Land	\$	1,232,270	\$	-	\$	1,232,270
21	365.10	ROW - Trans Comp Stat		-		-		-
22	365.20	ROW - City Gate		18,967,308		16,486		18,983,795
23	366.00	Structures and Improvements		11,462,500		9,963		11,472,463
24	367.00	Mains - Cathodic Protection		200,265,343		174,070		200,439,413
25	367.01	Mains - Steel		1,430,285,022		1,243,202		1,431,528,224
26	367.02	Mains - Plastic		11,581,285		10,066		11,591,352
27	368.00	Compressor Station Equipment		149,930,747		130,320		150,061,066
28	369.00	M&R Station Equipment		228,574,767		198,677		228,773,444
29	370.00	Communication Equipment		14,133,747		11,181		14,144,928
30	371.00	Other Equipment		4,919,152		4,276		4,923,427
31		Total Transmission Plant (Sum Ln 20 through Ln 30)	\$ 2	2,071,352,141	\$	1,798,242	\$ 3	2,073,150,383
32								
33		General Plant						
34	389.00	Land and Land Rights	\$	124,981	\$	-	\$	124,981
35	390.00	Structures and Improvements		6,279,606		5,458		6,285,064
36	391.00	Office Furniture and Equipment		4,874,479		4,237		4,878,716

	Utility		Amount		Adjusted
Line No.	Account	Account Description	Per Books	Adjustments (1)	Amount
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e) = (c) + (d)
37	392.00	Transportation Equipment	1,561,599	1,357	1,562,956
38	393.00	Stores Equipment	-	-	-
39	394.00	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment	9,837,772	8,551	9,846,323
40	395.00	Laboratory Equipment	172,495	150	172,645
41	396.00	Power Operated Equipment	3,051,689	2,653	3,054,342
42	397.00	Communication Equipment	735,453	639	736,092
43	397.02	Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios	80,444	70	80,513
44	397.05	Communication Equipment - Telemetering	114,580	100	114,680
45	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment	8,186,506	7,116	8,193,622
46	399.00	Other Tangible Property	71,110	62	71,172
47	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware	611,913	532	612,444
48	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software	1,407,444	1,223	1,408,668
49	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware	71,335	62	71,397
50	399.06	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	792,972	689	793,661
51	399.07	Other Tangible Property - PC Software	794,285	690	794,975
52		Total General Plant (Sum Ln 34 through Ln 51)	\$ 38,768,664	\$ 33,589	\$ 38,802,253
53		······································	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
54		Total APT - Direct (Ln 4 + Ln 17 + Ln 31 + Ln 52)	\$ 2,427,420,399	\$ 2,102,205	\$ 2,429,522,604
55			÷ _, :_: ; :_0,000	+ _,:01,200	+ _, :=t,t == ,001
00					

Link M. Account Costription Tot Both Applications (r) Auture in the cost of the cos	Line No.	Utility Account	Account Description		Amount Per Books Adjustm				Adjusted Amount
SSU General Office (Division 002) Structures and Improvements \$ 1,411,378 \$ - \$ 1,411,378 58 390.00 Structures and Improvements 8,966,040 (666,292) 8,311,748 59 390.00 Office Furniture and Equipment 9,386,159 (76,411) 9,319,747 61 392.00 Transportation Equipment 7,125 - 7,125 62 393.00 Stores Equipment 160,005 - 160,005 63 395.00 Laboratory Equipment - - - 65 397.00 Communication Equipment 136,510 - 136,510 66 386.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 1362,506 - 31,622,506 67 399.00 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 13,625,506 - 31,625,506 68 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 1,807,627 - 1,807,627 72 399.02 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 534,105 - 534,105 73 399.	Line No.					Auju			
57 General Plant 58 390.00 Structures and Improvements to Leased Premises \$ 1,411,378 \$ - \$ 1,411,378 59 390.09 Improvements to Leased Premises $8,968,040$ (656,292) $8,311,748$ 60 391.00 Office Furniture and Equipment $9,396,159$ (76,411) $9,319,747$ 61 392.00 Transportation Equipment $7,125$ - 7,125 62 333.00 Stores Equipment $7,125$ - 7,125 63 394.00 Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment 160,005 - 64 395.00 Laboratory Equipment $2,429,872$ - 2,429,872 66 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 136,510 - 162,268 67 399.00 Other Tangible Property Servers Software 31,625,506 - 31,625,506 68 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 13,828,317 - 18,988,317 70 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 3,248,234 - 3,248,234 71 399.03 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 3,248,234 - 3,248,234 73<					()		()		, , , , ,
58 390.00 Structures and Improvements \$ 1,411,378 \$ - \$ 1,411,378 59 390.00 Improvements to Leased Premises 8,968,040 (656,292) 8,311,748 60 391.00 Office Furniture and Equipment 9,366,159 (76,411) 9,319,747 61 392.00 Transportation Equipment 7,125 - 7,125 62 339.00 Stores Equipment - - - - 63 395.00 Laboratory Equipment - <	56	SSU Gene	ral Office (Division 002)						
59 390.09 Improvements to Leased Premises 8,968,040 (656,292) 8,311,748 60 391.00 Office Furniture and Equipment 9,396,159 (76,411) 9,319,747 71 392.00 Stores Equipment 7,125 - 7,7125 62 393.00 Stores Equipment - - - 63 394.00 Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment 160.005 - 160.005 64 395.00 Laboratory Equipment - - - - 65 397.00 Communication Equipment 2,429,872 - 2,429,872 66 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 136,510 - 136,510 67 399.00 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 31,622,506 - 31,625,506 68 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 3,248,234 - 3,248,234 70 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 53,4105 - 534,105 73 399.09	-		General Plant						
60 391.00 Office Furniture and Equipment 9,396,159 (76,411) 9,319,747 61 392.00 Transportation Equipment 7,125 - 7,125 62 393.00 Stores Equipment 160,005 - 160,005 63 394.00 Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment 160,005 - 160,005 64 395.00 Laboratory Equipment 2,429,872 - 2,429,872 66 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 136,510 - 136,510 67 399.00 Other Tangible Property Servers Software 31,625,506 - 31,625,506 68 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 1,807,627 - 1,807,627 - 1,807,627 70 399.02 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 534,105 - 534,105 - 3,926,252 73 399.09 Other Tangible Property - Application Software 39,252 - 39,252 - 39,252 73 399.09 Other Tangible Property - Application Software 32,252 - 39,252 - <td></td> <td>390.00</td> <td></td> <td>\$</td> <td></td> <td>\$</td> <td>-</td> <td>\$</td> <td>1,411,378</td>		390.00		\$		\$	-	\$	1,411,378
61 392.00 Transportation Equipment 7,125 - 7,125 62 393.00 Stores Equipment - - - 63 394.00 Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment 160,005 - 160,005 64 395.00 Laboratory Equipment 2,429,872 - 2,429,872 65 397.00 Communication Equipment 136,510 - 136,510 67 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 136,510 - 136,510 68 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Mardware 31,625,506 - 31,625,506 69 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 3,248,234 - - - - 18,988,317 - 18,988,317 - 18,986,317 - 18,906,627 - 18,07,627 - 1,807,627 - 1,807,627 - 1,807,627 - 1,807,627 - 3,84,105 - 5,34,105 - 5,34,105 - 5,34,105 - 5,34,105 - 5,36,39,657 3,41,927 39,050 Other Tangible Property			Improvements to Leased Premises		8,968,040		(656,292)		8,311,748
62 393.00 Stores Equipment 1 <td></td> <td>391.00</td> <td>Office Furniture and Equipment</td> <td></td> <td>9,396,159</td> <td></td> <td>(76,411)</td> <td></td> <td>9,319,747</td>		391.00	Office Furniture and Equipment		9,396,159		(76,411)		9,319,747
63 394.00 Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment 160,005 - 160,005 64 395.00 Laboratory Equipment 2,429,872 - 2,429,872 65 397.00 Communication Equipment 136,510 - 136,510 67 399.00 Other Tangible Property Servers Software 31,625,506 - 31,625,506 68 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 31,625,506 - 31,625,506 69 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 31,627,506 - 18,988,317 70 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 3,248,234 - 3,248,234 71 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 53,4,105 - 534,105 72 399.09 Other Tangible Property - Application Software 54,497,510 (857,853) 53,639,657 74 399.09 Other Tangible Property - Mainframe Software 39,252 - 39,252 75 Total General Plant (Sum Ln 58 through Ln 74) \$ 133,411,908 \$ (1,590,557) \$ 131,821,351 74 399.09 <td>61</td> <td>392.00</td> <td>Transportation Equipment</td> <td></td> <td>7,125</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>7,125</td>	61	392.00	Transportation Equipment		7,125		-		7,125
64 395.00 Laboratory Equipment - - - - 65 397.00 Communication Equipment 2,429,872 - 2,429,872 66 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 136,510 - 136,2268 67 399.00 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 31,625,506 - 31,625,506 68 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 18,988,317 - 18,988,317 70 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 3,248,234 - 3,248,234 71 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 1,807,627 - 1,807,627 72 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 534,105 - 534,105 73 399.08 Other Tangible Property - Application Software 39,252 - 39,252 75 Total General Plant (Sum Ln 58 through Ln 74) \$ 133,411,908 \$ (1,590,577) \$ 131,821,351 76 Allocation Factor (2) 20.84% 20.84% 20.84% 77 General Office Allocated to APT (Ln 75 x Ln 76) \$ 27,803,042 \$ (331,472) \$	62	393.00	Stores Equipment		-		-		-
65 397.00 Communication Equipment 2,429,872 - 2,429,872 66 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 136,510 - 136,510 67 399.00 Other Tangible Property 162,268 - 162,268 68 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 31,625,506 - 31,625,506 69 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 18,988,317 - 18,988,317 70 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 3,248,234 - 3,248,234 71 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 534,105 - 534,105 73 399.08 Other Tangible Property - Application Software 39,252 - 39,252 75 Total General Plant (Sum Ln 58 through Ln 74) \$ 133,411,908 \$ (1,590,557) \$ 131,821,351 76 Allocation Factor (2) 20.84% 20.84% 20.84% 20.84% 20.84% 20.84% 20.84% 20.84% 20.84% 20.84% 20.84% 20.84% 20.84% 20.84% 20.84%	63	394.00	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment		160,005		-		160,005
66 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 136,510 - 136,510 67 399.00 Other Tangible Property 162,268 - 162,268 68 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 31,625,506 - 31,625,506 69 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 18,988,317 - 18,988,317 70 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 3,248,234 - 3,248,234 71 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 1,807,627 - 1,807,627 72 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 534,105 - 534,105 73 399.08 Other Tangible Property - Application Software - 39,252 - 39,252 75 Total General Plant (Sum Ln 58 through Ln 74) \$ 133,411,908 \$ (1,590,557) \$ 131,821,351 76 Allocation Factor (2) Subter Creenville Data Center - 39,042 \$ (331,472) \$ 27,471,570 78 SU - Greenville Data Center - \$ 9,133,015	64	395.00	Laboratory Equipment		-		-		-
67 399.00 Other Tangible Property 162,268 - 162,268 68 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 31,625,506 - 31,625,506 69 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 18,988,317 - 18,988,317 70 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 3,248,234 - 3,248,234 71 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 1,807,627 - 1,807,627 72 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 534,105 - 534,105 73 399.08 Other Tangible Property - Application Software 39,252 - 39,252 75 Total General Plant (Sum Ln 58 through Ln 74) \$ 133,411,908 \$ (1,590,557) \$ 131,821,351 76 Allocation Factor (2) 20.84% 20.84% 20.84% 79 SSU - Greenville Data Center (331,472) \$ 27,471,570 \$ 27,471,570 78 General Plant \$ 9,133,015 \$ \$ - \$ 9,133,015 \$ 63,741 - 63,741 79 SSU - Greenville Data Center \$ 9,196,755 \$ - \$ 9,196,755 \$ 9,136,755 <td< td=""><td>65</td><td>397.00</td><td></td><td></td><td>2,429,872</td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td>2,429,872</td></td<>	65	397.00			2,429,872		-		2,429,872
68 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 31,625,506 - 31,625,506 69 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 18,988,317 - 18,988,317 70 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 3,248,234 - 3,248,234 71 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 1,807,627 - 18,07,627 72 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 534,105 - 534,105 73 399.08 Other Tangible Property - Application Software 39,252 - 39,252 74 399.09 Other Tangible Property - Mainframe Software 39,252 - 39,252 75 Total General Plant (Sum Ln 58 through Ln 74) \$ 133,411,908 \$ (1,590,557) \$ 131,821,351 76 Allocation Factor (2) 20.84% 20.84% 20.84% 77 General Office Allocated to APT (Ln 75 x Ln 76) \$ 27,803,042 \$ (331,472) \$ 27,471,570 \$ 27,471,570 78 SSU - Greenville Data Center \$ 9,133,015 \$ - \$ 9,133,015 \$ 5 - \$ 63,741 81 390.05 Structures and Improvements	66	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment		136,510		-		136,510
69 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 18,988,317 - 18,988,317 70 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 3,248,234 - 3,248,234 71 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 1,807,627 - 1,807,627 72 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 534,105 - 534,105 73 399.08 Other Tangible Property - Application Software 54,497,510 (857,853) 53,639,657 74 399.09 Other Tangible Property - Mainframe Software 39,252 - 39,252 75 Total General Plant (Sum Ln 58 through Ln 74) \$ 133,411,908 \$ (1,590,557) \$ 13,821,351 76 Allocation Factor (2) 20.84% 20.84% 20.84% 20.84% 20.84% 79 SSU - Greenville Data Center 6eneral Plant \$ 9,133,015 \$ - \$ 9,133,015 78 Sup.05 Structures and Improvements \$ 9,133,015 \$ - \$ 9,133,015 78 Sup.05 Structures a	67	399.00	Other Tangible Property		162,268		-		162,268
70 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 3,248,234 - 3,248,234 71 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 1,807,627 - 1,807,627 72 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 534,105 - 534,105 73 399.08 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 54,497,510 (87,853) 53,639,657 74 399.09 Other Tangible Property - Mainframe Software 39,252 - 39,252 75 Total General Plant (Sum Ln 58 through Ln 74) \$ 133,411,908 \$ (1,590,557) \$ 131,821,351 76 Allocation Factor (2) 20.84% <td>68</td> <td>399.01</td> <td>Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware</td> <td></td> <td>31,625,506</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>31,625,506</td>	68	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware		31,625,506		-		31,625,506
71 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 1,807,627 - 1,807,627 72 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 534,105 - 534,105 73 399.08 Other Tangible Property - Application Software 54,497,510 (857,853) 53,639,657 74 399.09 Other Tangible Property - Mainframe Software - 39,252 - 39,252 75 Total General Plant (Sum Ln 58 through Ln 74) \$ 133,411,908 \$ (1,590,557) \$ 131,821,351 76 Allocation Factor (2) 20.84% 20.84% 20.84% 20.84% 77 General Office Allocated to APT (Ln 75 x Ln 76) \$ 27,803,042 \$ (331,472) \$ 27,471,570 78 SSU - Greenville Data Center \$ 9,133,015 \$ - \$ 9,133,015 78 Structures and Improvements \$ 9,133,015 \$ - \$ 9,133,015 82 391.04 Office Furniture and Equipment - 63,741 - 63,741 83 Total General Plant (Sum of Ln 81 through Ln 82)	69	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software		18,988,317		-		18,988,317
72 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 534,105 - 534,105 73 399.08 Other Tangible Property - Application Software 54,497,510 (857,853) 53,639,657 74 399.09 Other Tangible Property - Mainframe Software 39,252 - 39,252 75 Total General Plant (Sum Ln 58 through Ln 74) \$ 133,411,908 \$ (1,590,557) \$ 131,821,351 76 Allocation Factor (2) 20.84% 20.84% 20.84% 20.84% 20.84% 77 General Office Allocated to APT (Ln 75 x Ln 76) \$ 27,803,042 \$ (331,472) \$ 27,471,570 78 SSU - Greenville Data Center \$ 9,133,015 \$ - \$ 9,133,015 \$ - \$ 9,133,015 78 Sub - Greenville Data Center \$ 9,133,015 \$ - \$ 9,133,015 \$ - \$ 9,133,015 78 Sub - Greenville Data Center \$ 9,133,015 \$ - \$ 9,133,015 \$ - \$ 9,133,015 \$ <	70	399.03			3,248,234		-		3,248,234
73 399.08 Other Tangible Property - Application Software 54,497,510 (857,853) 53,639,657 74 399.09 Other Tangible Property - Mainframe Software 39,252 - 39,252 75 Total General Plant (Sum Ln 58 through Ln 74) \$ 133,411,908<	71	399.06			1,807,627		-		1,807,627
74 399.09 Other Tangible Property - Mainframe Software 39,252 - 39,252 75 Total General Plant (Sum Ln 58 through Ln 74) \$ 133,411,908 \$ (1,590,557) \$ 131,821,351 76 Allocation Factor (2) 20.84% 20.84% 20.84% 77 General Office Allocated to APT (Ln 75 x Ln 76) \$ 27,803,042 \$ (331,472) \$ 27,471,570 78 General Plant \$ 9,133,015 \$ - \$ 9,133,015 79 SSU - Greenville Data Center \$ 9,133,015 \$ - \$ 9,133,015 81 390.05 Structures and Improvements \$ 9,133,015 \$ - \$ 9,133,015 82 391.04 Office Furniture and Equipment 63,741 - 63,741 83 Total General Plant (Sum of Ln 81 through Ln 82) \$ 9,196,755 \$ - \$ 9,196,755 84 Allocation Factor 70.13% 70.13%	72	399.07	Other Tangible Property - PC Software		534,105		-		534,105
75 Total General Plant (Sum Ln 58 through Ln 74) Allocation Factor (2) \$ 133,411,908 \$ (1,590,557) \$ 131,821,351 76 Allocation Factor (2) 20.84% 20.84% 20.84% 77 General Office Allocated to APT (Ln 75 x Ln 76) \$ 27,803,042 \$ (331,472) \$ 27,471,570 78 SSU - Greenville Data Center \$ 9,133,015 \$ - \$ 9,133,015 79 SEU - Greenville Data Center \$ 9,133,015 \$ - \$ 9,133,015 81 390.05 Structures and Improvements \$ 9,133,015 \$ - \$ 9,133,015 82 391.04 Office Furniture and Equipment 63,741 - 63,741 83 Total General Plant (Sum of Ln 81 through Ln 82) \$ 9,196,755 \$ - \$ 9,196,755 84 Allocation Factor 70.13% 70.13% 70.13%	73	399.08	Other Tangible Property - Application Software		54,497,510		(857,853)		53,639,657
76 Allocation Factor (2) 20.84% 20.84% 20.84% 77 General Office Allocated to APT (Ln 75 x Ln 76) \$ 27,803,042 \$ (331,472) \$ 27,471,570 78 79 SSU - Greenville Data Center \$ 27,803,042 \$ (331,472) \$ 27,471,570 78 79 SSU - Greenville Data Center \$ 9,133,015 \$ - \$ 9,133,015 81 390.05 Structures and Improvements \$ 9,133,015 \$ - \$ 9,133,015 82 391.04 Office Furniture and Equipment 63,741 - 63,741 83 Total General Plant (Sum of Ln 81 through Ln 82) \$ 9,196,755 \$ - \$ 9,196,755 84 Allocation Factor 70.13% 70.13%	74	399.09	Other Tangible Property - Mainframe Software		39,252		-		39,252
77 General Office Allocated to APT (Ln 75 x Ln 76) \$ 27,803,042 \$ (331,472) \$ 27,471,570 78 79 SSU - Greenville Data Center 80 General Plant 81 390.05 Structures and Improvements \$ 9,133,015 \$ - \$ 9,133,015 82 391.04 Office Furniture and Equipment 63,741 - 63,741 83 Total General Plant (Sum of Ln 81 through Ln 82) \$ 9,196,755 \$ - \$ 9,196,755 84 Allocation Factor 70.13% 70.13%	75		Total General Plant (Sum Ln 58 through Ln 74)	\$	133,411,908	\$	(1,590,557)	\$	131,821,351
78 SSU - Greenville Data Center 80 General Plant 81 390.05 Structures and Improvements 82 391.04 Office Furniture and Equipment 83 Total General Plant (Sum of Ln 81 through Ln 82) 84 Allocation Factor	76		Allocation Factor (2)		20.84%		20.84%		20.84%
79 SSU - Greenville Data Center 80 General Plant 81 390.05 Structures and Improvements and Improvements \$ 9,133,015 \$ - \$ 9,133,015 82 391.04 Office Furniture and Equipment 63,741 - 63,741 83 Total General Plant (Sum of Ln 81 through Ln 82) \$ 9,196,755 \$ - \$ 9,196,755 9,196,755 84 Allocation Factor 70.13% 70.13% 70.13%	77		General Office Allocated to APT (Ln 75 x Ln 76)	\$	27,803,042	\$	(331,472)	\$	27,471,570
80 General Plant 81 390.05 Structures and Improvements \$ 9,133,015 \$ - \$ 9,133,015 82 391.04 Office Furniture and Equipment 63,741 - 63,741 83 Total General Plant (Sum of Ln 81 through Ln 82) \$ 9,196,755 \$ - \$ 9,196,755 84 Allocation Factor 70.13% 70.13%									
81 390.05 Structures and Improvements \$ 9,133,015 \$ - \$ 9,133,015 82 391.04 Office Furniture and Equipment 63,741 - 63,741 83 Total General Plant (Sum of Ln 81 through Ln 82) \$ 9,196,755 \$ - \$ 9,196,755 84 Allocation Factor 70.13% 70.13%	79	SSU - Gree	enville Data Center						
82 391.04 Office Furniture and Equipment 63,741 - 63,741 83 Total General Plant (Sum of Ln 81 through Ln 82) \$ 9,196,755 \$ - \$ 9,196,755 84 Allocation Factor 70.13% 70.13%	80		General Plant						
83 Total General Plant (Sum of Ln 81 through Ln 82) \$ 9,196,755 \$ - \$ 9,196,755 84 Allocation Factor 70.13% 70.13% 70.13%	81	390.05	Structures and Improvements	\$	9,133,015	\$	-	\$	9,133,015
84 Allocation Factor 70.13% 70.13% 70.13%	82	391.04	Office Furniture and Equipment		63,741		-		63,741
	83		Total General Plant (Sum of Ln 81 through Ln 82)	\$	9,196,755	\$	-	\$	9,196,755
85 Greenville Data Center Allocated to APT (Ln 83 x Ln 84) \$ 6,449,777 \$ - \$ 6,449,777	84		Allocation Factor	_	70.13%		70.13%		70.13%
	85		Greenville Data Center Allocated to APT (Ln 83 x Ln 84)	\$	6,449,777	\$	-	\$	6,449,777

Line No.	Utility Io. Account Account Description		Amount Per Books	۸dii	istments (1)		Adjusted Amount
Line NO.	(a)	(b)	(C)	Auju	(d)	(e	(c) = (c) + (d)
	(4)		(0)		(4)	(0) (0) (0)
86							
87	SSU - Dist	ribution and Marketing					
88		General Plant					
89	390.20	Structures and Improvements	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
90	390.29	Improvements to Leased Premises	-		-		-
91	391.20	Office Furniture and Equipment	263,338		-		263,338
92	394.20	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment	39,435		-		39,435
93	397.20	Communication Equipment	8,824		-		8,824
94	398.20	Miscellaneous Equipment	7,388		-		7,388
95	399.21	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware	1,628,900		-		1,628,900
96	399.22	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software	961,256		-		961,256
97	399.23	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware	37,965		-		37,965
98	399.26	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	75,783		-		75,783
99	399.28	Other Tangible Property - Application Software	18,947,146		-		18,947,146
100		Total General Plant (Sum of Ln 89 through Ln 99)	\$ 21,970,034	\$	-	\$	21,970,034
101		Allocation Factor	 0.00%		0.00%		0.00%
102		Distribution and Marketing Allocated to APT (Ln 100 x Ln 101)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
103							
104	SSU - Alig	ne Pipe Projects					
105		General Plant					
106	399.31	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware	\$ 290,843	\$	-	\$	290,843
107	399.32	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software	337,635		-		337,635
108	399.38	Other Tangible Property - Application Software	17,009,382		-		17,009,382
109		Total General Plant (Sum of Ln 106 through Ln 108)	\$ 17,637,860	\$	-	\$	17,637,860
110		Allocation Factor (3)	 90.95%		90.95%		90.95%
111		Aligne Projects Allocated to APT (Ln 109 x Ln 110)	\$ 16,041,414	\$	-	\$	16,041,414
112			 • •				<u> </u>
113		Total SSU General Office Allocated to APT (Ln 77 + Ln 85 + Ln 102 + Ln 111)	\$ 50,294,232	\$	(331,472)	\$	49,962,760
114							

	Utility		Amount		Adjusted
Line No.	Account	Account Description	Per Books	Adjustments (1)	Amount
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e) = (c) + (d)
115	SSU Custo	omer Support (Division 012)			
116		General Plant			
117	389.00	Land and Land Rights	\$ 2,874,240	\$-	\$ 2,874,240
118	390.00	Structures and Improvements	12,620,665	-	12,620,665
119	390.09	Improvements to Leased Premises	2,820,614	-	2,820,614
120	391.00	Office Furniture and Equipment	2,295,208	-	2,295,208
121	397.00	Communication Equipment	1,962,785	-	1,962,785
122	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment	51,379	-	51,379
123	399.00	Other Tangible Property	629,166	-	629,166
124	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware	8,903,052	-	8,903,052
125	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software	1,818,284	-	1,818,284
126	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware	629,226	-	629,226
127	399.06	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	813,065	-	813,065
128	399.07	Other Tangible Property - PC Software	190,247	-	190,247
129	399.08	Other Tangible Property - Application Software	89,487,465	-	89,487,465
130		Total General Plant (Sum Ln 117 through Ln 129)	\$ 125,095,393	\$-	\$ 125,095,393
131		Allocation Factor	 0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
132		Customer Support Allocated to APT (Ln 130 x Ln 131)	\$ -	\$-	\$ -
133					

	Utility			Amount				Adjusted
Line No.	Account	Account Description	F	Per Books	Adju	ustments (1)		Amount
	(a)	(b)		(C)		(d)		(c) = (c) + (d)
134	<u>SSU - Cus</u>	tomer Support Charles K. Vaughn Training Center						
135		General Plant						
136	389.10	Land and Land Rights	\$	1,887,123	\$	-	\$	1,887,123
137	390.10	Structures and Improvements		11,239,300		-		11,239,300
138	391.10	Office Furniture and Equipment		204,636		-		204,636
139	392.10	Transportation Equipment		96,290		-		96,290
140	394.10	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment		347,775		-		347,775
141	395.10	Laboratory Equipment		23,632		-		23,632
142	397.10	Communication Equipment		294,319		-		294,319
143	398.10	Miscellaneous Equipment		509,283		-		509,283
144	399.10	Other Tangible Property		113,831		-		113,831
145	399.16	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware		228,123		-		228,123
146	399.17	Other Tangible Property - PC Software		102,576		-		102,576
147	399.18	Other Tangible Property - Application Software		20,560		-		20,560
148		Total General Plant (Sum of Ln 136 through Ln 147)	\$	15,067,448	\$	-	\$	15,067,448
149		Allocation Factor		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%
150		CKV Training Center Allocated to APT (Ln 148 x Ln 149)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
151								
152		Total SSU Customer Support Allocated to APT (Ln 132 + Ln 150)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
153								
154		Total APT Gross Plant (Ln 54 + Ln 113 + Ln 152)	\$ 2	,477,714,631	\$	1,770,733	\$ 2	479,485,364
155		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		· · · · ·		· · · ·		· · · · ·
156	Notes:							

1. Please see relied file, "Schedule C & D_Net Plant Adjustments.xlsx" for details related to adjustments shown in Column (d). The adjustments include

the capital portion of the pension and other postemployment benefits regulatory asset and the removal of SSU projects previously disallowed in GUD No. 157 10000 or removed to reduce controversy.

2. Allocation Factor are calculated excluding Atmos Energy Marketing. 158

159 3. The allocation factor is based upon volumes.

	Utility		Amount				
Line No.	Account	Account Description	Per Books	Adj	justments (1)	Ad	justed Amount
	(a)	(b)	(c)		(d)		(e) = (c) + (d)
1	<u>APT - Dire</u>						
2		Intangible Plant					
3	Adjustmen	t Miscellaneous Intangible Plant	\$ 6,238,271	\$	-	\$	6,238,271
4		Total Intangible Plant (Ln 3)	\$ 6,238,271	\$	-	\$	6,238,271
5							
6		Storage Plant					
7	350.00	Land and Land Rights	\$ 9,064	\$	(9,064)	\$	-
8	350.10	Land	-		-		-
9	350.20	Rights-of-Way	9,380		5,388		14,767
10	351.00	Structures and Improvements	6,961,408		(1,105,806)		5,855,602
11	352.00	Wells	10,803,413		3,495,251		14,298,665
12	353.00	Lines	3,418,253		281,618		3,699,870
13	354.00	Compressor Station Equipment	21,271,147		(2,605,184)		18,665,963
14	355.00	M&R Equipment	5,645,418		2,131,182		7,776,600
15	356.00	Purification Equipment	9,243,269		(2,183,425)		7,059,844
16	357.00	Other Equipment	 216,940		(9,960)		206,980
17		Total Storage Plant (Sum Ln 7 through Ln 16)	\$ 57,578,292	\$	0	\$	57,578,292
18							
19		Transmission Plant					
20	365.00	Land	\$ 162,287	\$	(162,287)	\$	-
21	365.10	ROW - Trans Comp Stat	11,912		(11,912)		-
22	365.20	ROW - City Gate	6,516,054		(926,949)		5,589,104
23	366.00	Structures and Improvements	3,493,523		(353,287)		3,140,237
24	367.00	Mains - Cathodic Protection	61,498,385		(9,107,539)		52,390,846
25	367.01	Mains - Steel	224,636,976		14,294,331		238,931,308
26	367.02	Mains - Plastic	1,205,346		280,332		1,485,678
27	368.00	Compressor Station Equipment	49,459,698		(7,102,940)		42,356,758
28	369.00	M&R Station Equipment	51,312,585		4,277,954		55,590,538
29	370.00	Communication Equipment	5,213,036		(541,538)		4,671,498
30	371.00	Other Equipment	2,589,079		(646,179)		1,942,900
31		Total Transmission Plant (Sum Ln 20 through Ln 30)	\$ 406,098,881	\$	(14)	\$	406,098,867
32							
33		General Plant					
34	389.00	Land and Land Rights	\$ (643)	\$	643	\$	-
35	390.00	Structures and Improvements	1,546,068		(207,497)		1,338,571
36	391.00	Office Furniture and Equipment	2,877,454		(152,869)		2,724,584

	Utility		Amount		
Line No.	Account	Account Description	Per Books	Adjustments (1)	Adjusted Amount
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e) = (c) + (d)
37	392.00	Transportation Equipment	403,733	174,682	578,415
38	393.00	Stores Equipment	-	-	-
39	394.00	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment	2,597,494	(430,587)	2,166,906
40	395.00	Laboratory Equipment	(16,420)	56,804	40,384
41	396.00	Power Operated Equipment	(634,933)	1,336,146	701,214
42	397.00	Communication Equipment	398,063	(85,331)	312,733
43	397.02	Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios	35,597	(26,273)	9,323
44	397.05	Communication Equipment - Telemetering	57,644	(7,124)	50,520
45	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment	4,516,179	(959,832)	3,556,347
46	399.00	Other Tangible Property	19,562	1,925	21,487
47	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware	120,674	541	121,216
48	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software	622,310	52,842	675,152
49	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware	13,855	(980)	12,875
50	399.06	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	392,206	(91,039)	301,166
51	399.07	Other Tangible Property - PC Software	165,483	337,950	503,432
52	RWIP	Retirement Work In Progress	(2,689,475)	-	(2,689,475)
53		Total General Plant (Sum Ln 34 through Ln 52)	\$ 10,424,849	\$0	\$ 10,424,849
54 55		Total APT - Direct (Ln 4 + Ln 17 + Ln 31 + Ln 53)	\$ 480,340,293	\$ (14)	\$ 480,340,279
56					

	Utility			Amount				
Line No.		Account Description	F	Per Books	Adju			isted Amount
	(a)	(b)		(c)		(d)	(e	e) = (c) + (d)
57	SSU Gene	ral Office (Division 002)						
58		General Plant	•		•		•	
59	390.00	Structures and Improvements	\$	408,529	\$	-	\$	408,529
60	390.09	Improvements to Leased Premises		8,970,747		(656,369)		8,314,378
61	391.00	Office Furniture and Equipment		5,955,554		(6,313)		5,949,240
62	392.00	Transportation Equipment		3,546		-		3,546
63	393.00	Stores Equipment		-		-		-
64	394.00	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment		101,081		-		101,081
65	395.00	Laboratory Equipment		-		-		-
66	397.00	Communication Equipment		1,774,856		-		1,774,856
67	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment		36,581		-		36,581
68	399.00	Other Tangible Property		163,365		-		163,365
69	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware		17,683,721		-		17,683,721
70	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software		14,653,628		-		14,653,628
71	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware		2,036,795		-		2,036,795
72	399.06	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware		777,115		-		777,115
73	399.07	Other Tangible Property - PC Software		236,291		-		236,291
74	399.08	Other Tangible Property - Application Software		27,059,721		(532,268)		26,527,453
75	399.09	Other Tangible Property - Mainframe Software		39,956		-		39,956
76	RWIP	Retirement Work In Progress		-		-		_
77		Total General Plant (Sum Ln 59 through Ln 76)	\$	79,901,486	\$	(1,194,950)	\$	78,706,536
78		Allocation Factor (2)	+	20.84%	+	20.84%	Ŧ	20.84%
79		General Office Allocated to APT (Ln 77 x Ln 78)	\$	16,651,470	\$	(249,028)	\$	16,402,442
80			+	,	+	(,)	Ŧ	
81	SSU - Gree	enville Data Center						
82		General Plant						
83	390.05	Structures and Improvements	\$	2,779,715	\$	-	\$	2,779,715
84	391.04	Office Furniture and Equipment	•	27,728	•	-	•	27,728
85		Total General Plant (Sum of Ln 83 through Ln 84)	\$	2,807,442	\$	-	\$	2,807,442
86		Allocation Factor		70.13%		70.13%	,	70.13%
87		Greenville Data Center Allocated to APT (Ln 85 x Ln 86)	\$	1,968,887	\$	-	\$	1,968,887
88				,,			Ŧ	, ,
89	SSU - Dist	ribution and Marketing						
90	390.20	Structures and Improvements	\$	(0)	\$	-	\$	(0)
91	390.29	Improvements to Leased Premises		(0)	•	-		(0)
92	391.20	Office Furniture and Equipment		83,689		-		83,689
								-

	Utility			Amount				
Line No.	Account	Account Description	F	Per Books	Adju	stments (1)	Adjust	ed Amount
	(a)	(b)		(C)		(d)	(e) =	= (c) + (d)
93	394.20	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment		20,320		-		20,320
94	397.20	Communication Equipment		2,898		-		2,898
95	398.20	Miscellaneous Equipment		447		-		447
96	399.21	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware		917,250		-		917,250
97	399.22	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software		324,978		-		324,978
98	399.23	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware		36,123		-		36,123
99	399.26	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware		15,134		-		15,134
100	399.28	Other Tangible Property - Application Software		10,280,108		-		10,280,108
101		Total General Plant (Sum of Ln 90 through Ln 100)	\$	11,680,946	\$	-	\$	11,680,946
102		Allocation Factor		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%
103		Distribution and Marketing Allocated to APT (Ln 101 x Ln 102)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
104								
105	<u>SSU - Alig</u>	ne Pipe Projects						
106		General Plant						
107	399.31	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware	\$	10,277	\$	-	\$	10,277
108	399.32	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software		7,318		-		7,318
109	399.38	Other Tangible Property - Application Software		917,843		-		917,843
110		Total General Plant (Sum of Ln 107 through Ln 109)	\$	935,438	\$	-	\$	935,438
111		Allocation Factor (3)		90.95%		90.95%		90.95%
112		Aligne Projects Allocated to APT (Ln 110 x Ln 111)	\$	850,769	\$	-	\$	850,769
113								
114		Total SSU General Office Allocated to APT (Ln 79 + Ln 87 + Ln 103 + Ln 112)	\$	19,471,126	\$	(249,028)	\$	19,222,098
115								

	Utility		Amount				
Line No.	Account	Account Description	Per Books	Adjus	stments (1)	Adjuste	ed Amount
	(a)	(b)	(c)		(d)	(e) =	: (c) + (d)
116	SSU Custo	omer Support (Division 012)					
117		General Plant					
118	389.00	Land and Land Rights	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
119	390.00	Structures and Improvements	1,114,580		-		1,114,580
120	390.09	Improvements to Leased Premises	1,464,153		-		1,464,153
121	391.00	Office Furniture and Equipment	661,080		-		661,080
122	397.00	Communication Equipment	893,350		-		893,350
123	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment	8,773		-		8,773
124	399.00	Other Tangible Property	310,890		-		310,890
125	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware	3,321,754		-		3,321,754
126	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software	855,082		-		855,082
127	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware	261,533		-		261,533
128	399.06	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	384,882		-		384,882
129	399.07	Other Tangible Property - PC Software	108,878		-		108,878
130	399.08	Other Tangible Property - Application Software	22,453,469		-		22,453,469
131	RWIP	Retirement Work In Progress	-		-		-
132		Total General Plant (Sum Ln 118 through Ln 131)	\$ 31,838,422	\$	-	\$	31,838,422
133		Allocation Factor	 0.00%		0.00%		0.00%
134		Customer Support Allocated to APT (Ln 132 x Ln 133)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
135							

	Utility		Amount				
Line No.	Account	Account Description	Per Books	Adju	stments (1)	Adju	usted Amount
	(a)	(b)	(c)		(d)	(e) = (c) + (d)
136	<u>SSU - Cus</u>	tomer Support Charles K. Vaughn Training Center					
137		General Plant					
138	389.10	Land and Land Rights	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
139	390.10	Structures and Improvements	2,067,332		-		2,067,332
140	391.10	Office Furniture and Equipment	17,714		-		17,714
141	392.10	Transportation Equipment	77,650		-		77,650
142	394.10	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment	62,766		-		62,766
143	395.10	Laboratory Equipment	13,591		-		13,591
144	397.10	Communication Equipment	123,797		-		123,797
145	398.10	Miscellaneous Equipment	115,765		-		115,765
146	399.10	Other Tangible Property	88,548		-		88,548
147	399.16	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	198,147		-		198,147
148	399.17	Other Tangible Property - PC Software	61,102		-		61,102
149	399.18	Other Tangible Property - Application Software	8,022		-		8,022
150		Total General Plant (Sum of Ln 138 through Ln 149)	\$ 2,834,434	\$	-	\$	2,834,434
151		Allocation Factor	 0.00%		0.00%		0.00%
152		CKV Training Center Allocated to APT (Ln 150 x Ln 151)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
153							
154		Total SSU Customer Support Allocated to APT (Ln 134 + Ln 152)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
155							
156		Total APT Accumulated Depreciation (Ln 55 + Ln 114 + Ln 154)	\$ 499,811,419	\$	(249,041)	\$	499,562,378
157							

158 Notes:

159 1. Please see relied file, "Schedule C & D_Net Plant Adjustments.xlsx" for details related to adjustments shown in Column (d). The adjustments include reallocation of the APT reserve and the removal of SSU projects previously disallowed in GUD No. 10000 or removed to reduce controversy.

160 2. Allocation Factor are calculated excluding Atmos Energy Marketing.

161 3. The allocation factor is based upon volumes.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT CASH WORKING CAPITAL TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Description	Test Year Expenses	Reference	Avg. Daily Expense	Revenue Lag	Expense Lag	Net Lag		rking Capital equirement
	(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)=(b)/366	(e)	(f)	(g)=(e)-(f)		(h)=(d)x(g)
1	Operation & Maintenance								
2	Adjustment	\$ 30,132,276	(1), (2)	82,329	38.76	35.07	3.70	\$	304,210
3	Other O&M - Non-Labor	97,278,016	Ln. 4 minus Ln. 2	265,787	38.76	39.25	(0.49)		(129,327)
4	Total Operation & Maintenance	\$ 127,410,291	Schedule F-1, Col. (e), Ln 46					\$	174,883
5									
6	Taxes Other Than Income								
7	Ad Valorem	\$ 19,560,136	Schedule F-5, Col. (d), Ln 2	53,443	38.76	201.50	(162.74)	\$	(8,697,092)
8	Payroll Taxes	1,898,221	Schedule F-5, Col. (d), Ln 3	5,186	38.76	39.28	(0.51)		(2,655)
9	Gas Utility PipelineTax	1,866,252	Schedule F-5, Col. (d), Ln 9	5,099	38.76	96.25	(57.49)		(293,123)
10									
11	Allocated Taxes-Shared Services								
12	Ad Valorem	150,166	Schedule F-5.1, Col. (b), Ln 42	410	38.76	189.93	(151.16)		(62,020)
13	Payroll Taxes	491,984	Schedule F-5.1, Col. (b), Ln 50	1,344	38.76	39.28	(0.51)		(688)
14									
15	Total Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	\$ 23,966,759	Sum (Ln 7 through Ln 13)					\$	(9,055,578)
16									
17	State Income Tax ("Gross Margin")	\$ 3,376,820	Schedule F-6, Col. (b), Ln.2	9,226	38.76	(46.58)	85.34		787,409
18	Federal Income Tax - Current	-	(3)	-	38.76	38.75	0.01		-
19									
20	Total Cash Working Capital Requirement	\$ 154,753,870						\$	(8,093,285)
21		 · · ·						<u> </u>	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>

21 22 <u>Notes:</u>

23 1. Includes APT and SSU labor and APT MIP/VPP (excludes SSU MIP/VPP).

24 2. WP_F-2.1, Page 2, Col (f), Ln 25 + WP_F-5.1, Col (b) Ln 45 + APT MIP/VPP.

25 3. If Schedule F-6, Page 1, Col (b), Ln 1 minus WP_E-1, Col (d), Ln 76 plus WP_B-6, Col (d), Ln 78 is less than zero, then zero will be utilized.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES TEST YEAR BEGINNING BALANCE TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line	Defensed Tay Kam	•	Assets / Liabilities) -	Adiustmente	Assets / (Liabilities) -
No.	Deferred Tax Item (a)	Per Boo	bk Balances (1) (2) (b)	Adjustments (c)	$\frac{\text{Adjusted Balances}}{(d) = (b) + (c)}$
1	APT - Direct				
2	Ad Valorem Taxes	\$	(72,076) \$	_	\$ (72,076)
2	Adjustment	φ	1,465,908	-	1,465,908
4	Self Insurance - Adjustment		1,400,500		1,403,300
5	Worker's Comp Insurance Reserve		156,142		156,142
6	SEBP Adjustment		1,097,560		1,097,560
7	FAS 106 Adjustment		(1,940,325)		(1,940,325)
8	CWIP		(6,259,913)	6,259,913	(1,010,020)
9	RWIP		(317,587)	0,200,010	(317,587)
10	Fixed Asset Cost Adjustment		(329,040,770)		(329,040,770)
11	Depreciation Adjustment		(26,310,606)		(26,310,606)
12	Section 481(a) TPR		(10,409,899)		(10,409,899)
13	TXU - Goodwill Amortization		(36,541,949)	36,541,949	(,
14	UNICAP Section 263A Costs (3)		-	2,658,793	2,658,793
15	Allowance for Doubtful Accounts		16,463	(16,463)	_,,
16	Charitable Contribution Carryover		-	-	-
17	Prepayments		(208,293)		(208,293)
18	Rate Case Accrual		(1,503,945)	1,503,945	-
19	WACOG to FIFO Adjustment (3)		-	1,216,267	1,216,267
20	Reg Asset Benefit Accrual		(3,207,020)		(3,207,020)
21	Intra Period Tax Allocation		-	-	-
22	Total APT - Direct (Sum Ln 2 through Ln 21)	\$	(413,076,309) \$	48,164,405	\$ (364,911,905)
23					

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES TEST YEAR BEGINNING BALANCE TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

		Assets /		Assets /
Line	Deferred Tay Here	(Liabilities) -	A divertime and a	(Liabilities) -
No.	Deferred Tax Item (a)	Per Book Balances (1) (2) (b)	Adjustments (c)	Adjusted Balances (d) = (b) + (c)
	(a)	(b)	(0)	(u) = (b) + (c)
24	SSU - General Office (Div 02)			
25	Directors Deferred Bonus	\$ 140,541 \$	s -	\$ 140,541
26	MIP/VPP Accrual	(1,944,142)	1,944,142	-
27	Miscellaneous Accrured	28,510		28,510
28	Self Insurance - Adjustment	2,386,432	(2,386,432)	-
29	Worker's Comp Insurance Reserve	68,217		68,217
30	SEBP Adjustment	24,888,020	(24,888,020)	-
31	Restricted Stock Grant Plan	6,917,886		6,917,886
32	Rabbi Trust	1,442,650	(1,442,650)	-
33	Restricted Stock - MIP	11,152,323	(11,152,323)	-
34	Director's Stock Awards	4,870,800		4,870,800
35	Pension Expense	(35,639,201)		(35,639,201)
36	FAS 106 Adjustment	8,766,482		8,766,482
37	CWIP	(2,150,230)	2,150,230	-
38	RWIP	(3,915)		(3,915)
39	Fixed Asset Cost Adjustment	(33,282,595)		(33,282,595)
40	Depreciation Adjustment	9,880,161		9,880,161
41	Section 481(a) Cushion Gas	549,284	(549,284)	-
42	Section 481(a) Line Pack Gas	66,648	(66,648)	-
43	Deferred Expense Projects	0		0
44	Allowance for Doubtful Accounts	2	(2)	-
45	Clearing Account - Adjustment	3,409		3,409
46	Charitable Contribution Carryover	11,423,536	(11,423,536)	-
47	Prepayments	(3,837,217)		(3,837,217)
48	Federal & State Tax Interest	271,005		271,005
49	VA Charitable Contributions	(10,286,479)	10,286,479	-
50	FD - NOL Credit Carryforward - Non Reg	(223,209,326)	223,209,326	-
51	FD - NOL Credit Carryforward - Utility	530,457,730		530,457,730
52	FD - NOL Credit Carryforward - Other	(8,887,801)	8,887,801	-
53	ST - State Net Operating Loss	- · · ·	-	-
54	FD - FAS 115 Adjustment	(2,731,369)		(2,731,369)
55	FD - Federal Benefit on State NOL	-	-	-
56	FD - AMT Minimum Tax Credit	10,099,286		10,099,286
57	ST - Enterprise Zone ITC	1,166,272	(1,166,272)	-
58	FD - Treasury Lock Adjustment - Realized	10,720,230	. ,	10,720,230

GUD No. 10580 Proposal for Decision Date Issued: June 26, 2017

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES TEST YEAR BEGINNING BALANCE **TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016**

Line			Assets / (Liabilities) -		Assets / (Liabilities) -
No.	Deferred Tax Item	Per Bo	ook Balances (1) (2)	Adjustments	usted Balances
	(a)		(b)	(c)	(d) = (b) + (c)
59	FD - Treasury Lock Adjustment - Unrealized		40,346,642	(40,346,642)	-
60	FD - Federal Tax on Enterprise ITC		(408,195)	408,195	-
61	Total SSU General Office (Sum Ln 25 through Ln 60)	\$	353,265,598	\$ 153,464,363	\$ 506,729,960
62	Allocation Factor		20.84%	20.84%	20.84%
63	Total SSU General Office Allocated to APT (Ln 61 x Ln 62)	\$	73,620,551	\$ 31,981,973	\$ 105,602,524
64				· · ·	
65	SSU - Customer Support (Div 12)				
66	MIP/VPP Accrual	\$	2,696,072	\$ (2,696,072)	\$ -
67	Worker's Comp Insurance Reserve		705	-	705
68	CWIP		(522,164)	522,164	-
69	RWIP		(745)	-	(745)
70	Fixed Asset Cost Adjustment		(43,780,403)	-	(43,780,403)
71	Depreciation Adjustment		11,859,027	-	11,859,027
72	Total SSU Customer Support (Sum Ln 66 through Ln 71)	\$	(29,747,509)	\$ (2,173,908)	\$ (31,921,416)
73	Allocation Factor		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
74	Total SSU Customer Support Allocated to APT (Ln 72 x Ln 73)	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
75					
76	Grand Total APT ADIT (Sum Ln 22 + Ln 63 + Ln 74)	\$	(339,455,759)	\$ 80,146,378	\$ (259,309,381)
77					
78	Notes:				

79 1. Includes FERC Accounts 190, 282 and 283.

80 2. Credit Amounts are in parentheses.

3. Adjustment for Storage Gas ADIT booked on Mid-Tex books per the Mid-Tex Division Final Order in GUD No. 9869 and GUD No. 10000.

Line	FERC			Per Book			Adjusted
No.	Account	Description		Amounts	Ad	justments	Amounts
	(a)	(b)		(C)		(d)	(e) = (c) + (d)
4	040	Other Cas Supply Furgeress	۴	6,592	¢		¢ 0.500
1 2	813 814	Other Gas Supply Expenses	\$	0,592 1,174,488	Φ	(4.017)	\$ 6,592
2		Operation Supervision and Engineering		, ,		(4,917)	1,169,571
3 4	817	Wells Expenses Lines Expenses		1,065,398 13,783		(86) (28)	1,065,312 13,755
4 5	818	Compressor Station Expenses		2,341,351		(20)	2,338,689
6	820	Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses		2,341,331 52,989		(2,002)	2,338,089 52,901
7	821	Purification Expenses		34,829		(39)	34,791
8	824	Other Expenses		730		(39)	730
9	831	Maintenance of Structures and Improvements		1,931		-	1,931
9 10	832	Maintenance of Reservoirs and Wells		1,931		-	1,951
10	834	Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment		4,158,558		(1,664)	4,156,894
12	835	Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment		4,138,338		(1,004)	4,130,894
13	836	Maintenance of Purification Equipment		81,365		(23)	81,276
13	850	Operation Supervision and Engineering		314,962		(612)	314,350
15	851	System Control and Load Dispatching		1,731,574		(3,538)	1,728,036
16	852	Communication System Expenses		1,984,442		(1,539)	1,982,902
17	853	Compressor Station Labor and Expenses		717,041		(1,556)	715,485
18	856	Mains Expenses		76,661,589		11,190	76,672,780
19	857	Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses		1,897,131		(5,469)	1,891,662
20	858	Transmission and Compression of Gas by Others		98,867		(0,+00)	98,867
20	859	Other Expenses		33,711		0	33,711
22	860	Rents		141,228		-	141,228
23	863	Maintenance of Mains		1,667,403		(1,098)	1,666,305
24	864	Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment		1,503,134		(751)	1,502,383
25	865	Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment		625,182		(277)	624,905
26	866	Maintenance of Communication Equipment		161,160		(209)	160,951
27	867	Maintenance of Other Equipment		132,082		(1)	132,082
28	902	Meter Reading Expenses					
29	903	Customer Records and Collection Expenses		-		-	-
30	904	Uncollectible Accounts		(9,169)		-	(9,169)
31	910	Miscellaneous Customer Service and Informational Expenses		1,536,911		(104,896)	1,432,016
32	911	Supervision		108		(101,000)	108
33	912	Demonstrating and Selling Expenses		2,450		-	2,450
34	913	Advertising Expenses		2,100		-	2,100
35	916	Miscellaneous Sales Expenses		1,352,769		(2,641)	1,350,128
36	920	Administrative and General Salaries		1,038,364		(2,235)	1,036,130
	0=0			.,000,001		(_,_30)	.,,

Line	FERC		Per Book		Adjusted
No.	Account	Description	Amounts	Adjustments	Amounts
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e) = (c) + (d)
37	921	Office Supplies and Expenses	695,653	(205,076)	490,576
38	922	Administrative Expenses Transferred— Credit	14,883,550	1,475,079	16,358,629
39	923	Outside Services Employed	1,086,753	(328)	1,086,424
40	924	Property Insurance	413,805	33,055	446,860
41	925	Injuries and Damages	448,644	-	448,644
42	926	Employee Pensions and Benefits	10,110,066	(1,971,710)	8,138,356
43	930.2	Miscellaneous General Expenses	36,814	(273,761)	(236,947)
44	931	Rents	260,320	-	260,320
45					
46	Total Op	peration & Maintenance Expenses (Sum of Ln 1 through Ln 44)	\$ 128,476,262	\$ (1,065,971)	\$ 127,410,291

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT ADJUSTMENTS TO OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

ine No.	FERC Account	Description	Base Labor	Medical and Dental Benefits	Pensions & Retiree Medical Benefits	Property Insurance	Blank Sheet	Employee Expense	SSU Service Level Factors	Miscellaneous Adjustments	Blank Sheet	Rule Compliance	Blank Sheet	Total Adjustmen
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(I)	(m)	(n)
1	813	Other Gas Supply Expenses	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
2	814	Operation Supervision and Engineering	(2,049)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,868)	-	-	-	(4,91
3	Adjustment	Wells Expenses	(86)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(8
4	817	Lines Expenses	(28)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2
5	818	Compressor Station Expenses	(2,517)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(145)	-	(2,66
6	820	Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses	(88)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3)
7	821	Purification Expenses	(39)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(3
8	824	Other Expenses	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	831	Maintenance of Structures and Improvements	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	832	Maintenance of Reservoirs and Wells	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	834	Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment	(1,664)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1,66
12	835	Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment	(25)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2
13	836	Maintenance of Purification Equipment	(90)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(9
14	850	Operation Supervision and Engineering	(612)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(61
15	851	System Control and Load Dispatching	(3,538)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(3,53
16	852	Communication System Expenses	(1,539)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1,53
17	853	Compressor Station Labor and Expenses	(1,085)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(471)	-	(1,5
18	856	Mains Expenses	(22,151)	-	-	-	-	_	_	33,342	-	()		11,1
19	857	Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses	(2,502)	-	-	-	-	_	_	(2,967)	-	-		(5,4
20	858	Transmission and Compression of Gas by Others	(2,002)	_	_	_	_	_	_	(2,007)	_	_	_	(0,-
20	859	Other Expenses	0	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
22	860	Rents	0	_	_						_			
22	863	Maintenance of Mains	(1,098)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1,0
23	864	Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment	(1,098)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1,0
24 25	865	Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment	(277)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2)
25 26	866	Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment	(209)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
26 27	867	Maintenance of Communication Equipment Maintenance of Other Equipment	. ,	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(20
			(1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
28	902	Meter Reading Expenses	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	903	Customer Records and Collection Expenses	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	904	Uncollectible Accounts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
31	910	Miscellaneous Customer Service and Informational Expenses	(2,453)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(86,261)	-	(16,182)	-	(104,8
32	911	Supervision	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	912	Demonstrating and Selling Expenses	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
34	913	Advertising Expenses	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	916	Miscellaneous Sales Expenses	(2,641)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,6
36	920	Administrative and General Salaries	(2,235)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,2
37	921	Office Supplies and Expenses	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(205,076)	-	-	-	(205,0
38	922	Administrative Expenses Transferred— Credit	(56,270)	(18,272)	499,235	(85)	-	(44,135)	1,206,774	(110,619)	-	(1,548)	-	1,475,0
39	923	Outside Services Employed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(328)	-	-	-	(33
40	924	Property Insurance	-	-	-	33,055	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33,0
41	925	Injuries and Damages	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
42	926	Employee Pensions and Benefits	-	45,635	(1,076,375)	-	-	-	-	656,766	(1,597,736	i) -	-	(1,971,7
43	930.2	Miscellaneous General Expenses	-	-	-	-	-	(264,468)	-	(245)	-	(9,048)	-	(273,7
44	931	Rents	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	,-
45		Total O&M Expense Adjustments (Sum Ln 1 through Ln 44)	\$ (103,948)	\$ 27,363	\$ (577,140)	\$ 32,970	\$ -	\$ (308,602)	\$ 1,206,774	\$ 281,743	\$ (1,597,736	6) \$ (27,394)	s -	\$ (1,065,97

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT BASE LABOR ADJUSTMENT TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Description	Shared Services - omer Support	Shared Services - eneral Office		Shared Services - Total	Mid-Tex Employees Only	AP'	T Employees Only		Total Adjustment
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(0	(b) = (b) + (c)	(e)		(f)	(g) :	= (d) + (e) + (f)
1 2	Annualized October 31, 2016 Employee Salaries (1) (5)	\$ 28,791,482	\$ 38,820,661	\$	67,612,143	\$ 104,635,616	\$	6,995,242		
3	Adjustment	28,184,026	39,200,530		67,384,556	105,117,221		6,984,206		
4 5 6	Base Labor Adjustment Total (Ln 1 - Ln 3)	\$ 607,456	\$ (379,869)	\$	227,587	\$ (481,605)	\$	11,036		
7	Allocation Factor (2) (3)		20.84%		20.84%	28.73%		80.21%		
8										
9 10	Total Allocated Base Labor Adjustment (Ln 5 times Ln 7)	\$ -	\$ (79,165)	\$	(79,165)	\$ (138,374)	\$	8,852		
11 12	O&M Expense Factor (2) (3)		71.08%		71.08%	38.31%		60.20%		
13 14	Test Year Base Labor O&M Expense Adjustment (Ln 9 times Ln 11)	\$ -	\$ (56,270)	\$	(56,270)	\$ (53,007)	\$	5,329	\$	(103,948)
15	Adjustment Summary:									
16	Account 922			\$	(56,270)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	(56,270)
17	Other O&M Accounts (4)				-	(53,007)		5,329		(47,678)
18	Total (Ln 16 + Ln 17)			\$	(56,270)	\$ (53,007)	\$	5,329	\$	(103,948)
19										
20	Notos									

20 Notes:

21 1. Annual salaries are base labor only and do not include items such as overtime and bonuses.

22 2. Shared Services based upon FY17 factors, as adjusted for the four-factor formula including Operating Income, and excluding Atmos Energy Marketing. Mid-Tex and APT factors are based upon actual test year ratios.

23 3. The factors in Col (d) are based upon the General Office Factors only, since Customer Support does not allocate to APT. The General Office factors are used in the calculation of other employee related adjustments.

4. Distribution by account was based upon per book O&M test year labor (See Page 2).

5. SSU amounts exclude cost centers which do not allocate to APT, employee time charged below the line for ratemaking purposes and excludes employees that moved from Cost Center 1227 in General Office to Cost Center 1226 in Customer Support.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT BASE LABOR ADJUSTMENT - DISTRIBUTION OF MID-TEX AND ATMOS PIPELINE TEXAS DIRECT BY FERC ACCOUNT TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	FERC Acct	Account Description		ook O&M .abor	Ratio of Labor by Account			Adju O&M I		Lab	Functional oor by FERC ccount (1)
	(a)	(b)		(c)	(d)	(e)	(f) = (c) + (e)		(g)
1	814	Operation supervision and engineering	\$	987,332	4.30%	\$	(2,049)	\$	85,283		
2	816	Wells expenses	Ŧ	41,331	0.18%	÷	(86)		41,246		
3	817	Lines expenses		13,645	0.06%		(28)		13,617		
4	818	Compressor station expenses		1,213,229	5.28%		(2,517)	1,2	210,712		
5	820	Measuring and regulating station expenses		42,287	0.18%		(88)	,	42,199		
6	821	Purification expenses		18,557	0.08%		(39)		18,518		
7	834	Maintenance of compressor station equipment		802,103	3.49%		(1,664)	8	300,439		
8	835	Maintenance of measuring and regulating station equipment		12,024	0.05%		(25)		11,999		
9	836	Maintenance of purification equipment		43,208	0.19%		(90)		43,119	\$	3,167,132
10	850	Operation supervision and engineering		294,957	1.28%		(612)	2	294,345		
11	851	System control and load dispatching		1,705,351	7.42%		(3,538)	1,7	01,813		
12	852	Communication system expenses		741,896	3.23%		(1,539)	7	40,357		
13	853	Compressor station labor and expenses		523,011	2.28%		(1,085)	5	521,926		
14	856	Mains expenses		10,676,281	46.46%		(22,151)	10,6	54,130		
15	857	Measuring and regulating station expenses		1,206,085	5.25%		(2,502)	1,2	203,583		
16	859	Other expenses		(70)	0.00%		0		(70)		
17	863	Maintenance of mains		529,306	2.30%		(1,098)	5	528,208		
18	864	Maintenance of compressor station equipment		361,968	1.58%		(751)	3	861,217		
19	865	Maintenance of measuring and regulating station equipment		133,425	0.58%		(277)	1	33,148		
20	866	Maintenance of communication equipment		100,904	0.44%		(209)	1	00,695		
21	867	Maintenance of other equipment		253	0.00%		(1)		252		16,239,605
22	910	Miscellaneous customer service and informational expenses		1,182,308	5.15%		(2,453)	1,1	79,855		
23	916	Miscellaneous sales expenses		1,272,794	5.54%		(2,641)	1,2	270,153		
24	920	Administrative and general salaries		1,077,060	4.69%		(2,235)	1	74,826		
25		Totals (Sum of Ln 1 through Ln 24)	\$	22,979,248	100.00%	\$	(47,678)	\$ 22,9	31,570	\$	19,406,736

26

27 <u>Note:</u>

1. Column (g) has been added to link the total of certain FERC accounts to the Class Cost of Service Study, Schedule H-1. Column (g), Line 9 is the sum of Column (f) FERC Accounts 814 through 836; Column (g), Line 21 is the sum of Column (f) FERC Accounts 850 through 867. Column (f) FERC Accounts 910 through 920 are not included in the total in Column (g), Line 25; therefore, Column (g), Line 25 does not equal Column (f), Line 25.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT MEDICAL AND DENTAL BENEFITS ADJUSTMENT TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Description	Shared Services	Mid-Tex Direct	APT Direct	Total Adjustment
NO.	Description				Total Adjustment $(a) = (b) + (a) + (d)$
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e) = (b) + (c) + (d)
1 2	Test Year Ended Cost per Average Number of Employees (1)	\$ 12,245	\$ 12,245	\$ 12,245	
2 3 4	Adjustment	 971	1,704	62	
5	Sub-Total (Ln 1 x Ln 3)	\$ 11,890,100	\$ 20,865,840	\$ 759,203	
7 8	Test Year Medical and Dental Cost	 12,013,449	20,364,208	779,037	
9 10	Medical and Dental Cost Adjustment (Ln 5 - Ln 7)	\$ (123,349)	\$ 501,632	\$ (19,834)	
11 12	Allocation Factor (3)	 20.84%	28.73%	80.21%	
13 14	Allocated Medical and Dental Cost Adjustment (Ln 9 x Ln 11)	\$ (25,706)	\$ 144,128	\$ (15,909)	
15 16	Labor Expense Factor (3)	71.08%	38.31%	60.20%	
17 18	Test Year Medical and Dental Expense Adjustment (Ln 13 x Ln 15)	\$ (18,272)	\$ 55,211	\$ (9,576)	\$ 27,363
19	Adjustment Summary:				
20	Account 922	\$ (18,272)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (18,272)
21	Account 926	-	55,211	(9,576)	45,635
22	Total (Ln 20 + Ln 21)	\$ (18,272)	\$ 55,211	\$ (9,576)	
23					

24 Notes:

25 1. The cost per average employee includes an adjustment to normalize the stop loss premium for 2016 and to include the 2017 premium increase.

26 2. SSU amounts exclude cost centers which do not allocate to the Atmos Pipeline - Texas for ratemaking purposes.

3. Shared Services based upon FY17 factors, as adjusted for the four-factor formula including Operating Income and excluding Atmos Energy Marketing. Mid 27 Tex and APT factors are based upon actual test year ratios.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS PLAN ADJUSTMENTS TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

			Shared	d Se	ervices		Mid-T	ex	Direct				APT Direct				
												S	Supplemental				
Line			Pension	Ро	st-employment		Pension	P	ost-employment		Pension		Executive	Po	st-employment	Α	djustment
No.	Description	Ac	count Plan		Benefit Plan	Ac	count Plan		Benefit Plan	Ac	count Plan		Benefit Plan		Benefit Plan		Total
	(a)		(b)		(c)		(d)		(e)		(f)		(g)		(h)		(i)
	Proposed Benefits Benchmark -																
1	FY 2017 Willis Towers Watson Report (1) (4)	\$	4,606,679	\$	2,636,253	\$	8,234,627	\$	4,375,142	\$	557,272	\$	323,031	\$	(321,986)		
2	Adjustment		71.08%		71.08%		38.31%		38.31%		60.20%		100.00%		60.20%		
3	Proposed Benefits Benchmark - Expense (Ln 1 x Ln 2)	\$	3,274,413	\$	1,873,840	\$	3,154,445	\$	1,675,989	\$	335,451	\$	323,031	\$	(193,820)		
4	Allocation Factor (2) (3)	Ŷ	20.84%		20.84%	Ŷ	28.73%		28.73%	Ŷ	100.00%		100.00%		100.00%		
	Allocated Proposed Benefits Benchmark Expense																
5	(Ln 3 times Ln 4)	\$	682,388	\$	390,508	\$	906,331	\$	481,543	\$	335,451	\$	323,031	\$	(193,820)	\$	2,925,432
6																	
7	Current Benefits O&M Benchmark, GUD 10000 (5)	\$	283,066	\$	290,595	\$	957,920	\$	1,114,069	\$	278,033	\$	280,760	\$	298,130	\$	3,502,572
8																	
9	Test Year Adjustment (Ln 5 - Ln 7)	\$	399,321	\$	99,914	\$	(51,589)	\$	(632,526)	\$	57,419	\$	42,271	\$	(491,950)	\$	(577,140)
10																	
11	Adjustment Summary:																
12																	
13	Account 922	\$	399,321	\$	99,914	\$	-	\$; <u>-</u>	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	499,235
14	Account 926		-		-		(51,589)		(632,526)		57,419		42,271		(491,950)		(1,076,375)
15	Total (Ln 13 + Ln 14)	\$	399,321	\$	99,914	\$	(51,589)	\$	(632,526)	\$	57,419	\$	42,271	\$	(491,950)	\$	(577,140)
16																	

16

17

18 <u>Notes:</u>

19 1. Studies not applicable to APT, Mid-Tex, and Shared Services are omitted.

20 2. Shared Services' ratios are based upon FY17 factors, as adjusted for the four-factor method including Operating Income, and excluding Atmos Energy Marketing. APT and Mid-Tex factors are based upon actual test year ratios.

21 3. Mid-Tex Division does not allocate SEBP expense to APT.

22 4. SSU amounts exclude cost centers which do not allocate to APT for ratemaking purposes.

23 5. The current benchmark is calculated per TEX. UTIL. CODE § 104.059. The amounts are per GUD No. 10000, Final Order Schedule F-2.3.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS PLAN FOR APPROVAL TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

			Shared S	Servi	ces		Mid-Tex	c Dir	ect				APT Direct				
					Post-				Post-			Su	pplemental		Post-		
Line			Pension	en	nployment		Pension	e	nployment		Pension	E	Executive	e	mployment	Ad	justment
No.	Description	Ac	count Plan	Be	enefit Plan	Ac	count Plan	В	enefit Plan	Ac	count Plan	B	enefit Plan	В	enefit Plan		Total
	(a)		(b)		(C)		(d)		(e)		(f)		(g)		(h)		(i)
	Proposed Benefits Benchmark - FY 2017 Willis Towers																
1	Watson Report	\$	4,606,679	\$	2,636,253	\$	8,234,627	\$	4,375,142	\$	557,272	\$	323,031	\$	(321,986)		
2	Adjustment	Ψ	20.84%	Ψ	20.84%	Ψ	28.73%	Ψ	28.73%		100.00%	Ψ	100.00%	Ψ	100.00%		
-	Proposed Benefits Benchmark Costs (Excluding		2010 170		2010 170		2011 0 / 0		2011 0 / 0								
3	Removed Cost Centers)																
-	Allocated to APT (Ln 1 x Ln 2)	\$	960,032	\$	549,395	\$	2,365,962	\$	1,257,060	\$	557,272	\$	323,031	\$	(321,986)		
4	O&M and Capital Factor	+	100.00%	Ŧ	100.00%	*	100.00%	*	100.00%		100.00%	*	100.00%	Ŧ	100.00%		
	Proposed Benefits Benchmark Costs To Approve																
5	(Excluding Removed Cost Centers) (Ln 3 x Ln 4)	\$	960,032	\$	549,395	\$	2,365,962	\$	1,257,060	\$	557,272	\$	323,031	\$	(321,986)	\$	5,690,766
6		_	·		· · ·		· · ·		· · · ·		· ·		· · · ·				
7																	
8	Summary of Expense Costs to Approve:																
9																	
10																	
11	O&M Expense Factor (WP_F-2.3, Ln 2)		71.08%		71.08%		38.31%		38.31%		60.20%		100.00%		60.20%		
12																	
13	Total Pension Account Plan	\$	682,388			\$	906,331			\$	335,451					\$	1,924,170
14	Total Post-Retirement Medical Plan			\$	390,508			\$	481,543					\$	(193,820)		678,231
15	Total Supplemental Executive Benefit Plan			*		-						\$	323,031			+	323,031
16	Total (Ln 13 + Ln 14 + Ln 15)	\$	682,388	\$	390,508	\$	906,331	\$	481,543	\$	335,451	\$	323,031	\$	(193,820)	\$	2,925,432

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT PROPERTY INSURANCE ADJUSTMENT TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Description		ed Services	ΑΡΤ	Total Adjustment		
	(a)		(b)	(c)	(d) = (b) + (c)	
1	Premium Adjustment:						
2	Annual Premium - Current Policy (TME March 2017) (1)	\$	158,719	\$ 1,744,253			
3	Adjustment		160,271	1,615,226			
4	Subtotal (Ln 2 - Ln 3)	\$	(1,552)	\$ 129,027			
5	Allocation Factor (2)		20.84%	100.00%			
6	Allocated Total Adjustment (Ln 4 x Ln 5)	\$	(323)	\$ 129,027			
7	O&M Expense Factor (2)		26.26%	25.62%			
8	Premium Adjustment (Ln 6 times Ln 7)	\$	(85)	\$ 33,055	\$	32,970	
9							
10	Adjustment Summary:						
11	Account 922	\$	(85)	\$ -	\$	(85)	
12	Account 924		-	33,055		33,055	
13	Total (Ln. 11+ Ln. 12)	\$	(85)	\$ 33,055	\$	32,970	
14							
15	Notes:						

16 1. The premium amounts include 4.85% tax per TEX. INS. CODE § 226.003 (a).

 SSU General Office factors are based upon Cost Center 1915, adjusted to the four-factor formula including Operating Income, and excluding Atmos Energy Marketing. APT factors are based upon actual test year ratios. ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT BLANK SHEET TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT EMPLOYEE EXPENSE ADJUSTMENT **TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016**

Line No.	Description		ed Services - ner Support (1)				APT Direct	Total Adjustment		
	(a)		(b)		(c)		(d)		(e)	
1	Twelve Months Ended September 30, 2016:									
2	Employee Expense Adjustment	\$	168,422	\$	297,945	\$	264,468			
3	Adjustment		0.00%		20.84%		100.00%			
4								•		
5	Allocated Employee Expense Totals (Ln 2 x Ln 3)	\$	-	\$	62,092	\$	264,468			
6	O&M Expense Factor (2) (3)		0.00%		71.08%		100.00%			
7								•		
8	Total O&M Expense Adjustment (Ln 5 x Ln 6)	\$	-	\$	44,135	\$	264,468	\$	308,602	
9										
10	Adjustment Summary by Account:									
11	Account 922	\$	-	\$	(44,135)	\$	-	\$	(44,135)	
12	Account 930.2	·	-		-		(264,468)		(264,468)	
13	Totals (Ln 11 + Ln 12)	\$	-	\$	(44,135)	\$	(264,468)	\$	(308,602)	
14										

14

15

16 Notes:

1. Shared Services - Customer Support does not provide services to APT; therefore no adjustment is allocated. 17 2. See WP_F-2.1, Col (b) and Col (c), Ln 7 and Ln 11, as applicable, for the Shared Services factors, as adjusted.

18

3. APT costs are directly charged and not allocated. 19

		Per Book			FY 2	2017				
Lin				Total	Capitalization	Capitalization			SSU	Expense
е		SSU O&M	SSU O&M	SSU O&M	Rate (Labor	Rate (Non-	Allocation		Allocated	Allocated
No.	Description (1)	(Labor)	(Non-Labor)	Expense	Exp)	Labor Exp)	Factor (2)	Total	to Capital	to APT
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d) = (b) + (c)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h) = (d) * (g)	(i) = (b*e*g) + (c*f*g)	(j) = (h) - (i)
1	1001 SS Dallas President and COO	\$ 529,654	\$ 1,285,083	\$ 1,814,737	73.74%	73.74%	20.84%	\$ 378,191	\$ 278,867	\$ 99,324
2	djustme SS Dallas Chief Financial Officer	506,677	1,057,461	1,564,138	73.74%	73.74%	20.84%	325,966	240,358	85,608
3	1105 SS Dallas Audit	-	4,682,407	4,682,407	0.00%	0.00%	20.84%	975,814	-	975,814
4	1106 SS Dallas Treasurer	415,731	552,582	968,313	77.15%	77.15%	20.84%	201,796	155,681	46,116
5	1107 SS Dallas Treasury	437,225	951,643	1,388,868	73.74%	73.74%	20.84%	289,440	213,425	76,016
6	1108 SS Dallas Risk Management	395,558	311,217	706,775	80.00%	80.00%	20.84%	147,292	117,834	29,458
7	1110 SS Dallas Procurement	103,744	104,651	208,395	46.64%	46.64%	21.09%	43,950	20,500	23,451
8	1112 SS Dallas Mail & Supply	121,107	367,829	488,936	15.73%	17.50%	21.09%	103,117	17,594	85,522
9	1114 SS Dallas Vice Pres & Controller	351,803	411,899	763,702	29.34%	29.34%	20.84%	159,155	46,696	112,460
10	1116 SS Dallas Taxation	212,454	764,713	977,167	2.37%	2.37%	20.84%	203,642	4,833	198,808
11	1117 SS Dallas Acctg Services	299,522	210,931	510,453	65.19%	65.19%	20.84%	106,378	69,351	37,028
12	1118 SS Dallas Supply Chain	417,748	299,388	717,136	46.51%	46.51%	21.09%	151,244	70,336	80,907
13	1119 SS Dallas General Accounting	441,384	269,260	710,644	73.74%	73.74%	20.84%	148,098	109,203	38,895
14	1120 SS Dallas Accounts Payable	473,004	300,093	773,097	31.54%	31.54%	20.84%	161,113	50,817	110,297
15	1121 SS Dallas Plant Accounting	605,281	356,590	961,871	91.20%	91.20%	20.84%	200,454	182,819	17,635
16	1123 SS Dallas Gas Accounting	247,139	217,215	464,353	0.00%	0.00%	20.92%	97,143	-	97,143
17	1125 SS Dallas Financial Reporting	809,035	755,277	1,564,311	0.00%	0.00%	20.84%	326,003	-	326,003
18	1126 SS Dallas Payroll	357,501	414,809	772,310	73.74%	73.74%	20.84%	160,949	118,679	42,270
19	1128 SS Dallas Property & Sales Tax	1,223,144	1,400,894	2,624,038	2.50%	2.50%	20.84%	546,849	13,671	533,178
20	1129 SS Dallas Income Tax	438,723	469,236	907,960	2.00%	2.00%	20.84%	189,219	3,784	185,434
21	1130 SS Dallas Business Planning and Analysis	727,864	448,323	1,176,187	56.60%	56.60%	20.84%	245,117	138,726	106,391
22	1131 SS Dallas Media Relations	130,425	95,525	225,950	0.00%	0.00%	21.09%	47,653	-	47,653
23	1132 SS Dallas Investor Relations (5)	332,649	688,282	1,020,931	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
24	1133 SS Dallas Corporate Communications	745,721	1,483,137	2,228,858	0.00%	0.00%	20.84%	464,494	-	464,494
25	1134 SS Dallas IT	1,345,597	1,428,574	2,774,172	38.57%	38.57%	20.84%	578,137	222,996	355,142
26	1135 SS Dallas IT E&O, Corporate Systems	1,831,640	5,316,447	7,148,087	23.12%	33.50%	20.84%	1,489,661	459,420	1,030,241
27	1137 SS Dal-IT Engineering & Operations	3,392,841	10,893,256	14,286,097	24.96%	36.71%	20.84%	2,977,223	1,009,877	1,967,346
28	1141 SS Dallas Gas Purchase Accounting	492,741	210,137	702,878	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
29	1144 SS Dallas Rate Administration	693,863	310,333	1,004,196	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
30	1145 SS Dallas Revenue Accounting	266,034	161,852	427,886	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
31	1150 SS Dallas Strategic Planning	400,734	601,880	1,002,614	55.56%	55.56%	20.84%	208,945	116,080	92,864
32	1153 SS Dallas Distribution Acctg	613,642	284,860	898,501	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
33	1154 SS Dallas Rates & Regulatory	1,496,541	1,240,738	2,737,279	74.97%	74.97%	21.09%	577,292	432,810	144,482

		٦	Per Book Total Cani			FY 2	2017				
Lin		-			Total	Capitalization	Capitalization			SSU	Expense
е			SSU O&M	SSU O&M	SSU O&M	Rate (Labor	Rate (Non-	Allocation		Allocated	Allocated
No.		Description (1)	(Labor)	(Non-Labor)	Expense	Exp)	Labor Exp)	Factor (2)	Total	to Capital	to APT
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d) = (b) + (c)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h) = (d) * (g)	(i) = (b*e*g) + (c*f*g)	(j) = (h) - (i)
34	1155	SS Dallas Texas Gas Pipeline Accounting	84,872	39,387	124,259	0.00%	0.00%	97.21%	120,792	-	120,792
35	1156	SS Dal-IT Customer Services Systems	2,175,341	4,503,029	6,678,370	12.27%	28.48%	0.00%	-	-	-
36	1158	SS CCC IT Support	-	2,220,284	2,220,284	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
37	1159	SS Dallas Director Technical Training	343,646	1,831,494	2,175,140	0.00%	0.00%	20.84%	453,299	-	453,299
38	1161	SS Dallas Benefits and Payroll Accounting	366,804	135,961	502,765	73.74%	73.74%	20.84%	104,776	77,259	27,517
39	1164	SS Dallas IT Security	865,631	2,174,546	3,040,177	17.00%	17.00%	20.84%	633,573	107,707	525,866
40	1167	SS Dal-IT Enterprise Architecture	448,537	193,639	642,176	20.00%	60.00%	21.09%	135,435	43,422	92,013
41	1171	SS Regulatory Accounting Services	172,233	69,808	242,041	74.97%	74.97%	21.09%	51,046	38,271	12,776
42	1201	SS Dallas President & CEO	1,063,037	3,564,895	4,627,932	73.74%	73.74%	20.84%	964,461	711,165	253,296
43	1205	SS Dallas SVP Utility Operations	371,529	758,203	1,129,732	12.02%	16.69%	20.84%	235,436	35,672	199,764
44	1209	SS Dallas Safety & Compliance	343,132	1,228,492	1,571,625	0.00%	0.00%	21.09%	331,456	-	331,456
45	1212	SS CSC-Customer Contact Management	13,926,455	7,861,101	21,787,556	20.86%	20.86%	0.00%	-	-	-
46	1213	SS Dallas Quality Assurance	518,351	179,868	698,219	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
47	1214	SS Dallas Workforce Management	539,040	237,128	776,168	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
48	1215	SS Dispatch Operations	4,068,368	1,711,946	5,780,314	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
49	1216	SS Dallas CSO Training & Knowledge Manage	830,193	410,670	1,240,864	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
50	1224	SS Dallas CSO Human Resources	486,757	999,523	1,486,280	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
51	1226	SS Dallas Customer Service (7)	1,110,527	2,180,625	3,291,152	2.43%	18.32%	0.00%	-	-	-
52	1227	SS Dallas Business Processes & Change Management (7	1,996,816	1,458,212	3,455,028	3.90%	22.93%	21.09%	728,665	86,919	641,746
53	1228	SS Dallas Customer Revenue Management	7,971,621	3,910,348	11,881,969	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
54	1229	SS Dallas Pipeline Safety	638,850	794,883	1,433,732	30.00%	30.00%	21.09%	302,374	90,712	211,662
55	1401	SS Dallas Employment & Employee Relations	544,249	562,021	1,106,270	0.00%	0.00%	20.84%	230,547	-	230,547
56	1402	SS Dallas Executive Compensation	-	465,021	465,021	0.00%	0.00%	20.84%	96,910	-	96,910
57	1403	SS Dallas Human Resources - Vice Pres	578,599	467,267	1,045,865	0.00%	0.00%	20.84%	217,958	-	217,958
58	1405	SS Dallas Compensation & Benefits	611,813	528,558	1,140,371	0.00%	0.00%	20.84%	237,653	-	237,653
59	1407	SS Dallas Facilities	610,860	1,058,689	1,669,549	29.28%	29.28%	20.84%	347,934	101,890	246,044
60	1408	SS Dallas Employee Development	807,017	1,097,204	1,904,220	0.00%	0.00%	20.84%	396,840	-	396,840
61	1414	SS Tech Training Delivery	836,910	1,535,579	2,372,489	0.00%	0.00%	21.09%	500,358	-	500,358
62		SS Tech Training Prog & Curriculum	187,432	71,799	259,232	0.00%	0.00%	21.09%	54,672	-	54,672
63		SS Dallas Compensation & HRMS	779,236	578,255	1,357,491	0.00%	0.00%	20.84%	282,901	-	282,901
64	1420		-	68,139	68,139	0.00%	0.00%	20.84%	14,200	-	14,200
65	1463	SS HR Benefit Variance	-	(612,890)	(612,890)	15.73%	17.50%	20.84%	(127,726)	(22,353)	(105,374)
66	1501		2,785,770	2,799,501	5,585,271	52.29%	52.29%	20.84%	1,163,970	608,624	555,347

			Per Book		FY 2	2017				
Lin				Total	Capitalization	Capitalization			SSU	Expense
е		SSU O&M	SSU O&M	SSU O&M	Rate (Labor	Rate (Non-	Allocation		Allocated	Allocated
No.	Description (1)	(Labor)	(Non-Labor)	Expense	Exp)	Labor Exp)	Factor (2)	Total	to Capital	to APT
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d) = (b) + (c)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h) = (d) * (g)	(i) = (b*e*g) + (c*f*g)	(j) = (h) - (i)
67	1502 SS Corporate Secretary	-	1,440,659	1,440,659	0.00%	0.00%	20.84%	300,233	-	300,233
68	1503 SS Corporate Governmental Affairs	360,719	392,568	753,288	0.00%	0.00%	21.09%	158,868	-	158,868
69	1504 SS Corporate Central Records	192,447	495,155	687,602	73.74%	73.74%	21.09%	145,015	106,930	38,085
70	1505 SS Corporate Gas Contract Administration	133,262	68,759	202,022	0.00%	0.00%	21.09%	42,606	-	42,606
71	1508 SS Corporate Energy Assistance	462,140	390,831	852,971	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
72		349,313	503,647	852,959	0.00%	0.00%	20.92%	178,439	-	178,439
73	1822 SS Dallas-Regional Gas Supply	246,719	128,343	375,062	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
74	1823 SS Dallas Gas Contract Admin	355,621	170,823	526,443	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
75	1825 SS Franklin-Gas Control & Storage	298,464	193,573	492,037	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
76	1826 SS New Orleans Gas Supply & Services	187,203	312,493	499,696	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
77	1827 SS Regional Supply Planning	423,365	303,276	726,641	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
78	1828 SS Jackson-West Region Gas Supply & Servio	110,512	78,035	188,546	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
79	1829 SS Franklin-East Region Gas Supply & Service		38,887	38,887	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
80	1831 SS Dallas Gas Supply	-	35,068	35,068	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
81	1833 SS Dallas-Corporate Gas Supply Risk Mgmt	105,838	102,697	208,535	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
82	1835 SS Franklin Gas Control	958,194	619,264	1,577,458	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
83	1836 SS TBS-System Support	250,219	188,378	438,597	0.00%	0.00%	20.92%	91,754	-	91,754
84	1837 SS TBS-Application Support	688,867	280,332	969,199	0.00%	0.00%	20.92%	202,756	-	202,756
85	1838 SS TBS-Technical Support	573,232	330,358	903,591	0.00%	0.00%	20.92%	189,031	-	189,031
86	1839 SS TBS-Transportation & Scheduling	203,299	88,640	291,939	0.00%	0.00%	20.92%	61,074	-	61,074
87	1901 SS Dallas Employee Relocation Exp (Div 02)	83,695	85,275	168,970	0.00%	0.00%	20.84%	35,213	-	35,213
88	1901 SS Dallas Employee Relocation Exp (Div 12)	14,624	5,060	19,683	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
89	1903 SS Dallas Controller - Miscellaneous (3)	-	-	-	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
90	1904 SS Dallas Performance Plan (5)	-	12,362,821	12,362,821	30.50%	30.50%	0.00%	-	-	-
91	1905 SS Outside Director Retirement Cost	-	2,929,886	2,929,886	0.00%	0.00%	20.84%	610,588	-	610,588
92	1908 SS Dallas SEBP (5)	-	5,156,431	5,156,431	0.00%	73.74%	0.00%	-	-	-
93	1910 SS Dallas Overhead Capitalized (4)	-	(56,473,030)	(56,473,030)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
94	1913 SS Dallas Fleet and Corporate Sourcing (6)	541,269	171,446	712,715	46.75%	46.75%	21.09%	150,312	70,270	80,041
95	1915 SS Dallas Insurance	-	19,701,748	19,701,748	0.00%	73.74%	20.84%	4,105,844	3,027,529	1,078,316
96	1953 SS Dallas Enterprise Team Meeting	-	69,934	69,934	0.00%	0.00%	20.84%	14,574	-	14,574
97	1954 SS Dallas Culture Council	-	2,500	2,500	0.00%	0.00%	20.84%	521	-	521
98 99	Total (Sum of Ln 1 through Ln 97)	\$ 73,459,351		\$147,062,912				\$ 25,268,699	\$ 9,178,376	\$ 16,090,323

	Г		Per Book		FY	2017				
Lin e No.	Description (1)	SSU O&M (Labor)	SSU O&M (Non-Labor)	Total SSU O&M Expense	Capitalization Rate (Labor Exp)	Capitalization Rate (Non- Labor Exp)	- Allocation Factor (2)	Total	SSU Allocated to Capital	Expense Allocated to APT
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d) = (b) + (c)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h) = (d) * (g)	(i) = (b*e*g) + (c*f*g)	(j) = (h) - (i)
100										
101	Allocated Shared Services O&M to APT									14,883,550
102										
103 104	Total Adjustment to Account 922 (Ln 99 - Ln 101)	1								\$ 1,206,774

105 Notes:

106 1. See Schedule F-2.7.1 for cost center functions and SSU Division designations.

107 2. Based upon FY17 factors adjusted to the four-factor formula including Operating Income, and excluding Atmos Energy Marketing.

108 3. \$1,145,383 of Cost Center 1903 was not allocated during the test period.

109 4. The Total represents the amount that would be credited from Cost Center 1910.

5. The four-factor allocation factors were set to 0% for cost centers 1132, 1904, and 1908 to remove these costs from

¹¹⁰ this filing and to align with the Final Order in GUD No. 9762, Finding of Fact Nos. 72, 76, and 78. and GUD No. 10000.

111 6. Cost Center 1913 includes \$50 recorded to Cost Center 1111. Please see relied file, "WP_F-2.7_FY16 SSU O&M Labor and Non-labor.xlsx" for details.

112 7. Eight employees moved from General Office Cost Center 1227 to Customer Support Cost Center 1226 in August 2016.

Line No.	Division (1)	Cost Center/Description	Cost Center Function
	(a)	(b)	(c)
1	002	1001 SS Dallas President and COO	Costs associated with the President and Chief Operating Officer
2	002	1101 SS Dallas Chief Financial Officer	Costs associated with the CFO
3		1105 SS Dallas Audit	Costs associated with internal and external audit services.
4	002	1106 SS Dallas Treasurer	Costs associated with the VP, Treasurer who manages Treasury, Procurement and Risk Management. The Treasurer supports the overall financing needs of the Company for
			both O&M and capital projects.
5	002	1107 SS Dallas Treasury	Costs associated with treasury operations
6	002	1108 SS Dallas Risk Management	Costs associated with insurance and risk management
7	002	1110 SS Dallas Procurement	Costs associated with purchasing and mail room activities
8	002	1112 SS Dallas Mail & Supply	Costs associated with mail services at the Dallas corporate office
9	002	1114 SS Dallas Vice Pres & Controller	Costs associated with the VP, Controller
10	002	1116 SS Dallas Taxation	Costs associated with the VP, Tax
11	002	1117 SS Dallas Acctg Services	Costs associated with management of General Accounting, Accounts Payable, Plant Accounting and Payroll departments
12	002	1118 SS Dallas Supply Chain	Costs associated with management of products and services for Operations.
13	002	1119 SS Dallas General Accounting	Costs associated with maintaining the general books and records of the Company
14	002	1120 SS Dallas Accounts Payable	Costs associated with the processing and payment of the Company's bills
15	002	1121 SS Dallas Plant Accounting	Costs associated with the recordkeeping for the Company's fixed assets
16	002	1123 SS Dallas Gas Accounting	Costs associated with the management of utility margin accounting, utility rate administration, and Texas and Louisiana pipeline accounting.
17	002	1125 SS Dallas Financial Reporting	Costs associated with the preparation and distribution of both internal and external reporting
18	002	1126 SS Dallas Payroll	Costs associated with paying the Company's employees
19	002	1128 SS Dallas Property & Sales Tax	Costs associated with the management and handling of the Company's property and sales tax activities
20	002	1129 SS Dallas Income Tax	Costs associated with the processing of the Company's income taxes
21	002	1130 SS Dallas Business Planning and Analysis	Costs associated with the planning and budgeting activities of the Company
22	002	1131 SS Dallas Media Relations	Costs associated with communicating customer service and safety messages to the media, business and industry leaders. Costs associated with crisis communications functions
			including training staff on media relations, interviews, press conferences, and press queries to better inform the public and our customers in a crisis. Costs associated with video
			creation and dissemination to the public to educate customers and stakeholders on the environmental and safe and reliable benefits of natural gas.

 trade comparise. 21. Initiating, building and maintaining relationships with the financial analyst community and individual investors, as well as serving Company and institutional and individual investors. 33. Proparing and presenting financial presentations throughout the year as needed and overseeing the issuance of financial presentation and operations. 44. Bediverse the presenting financial presentation information presentation for an observe. 45. Bediverse the presenting financial presentation of the Company's Board of Directors with information regarding developments in the financial presentation of the Company's Board of Directors with information regarding developments in the financial markets in formulating the Company's board and borter more previous and presenter informations. 46. Serving on the Company's The markets and policies and policies. 47. Providing movestows with financial presentation communication tool and listopholders. 48. Serving on the Company's The markets with the Company's Direct Stock Purchase Pian and Divident Reimvestime. 49. Propare and provide al operating divisions. Transfer Agents administration of the Company's Direct Stock Purchase Pian and Divident Reimvestime. 49. Organe and provide al operating divisions. Transfer Agents administration of the Company's Direct Stock Purchase Pian and Divident Reimvestime. 40. Organistic Transfer Agents administration of the Company's Direct Stock Purchase Pian and Divident Reimvestime and states equity press to the range of analysts "ports, and attracting such investime benefits to the Company. The near-tem benefits to the Company's Direct Stock Purchase Pian and the difference of the Company's Direct Stock Purchase Pian and Pian equity press to the range of analysts "ports, and attracting such investime benefits to the Company's Direct Stock Provide Colo. 40. Directors provint Reiman	Line No.	Division (1		Cost Center Function
24 OC 113 SS Dallas Communications 24 OC 113 SS Dallas Communications 24 OC 113 SS Dallas Communications 25 OC 113 SS Dallas T Costs associated with hermalic and services and support of the Company's data contains in the access of the Company's data contains and regulatory tables with hermalications in the company's data contains and the company's data contains and providing accurate feedback to foster reporting accuracy. 4.1 Neeping recource many bits and providing accurate feedback to foster reporting accuracy. 6.1 Maintaining functionalis that are considered to be Armos Energy's peers. 7.1 Providing management and the Company's board and borteem practices and policies. 8.1 Maintaining functionalis that are considered to be Armos Energy's peers. 9.1 Providing management and the Company's board and borteem practices and policies. 9.1 Providing management and the Company's board and statching usain and boxider Reinvestion and tracting considered in the statching data in the stathe data in the stathe data in the stathe data in the		(a)	(b)	(c)
 Such activities include, but are not limited to: Accompany executive management at all discussions with the investing public and financial press to maintain compleme with the discussions with the investing public and investors, as well as serving Company and institutional and invivual investors. Direptang and presentations situation by with the financial analyst community and individual investors. Figurating and presentations situation by with the financial analyst community and individual investors. Figurating and presentations situation by any set as needed and overseeing the lisuance of financial press with the investing public and financial press and providing accurately executive management and the Company's Board of Directors with information regarding developments in the financial markets in formulating the Company's Board of Directors and policies. Maintaing involvedge of other companys Board of Directors and policies. Bervioy entre securities many securities and policies. Service on the Company's Board of Directors and accurates. Providing measurement and the Company's and altonetime prevalence with information regarding developments in the financial markets in formulation and built and the accurate and built and the accurate and built accurate and built accurate and built accurates. Providing measurements and the accurate and built accurates plan and accurates. Providing investors with reliable, companys Board of Directors and built accurates and built accura	23	002	1132 SS Dallas Investor Relations	
1.) Accompanying executive management at all discussions with the investing public and financial press to maintain compliance with the discussions with the investions. 1.) Accompany and institutional an individual investors. 2.) Initiating, building and maintaining relationships with the financial analyst communy and individual investors. 3.) Preparing and presenting financial presentation the financial press to maintain and explain the financial presentation stronghout be and some streng to strange and presenting financial presentation that comparises that are considered to be Amos Entery's peers. 1.) Negring management and the Company. Board of Directors with information regarding developments in the financial markets in formulating the comparises that are considered to be Amos Entery's peers. 1.) Prepara and provide of other company. Board of Directors with information committee to be Amos Entery's peers. 1.) Prepara and provide of other company is that are considered to be Amos Entery's peers. 1.) Prepara and provides of other company. Board Stock Purchase Plan and Dividend Reinvestim enters to the Company is a critical state horistics. 1.0) Overseeing the Exot Company. Theremake Transactil Markinstration of the Company is a critical state horistic. 24 002 1133 SS Dalles Communications Costs associated with the maintenance and support of the Company is financial press. 27 002 1133 SS Dalles Communications Costs associated with the maintenance and support of the Company is financial analystic. 28 002				investment and maintaining important relationships with the investing community.
 trade companies. 21. Initiating, building and maintaining relationships with the financial analyst community and individual investors, as well as serving Company and institutional and individual investors. 33. Preparing and presenting financial presentations throughout the year as needed and overseeing the issuance of financial presentations throughout the year as needed and overseeing the issuance of financial presentations throughout the year as needed and overseeing the issuance of financial presentations throughout the year as needed and overseeing the issuance of financial presentations of the Company's and providing accurate feedback to foster reporting accuracy. (a) Haritaining browledge of other companies that are considered to be Atmos Energy speers. (b) Providing management and the Company's Dated Differences with information regarding developments in the financial markets in formulating the Company's bong and short-term practices and policies. (b) Serving on the Company's Finance Financial Information or service will SEC flings to ensure appropriate and accur 0. (c) Prepare and provide all operating divisions, treaseury, risk management, and the distability of all stability at a relating requir brancisto on the company's Direct Stock Purchase Pan and Dividend Reinvestime Providing investors with relative, company. Stancet Stock Purchase Pan and Dividend Reinvestime Providing investors with relative, company to anistanti and egative trease company the utility of anistanti on expany of anistanti and egative trease and regulary protect Stock Purchase Pan and Dividend Reinvestime Pan and accuracy on institutional and equily investors who and have excees to be range of an anistant energy transitiant to lower cost causition matching equily prices lower store with antitating equily prices down and segarating and expansition and an expansition on through tower rates. For example, increased mixed prices and molicity pri				
 2.) Initiating, building and matrixining relationships with the financial analyst community and individual investors. 3.) Preparing and presenting financial presentational and individual investors introughout the year as needed and overseeing the issuance of financial presentational and individual investors and explain the financial presentation of the Company. 4.) Keeping executive management aportied of investor ophicins and concerns. 5.) Reviewing resent reports subtrited by analysts and providing accurate feedback to foster reporting accuracy. 6.) Minimizing howakeige of other companys is and providing accurate feedback to foster reporting accuracy. 8.) Serving on the Company's 7-member Financial and subtritem practices are of policies. 8.) Serving on the Company's 7-member Financial and SUL departments with a comprehensive prese performance, rate and regulatory strategy, etc.) uses as a communication tool for all stakeholders. 10.) Overseeing the Stock Transfer Agents administration of on the company is a critical step in attracting equily investment cap in attracting equily investment with a comprehensive prese performance, rate and regulatory strategy, etc.) uses as communication tool for all stakeholders. 10.) Overseeing the Stock Transfer Agents administration of on the company is a critical step in attracting equily investment cap in attracting with internal and event and uppart investors with clikelac, comprehensive information and system of the Company is a critical step in attracting equily investment cap in attracting equily investment with a company. The read-step in attracting equily investment cap in attracting equily investment with a company is a critical step in attracting equily investment cap in attracting equily investment with a company is a critical step in attracting equily investment cap in attracting equily investment and expand the utility of the step of the Company i				1.) Accompanying executive management at all discussions with the investing public and financial press to maintain compliance with SEC Regulation FD, applicable to all publicly trade companies
 Company and institutional and individual investors. S. Preparing and presenting financial presentations includiper the year as needed and overseeing the issuance of financial presentations and concerns. Revenue research reports submitted by analysis and providing accuracy. Netweing research reports submitted by analysis and providing developments in the financial markets in formatiang inter development and the Company's Bard and Dickles. Netweing research reports submitted by analysis and providing developments in the financial markets in formatiang inter development and the Company's Bard and Dickles. Netweing research reports and bart-term practices and provides and provides and provides and bart-term practices and provides and provides and concerns. Previding management and the Company's Direct Stock Purchase Plan and Dividem Reinvestme ensure risk management, and all SSU departments with a comprehensive prese preformance, rate and regularity presens who do not have access to the range of analysis reports, and attracting on-institutional equity investors who do not have access to the range of analysis reports, and attracting on-institutional equity investors who do not have access to the range of analysis reports, and attracting on-institutional equity investors who do not have access to the range of analysis reports and attracting on-institutional equity investors who do not have access to the range of analysis reports and explaint they within the institutional equity investors who in the access attracting on-its and attracting on-its. For example, increased investment and indiverse institution equity investors who in the access attracting on-its and attracting on-its. For example, increased investment and indiverse and explaints of the company's formations including in annual report to the concerns of states access attracting on-its and the internet and explaint the institution and explaint the institution and explai				2.) Initiating, building and maintaining relationships with the financial analyst community and individual investors, as well as serving as the first point of contact between the
 and explain the financial performance of the Company. A) Keeping executive management apprised of investor opinions and concerns. B) Reviewing research reports submitted by analysis and providing accurate feedback to foster reporting accuracy. B) Maintaining knowledge of other companies that are concidened to be Atmos Energy's pees. P) Proyet and providing grant and the Company's 10 Stand Directors with information regarding developments in the financial markets in formulating providing and short-term practices and policies. B) Proyet and provide al operating divisions, treasurer, and all SSU disportants with a comprehensive present information of the Company's 10 Stand 10 Process and 10 Stand 10 Proteins and 10 Process and 10 Proteins. Proyet and provide al operating divisions, treasurer, and all SSU disportants with a comprehensive present in attacking non-institutional equity investors with information grant back provides and policies. Providing investors with reliable, comprehensive information about the Company's Direct. Stock Purchase Plan and Dividend Reinvestment apprised of investors with reliable, comprehensive preses. Providing investors with reliable, comprehensive preses. Providing investors with reliable, comprehensive information about the Company's Direct. Stock Purchase Plan and Dividend Reinvestment apprised of all with the maintenance and support of the accuration as shown in the common receive of capital. In a similar vein, the longe-term information grant stands as shown in the common receive of capital. In a similar vein, the longe-term increase of analysis and the direct reports to shareholders. Costa associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's diata center. Operational services include - 11 Systems in the W. ClO Win manages all Tfunctions, and the direct reports to the ClO. Costa associated with the maintenance and su				Company and institutional and individual investors.
 Periversing research reports submitted by analysis and providing accurate (reductation for the companies that are considered to be Atmos Energy's peers. Providing management and the Company's Board of Directors with information regarding developments in the financial markets in formation tight the Company's framework of Directors with information regarding developments in the financial markets in formation tight to Company's Oracit docts and provide all operating divisions, treassure, risk management, and all SSU departments with a comprehensive preserve and provide all operating divisions, treassure, risk management, and all SSU departments with a comprehensive preserve best practices. Providing monitoritation of the Company is a critical step in attracting equity investment cap in attracting non-institutional equity investors who do not have access to the range of analysts' reports, and attracting south internal and oxymath the Company is a critical step in attracting equity investment cap in attracting non-institutional equity prices with inmediately translate to lower cost commor through lower rates. For example, increased investment and higher equity prices with inmediately translate to lower cost commor through lower rates. For example, increased investment and higher equity prices with inmediately translate and exquand the utility s departments with a depart of the direct reports to the Claubation, as shown in the company. The Grager-term benefits science in corporate company is a critical step in attracting equity investment and higher equity prices. Which immediately translating and expand the utility s departments with a depart of the direct reports to the Claubation, as shown in the company. Cost as associated with the PC OC othor manages all if functions, and the direct reports to the Claubation and expand the utility s distribution systems (software). To SS balles TF agies astate Administration Costs associated with the encounting of				3.) Preparing and presenting financial presentations throughout the year as needed and overseeing the issuance of financial press releases at least four times per year to report and explain the financial performance of the Company.
 a in the company's formation is that are considered to be Atmos Energy's peers. b existing management and the Company's Board O Directors with Information regarding developments in the financial markets in formulating the Company's Trember Financial Information Committee to review all SEC filings to ensure appropriate and accur 0.) Prepare and provide all operating divisory strategy, etc. to use as a communication tool for all state-holders. 10. Overseeing the Stock Transfer Agent's administration of the Company's Direct Stock Purchase Plan and Dividend Reinvestme ensure best practices. Providing investors with reliable, comprehensive information about the Company's Direct Stock Purchase Plan and Dividend Reinvestme ensure best practices. Overseeing the Stock Transfer Agent's administration of the access which immediately translate to lower cost component through hower rates. For example, increased investment and higher equity prices tower to be rejected analysis' prices, and attracting such investme through hower rates. For example, increased investment and higher equity prices tower to be rejected analysis' prices, and and the company's Direct Stock Purchase and the utility stoce stower to be rejected analysis' prices, and and tracting such investment providing lower cost equity to maintain and expand the utility stoce stower to be rejected analysis' prices, and and the store and accourt and higher equity prices lower cost equity to maintain and expand the utility stoce stower stoce of the company's Bart Stock Transfer Agent's administration of the Company's Direct Stock Purchase and Stoce and Stope of the Company's Direct Stock Purchase and Stope of the Co				4.) Keeping executive management apprised of investor opinions and concerns.
 Providing management and the Company's Board of Directors with information regarding developments in the financial markets in formulating the Company's Iong and short-tem practices and policies. Berving on the Company's 7-member Francial Information Committee to review all SEC filings to ensure appropriate and accur 0.) Prepare and provide all operating divisions, treasurer, risk management, and all SU departments with a comprehensive preser performance, rate and regulatory strategy, etc. to use as a communication tool for all stakeholders. Overseing the Stock Transfer Agent's administration of the Company's Direct Stock Purchase Plan and Dividend Reinvestme ensure best practices. Providing investors with reliable, comprehensive information about the Company is a critical step in attracting equity investment cap in attracting non-institutional equity investment and higher equity prices which immediately translate to lover cost commor through lower rates. For example, increased investment and higher equity prices which immediately strainal and departed the utility strainal and expand and expand the utility strainal and expanding strainal and exp				5.) Reviewing research reports submitted by analysts and providing accurate feedback to foster reporting accuracy.
a in formulating the Company's formator short-term practices and policies. 8.) Service gene Bort Service appropriate and accurd with the provide all operating divisions, treasurer, risk management, and all SSU departments with a comprehensive prese performance, rate and regulatory strategy, etc. to use as a communication tool for all stakeholders. 10.) Overseeing the Stock Transfer Agent's administration of the Company is a critical step in attracting equity processing on-institutional equity investors who do no thave access to the range of analysts reports, and attracting squity investors who do no thave access to the range of analysts reports, and attracting squity investors who do no thave access to the range of analysts reports, and attracting squity investors who do no thave access to the range of analysts reports, and attracting squit investors who do no thave access to the range of analysts reports, and attracting squity investors who do no thave access to the range of analysts reports, and attracting squit investors the yield calculation, as shown in the commoders of the company's information systems (software). 24 002 1133 SS Dallas Communications Costs associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's information systems (software). 26 002 1133 SS Dallas IT EGO, Corporate Systems Costs associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's information systems (software). 27 002 1133 SS Dallas TL Engineering & Operations Costs associated with the maintenance of infrastructure items 30 002 1144 SS Dallas Rate Administration				6.) Maintaining knowledge of other companies that are considered to be Atmos Energy's peers.
a in formulating the Company's formether Financial Information Committee to review all SEC flings to ensure appropriate and accur 9.) Propare and provide all operating divisions, treasurer, risk management, and all SSU departments with a comprehensive prese performance, rate and regulatory strategy, etc. to use as a communication tool for all stakeholders. 10.) Overseeing the Stock Transfer Agent's administration of the Company is a critical step in attracting equity processes performance, rate and regulatory strategy, etc. to use as a communication tool for all stakeholders. 10.) Overseeing the Stock Transfer Agent's administration of the Company is a critical step in attracting equity investors who do not have access to the range of analysts reports, and attracting such investme benefits to the Company. The near-term benefits result from rising equity prices, which immediately translate to lower cost communications 1133 SS Dallas Communications Costs associated with internal and external corporate communications including the annual report to stareholders. 270 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 20				7.) Providing management and the Company's Board of Directors with information regarding developments in the financial markets and perceptions of investors that may have use
9.) Prepare and provide al operating divisions, treasurer, risk management, and all SSU departments with a comprehensive preser performance, rate and regulatory strategy, etc. to use as a communication to for all stakeholders. 10.) Overseeing the Stock Transfer Agent's administration of the Company's Direct Stock Purchase Plan and Dividend Reinvestme ensure best practices. 24 002 1133 SS Dallas Communications Costs associated with reliable, comprehensive information about the Company is a critical step in attracting equity investment cap in attracting non-institutional equity investores who do not have access to the range of analysis' reports, and attracting such investment benefits to the Company. The near-tem benefits devide from providing lower cost equity to maintain and expand the utility of cast associated with internal and external benefits devides from providing lower cost equity to maintain and expand the utility of cast associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's diata center. Operational services include - 1133 SS Dallas IT E&O, Corporate Systems 27 002 1133 SS Dallas Gas Purchase Accounting Costs associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's diata center. Operational services include - 1137 SS Dallas Gas Purchase Accounting Costs associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's diata center. Operational services include - 1137 SS Dallas Gas Purchase Accounting Costs associated with the eacounting for the utility's distribution gas purchases. 29 002 1144 SS Dallas Gas Purchase Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gas purchases. 21 002 1144 SS Dallas Revence Accountin				
Performance, rate and regulatory strategy, etc. use as a communication tool for all stakeholders. 10.) Overseeing the Stock Transfer Agent's administration of the Company's Direct Stock Purchase Plan and Dividend Reinvestme number best practices. 24 Overseeing the Stock Transfer Agent's administration of the Company's Direct Stock Purchase Plan and Dividend Reinvestme tage in attracting non-institutional equity investors who do not have access to the range of analysts' reports, and attracting quity investors who do not have access to the range of analysts' reports, and attracting quity investors who do not have access to the range of analysts' reports, and attracting quity investors who do not have access to the range of analysts' reports, and attracting quity investors who do not have access to the range of analysts' reports, and attracting quity investors who do not have access to the range of analysts' reports, and attracting quity investors who do not have access to the range of analysts' reports, and attracting quity investors who do not have access to the range of analysts' reports, and attracting quity investors who have here for purchase Plan and Dividend Reinvestme control cost of capital. In a similar vein, the longer-term benefits devit from sinsing quity pruty to maintain and expand the utility of capital. In a similar vein, the VP. CIO who manages all Tinuctons, and the direct reports to the CIO. 26 002 1137 SS Dallas IT E&O. Corporate Systems Costs associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's data center. Operational services include - IT Systems and network engineering 27 002 1141 SS Dallas Gas Purchase Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gas purchases.				8.) Serving on the Company's 7-member Financial Information Committee to review all SEC filings to ensure appropriate and accurate disclosures are made.
10.) Overseeing the Stock Transfer Agent's administration of the Company's Direct Stock Purchase Plan and Dividend Reinvestme ensure best practices. 10.) Overseeing the Stock Transfer Agent's administration of the Company is a critical step in attracting quily investors with reliable, comprehensive information about the Company is a critical step in attracting quily investors with company. The near eacress to the range of analysts' reports, and attracting quily investors with or holding developes who do not have access to the range of analysts' reports, and range of analysts' reports, and report to shareholders. 24 002 1133 SS Dallas Communications Costs associated with the VP, CIO who manages all IT functions, and the direct reports to the CIO. 26 002 1133 SS Dallas IT E&O, Corporate Systems Costs associated with the VP, CIO who manages all IT functions, and the direct reports to the CIO. 27 002 1137 SS Dallas IT E&O, Corporate Systems Costs associated with the vP, CIO who manages all IT functions, and the direct reports to the CIO. 28 002 1137 SS Dallas Gas Purchase Accounting Costs associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's atternet. 29 002 1141 SS Dallas Gas Purchase Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gas purchases. 29 002 1144 SS Dallas Stratepic Planning Costs associated wit				9.) Prepare and provide all operating divisions, treasurer, risk management, and all SSU departments with a comprehensive presentation explaining the strategy, financial
ensure best practices. Providing investors with reliable, comprehensive information about the Company is a critical step in attracting equity investment cap in attracting non-institutional quely investors who do not have access to the range of analysts reports, and attracting such investment benefits to the Company. The near-term benefits derive from rising equity prices lowers the yield calculation, as shown in the communications inmediately translate to lower cost equity to maintale to lower cost common through lower rates. For example, increased investment and higher equity prices lowers the yield calculation, as shown in the communications including the annual report to shareholders. 24 002 1133 SS Dallas Communications Costs associated with internal and external corporate communications information systemes (software) 27 002 1134 SS Dallas T E&O, Corporate Systems Costs associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's information systems (software) 27 002 1137 SS Dallas T E&O, Corporate Systems Costs associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's data center. Operational services include - I Systems and network engineering 28 002 1141 SS Dallas Gas Purchase Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gas purchases. 29 002 1144 SS Dallas Gas Purchase Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gas sension 31 002				
in attracting non-institutional equity investors who do not have access to the range of analysis' reports, and attracting such investime benefits to the Company. The near-term benefits result from rising equity prices, which immediately translate to lower cost common trough lower rates. For example, increased investment and higher equity prices lowers the yield calculation, as shown in the com cost of capital. In a similar vein, the longer-term benefits teative from providing lower cost equity to maintain and expand the utility s 002 1135 SS Dallas IT EAO, Corporate Systems 002 1134 SS Dallas IT EAO, Corporate Systems Costs associated with infernal and external corporate communications including the annual report to shareholders. 002 1135 SS Dallas IT EAO, Corporate Systems Costs associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's information systems (software) 27 002 1137 SS Dallat TEngineering & Operations Costs associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's data center. Operational services include - + IT Systems and network engineering • Service desk 28 002 1144 SS Dallas Gas Purchase Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gas purchases. 29 002 1144 SS Dallas Rate Administration Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gross margin. 31 002 1144 SS Dallas Rate Administration Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution envenues. 32 002				10.) Overseeing the Stock Transfer Agent's administration of the Company's Direct Stock Purchase Plan and Dividend Reinvestment Plan, as well as ongoing plan redesign to ensure best practices.
benefits to the Company. The near-term benefits result from rising equity prices, which immediately translate to lower cost common through lower rates. For example, increased investment and higher equity prices lowers the yield calculation, as shown in the common cost of capital. In a similar vein, the longer-term benefits derive from providing the annual report to shareholders. 24 002 1133 SD pallas Communications Costs associated with the VP, CIO who manages all IT functions, and the direct reports to the CIO. 26 002 1135 SD pallas IT EAO, Corporate Systems Costs associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's information systems (software) 27 002 1137 SD pallas IT EAO, Corporate Systems Costs associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's information systems (software) 28 002 1141 SS Dallas IT EAO, Corporate Systems Costs associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's information systems (software) 29 002 1141 SS Dallas S Cast associated with the anintenance for infrastructure items • Support and administration of our database and SAP Basis environments • The IT Cuality Assurance (testing) processes 20 1141 SS Dallas Revenue Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gross margin. 31 002 1145 SS Dallas Revenue Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for t				Providing investors with reliable, comprehensive information about the Company is a critical step in attracting equity investment capital. This information may be especially important
Image: second				in attracting non-institutional equity investors who do not have access to the range of analysts' reports, and attracting such investment has both near-term and longer-term direct
Image: cost of capital. In a similar vein, the longer-term benefits derive from providing lower cost equity to maintain and expand the utility 5 002 1133 SS Dallas Communications Costs associated with internal and external corporate communications including the annual report to shareholders. 002 1134 SS Dallas IT Costs associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's information systems (software) 002 1137 SS Dall-IT Engineering & Operations Costs associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's information systems (software) 002 1137 SS Dall-IT Engineering & Operations Costs associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's information systems (software) 002 1137 SS Dall-IT Engineering & Operations Costs associated with the maintenance for infrastructure items • Service desk 002 1141 SS Dallas Gas Purchase Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gas purchases. 29 002 1144 SS Dallas Rete Administration Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gas purchases. 21 002 1143 SS Dallas Rete Administration Costs associated with the ACP, tariffs and recovering gas costs 002 1144 SS Dallas Rete Administration Costs associated with the VP, Strategic Planning who manages Business Planning & Analysis and Rates & Regulatory Affairs.				benefits to the Company. The near-term benefits result from rising equity prices, which immediately translate to lower cost common equity, and ultimately ratemaking benefits
24 002 1133 SS Dallas Communications Costs associated with thermal and external comporate communications including the annual report to shareholders. 25 002 1134 SS Dallas IT Costs associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's information systems (software) 26 002 1135 SD Dallas IT Exots associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's information systems (software) 27 002 1137 SS Dallas IT Exots associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's information systems (software) 27 002 1137 SS Dallas IT Exots associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's information systems (software) 27 002 1137 SS Dallas IT Exotics desk 9 002 1141 SS Dallas Rate Exotics desk 9 002 1141 SS Dallas Rate Administration Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gas purchases. 29 002 1145 SS Dallas Rate Administration Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution revenues. 30 002 1145 SS Dallas Rate Administration Costs associat				through lower rates. For example, increased investment and higher equity prices lowers the yield calculation, as shown in the common Discounted Cash Flow formulation of the
002 1134 SS Dallas IT Costs associated with the VP, CIO who manages all IT functions, and the direct reports to the CIO. 26 002 1135 SS Dallas IT E&O, Corporate Systems Costs associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's information systems (software) 27 002 1137 SS Dal-IT Engineering & Operations Costs associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's information systems (software) 27 002 1137 SS Dal-IT Engineering & Operations Costs associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's information systems (software) 28 002 1141 SS Dallas Gas Purchase Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gas purchases. 29 002 1144 SS Dallas Rate Administration Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gas purchases. 20 1144 SS Dallas Rate Administration Costs associated with the 2A-counting for the utility's distribution gas margin. 31 002 1144 SS Dallas Strategic Planning Costs associated with the 2A-counting for the utility's distribution revenues. 32 002 1150 SS Dallas Rates & Regulatory Affairs. Costs associated with the 2A-counting for the utility's d				cost of capital. In a similar vein, the longer-term benefits derive from providing lower cost equity to maintain and expand the utility system.
26 002 1135 SS Dallas IT E&O, Corporate Systems Costs associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's information systems (software) 27 002 1137 SS Dal-IT Engineering & Operations Costs associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's data center. Operational services include - IT Systems and network engineering 28 002 1141 SS Dallas Gas Purchase Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gas purchases. 29 002 1144 SS Dallas Rate Administration Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gross margin. 31 002 1150 SS Dallas Rrevenue Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution revenues. 33 002 1153 SS Dallas Revenue Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution revenues. 33 002 1153 SS Dallas Strategic Planning Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution revenues. 33 002 1154 SS Dallas Revenue Account Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution revenues. 34 002 1155 SD Dallas Strategic Planning				
27 002 1137 SS Dal-IT Engineering & Operations Costs associated with the maintenance and support of the Company's data center. Operational services include - IT Systems and network engineering Service desk Desktop support Hardware and software maintenance for infrastructure items Support and administration of our database and SAP Basis environments The IT Quality Assurance (testing) processes 28 002 1144 SS Dallas Gas Purchase Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gas purchases. 29 01144 SS Dallas Rate Administration Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gross margin. 31 002 1145 SS Dallas Strategic Planning Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gross margin. 32 002 1150 SS Dallas Strategic Planning Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gross margin. 33 002 1154 SS Dallas Rates & Regulatory Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution for thros' eight state operating area. The pri through rate cases of formula ratemating mechanisms. The department currently has approximately twenty base rate case filings or effect rate changes in ts various jurisdictions as well as the rate and regulatory activity for Atmos' eight state operating area. The pri through rate cases sociated with accounting for the utility oraterent				
 IT Systems and network engineering Service desk Service desk Desktop support Hardware and software maintenance for infrastructure items Support and administration of our database and SAP Basis environments The IT Quality Assurance (testing) processes 002 1144 SS Dallas Gas Purchase Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gas purchases. 002 1145 SS Dallas Revenue Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gross margin. 002 1150 SS Dallas Strategic Planning Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gross margin. 002 1153 SS Dallas Rates & Regulatory Affairs. 002 1154 SS Dallas Rates & Regulatory Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution revenues. 002 1155 SS Dallas Rates & Regulatory Costs associated with rate case and regulatory work. Purpose is to manage the Company's rate strategic for anning and endregulatory activity for Atmos' eight state operating area. The pri through rate cases or formula ratemaking mechanisms. The department currently has approximately twenty base rate case filings or effect rate changes in its various jurisdictions as well as monthly, quarterly and annual reports to meet reporting requirements. 012 1156 SS Dallas Texas Gas Pipeline Acctg Costs associated with developing and supporting Customer Service technologies. Also includes development and support of the int external vendors; and support and administration of our business intelligence / reporting environment. Costs include labor, softwar support for our contact centers. Costs in this center include hardware maintenance and software maintenance for call center equip charges (not long distance). 002 1158 SS Dallas VP of Workforce Developmen				
 Service desk Desktop support Hardware and software maintenance for infrastructure items Support and administration of our database and SAP Basis environments The IT Quality Assurance (testing) processes 002 1144 SS Dallas Gas Purchase Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gas purchases. 002 1145 SS Dallas Revenue Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gross margin. 002 1145 SS Dallas Revenue Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gross margin. 002 1150 SS Dallas Strategic Planning Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gross margin. 002 1153 SS Dallas Distribution Acctg Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution revenues. 002 1154 SS Dallas Rates & Regulatory Costs associated with rate case and regulatory work. Purpose is to manage the Company's rate strategy for regulated rates as well as the rate and regulatory activity for Atmos' eight state operating area. The pri through rate cases or formula ratemaking mechanisms. The department currently has approximately twenty base rate case filings or effect rate changes in its various jurisdictions as well as monthly, quarterly and annual reports to meet reporting requirements. 012 1155 SS Dallas Texas Gas Pipeline Acctg Costs associated with developing and supporting Customer Service technologies. Also includes development and support for the intiger of our business intelligence / reporting environment. Costs include labor, software ascillated with supporting the IT activities in the Customer Contact Centers. Supports both the Amarillo and Waco customer support for our contact centers. Costs in this center include hadrware maintenance and software main	27	002	1137 SS Dal-IT Engineering & Operations	
• Desktop support • Desktop support • Hardware and software maintenance for infrastructure items • Support and administration of our database and SAP Basis environments • The IT Quality Assurance (testing) processes 28 002 1141 SS Dallas Gas Purchase Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gas purchases. 29 002 1144 SS Dallas Rate Administration Costs associated with filing PGA, tariffs and recovering gas costs 30 002 1145 SS Dallas Revenue Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gross margin. 31 002 1153 SS Dallas Strategic Planning Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution revenues. 33 002 1154 SS Dallas Rates & Regulatory Costs associated with rate case and regulatory work. Purpose is to manage the Company's rate strategy for regulated rates as well as monthy, quarterly and annual reports to meet reporting requirements. 34 002 1155 SS Dallas Texas Gas Pipeline Acctg Costs associated with developing and supporting Customer Service technologies. Also includes development and support of the int external vendors; and support and administration of our business intelligence / reporting requirements. 34 002 1155 SS Dallas Texas Gas Pipeline Acctg Costs associated with developing and supporting Customer Servi				
Image: Second				
Support and administration of our database and SAP Basis environments 28 002 1141 SS Dallas Gas Purchase Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gas purchases. 29 002 1144 SS Dallas Rate Administration Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gross margin. 30 002 1145 SS Dallas Revenue Accounting Costs associated with the vP, Strategic Planning who manages Business Planning & Analysis and Rates & Regulatory Affairs. 31 002 1153 SS Dallas Distribution Acctq Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution revenues. 32 002 1154 SS Dallas Rates & Regulatory Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution revenues. 33 002 1154 SS Dallas Rates & Regulatory Costs associated with rate case and regulatory work. Purpose is to manage the Company's rate strategy for regulated rates as well as the rate and regulatory activity for Atmos' eight state operating area. The pri through rate cases or formula ratemaking mechanisms. The department currently has approximately twenty base rate case filings or effect rate changes in its various jurisdictions as well as monthly, quarterly and annual reports to meet reporting requirements. 34 002 1155 SS Dallas Texas Gas Pipeline Acctg Costs assoc				
• The IT Quality Assurance (testing) processes 28 002 1141 SS Dallas Gas Purchase Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gas purchases. 29 002 1144 SS Dallas Rate Administration Costs associated with filing PGA, tariffs and recovering gas costs 30 002 1145 SS Dallas Revenue Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gross margin. 31 002 1153 SS Dallas Distribution Acctg Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution revenues. 32 002 1154 SS Dallas Distribution Acctg Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution revenues. 33 002 1154 SS Dallas Rates & Regulatory Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution revenues. 34 002 1155 SS Dallas Texas Gas Pipeline Acctg Costs associated with accounting for the Texas and Louisiana gas pipeline systems. 35 012 1155 SS Dallas Texas Gas Pipeline Acctg Costs associated with accounting for the Utily's distribution of urb usiness intelligence / reporting environment. Costs include labor, softwar 36 012 1155 SS Dallas Texas Gas Pipeline Acctg Costs associated with accounting for the Texas and Louisiana gas pipeline systems.				
28 002 1141 SS Dallas Gas Purchase Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gas purchases. 29 002 1144 SS Dallas Revenue Accounting Costs associated with filing PGA, tariffs and recovering gas costs 30 002 1145 SS Dallas Revenue Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gross margin. 31 002 1150 SS Dallas Strategic Planning Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gross margin. 32 002 1153 SS Dallas Revenue Acctg Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gross margin. 33 002 1154 SS Dallas Rates & Regulatory Costs associated with rate case and regulatory work. Purpose is to manage the Company's rate strategy for regulated rates as well as the rate and regulatory activity for Atmos' eight state operating area. The pri through rate cases or formula ratemaking mechanisms. The department currently has approximately twenty base rate case filings of effect rate changes in its various jurisdictions as well as monthly, quarterly and annual reports to meet reporting requirements. 34 002 1155 SS Dallas Texas Gas Pipeline Acctg Costs associated with developing and supporting Customer Service technologies. Also includes development and support of the inting extemal vendors; and support and admini				
29 002 1144 SS Dallas Rate Administration Costs associated with filing PGA, tariffs and recovering gas costs 30 002 1145 SS Dallas Revenue Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gross margin. 31 002 1150 SS Dallas Strategic Planning Costs associated with the VP, Strategic Planning who manages Business Planning & Analysis and Rates & Regulatory Affairs. 32 002 1153 SS Dallas Distribution Acctg Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution revenues. 33 002 1154 SS Dallas Rates & Regulatory Costs associated with rate case and regulatory work. Purpose is to manage the Company's rate strategy for regulated rates as well as the rate and regulatory activity for Atmos' eight state operating area. The pri through rate cases or formula ratemaking mechanisms. The department currently has approximately twenty base rate case filings c effect rate changes in its various jurisdictions as well as monthly, quarterly and annual reports to meet reporting requirements. 34 002 1155 SS Dallas Texas Gas Pipeline Acctg Costs associated with developing and supporting Customer Service technologies. Also includes development and support of the int external vendors; and support and administration of our business intelligence / reporting environment. Costs include labor, softwar support for our contact centers. Costs in this center include hardware maintenance and software mainte				• The Tri Quality Associatice (lesting) processes
30 002 1145 SS Dallas Revenue Accounting Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution gross margin. 31 002 1150 SS Dallas Strategic Planning Costs associated with the VP, Strategic Planning who manages Business Planning & Analysis and Rates & Regulatory Affairs. 32 002 1153 SS Dallas Distribution Acctg Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution revenues. 33 002 1154 SS Dallas Rates & Regulatory Costs associated with rate case and regulatory work. Purpose is to manage the Company's rate strategy for regulated rates as well as the rate and regulatory activity for Atmos' eight state operating area. The pri through rate cases or formula ratemaking mechanisms. The department currently has approximately twenty base rate case filings of effect rate changes in its various jurisdictions as well as monthly, quarterly and annual reports to meet reporting requirements. 34 002 1155 SS Dallas Texas Gas Pipeline Acctg Costs associated with developing and supporting Customer Service technologies. Also includes development and support of the inti external vendors; and support and administration of our business intelligence / reporting environment. Costs include labor, software support for our contact centers. Costs in this center include hardware maintenance and software maintenance for call center equip charges (not long distance). 37 002 1159 SS Dallas VP of Workforce Development <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>				
31 002 1150 SS Dallas Strategic Planning Costs associated with the VP, Strategic Planning who manages Business Planning & Analysis and Rates & Regulatory Affairs. 32 002 1153 SS Dallas Distribution Acctg Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution revenues. 33 002 1154 SS Dallas Rates & Regulatory Costs associated with rate case and regulatory work. Purpose is to manage the Company's rate strategy for regulated rates as well as the rate and regulatory activity for Atmos' eight state operating area. The pri through rate cases or formula ratemaking mechanisms. The department currently has approximately twenty base rate case filings of effect rate changes in its various jurisdictions as well as monthly, quarterly and annual reports to meet reporting requirements. 34 002 1155 SS Dallas Texas Gas Pipeline Acctg Costs associated with developing and supporting Customer Service technologies. Also includes development and support of the int external vendors; and support and administration of our business intelligence / reporting environment. Costs include labor, software support for our contact centers. Octs in this center include hardware maintenance and software maintenance for call center equip charges (not long distance). 37 002 1159 SS Dallas VP of Workforce Development Costs associated with the VP Workforce Development who oversees technical training and employee development activities across				
32 002 1153 SS Dallas Distribution Acctg Costs associated with the accounting for the utility's distribution revenues. 33 002 1154 SS Dallas Rates & Regulatory Costs associated with rate case and regulatory work. Purpose is to manage the Company's rate strategy for regulated rates as well as the rate and regulatory activity for Atmos' eight state operating area. The pri through rate cases or formula ratemaking mechanisms. The department currently has approximately twenty base rate case filings or effect rate changes in its various jurisdictions as well as monthly, quarterly and annual reports to meet reporting requirements. 34 002 1155 SS Dallas Texas Gas Pipeline Acctg Costs associated with developing and supporting Customer Service technologies. Also includes development and support of the int external vendors; and support and administration of our business intelligence / reporting environment. Costs include labor, softwar 36 012 1158 SS CCC IT Support Costs associated with supporting the IT activities in the Customer Contact Centers. Supports both the Amarillo and Waco customer support for our contact centere. Costs in this center include hardware maintenance and software maintenance for call center equip charges (not long distance). 37 002 1159 SS Dallas VP of Workforce Development Costs associated with the VP Workforce Development who oversees technical training and employee development activities across				
33 002 1154 SS Dallas Rates & Regulatory Costs associated with rate case and regulatory work. Purpose is to manage the Company's rate strategy for regulated rates as well as the rate and regulatory activity for Atmos' eight state operating area. The print through rate cases or formula ratemaking mechanisms. The department currently has approximately twenty base rate case filings or effect rate changes in its various jurisdictions as well as monthly, quarterly and annual reports to meet reporting requirements. 34 002 1155 SS Dallas Texas Gas Pipeline Acctg Costs associated with accounting for the Texas and Louisiana gas pipeline systems. 35 012 1156 SS Dal-IT Customer Services Systems Costs associated with accounting for the Texas and Louisians gas pipeline e/reporting environment. Costs include labor, softwar 36 012 1158 SS CCC IT Support Costs associated with supporting the IT activities in the Customer Contact Centers. Supports both the Amarillo and Waco customer support for our contact centers. Costs in this center include hardware maintenance and software maintenance for call center equip charges (not long distance). 37 002 1159 SS Dallas VP of Workforce Development Costs associated with the VP Workforce Development who oversees technical training and employee development activities across				
Company's rate strategy for regulated rates as well as the rate and regulatory activity for Atmos' eight state operating area. The pri through rate cases or formula ratemaking mechanisms. The department currently has approximately twenty base rate case filings or effect rate changes in its various jurisdictions as well as monthly, quarterly and annual reports to meet reporting requirements. 1155 SS Dallas Texas Gas Pipeline Acctg Costs associated with developing and supporting Customer Service technologies. Also includes development and support of the int external vendors; and support and administration of our business intelligence / reporting environment. Costs include labor, softwar 1158 SS CCC IT Support Costs associated with supporting the IT activities in the Customer Contact Centers. Supports both the Amarillo and Waco customer support for our contact centers. Costs in this center include hardware maintenance and software maintenance for call center equip charges (not long distance). 2002 1159 SS Dallas VP of Workforce Development 2012 2015 2016 2015 2016 2015 2016 2015 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016				
Image: Section of the sectin of the section of the section	33	002	1154 SS Dallas Rates & Regulatory	
and the second				
34 002 1155 SS Dallas Texas Gas Pipeline Acctg Costs associated with accounting for the Texas and Louisiana gas pipeline systems. 35 012 1156 SS Dal-IT Customer Services Systems Costs associated with developing and supporting Customer Service technologies. Also includes development and support of the intervention of our business intelligence / reporting environment. Costs include labor, softwar 36 012 1158 SS CCC IT Support Costs associated with supporting the IT activities in the Customer Contact Centers. Supports both the Amarillo and Waco customer support for our contact centers. Costs in this center include hardware maintenance and software maintenance for call center equip charges (not long distance). 37 002 1159 SS Dallas VP of Workforce Development Costs associated with the VP Workforce Development who oversees technical training and employee development activities across				
35 012 1156 SS Dal-IT Customer Services Systems Costs associated with developing and supporting Customer Service technologies. Also includes development and support of the int external vendors; and support and administration of our business intelligence / reporting environment. Costs include labor, softwar 36 012 1158 SS CCC IT Support Costs associated with supporting the IT activities in the Customer Contact Centers. Supports both the Amarillo and Waco custome support for our contact centers. Costs in this center include hardware maintenance and software maintenance for call center equip charges (not long distance). 37 002 1159 SS Dallas VP of Workforce Development Costs associated with the VP Workforce Development who oversees technical training and employee development activities across	34	002	1155 SS Dallas Texas Gas Pipeline Accto	
and external vendors; and support and administration of our business intelligence / reporting environment. Costs include labor, softwar 36 012 1158 SS CCC IT Support Costs associated with supporting the IT activities in the Customer Contact Centers. Supports both the Amarillo and Waco custome support for our contact centers. Costs in this center include hardware maintenance and software maintenance for call center equip charges (not long distance). 37 002 1159 SS Dallas VP of Workforce Development Costs associated with the VP Workforce Development who oversees technical training and employee development activities across				
36 012 1158 SS CCC IT Support Costs associated with supporting the IT activities in the Customer Contact Centers. Supports both the Amarillo and Waco customer support for our contact centers. Costs in this center include hardware maintenance and software maintenance for call center equip charges (not long distance). 37 002 1159 SS Dallas VP of Workforce Development Costs associated with the VP Workforce Development who oversees technical training and employee development activities across	00	0.2		external vendors; and support and administration of our business intelligence / reporting environment. Costs include labor, software maintenance, and related expenses.
37 002 1159 SS Dallas VP of Workforce Development Costs associated with the VP Workforce Development who oversees technical training and employee development activities across	36	012	1158 SS CCC IT Support	Costs associated with supporting the IT activities in the Customer Contact Centers. Supports both the Amarillo and Waco customer contact centers, providing telephone and IT
37 002 1159 SS Dallas VP of Workforce Development Costs associated with the VP Workforce Development who oversees technical training and employee development activities across				support for our contact centers. Costs in this center include hardware maintenance and software maintenance for call center equipment and software, and telephone access
	37	002	1159 SS Dallas VP of Workforce Development	Costs associated with the VP Workforce Development who oversees technical training and employee development activities across the enterprise.
38 I UUZ I I DI JO DAIIAS BENETITS & PAVIOI ACCIO I LOSIS ASSOCIATED WITH MANAGEMENT OF DAVIOII AND bENETITS DEDATIMENTS	38	002	1161 SS Dallas Benefits & Payroll Acctg	Costs associated with management of payroll and benefitis departments
				Costs associated with providing the strategic direction for Cyber Security in the organization. Also includes the costs related to providing telecommunications to the corporate offic
(lines, equipment, etc.), network operations center and security administration.	00	002		

Line No.	Division (1)	Cost Center/Description	Cost Center Function
	(a)	(b)	(c)
40	002	1167 SS Dal-IT Enterprise Architecture	Costs associated with setting the strategic architecture for our information systems and infrastructure. Also includes the Project Management function.
41	002	1171 SS Dallas Regulatory Accounting	Costs associated with regulatory accounting work on rate cases, special projects and other Commission requests as well as regulatory reporting to utility commissions, government and industry groups. This cost center was created to clearly identify personnel who devote their time to working on the regulatory accounting and reporting side of the business on rate cases, special projects, commission annual reports and other commission requests such as documenting the Company's cost allocation methodologies and capitalized overhead study.
42	002	1201 SS Dallas Chief Executive Officer	Costs associated with the CEO
43	002	1205 SS Dallas SVP Safety and Enterprise Services	Costs associated with the SVP Safety and Enterprise Services.
44	002	1209 SS Dallas Safety & Compliance	Costs associated with safety compliance and security
45	012	1212 SS CSC-Customer Contact Management	Costs associated with both the Waco and Amarillo Customer Support Centers.
46	012	1213 SS Dallas Quality Assurance	Costs associated with monitoring calls to ensure customers are given correct information and that the correct processes and procedures are followed.
47	012	1214 SS Dallas Workforce Management	Costs associated with forecasting call volume and scheduling agents to ensure we have people available to answer calls
48	012	1215 SS Dispatch Operations	Service Orders to Service techs for emergency calls and same day service. To alert first responders of emergency situations and to communicate service order information to the approximately 750 service technicians throughout the regulated divisions. Costs in this center include the labor and related expenses to staff the dispatch function twenty-four hours a day as well as building maintenance and telecom costs.
49	012	1216 SS Dallas CSO Training & Knowledge Mgmt	Cost associated with the training of CSO employees.
50	012	1224 SS Dallas CSO Human Resources	Costs associated with Human Resources in the Customer Service Organization.
51	012	1226 SS Dallas Customer Service	Costs of management and administration of customer service organization (revenue management, customer contact and program development). Includes overall CSO management and administration (excluding Human Resources which is included in CC1224) and Regulated Operations initiatives. CSO management provides support to the contact centers as well as other CSO departments.
52	002	1227 SS Dallas Business Processes and Change Management	Define and implement business solutions and help employees understand, prepare for, and at on changes necessary to operate our business exceptionally well.
53	012	1228 SS Dallas Customer Revenue Management	Costs associated with managing customer revenue functions of billing, payment applications and collections. This center provides day-to-day support and transaction processing for customers in all states served by Atmos. Also includes the outside vendor costs of bill printing, accepting payments and collection agency fees.
54	002	1229 SS Dallas Pipeline Safety	Costs associated with the oversight of pipe replacement activities, pipeline safety, employee safety and technical training activities.
55	002	1401 SS Dallas Employment & Employee Relations	Costs associated with recruiting, staffing and onboarding, as well as HR compliance processes, operations, audits, investigations and risk management. Purpose is to develop, implement and administer employment related activities including: employee relations, corporate compliance, AA/EEO plans and reporting, responses to regulatory and legal inquiries (EEOC, OFCCP, Drug and Alcohol, MVR's, Vets 100, etc.) selection, hiring and onboarding. Costs charged to this cost center include labor and related expenses, professional association dues, contract labor, legal fees and professional reference books. These costs are a necessary component in providing human resource services to our employees.
56	002	1402 SS Dallas Executive Compensation	Costs associated with the compensation committee of the Board of Directors. Costs incurred for executive compensation work for the Human Resources Committee of the Atmos Energy Board of Directors. Also included are costs related to corporate officer annual physical exams paid by the Company. These costs are a necessary component of providing human resource services to the corporate officers that are necessary for the provision of safe and reliable service.
57	002	1403 SS Dallas Human Resources - Vice Pres	Costs associated with the VP Human Resources.
58	002	1405 SS Dallas Benefits	Costs associated with the management of the Company's benefit plans. The purpose is to ensure Atmos provides its employees the most cost effective benefit plans that are 1) competitive within the utility sector and general industry overall, and 2) consistently applied to all employees. Specifically, this cost center is accountable for: Group Medical Plan and Retiree Medical Plan; Group Dental Plan; Group Vision Plan; Wellness Plans (Compass, Advance Medical and Naturally Slim); Employee Assistance Plan; Group Life Insurance Plan; Optional Life Insurance Coverage (Group Variable Universal Life and Supplemental Term life for employee, dependent spouse and child(ren); Flexible Benefits Plan; Health Savings Account; Business Travel & Accident Insurance; Service Awards Program; Defined Contribution Plan; Defined Benefit Plan; The Master Trust (holds assets of the defined benefit plan); Taxable and Tax Exempt VEBA Trusts; Pension Payments to 1,500 retirees; Collection of Retiree Medical Contributions; Workers' Compensation; Neuromuscular Corporate Solutions; Group Long-Term Disability Plan; Short-Term Disability; Family Medical Leave; and Supplemental Benefits Plan (executive).
59	002	1407 SS Dallas Facilities	Costs associated with the management of the Company's facilities (offices)
60	002	1408 SS Dallas Employee Development	Costs associated with designing, developing and implementing training and development opportunities for all employees in areas of customer service, leadership, culture shaping and communication. All training and development costs including those that go into the development and delivery of training programs or participant manuals go into this cost center. This cost center also provides training and development support to both customer support centers and all divisions.
61	002	1414 SS Tech Training Delivery	Costs associated with technical training delivery
62	002	1415 SS Tech Training Prog & Curriculum	Costs associated with the technical training curriculum and program development
63	002	1416 SS Dallas Compensation and HRMS	Costs associated with the management of the Company's compensation plans and maintenance of HR employee database. The purpose is to ensure Atmos provides its employees the most cost effective pay plans that are competitive within the utility and pipeline sector and general industry overall. Costs charged to this cost center include labor and related expenses, software maintenance, salary surveys, professional association dues and contract labor. These costs are a necessary component in providing human resources services to our employees.

110.	Division (1)	Cost Center/Description	Cost Center Function
	(a)	(b)	(c)
4	002	1420 SS Dallas EAPC	Costs associated with the Shared Services Employee Activities Planning Committee (EAPC). This committee organizes various Dallas employee-related team building activities throughout the year, including the Company's Lincoln Center United Way campaign. This includes meals incurred by the EAPC as well as office supplies and materials. This does not include employee compensation for their work on the EAPC. These costs are a necessary component to providing human resource services to our employees and as such are reasonable and necessary to the provision of safe and reliable service.
5	002	1463 SS HR Benefit Variance	Used to accumulate the differences between the actual cost of employee benefits and the budgeted benefits rate
6	002	1501 SS Corporate Legal	Costs associated with the Corporate Legal department, which includes the SVP, General Counsel & Corporate Secretary
7	002	1502 SS Dallas Corporate Secretary	Costs associated with the Board of Directors and shareholders. Costs such as Director's fees, board meeting expenses, proxy solicitation expenses and NYSE fees are recorded in this cost center.
8	002	1503 SS Corporate Governmental Affairs	Costs associated with governmental relations
9	002	1504 SS Corporate Records Management	Costs associated with the storage and maintenance of Company records
0	002	1505 SS Corporate Gas Contract Administration	Costs associated with maintaining and administrating the Company's gas contracts
1	002	1508 SS Corporate Energy Assistance	Costs associated with planning, organizing, developing, monitoring and overseeing all aspects of the company's Energy Assistance and Customer Advocacy Program.
2	002	1821 SS Gas Supply Executive	Costs associated with the VP, Gas Supply & Services
3	002	1822 SS Dallas-Regional Gas Supply	Costs associated with the management of the Regional Supply department for West Texas and Mid-Tex.
4	002	1823 SS Dallas Gas Contract Admin	Costs associated with maintaining and administrating the Company's gas contracts for the entire utility operations.
5	002	1825 SS Franklin-Gas Control & Storage	Costs associated with supporting Franklin Gas Control and Atmos Energy Storage Operations for all utility areas excluding Mid-Tex.
6	002	1826 SS New Orleans Gas Supply & Services	Costs associated with the Director of all the Gas Supply, Planning & Hedging departments.
7	002	1827 SS Regional Supply Planning	Costs associated with the management of the Gas Supply Planning department for all utility divisions.
8	002	1828 SS Jackson-West Region Gas Supply & Services	Costs associated with the management of the Jackson Gas Supply and Services department which includes the regions of Mississippi, Louisiana, Colorado and Kansas.
9	002	1829 SS Franklin-East Region Gas Supply & Services	Costs associated with the management of the Franklin Gas Supply and Services department which includes the regions of Kentucky, Tennessee and Virginia.
0	002	1831 SS Dallas Gas Supply	Costs associated with the management of the Gas Supply department related to the Mid-Tex Division.
1	002	1833 SS Dallas-Corporate Gas Supply Risk Mgmt	Costs associated with the management of the Company's hedging program. The timing of the fixed physical purchases, and support for fixed purchase plans are services provided by this cost center.
2	002	1835 SS Franklin Gas Control	Costs associated with operating the gas control system in Franklin, Tennessee for all areas except Mid-Tex
3	002	1836 SS TBS-System Support	Software platform organization utilized to capture gas supply transactions including purchases and transportation activities. To provide support for the Transportation Billing System ("TBS") applications and related processes. The TBS Suite enables divisions to perform gas scheduling and complex billing functions for transportation and industrial sales customers, and provides support for those systems. Cost Center 1836 is the overall management of the TBS group. Costs in these centers include Company labor and related expenses as well as software maintenance fees, contract labor and tools to support the TBS system application.
4	002	1837 SS TBS-Application Support	User interface support including training for the TBS system. To provide support for the Transportation Billing System ("TBS") applications and related processes. The TBS Suite enables divisions to perform gas scheduling and complex billing functions for transportation and industrial sales customers, and provides support for those systems. Cost Center 1837 is the application group that works with end users and is also responsible for loading contract change data into the application. Costs in these centers include Company labor and related expenses as well as software maintenance fees, contract labor and tools to support the TBS system application.
5	002	1838 SS TBS-Technical Support	Provide technical support for the TBS suite. To provide support for the Transportation Billing System ("TBS") applications and related processes. The TBS Suite enables divisions to perform gas scheduling and complex billing functions for transportation and industrial sales customers, and provides support for those systems. Cost Center 1838 is the Technical Support group which is responsible for polling all of the electronic meters as well as providing programming support to the TBS system application. Costs in these centers includ Company labor and related expenses as well as software maintenance fees, contract labor and tools to support the TBS system application.
6	002	1839 SS TBS-Transportation & Scheduling	Provide transportation, nomination & scheduling services to the divisions using TBS. To provide support for the Transportation Billing System ("TBS") applications and related processes. The TBS Suite enables divisions to perform gas scheduling and complex billing functions for transportation and industrial sales customers, and provides support for those systems. Cost Center 1839 is the Scheduling Group for the Atmos Utility Divisions. Costs in these centers include Company labor and related expenses as well as software maintenance fees, contract labor and tools to support the TBS system application.
7	002/012	1901 SS Dallas Employee Relocation Exp	Used to accumulate costs associated with the relocation of employees to Shared Services. Charges include transportation of household goods, closing costs, incidentals, etc.
8	002	1903 SS Dallas Controller – Misc.	Used to accumulate costs which do not specifically relate to another Shared Services Cost Center
9	002	1904 SS Dallas Performance Plan	Costs of the Management Incentive Plan ("MIP") and Variable Payment Plan ("VPP") for individuals in Shared Services Cost Centers. The two plans are intended to provide the
			Company a means by which it can engender and sustain a sense of personal commitment on the part of its employees (through the VPP) and its executives and senior managers (through the MIP) in the continued growth, development, and financial success of the Company and encourage them to remain with and devote their best efforts to the business of the Company, thereby advancing the interests of the Company and its shareholders. Accordingly, the Company may award to employees, executives and senior managers the respective annual incentive compensation.

Line No.	Division (1)	Cost Center/Description	Cost Center Function
	(a)	(b)	(c)
90	002	1905 SS Outside Director Retirement Cost	Accrued retirement costs for the non-employee members of the Board of Directors. Cost associated with the annual grant of share units to non-employee directors for their service on the Board of Directors. Like all publicly held corporations, Atmos has a board of directors, and the activities of the board benefit the Company and its customers as a whole. These directors require compensation. Part of the compensation package includes annual grants of shares of the Company's stock. The expense recorded in this cost center is not invoiced from a third-party. Rather, it is calculated in accordance with the provisions of ASC 718 Compensation - Stock Compensation (formerly SFAS No. 123R)). Essentially, this standard requires shared-based compensation to be recognized over the requisite service period. The amount of the compensation cost recorded in this cost center is based upon the number of shares granted and the grant date fair value of the stock award.

Line No.	Division (1)	Cost Center/Description	Cost Center Function
	(a)	(b)	(c)
91	002	1908 SS Dallas SEBP	Atmos Energy Corporation has implemented and maintained over the past years a supplemental executive retirement plan as an integral part of its executive compensation program. There are currently three SERP plans in which active corporate officers participate. The SEBP is currently closed to new membership; only employees promoted to or directly appointed to a Management Committee level job are eligible to join the SERP. An account based SERP is now in place to which newly appointed corporate officers are eligible. The SEBP has been instrumental in helping the Company to attract, motivate, and retain a high quality senior management team responsible for the leadership of the Atmos organization.
			To capture the cost associated with these plans, Cost Center 1908 has been established. Annuity benefits from the SEBP and SERP are funded through Rabbi Trusts maintained at State Street Trust and lump sum benefits from the SEBP, SERP and Account Based SERP are paid from Corporate assets. Atmos Energy's Company-Owned Life Insurance (COLI) which is a funding vehicle for benefits paid to former officers who receive an annuity benefit paid out of Corporate assets. The COLI reimburses Atmos for these annuity benefits. The SFAS 87 (now ASC 715) expense related to these annuity benefits is charged to the respective division where the former Corporate officer retired. The SFAS 87 expense for current retired SEBP and SERP participants, the management committee and current active Corporate officers is also accounted for in Cost Center 1908. The SFAS 87 expense for the SEBP and SERP is actuarially determined by an independent third-party actuary in accordance with SFAS 87.
			The COLI policies were executed on certain executives (now retired) in prior years and are being phased out. Currently, no new policies are being executed. Finally, this Cost Center is used to record the independent actuary's cost to perform the annual SFAS 87 and SFAS 106 calculations required for Atmos Energy's SEC filings. This includes third- party costs associated with the administration of the SEBP (Haynes Boone, State Street, Towers Watson, LCG Associates). These costs are part of the overall executive compensation plan and are not incentive compensation.
92	002	1910 SS Corporate Overhead Capitalized	Represents the portion of Shared Services costs that are capitalized through the overhead pool throughout the year. Capitalization rates are based on estimated support of capital activities by each cost center.
93	002	1913 SS Dallas Fleet and Corporate Sourcing	Costs associated with managing Atmos' vehicle fleet
94	002	1915 SS Dallas Insurance	Used for booking insurance costs related to Shared Services.
95	002	1953 SS Dallas Enterprise Team Meeting	Used to record expenses related to the Enterprise Team Meeting.
96	002	1954 SS Dallas Culture Council	This cost center is used to record expenses related to the company's Culture Council. The purpose of the Culture Council is to sustain and strengthen a unified culture at Atmos Energy. The Culture Council is currently made up of leaders across the company that meet throughout the year to discuss and develop ways of sustaining and strengthening our company culture.

97 98 <u>Note:</u>

99 1. Division 002 represents the General Office and Division 012 represents Customer Support.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT MISCELLANEOUS ADJUSTMENTS TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line		Footnote	FERC		
No.	Description	Reference	Account	Total	Adjustment
	(a)	(b)	(c)		(d)
1	APT - Direct				
2	Employee-related Expenses - 5400 Subaccount Series	1	814	\$	(2,868)
3	Adjustment	1	856		(24,074)
4	Employee-related Expenses - 5400 Subaccount Series	1	857		(2,967)
5	Employee-related Expenses - 5400 Subaccount Series	1	910		(14,412)
6	Employee-related Expenses - 5400 Subaccount Series	1	921		(188,182)
7	Total 5400 Series Adjustment (Sum of Ln 2 through Ln 6)			\$	(232,504)
8					· · ·
9	Employee-related Expenses - 900 Account Series	2	910	\$	(71,849)
10	Employee-related Expenses - 900 Account Series	2	921		(16,894)
11	Employee-related Expenses - 900 Account Series	2	923		(328)
12	Employee-related Expenses - 900 Account Series	2	930.2		(245)
13	Total 900 Account Series Adjustment (Sum of Ln 9 through Ln 12)			\$	(89,316)
14					
15	Pension and Other Postemployment Benefits Regulatory Asset Amortization	3	926	\$	656,766
16					
17	Line Locate Contract Rate Change	4	856	\$	57,416
18					
19	Severance Pay Adjustment		856	\$	(8,231)
20					
21	Total APT Direct Miscellaneous Adjustments (Ln 7 + Ln 13 + Ln 15 + Ln 17)			\$	384,131
22					

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT MISCELLANEOUS ADJUSTMENTS TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line		Footnote	FERC		
No.	Description	Reference	Account	Total Adjustment	
	(a)	(b)	(c)		(d)
23	Shared Services General Office (Division 002)				
24	Employee-related Expenses - 5400 Subaccount Series	1	922	\$	(8,299)
25	Employee-related Expenses - 900 Account Series	2	922		(46,795)
26	Total 5400 and 900 Account Series Adjustment (Ln 22 + Ln 23)			\$	(55,094)
27					
28	SSU MIP/VPP in Cost Centers other than 1904	5	922	\$	(11,847)
29	SSU SEBP\SERP in Cost Centers other than 1908	6	922		(222)
30	Severance Pay Adjustment		922		(43,456)
30	Total Other Miscellaneous Adjustments (Ln 26 + Ln 27)			\$	(55,526)
31					
32	Total SSU (Division 002) Miscellaneous Adjustments (Ln 24 + Ln 28)			\$	(110,619)
33					
34	Total Miscellaneous Adjustments (Ln 19 + Ln 30)			\$	273,512
35				<u> </u>	

36 Notes:

1. O&M expenses recorded in sub accounts 05400-05499 and 07590 that are being voluntarily removed by the Company, include items such as alcohol and meals greather than \$25. Any adjustments in sub accounts 05415, 05416, 05417, and 07510 are reflected on WP_F-2.10.

2. O&M expenses recorded to FERC accounts 902 through 931 that are being voluntarily removed by the Company, and include items such as meals

38 greater than \$25, alcohol, other controversial items and non-recurring expenses.

39 3. Adjustment to include the annual amortization of the Pension and Other Postemployment Benefits Regulatory Asset. Please see WP_B-7.

40 4. Adjustment to reflect the increase in the rate per line locate effective in April 2016.

41 5. Adjustment to remove MIP\VPP expense recorded in Cost Centers other than 1904, which is removed on WP_F-2.7.

42 6. Adjustment to remove SEBP\SERP expense recorded in Cost Centers other than 1908, which is removed on WP_F-2.7.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT BLANK SHEET TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT RULE COMPLIANCE ADJUSTMENT TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line			FERC		Ad	justment
No.	Description	Rule (1)	Account	Cost Center	A	mount
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)		(e)
1	APT - Direct					
2	Nondeductible Dues/Donations	7.5414	818		\$	(145)
3	Adjustment	7.5414	853			(471)
4	Nondeductible Dues/Donations	7.5414	910			(16,182)
5	Nondeductible Dues/Donations	7.5414	930.2			(9,048)
6	Total (Sum of Ln 2 through Ln 5)				\$	(25,846)
7						
8	Shared Services General Office (Division 002)					
9	Nondeductible Dues/Donations	7.5414	922	1118	\$	(604)
10	Nondeductible Dues/Donations	7.5414	922	1150		(695)
11	Nondeductible Dues/Donations	7.5414	922	1164		(19)
12	Nondeductible Dues/Donations	7.5414	922	1401		(57)
13	Nondeductible Dues/Donations	7.5414	922	1503		(174)
14	Total (Sum of Ln 9 through Ln 13)				\$	(1,548)
15						
16	Total Rule Compliance (Ln 6 + Ln 14)				\$	(27,394)
17						
18	Notes:					
19	1. In compliance with TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 7.5414, the test ye and 07510.	ar expenses remov	ed are from su	b-accounts 0541	5, 054	16, 05417
20	2. In compliance with TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 7.501, the Comparincurred and booked to Account 426.4.	ny advises the follow	wing Legislative	e Advocacy exper	ises v	were

21

22		<u>CY 2015</u>	Test Year
23	Shared Services	\$ 576,301 \$	581,827
24	Atmos Pipeline - Texas	\$ 205,974 \$	220,396

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT RULE COMPLIANCE 7.5414, ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION FOR ADVERTISING LIMITATION **TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016**

Line		FERC	-	Per Book		justment
No.	Description	Account	A	mounts		
	(a)	(b)		(c)		(d)
1	Miscellaneous Customer Service and Informational Expenses	910	\$	354,603	\$	-
2	Supervision	911		108		-
3	Demonstrating and Selling Expenses	912		2,450		-
4	Advertising Expenses	913		6		-
5 6	Miscellaneous Sales Expenses	916		79,975		-
7	Total Advertising (Sum of Ln 1 through Ln 5)		\$	437,142	\$	-
8 9	Total Operating Revenue	480-495	\$ 40	08,832,567		
10 11	Less: Uncollectible Accounts	904	Ţ.	(9,169)		
12 13	Total Gross Receipts (Ln 9 - Ln 10)		\$40	08,841,736	•	
14 15	Allowable Percentage for Advertising per Substantive Rule 7.5414			0.500%		
16 17	Calculated Allowable Advertising Expense (Ln 12 times Ln 14)		\$	2,044,209	•	
18 19	Total Advertising Expense Allowed (2)		\$	437,142		
20 21	Adjustment Amount (3)				\$	-
22 23	Notes:					

24 1. An adjustment, if applicable, is allocated to accounts based upon the relative per book amounts.

25 2. The lesser amount of Ln. 7 Col (c) or Ln. 16 Col (c)

3. An adjustment is required if the amount shown on Line 7, Column (c), exceeds the amount shown on Line 16, Column (c). If 26

the amount shown on Line 7, Column (c), is less than Line 16 then no adjustment is required.

4. The above information is provided per TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 7.5414.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT BLANK SHEET TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Line No.	Utility Account	Account Description		otal Adjusted lant Balance		-depreciable and Fully epreciated Plant		Depreciable Plant	Proposed Rate		D	Proposed epreciation Expense
	(a)	(b)		(c)		(d)	((e) = (c) - (d)	(f)		(g	g) = (e) * (f)
1	<u>APT - Dir</u>											
2 3		Intangible Plant	¢	0.000.074	¢	0 000 074	۴			0.000/	¢	
3 4	Adjustmen	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant Total Intangible Plant (Ln 3)	<u>\$</u> \$	6,238,271 6,238,271	\$ \$	6,238,271 6,238,271	\$ \$	-		0.00%	<u>ֆ</u> \$	-
4 5		rolar mangible Plant (Lh 3)	Þ	0,230,271	Φ	0,230,271	Ф	-		-	Ф	-
6		Storage Plant										
7	350.00	Land and Land Rights	\$	_	\$	-	\$	_		0.00%	\$	_
8	350.10	Land	Ψ	5,515,389	Ψ	5,515,389	Ψ	-		0.00%	Ψ	_
9	350.20	Rights-of-Way		32,592		0,010,000		32,592		2.23%		727
10	351.00	Structures and Improvements		24,635,344		-		24,635,344		2.39%		589,499
11	352.00	Wells		78,403,027		-		78,403,027		3.27%		2,563,487
12		Lines		13,256,043		-		13,256,043		3.66%		485,446
13	354.00	Compressor Station Equipment		88,256,841		-		88,256,841		3.36%		2,961,515
14	355.00	M&R Equipment		50,663,680		-		50,663,680		4.59%		2,325,574
15	356.00	Purification Equipment		49,947,598		-		49,947,598		2.20%		1,098,810
16	357.00	Other Equipment		621,183		-		621,183		3.12%		19,364
17		Total Storage Plant (Sum Ln 7 through Ln 16)	\$	311,331,697	\$	5,515,389	\$	305,816,308		-	\$	10,044,423
18										-		
19		Transmission Plant										
20	365.00	Land	\$	1,232,270	\$	1,232,270	\$	-		0.00%	\$	-
21	365.10	ROW - Trans Comp Stat		-		-		-		1.31%		-
22	365.20	ROW - City Gate		18,983,795		-		18,983,795		1.31%		248,780
23	366.00	Structures and Improvements		11,472,463		-		11,472,463		4.06%		465,707
24	367.00	Mains - Cathodic Protection		200,439,413		-		200,439,413		2.83%		5,668,555
25	367.01	Mains - Steel		1,431,528,224		-		1,431,528,224		2.83%		40,484,537
26	367.02	Mains - Plastic		11,591,352		-		11,591,352		2.83%		327,811
27	368.00	Compressor Station Equipment		150,061,066		-		150,061,066		4.40%		6,602,985
28	369.00	M&R Station Equipment		228,773,444		-		228,773,444		4.82%		11,028,850
29	370.00	Communication Equipment		14,144,928		-		14,144,928		5.47%		774,194
30	371.00	Other Equipment		4,923,427	<u> </u>	-		4,923,427		3.61%	<u> </u>	177,761
31		Total Transmission Plant (Sum Ln 20 through Ln 30)	\$ 2	2,073,150,383	\$	1,232,270	\$	2,071,918,113		-	\$	65,779,181
32												

Line No.	Utility Account (a)	Account Description (b)	tal Adjusted ant Balance (c)	n-depreciable and Fully Depreciated Plant (d)	Depreciable Plant (e) = (c) - (d)	Proposed Rate (f)	Proposed Depreciation Expense (g) = (e) * (f)
33		General Plant					
34	389.00	Land and Land Rights	\$ 124,981	\$ 124,981	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
35	390.00	Structures and Improvements	6,285,064	-	6,285,064	3.38%	212,530
36	391.00	Office Furniture and Equipment	4,878,716	-	4,878,716	4.17%	203,280
37	392.00	Transportation Equipment (1)	1,562,956	-	1,562,956	13.28%	62,272
38	393.00	Stores Equipment	-	-	-	4.00%	-
39	394.00	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment (1)	9,846,323	-	9,846,323	5.00%	147,695
40	395.00	Laboratory Equipment (1)	172,645	-	172,645	4.76%	2,466
41	396.00	Power Operated Equipment (1)	3,054,342	-	3,054,342	6.98%	4,264
42	397.00	Communication Equipment	736,092	-	736,092	4.55%	33,459
43	397.02	Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios	80,513	-	80,513	4.55%	3,660
44	397.05	Communication Equipment - Telemetering	114,680	-	114,680	4.55%	5,213
45	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment	8,193,622	-	8,193,622	3.13%	256,051
46	399.00	Other Tangible Property	71,172	-	71,172	14.29%	10,167
47	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware	612,444	-	612,444	10.00%	61,244
48	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software	1,408,668	-	1,408,668	10.00%	140,867
49	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware	71,397	-	71,397	10.00%	7,140
50	399.06	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	793,661	-	793,661	20.00%	158,732
51	399.07	Other Tangible Property - PC Software	794,975	-	794,975	20.00%	158,995
52		Total General Plant (Sum Ln 34 through Ln 51)	\$ 38,802,253	\$ 124,981	\$ 38,677,272		\$ 1,468,034
53		Accrual For Reserve Deficiency (2)					568,485
54		Total APT - Direct (Ln 4 + Ln 17 + Ln 31 + Ln 52 + Ln 53)					\$ 77,860,123
55							

Line No.	Utility Account	Account Description		otal Adjusted lant Balance		n-depreciable and Fully Depreciated Plant		Depreciable Plant	Proposed Rate		Proposed Depreciation Expense
	(a)	(b)		(c)		(d)	((e) = (c) - (d)	(f)		(g) = (e) * (f)
56 57 58	<u>SSU Gen</u> 390.00	<u>eral Office (Division 002)</u> <u>General Plant</u> Structures and Improvements	\$	1.411.378	¢		\$	1,411,378		3.01% \$	42,508
59	390.00	Improvements to Leased Premises	φ	8.311.748	φ	- 8,311,748	φ	1,411,370		3.25%	42,300
60	391.00	Office Furniture and Equipment		9,319,747		0,511,740		9,319,747		3.96%	369,499
61	392.00	Transportation Equipment		7,125		_		7,125		8.34%	594
62	393.00	Stores Equipment		-		-		-		10.32%	-
63	394.00	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment		160,005		-		160,005		8.37%	13,389
64	395.00	Laboratory Equipment				-		-		10.05%	-
65	397.00	Communication Equipment		2,429,872		-		2,429,872		5.85%	142,184
66	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment		136,510		-		136,510		5.29%	7,219
67	399.00	Other Tangible Property		162,268		162,268				13.06%	-
68	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware		31,625,506		- ,		31,625,506		9.48%	2,999,541
69	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software		18,988,317		-		18,988,317		8.93%	1,696,385
70	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware		3,248,234		-		3,248,234		6.99%	227,152
71	399.06	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware		1,807,627		-		1,807,627		10.49%	189,578
72	399.07	Other Tangible Property - PC Software		534,105		-		534,105		6.63%	35,386
73	399.08	Other Tangible Property - Application Software		53,639,657		-		53,639,657		6.52%	3,495,116
74	399.09	Other Tangible Property - Mainframe Software		39,252		39,252		-		15.89%	-
75		Total General Plant (Sum Ln 58 through Ln 74)	\$	131,821,351	\$	8,513,268	\$	123,308,083		\$	9,218,552
76		Allocation Factor									20.84%
77		Total General Office Allocated to APT (Ln 75 x Ln 76)								\$	1,921,146
78											
79	SSU - Gr	eenville Data Center									
80		General Plant									
81	390.05	Structures and Improvements	\$	9,133,015	\$	-	\$	9,133,015		3.01% \$	275,070
82	391.04	Office Furniture and Equipment		63,741	<u> </u>	-	<u></u>	63,741		3.96%	2,527
83		Total General Plant (Sum of Ln 81 through Ln 82)	\$	9,196,755	\$	-	\$	9,196,755		\$	277,597
84		Allocation Factor								<u>_</u>	70.13%
85 86		Total Greenville Data Center Allocated to APT (Ln 83 x Ln 84)								\$	194,682

Line No.	Utility Account	Account Description		otal Adjusted ant Balance		Non-depreciable and Fully Depreciated Plant		Depreciable Plant	Proposed Rate		Proposed Depreciation Expense (a) = (a) * (f)	
	(a)	(b)		(c)		(d)		(e) = (c) - (d)	(f)		(g)	= (e) * (f)
07		strikution and Markating										
87 88	<u> 550 - Dis</u>	stribution and Marketing General Plant										
00 89	390.20	Structures and Improvements	\$		\$		\$			3.01% \$	r	
	390.20	•	φ	-	φ	-	φ	-		3.25%	Þ	-
90 91	390.29	Improvements to Leased Premises		262.220		-		-		3.25% 3.96%		10 111
-	391.20	Office Furniture and Equipment		263,338		-		263,338		3.96% 8.37%		10,441
92		Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment		39,435		-		39,435				3,300
93	397.20	Communication Equipment		8,824		-		8,824		5.85%		516
94	398.20	Miscellaneous Equipment		7,388		-		7,388		5.29%		391
95	399.21	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware		1,628,900		-		1,628,900		9.48%		154,494
96	399.22	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software		961,256		-		961,256		8.93%		85,877
97	399.23	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware		37,965		-		37,965		6.99%		2,655
98	399.26	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware		75,783		-		75,783		0.49%		7,948
99	399.28	Other Tangible Property - Application Software	_	18,947,146	_	-	_	18,947,146		6.52%		1,234,580
100		Total General Plant (Sum of Ln 89 through Ln 99)	\$	21,970,034	\$	-	\$	21,970,034			5	1,500,202
101		Allocation Factor								_		0.00%
102		Total Distribution and Marketing Allocated to APT (Ln 100 x Ln 101)									\$	-
103												
104	<u>SSU - Ali</u>	gne Pipe Projects										
105		General Plant										
106	399.31	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware	\$	290,843	\$	-	\$	290,843		9.48% \$	\$	27,585
107	399.32	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software		337,635		-		337,635		8.93%		30,164
108	399.38	Other Tangible Property - Application Software		17,009,382		-		17,009,382		6.52%		1,108,317
109		Total General Plant (Sum of Ln 106 through Ln 108)	\$	17,637,860	\$	-	\$	17,637,860			\$	1,166,066
110		Allocation Factor								_		90.95%
111		Aligne Projects Allocated to APT (Ln 109 x Ln 110)									\$	1,060,523
112												
113	To	tal SSU General Office Allocated to APT (Ln 77 + Ln 85 + Ln 102 + Ln	111)								\$	3,176,350
114										—		

Line No.	Utility Account (a)	Account Description (b)	otal Adjusted lant Balance (c)	n-depreciable and Fully epreciated Plant (d)	Depreciable Plant (e) = (c) - (d)	Proposed Rate (f)	D	Proposed Depreciation Expense g) = (e) * (f)
115	SSU Cust	tomer Support (Division 012)						
116		General Plant						
117	389.00	Land and Land Rights	\$ 2,874,240	\$ 2,874,240	\$ -	0.009	6\$	-
118	390.00	Structures and Improvements	12,620,665	-	12,620,665	3.019	6	380,112
119	390.09	Improvements to Leased Premises	2,820,614	-	2,820,614	3.25%	6	91,614
120	391.00	Office Furniture and Equipment	2,295,208	-	2,295,208	3.969	6	90,998
121	397.00	Communication Equipment	1,962,785	-	1,962,785	5.859	6	114,852
122	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment	51,379	-	51,379	5.299	6	2,717
123	399.00	Other Tangible Property	629,166	-	629,166	13.069	6	82,183
124	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware	8,903,052	-	8,903,052	9.489	6	844,416
125	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software	1,818,284	-	1,818,284	8.939	6	162,442
126	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware	629,226	-	629,226	6.999	6	44,002
127	399.06	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	813,065	-	813,065	10.499	6	85,272
128	399.07	Other Tangible Property - PC Software	190,247	-	190,247	6.639	6	12,604
129	399.08	Other Tangible Property - Application Software	89,487,465	-	89,487,465	6.529	6	5,830,930
130		Total General Plant (Sum Ln 117 through Ln 129)	\$ 125,095,393	\$ 2,874,240	\$ 122,221,153		\$	7,742,142
131		Allocation Factor						0.00%
132		Total Customer Support Allocated to APT (Ln 130 x Ln 131)					\$	-
133								

Line No.	Utility Account (a)	Account Description (b)	otal Adjusted ant Balance (c)	n-depreciable and Fully Depreciated Plant (d)	Depreciable Plant (e) = (c) - (d)	Proposed Rate (f)		Proposed Depreciation Expense (g) = (e) * (f)
			.,	.,				
134	<u>SSU - Cu</u>	stomer Support Charles K. Vaughn Training Center						
135		General Plant						
136	389.10	5	\$ 1,887,123	\$ 1,887,123	\$ -		0.00%	•
137	390.10	Structures and Improvements	11,239,300	-	11,239,300		3.01%	338,507
138	391.10	Office Furniture and Equipment	204,636	-	204,636		3.96%	8,113
139	392.10	Transportation Equipment	96,290	-	96,290		8.34%	8,028
140	394.10	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment	347,775	-	347,775		8.37%	29,101
141	395.10	Laboratory Equipment	23,632	-	23,632		10.05%	2,374
142	397.10	Communication Equipment	294,319	-	294,319		5.85%	17,222
143	398.10	Miscellaneous Equipment	509,283	-	509,283		5.29%	26,934
144	399.10	Other Tangible Property	113,831	-	113,831		13.06%	14,869
145	399.16	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	228,123	-	228,123		10.49%	23,925
146	399.17	Other Tangible Property - PC Software	102,576	-	102,576		6.63%	6,796
147	399.18	Other Tangible Property - Application Software	 20,560	-	20,560		6.52%	1,340
148		Total General Plant (Sum of Ln 136 through Ln 147)	\$ 15,067,448	\$ 1,887,123	\$ 13,180,325			\$ 477,208
149		Allocation Factor						0.00%
150		Total CKV Training Center Allocated to APT (Ln 148 x Ln 149)						\$ -
151								
152		Total SSU Customer Support (Ln 132 + Ln 150)					_	\$ -
153								
154		Total APT Depreciation Expense (Ln 54 + Ln 113 + Ln 152)					_	\$ 81,036,473
155							=	
156	Notos:							

156 <u>Notes:</u>

157 1. Depreciation Expense has been reduced by the percent of depreciation that is capitalized for each account.

158 2. Please see Direct Testimony of APT Witness Dane Watson, Exhibit DAW-2, Appendix B for reserve deficit calculation and details.

	Utility				Dallas	
Line No.	Account	Account Description	Current	Proposed (1)	Proposed	
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)		
1	APT - Direct					
2	AFT - Direct	Intangible Plant				
3	Adjustment	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant	0.00%	0.00%		0.00
4	Aujustinent		0.0070	0.0078		0.00
5		Storage Plant				
6	350.00	Land and Land Rights	0.00%	0.00%		0.00
7	350.10	Land	0.00%	0.00%		0.00
8	350.20	Rights-of-Way	2.03%	2.23%	2.13%	0.00
9	351.00	Structures and Improvements	2.36%	2.39%	1.37%	0.00
10	352.00	Wells	2.24%	3.27%	1.89%	0.00
11	353.00	Lines	2.96%	3.66%	2.31%	0.00
12	354.00	Compressor Station Equipment	3.38%	3.36%	2.47%	0.00
13	355.00	M&R Equipment	2.90%	4.59%	2.47%	0.00
14	356.00	Purification Equipment	2.62%	2.20%	1.39%	0.00
15	357.00	Other Equipment	2.75%	3.12%	2.69%	0.00
16						
17		Transmission Plant				
18	365.00	Land	0.00%	0.00%		0.00
19	365.10	ROW - Trans Comp Stat	1.17%	1.31%		0.00
20	365.20	ROW - City Gate	1.17%	1.31%	1.23%	0.00
21	366.00	Structures and Improvements	3.30%	4.06%	2.39%	0.00
22	367.00	Mains - Cathodic Protection	2.03%	2.83%	1.52%	0.00
23	367.01	Mains - Steel	2.03%	2.83%	1.52%	0.00
24	367.02	Mains - Plastic	2.03%	2.83%	1.52%	0.00
25	368.00	Compressor Station Equipment	4.05%	4.40%	2.75%	0.00
26	369.00	M&R Station Equipment	3.60%	4.82%	2.80%	0.00
27	370.00	Communication Equipment	4.96%	5.47%	3.50%	0.00
28	371.00	Other Equipment	2.80%	3.61%	2.51%	0.00
29						

	Utility				Dallas	
Line No.	Account	Account Description	Current	Proposed (1)	Proposed	
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)		
30		General Plant				
31	389.00	Land and Land Rights	0.00%	0.00%		0.00
32	390.00	Structures and Improvements	2.97%		2.55%	0.00
33	391.00	Office Furniture and Equipment	2.93%			0.00
34	392.00	Transportation Equipment	4.65%		10.40%	0.00
35	393.00	Stores Equipment	0.00%	4.00%		0.00
36	394.00	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment	4.93%	5.00%		0.00
37	395.00	Laboratory Equipment	4.96%	4.76%		0.00
38	396.00	Power Operated Equipment	1.98%	6.98%	5.49%	0.00
39	397.00	Communication Equipment	3.81%	4.55%		0.00
40	397.02	Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios	3.81%	4.55%		0.00
41	397.05	Communication Equipment - Telemetering	3.81%	4.55%		0.00
42	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment	2.59%	3.13%		0.00
43	399.00	Other Tangible Property	11.43%	14.29%		0.00
44	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware	8.38%	10.00%		0.00
45	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software	8.19%	10.00%		0.00
46	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware	8.61%	10.00%		0.00
47	399.06	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	16.72%	20.00%		0.00
48	399.07	Other Tangible Property - PC Software	18.26%	20.00%		0.00
49						

Line No. Account Account Description Current Proposed (1) Proposed (a) (b) (c) (d) 50 SSU - General Office (Division 002) (d) (d) (d) (d) 51 General Plant 52 390.00 Structures and Improvements 9.10% 3.01% 0.00 53 390.09 Improvements to Leased Premises 9.10% 3.25% 0.00 54 391.00 Office Furniture and Equipment 2.13% 3.96% 0.00 55 392.00 Transportation Equipment 10.32% 8.34% 0.00 56 393.00 Stores Equipment 10.32% 8.37% 0.00 58 395.00 Laboratory Equipment 10.32% 8.37% 0.00 59 397.00 Communication Equipment 8.15% 5.29% 0.00 61 399.00 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 6.95% 9.48% 0.00 62 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software		Utility			D	allas
SSU - General Office (Division 002). 1 General Plant 52 390.00 Structures and Improvements 9.10% 3.01% 0.00 53 390.09 Improvements to Leased Premises 9.10% 3.25% 0.00 54 391.00 Office Furniture and Equipment 2.13% 3.96% 0.00 55 392.00 Transportation Equipment 10.32% 8.34% 0.00 56 393.00 Stores Equipment 10.32% 10.32% 0.00 57 394.00 Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment 10.32% 10.05% 0.00 58 395.00 Laboratory Equipment 10.32% 10.05% 0.00 59 397.00 Communication Equipment 8.45% 5.85% 0.00 60 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 8.15% 5.29% 0.00 61 399.00 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 6.95% 9.48% 0.00 63 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 9.30%	Line No.	Account	Account Description	Current	Proposed (1) Pro	posed
Signal General Plant 52 390.00 Structures and Improvements 9.10% 3.01% 0.00 53 390.09 Improvements to Leased Premises 9.10% 3.25% 0.00 54 391.00 Office Furniture and Equipment 2.13% 3.96% 0.00 55 392.00 Transportation Equipment 10.32% 8.34% 0.00 56 393.00 Stores Equipment 10.32% 8.37% 0.00 57 394.00 Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment 10.32% 8.37% 0.00 58 395.00 Laboratory Equipment 10.32% 10.05% 0.00 59 397.00 Communication Equipment 8.45% 5.85% 0.00 60 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 8.15% 5.29% 0.00 61 399.00 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 6.95% 9.48% 0.00 63 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 4.00% 8.93% 0.00		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	
General Plant General Plant 52 390.00 Structures and Improvements 9.10% 3.01% 0.00 53 390.09 Improvements to Leased Premises 9.10% 3.25% 0.00 54 391.00 Office Furniture and Equipment 2.13% 3.96% 0.00 55 392.00 Transportation Equipment 10.32% 8.34% 0.00 56 393.00 Stores Equipment 10.32% 8.37% 0.00 57 394.00 Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment 10.32% 8.37% 0.00 58 395.00 Laboratory Equipment 10.32% 10.05% 0.00 59 397.00 Communication Equipment 8.45% 5.85% 0.00 60 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 8.15% 5.29% 0.00 61 399.00 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 6.95% 9.48% 0.00 63 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 4.00% 8.93% 0.00						
52 390.00 Structures and Improvements 9.10% 3.01% 0.00 53 390.09 Improvements to Leased Premises 9.10% 3.25% 0.00 54 391.00 Office Furniture and Equipment 2.13% 3.96% 0.00 55 392.00 Transportation Equipment 10.32% 8.34% 0.00 56 393.00 Stores Equipment 10.32% 8.34% 0.00 57 394.00 Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment 10.32% 10.05% 0.00 58 395.00 Laboratory Equipment 10.32% 10.05% 0.00 60 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 8.45% 5.85% 0.00 61 399.00 Other Tangible Property 4.51% 13.06% 0.00 62 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 4.00% 8.93% 0.00 63 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 9.30% 6.99% 0.00 64 399.03 Other Tangible Propert		<u>SSU - Gene</u>				
53 390.09 Improvements to Leased Premises 9.10% 3.25% 0.00 54 391.00 Office Furniture and Equipment 2.13% 3.96% 0.00 55 392.00 Transportation Equipment 10.32% 8.34% 0.00 56 393.00 Stores Equipment 10.32% 10.32% 0.00 57 394.00 Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment 10.32% 8.37% 0.00 58 395.00 Laboratory Equipment 10.32% 10.05% 0.00 59 397.00 Communication Equipment 8.45% 5.85% 0.00 60 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 8.15% 5.29% 0.00 61 399.00 Other Tangible Property Servers Hardware 6.95% 9.48% 0.00 63 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 4.00% 8.93% 0.00 64 399.03 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 9.30% 6.99% 0.00 65 399.06 <t< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	-					
54 391.00 Office Furniture and Equipment 2.13% 3.96% 0.00 55 392.00 Transportation Equipment 10.32% 8.34% 0.00 56 393.00 Stores Equipment 10.32% 10.32% 0.00 57 394.00 Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment 10.32% 8.37% 0.00 58 395.00 Laboratory Equipment 10.32% 10.05% 0.00 59 397.00 Communication Equipment 8.45% 5.85% 0.00 60 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 8.15% 5.29% 0.00 61 399.00 Other Tangible Property 4.51% 13.06% 0.00 62 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 6.95% 9.48% 0.00 63 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 9.30% 6.99% 0.00 64 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 9.30% 6.99% 0.00 65 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 9.02% 6.63% 0.00 67	-	390.00	•	9.10%	3.01%	
55 392.00 Transportation Equipment 10.32% 8.34% 0.00 56 393.00 Stores Equipment 10.32% 10.32% 0.00 57 394.00 Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment 10.32% 8.37% 0.00 58 395.00 Laboratory Equipment 10.32% 10.05% 0.00 59 397.00 Communication Equipment 8.45% 5.85% 0.00 60 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 8.15% 5.29% 0.00 61 399.00 Other Tangible Property 4.51% 13.06% 0.00 62 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 6.95% 9.48% 0.00 63 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 4.00% 8.93% 0.00 64 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 9.30% 6.99% 0.00 65 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 9.02% 6.63% 0.00 67 399.08 Other Ta	53	390.09	Improvements to Leased Premises	9.10%	3.25%	0.00
56 393.00 Stores Equipment 10.32% 10.32% 0.00 57 394.00 Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment 10.32% 8.37% 0.00 58 395.00 Laboratory Equipment 10.32% 10.05% 0.00 59 397.00 Communication Equipment 8.45% 5.85% 0.00 60 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 8.15% 5.29% 0.00 61 399.00 Other Tangible Property 4.51% 13.06% 0.00 62 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 6.95% 9.48% 0.00 63 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 4.00% 8.93% 0.00 64 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 9.30% 6.99% 0.00 65 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 14.86% 10.49% 0.00 66 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 9.02% 6.63% 0.00 67 399.08 Other Tangible Property - Application Software 11.11% 6.52% 0.00 <td>54</td> <td>391.00</td> <td>Office Furniture and Equipment</td> <td>2.13%</td> <td>3.96%</td> <td>0.00</td>	54	391.00	Office Furniture and Equipment	2.13%	3.96%	0.00
57 394.00 Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment 10.32% 8.37% 0.00 58 395.00 Laboratory Equipment 10.32% 10.05% 0.00 59 397.00 Communication Equipment 8.45% 5.85% 0.00 60 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 8.15% 5.29% 0.00 61 399.00 Other Tangible Property 4.51% 13.06% 0.00 62 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 6.95% 9.48% 0.00 63 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 4.00% 8.93% 0.00 64 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 9.30% 6.99% 0.00 65 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 14.86% 10.49% 0.00 66 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 9.02% 6.63% 0.00 67 399.08 Other Tangible Property - Application Software 11.11% 6.52% 0.00 69 70 SSU - Greenville Data Center (Division 002) 71 General Plant	55	392.00	Transportation Equipment	10.32%	8.34%	0.00
58 395.00 Laboratory Equipment 10.32% 10.05% 0.00 59 397.00 Communication Equipment 8.45% 5.85% 0.00 60 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 8.15% 5.29% 0.00 61 399.00 Other Tangible Property 4.51% 13.06% 0.00 62 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 6.95% 9.48% 0.00 63 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 4.00% 8.93% 0.00 64 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 9.30% 6.99% 0.00 65 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 14.86% 10.49% 0.00 66 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 9.02% 6.63% 0.00 67 399.08 Other Tangible Property - Application Software 11.11% 6.52% 0.00 68 399.09 Other Tangible Property - Mainframe Software 15.89% 15.89% 0.00 <	56	393.00	Stores Equipment	10.32%	10.32%	0.00
59 397.00 Communication Equipment 8.45% 5.85% 0.00 60 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 8.15% 5.29% 0.00 61 399.00 Other Tangible Property 4.51% 13.06% 0.00 62 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 6.95% 9.48% 0.00 63 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 4.00% 8.93% 0.00 64 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 9.30% 6.99% 0.00 65 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 14.86% 10.49% 0.00 66 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 9.02% 6.63% 0.00 67 399.08 Other Tangible Property - Application Software 11.11% 6.52% 0.00 68 399.09 Other Tangible Property - Mainframe Software 15.89% 15.89% 0.00 69 70 SSU - Greenville Data Center (Division 002) 71 General Plant 72 390.05 Structures and Improvements 9.10% 3.01% 0.00	57	394.00	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment	10.32%	8.37%	0.00
60 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 8.15% 5.29% 0.00 61 399.00 Other Tangible Property 4.51% 13.06% 0.00 62 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 6.95% 9.48% 0.00 63 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 4.00% 8.93% 0.00 64 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 9.30% 6.99% 0.00 65 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 14.86% 10.49% 0.00 66 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 9.02% 6.63% 0.00 67 399.08 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 11.11% 6.52% 0.00 68 399.09 Other Tangible Property - Mainframe Software 15.89% 15.89% 0.00 69 70 SSU - Greenville Data Center (Division 002) 71 General Plant 72 390.05 Structures and Improvements 9.10% 3.01% 0.00	58	395.00	Laboratory Equipment	10.32%	10.05%	0.00
61 399.00 Other Tangible Property 4.51% 13.06% 0.00 62 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 6.95% 9.48% 0.00 63 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 4.00% 8.93% 0.00 64 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 9.30% 6.99% 0.00 65 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 14.86% 10.49% 0.00 66 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 9.02% 6.63% 0.00 67 399.08 Other Tangible Property - Application Software 11.11% 6.52% 0.00 68 399.09 Other Tangible Property - Mainframe Software 15.89% 15.89% 0.00 69 70 SSU - Greenville Data Center (Division 002) 71 General Plant 72 390.05 Structures and Improvements 9.10% 3.01% 0.00	59	397.00	Communication Equipment	8.45%	5.85%	0.00
62 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 6.95% 9.48% 0.00 63 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 4.00% 8.93% 0.00 64 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 9.30% 6.99% 0.00 65 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 14.86% 10.49% 0.00 66 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 9.02% 6.63% 0.00 67 399.08 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 11.11% 6.52% 0.00 68 399.09 Other Tangible Property - Mainframe Software 15.89% 15.89% 0.00 69 70 SSU - Greenville Data Center (Division 002) 71 General Plant 72 390.05 Structures and Improvements 9.10% 3.01% 0.00	60	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment	8.15%	5.29%	0.00
63 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 4.00% 8.93% 0.00 64 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 9.30% 6.99% 0.00 65 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 14.86% 10.49% 0.00 66 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 9.02% 6.63% 0.00 67 399.08 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 11.11% 6.52% 0.00 68 399.09 Other Tangible Property - Application Software 15.89% 15.89% 0.00 69 70 SSU - Greenville Data Center (Division 002) 71 General Plant 72 390.05 Structures and Improvements 9.10% 3.01% 0.00	61	399.00	Other Tangible Property	4.51%	13.06%	0.00
64 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 9.30% 6.99% 0.00 65 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 14.86% 10.49% 0.00 66 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 9.02% 6.63% 0.00 67 399.08 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 11.11% 6.52% 0.00 68 399.09 Other Tangible Property - Application Software 15.89% 15.89% 0.00 69 70 SSU - Greenville Data Center (Division 002) 71 General Plant 72 390.05 Structures and Improvements 9.10% 3.01% 0.00	62	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware	6.95%	9.48%	0.00
65 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 14.86% 10.49% 0.00 66 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 9.02% 6.63% 0.00 67 399.08 Other Tangible Property - Application Software 11.11% 6.52% 0.00 68 399.09 Other Tangible Property - Mainframe Software 15.89% 15.89% 0.00 69 70 SSU - Greenville Data Center (Division 002) 15.89% 15.89% 0.00 71 General Plant 72 390.05 Structures and Improvements 9.10% 3.01% 0.00	63	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software	4.00%	8.93%	0.00
65 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 14.86% 10.49% 0.00 66 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 9.02% 6.63% 0.00 67 399.08 Other Tangible Property - Application Software 11.11% 6.52% 0.00 68 399.09 Other Tangible Property - Mainframe Software 15.89% 15.89% 0.00 69 70 SSU - Greenville Data Center (Division 002) 15.89% 15.89% 0.00 71 General Plant 72 390.05 Structures and Improvements 9.10% 3.01% 0.00	64	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware	9.30%	6.99%	0.00
66 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 9.02% 6.63% 0.00 67 399.08 Other Tangible Property - Application Software 11.11% 6.52% 0.00 68 399.09 Other Tangible Property - Mainframe Software 15.89% 15.89% 0.00 69 70 SSU - Greenville Data Center (Division 002) 15.89% 15.89% 0.00 71 General Plant 72 390.05 Structures and Improvements 9.10% 3.01% 0.00	65	399.06		14.86%	10.49%	0.00
67 399.08 Other Tangible Property - Application Software 11.11% 6.52% 0.00 68 399.09 Other Tangible Property - Mainframe Software 15.89% 15.89% 0.00 69 70 SSU - Greenville Data Center (Division 002) 15.89% 15.89% 0.00 71 General Plant 72 390.05 Structures and Improvements 9.10% 3.01% 0.00	66	399.07		9.02%	6.63%	0.00
68 399.09 Other Tangible Property - Mainframe Software 15.89% 15.89% 0.00 69 70 SSU - Greenville Data Center (Division 002) 71 General Plant 72 390.05 Structures and Improvements 9.10% 3.01% 0.00	67	399.08		11.11%	6.52%	0.00
69SSU - Greenville Data Center (Division 002)71General Plant72390.05Structures and Improvements9.10%3.01%0.00	68	399.09		15.89%	15.89%	0.00
71General Plant72390.05Structures and Improvements9.10%3.01%0.00	69		5 I J			
71 General Plant 72 390.05 Structures and Improvements 9.10% 3.01% 0.00	70	SSU - Gree	nville Data Center (Division 002)			
	71					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	72	390.05	Structures and Improvements	9.10%	3.01%	0.00
	73	391.04	•	2.13%	3.96%	0.00
74	74		• •			

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT DEPRECIATION RATE SUMMARY TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

	Utility			Dallas	
Line No.	Account	Account Description	Current	Proposed (1) Proposed	
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	
75	SSU - Distri	ibution and Marketing Projects (Division 002)			
76		General Plant			
77	390.20	Structures and Improvements	9.10%	3.01%	0.00
78	390.29	Improvements to Leased Premises	9.10%	3.25%	0.00
79	391.20	Office Furniture and Equipment	2.13%	3.96%	0.00
80	394.20	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment	10.32%	8.37%	0.00
81	397.20	Communication Equipment	8.45%	5.85%	0.00
82	398.20	Miscellaneous Equipment	8.15%	5.29%	0.00
83	399.21	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware	6.95%	9.48%	0.00
84	399.22	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software	4.00%	8.93%	0.00
85	399.23	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware	9.30%	6.99%	0.00
86	399.26	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	14.86%	10.49%	0.00
87	399.28	Other Tangible Property - Application Software	11.11%	6.52%	0.00
88					
89	<u>SSU - Align</u>	e Pipe Projects (Division 002)			
90		General Plant			
91	399.31	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware	6.95%	9.48%	0.00
92	399.32	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software	4.00%	8.93%	0.00
93	399.38	Other Tangible Property - Application Software	11.11%	6.52%	0.00
94					

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT DEPRECIATION RATE SUMMARY TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

	Utility			Dallas	
Line No.	Account	Account Description	Current	Proposed (1) Proposed	
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	
95	SSU - Custo	omer Support (Division 012)			
96		General Plant			
97	389.00	Land and Land Rights	0.00%	0.00%	0.00
98	390.00	Structures and Improvements	9.10%	3.01%	0.00
99	390.09	Improvements to Leased Premises	9.10%	3.25%	0.00
100	391.00	Office Furniture and Equipment	2.13%	3.96%	0.00
101	397.00	Communication Equipment	8.45%	5.85%	0.00
102	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment	8.15%	5.29%	0.00
103	399.00	Other Tangible Property	4.51%	13.06%	0.00
104	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware	6.95%	9.48%	0.00
105	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software	4.00%	8.93%	0.00
106	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware	9.30%	6.99%	0.00
107	399.06	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	14.86%	10.49%	0.00
108	399.07	Other Tangible Property - PC Software	9.02%	6.63%	0.00
109	399.08	Other Tangible Property - Application Software	11.11%	6.52%	0.00
110					

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT DEPRECIATION RATE SUMMARY TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

	Utility			Dallas	
Line No.	Account	Account Description	Current	Proposed (1) Proposed	
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	
111	SSU - Charl	es K. Vaughn Training Center (Division 012)			
112		General Plant			
113	389.10	Land and Land Rights	0.00%	0.00%	0.00
114	390.10	Structures and Improvements	9.10%	3.01%	0.00
115	391.10	Office Furniture and Equipment	2.13%	3.96%	0.00
116	392.10	Transportation Equipment	10.32%	8.34%	0.00
117	394.10	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment	10.32%	8.37%	0.00
118	395.10	Laboratory Equipment	10.32%	10.05%	0.00
119	397.10	Communication Equipment	8.45%	5.85%	0.00
120	398.10	Miscellaneous Equipment	8.15%	5.29%	0.00
121	399.10	Other Tangible Property	4.51%	13.06%	0.00
122	399.16	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	14.86%	10.49%	0.00
123	399.17	Other Tangible Property - PC Software	9.02%	6.63%	0.00
124	399.18	Other Tangible Property - Application Software	11.11%	6.52%	0.00
125					

126 <u>Note:</u>

127
 127
 128
 129
 129
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120

Data Source:

Schedule F-4_Depreciation Rates.xlsx

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAX TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line			Per Book				
No.	Description	A	Mounts (1)	Α	djustments	Α	djusted Amounts
	(a)		(b)		(c)		(d) = (b) + (c)
1	Non Revenue - Related						
2	Ad Valorem Tax	\$	17,070,024	\$	2,490,112	\$	19,560,136
3	Adjustment		1,901,869		(3,647)		1,898,221
4	Pipeline User Fees		1,819,798		39,788		1,859,586
5	Taxes Allocated from Shared Services		701,759		(39,696)		662,063
6	Total Taxes Other Than Income Taxes (Sum of Ln 2 through Ln 5)	\$	21,493,449	\$	2,486,556	\$	23,980,006
7							
8	Gas Utility Pipeline Tax	\$	2,003,521	\$	(137,268)	\$	1,866,252
9	2						
10	Notoo						

10 <u>Notes:</u>

11 1. Per FERC Account 408.1.

12 2. Gas Utility Pipeline Tax used in the calculation of Cash Working Capital and Other Revenue.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAX WORKPAPER TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Description		Amounts
NO.	Description		
	(a)		(b)
1	APT Direct:		
2	Adjustment		
3	APT Property Taxes	\$	19,010,193
4	Capitalized Property Taxes		(104,973)
5	Storage Gas Property Tax (1)		1,262,500
6	North Side Loop Tax Reimbursement		(607,584)
7	Total APT Ad Valorem Tax (Sum of Ln 3 through Ln 6)	\$	19,560,136
8			
9	Payroll Tax		
10	Base Labor Adjustment for APT (WP_F-2.1)	\$	(47,678)
11	Statutory Tax Rate		7.65%
12	Direct Payroll Tax Expense for Base Labor Adjustment (Ln 10 times Ln 11)	\$	(3,647)
13			· · · ·
14	Direct Payroll Tax Expense for test period	\$	1,901,869
15	Total Proposed Payroll Tax (Ln 12 + Ln 14)	\$ \$	1,898,221
16			
17	Pipeline User Fees		
18	Total 2017 Estimated Pipeline User Fees	\$	1,859,586
19			
20	Other Taxes		
21	Total Operating Revenues Per Book (Accts 4892 - 4950)	\$	408,832,567
22			
23	Determination of Tax Rates		
24	Gas Utility Pipeline Tax Expense Per Book (4081-30112)	\$	2,003,521
25	Ratio to Total Operating Revenues (Ln 24 divided by Ln 21)		0.4901%
26			
27	Gas Utility Pipeline Tax		
28	Revenue Requirement (Schedule A, Col (f), Ln 27)	\$	380,821,971
29	Effective Tax Rate (Ln 25)		0.4901%
30	Proposed Gas Utility Pipeline Tax at Projected Rates (Ln 28 times Ln 29)	\$	1,866,252
31	· · · · ·		

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAX WORKPAPER TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Description		Amounts
	(a)		(b)
32	<u>SSU:</u>		
33	Ad Valorem Tax Allocated to Pipeline		
34	Shared Services General Office Property Taxes	\$	720,567
35	Allocation Factor		20.84%
36	General Office Ad Valorem Tax Expense Allocated to APT (Ln 34 times Ln 35)	\$	150,166
37			
38	Shared Services Customer Support Property Taxes	\$	548,470
39	Allocation Factor		0.00%
40	Customer Support Ad Valorem Tax Expense Allocated to APT (Ln 38 times Ln 39)	\$	-
41			
42	Proposed Ad Valorem Tax Expense Allocated to APT (Ln 36 + Ln 40)	\$	150,166
43			
44	Payroll Tax Allocated to APT		
45	Adjusted Labor Expense Allocated to APT (WP_F-5.2, Col (g), Ln 102)	\$	5,306,215
46	Statutory Tax Rate		7.65%
47	Payroll Tax Expense Allocated to APT (Ln 45 times Ln 46)	\$	405,925
48	Add: Benefit-Related Payroll Tax Expense Allocated to APT		72,039
49	Add: Payroll Tax for FUTA & SUTA Allocated to APT (Per Book)		14,020
50	Total Proposed Payroll Tax Expense Allocated to APT (Sum of Ln 47 though Ln 49)	\$	491,984
51			
52	Other Tax Allocated to APT		
53	Excise Tax (Test Year Amount)	\$	95,554
54	Allocation Factor		20.84%
55	Total Proposed Excise Tax Expense Allocated to APT (Ln 53 times Ln 54)	\$	19,913
56			
57	Proposed Total Taxes Allocated from SSU (Ln 42 + Ln 50 + Ln 55)	\$	662,063
58			
59	Note:		
	1 Working Gas in Storage (EERC Account 164.1) was moved from Mid-Tex to APT to reflect GUE) 0400 and Cl	ID 10000

1. Working Gas in Storage (FERC Account 164.1) was moved from Mid-Tex to APT to reflect GUD 9400 and GUD 10000
 Final Order classification for rate purposes.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT SHARED SERVICES ("SSU") ADJUSTED TOTAL LABOR ALLOCATED TO PIPELINE FOR PAYROLL TAX CALCULATION TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	!	Description	Per Book SSU O&M Labor	FY17 Capitalization Rate (1)	Allocation Factor (1), (2)	Total	SSU Capital Allocated to APT	SSU Expense Allocated to APT
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e) = (b) * (d) $(f) = (c) * (e)$	(g) = (e) - (f)
1	1001	SS Dallas President and COO	\$ 529,654	73.74%	20.84%			\$ 28,989
2	1101	SS Dallas Chief Financial Officer	506,677	73.74%	20.84%	105,5	92 77,860	27,731
3	Adjustme	r SS Dallas Audit	-	0.00%	20.84%	-	-	-
4	1106	SS Dallas Treasurer	415,731	77.15%	20.84%	86,6	38 66,839	19,799
5	1107	SS Dallas Treasury	437,225	73.74%	20.84%	91,1	18 67,188	23,930
6	1108	SS Dallas Risk Management	395,558	80.00%	20.84%	82,4	34 65,947	16,487
7	1110	SS Dallas Procurement	103,744	46.64%	21.09%	21,8	80 10,205	11,674
8	1112	SS Dallas Mail & Supply	121,107	15.73%	21.09%	25,5	41 4,018	21,523
9	1114	SS Dallas Vice Pres & Controller	351,803	29.34%	20.84%	73,3	16 21,511	51,805
10	1116	SS Dallas Taxation	212,454	2.37%	20.84%	44,2	75 1,051	43,224
11	1117	SS Dallas Acctg Services	299,522	65.19%	20.84%	62,4	20 40,693	21,727
12	1118	SS Dallas Supply Chain	417,748	46.51%	21.09%	88,1	03 40,973	47,130
13	1119	SS Dallas General Accounting	441,384	73.74%	20.84%	91,9		24,158
14	1120	SS Dallas Accounts Payable	473,004	31.54%	20.84%	98,5		67,483
15	1121	SS Dallas Plant Accounting	605,281	91.20%	20.84%	126,1	41 115,043	11,097
16	1123	SS Dallas Gas Accounting	247,139	0.00%	20.92%	51,7	01 -	51,701
17	1125	SS Dallas Financial Reporting	809,035	0.00%	20.84%	168,6	- 03	168,603
18	1126	SS Dallas Payroll	357,501	73.74%	20.84%	74,5	03 54,936	19,567
19	1128	SS Dallas Property & Sales Tax	1,223,144	2.50%	20.84%	254,9	03 6,373	248,531
20	1129	SS Dallas Income Tax	438,723	2.00%	20.84%	91,4	30 1,829	89,601
21	1130	SS Dallas Business Planning and Analysis	727,864	56.60%	20.84%	151,6	87 85,849	65,838
22	1131	SS Dallas Media Relations	130,425	0.00%	21.09%	27,5	07 -	27,507
23	1132	SS Dallas Investor Relations (3)	332,649	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
24	1133	SS Dallas Corporate Communications	745,721	0.00%	20.84%	155,4	- 80	155,408
25	1134	SS Dallas IT	1,345,597	38.57%	20.84%	280,4	22 108,163	172,260
26	1135	SS Dallas IT E&O, Corporate Systems	1,831,640	23.12%	20.84%	381,7	14 88,258	293,456
27	1137	SS Dal-IT Engineering & Operations	3,392,841	24.96%	20.84%	707,0	68 176,491	530,577
28	1141	SS Dallas Gas Purchase Accounting	492,741	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
29	1144	SS Dallas Rate Administration	693,863	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
30	1145	SS Dallas Revenue Accounting	266,034	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
31	1150	SS Dallas Strategic Planning	400,734	55.56%	20.84%	83,5	13 46,396	37,117
32	1153	SS Dallas Distribution Acctg	613,642	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
33	1154	SS Dallas Rates & Regulatory	1,496,541	74.97%	21.09%	315,6	21 236,628	78,992
34	1155	SS Dallas Texas Gas Pipeline Accounting	84,872	0.00%	97.21%	82,5	- 04	82,504
35	1156	SS Dal-IT Customer Services Systems	2,175,341	12.27%	0.00%	-	-	-
36	1158	SS CCC IT Support	-	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
37	1159	SS Dallas Director Technical Training	343,646	0.00%	20.84%	71,6	16 -	71,616

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT SHARED SERVICES ("SSU") ADJUSTED TOTAL LABOR ALLOCATED TO PIPELINE FOR PAYROLL TAX CALCULATION TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.		Description	Per Book SSU O&M Labor	FY17 Capitalization Rate (1)	Allocation Factor (1), (2)	Total	SSU Capital Allocated to APT	SSU Expense Allocated to APT
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e) = (b) * (d)	(f) = (c) * (e)	(g) = (e) - (f)
						=0.440		~~~~
38	1161	SS Dallas Benefits and Payroll Accounting	366,804	73.74%	20.84%	76,442	56,366	20,076
39	1164	SS Dallas IT Security	865,631	17.00%	20.84%	180,398	30,668	149,730
40	1167	SS Dal-IT Enterprise Architecture	448,537	20.00%	21.09%	94,596	18,919	75,677
41	1171	SS Regulatory Accounting Services	172,233	74.97%	21.09%	36,324	27,233	9,091
42 43	1201 1205	SS Dallas President & CEO	1,063,037	73.74% 12.02%	20.84%	221,537	163,355	58,182
43 44	1205	SS Dallas SVP Utility Operations SS Dallas Safety & Compliance	371,529 343,132	0.00%	20.84% 21.09%	77,427 72,367	9,306	68,121 72,367
44 45		SS CSC-Customer Contact Management	13,926,455	20.86%	0.00%	12,301	-	12,301
43 46	1212	SS Dallas Quality Assurance	518,351	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
40	1213	SS Dallas Workforce Management	539,040	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
47	1214	SS Dallas Workforce Management	4,068,368	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
40	1215	SS Dallas CSO Training & Knowledge Management	4,000,300	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
49 50	1210	SS Dallas CSO Haining & Knowledge Management	486,757	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
50 51	1224	SS Dallas Coo Human Resources	1,110,527	2.43%	0.00%	-	-	-
52	1220	SS Dallas Business Processes & Change Management	1,996,816	3.90%	21.09%	421,129	16,415	404,713
53	1228		7,971,621	0.00%	0.00%	421,123	-	404,713
54	1220	SS Dallas Pipeline Safety	638,850	30.00%	21.09%	134,733	40,420	94,313
55	1401	SS Dallas Employment & Employee Relations	544,249	0.00%	20.84%	113,421	40,420	113,421
56	1402	SS Dallas Executive Compensation	544,245	0.00%	20.84%	-	_	-
57	1403	SS Dallas Human Resources - Vice Pres	578,599	0.00%	20.84%	120,580	_	120,580
58	1405	SS Dallas Compensation & Benefits	611,813	0.00%	20.84%	120,500	-	120,500
59	1403	SS Dallas Facilities	610,860	29.28%	20.84%	127,303	37,280	90,023
60	1407	SS Dallas Employee Development	807,017	0.00%	20.84%	168,182	57,200	168,182
61	1400	SS Tech Training Delivery	836,910	0.00%	21.09%	176,504		176,504
62	1415	SS Tech Training Prog & Curriculum	187,432	0.00%	21.09%	39,529	_	39,529
63	1416	SS Dallas Compensation & HRMS	779,236	0.00%	20.84%	162,393	_	162,393
64	1420	SS Dallas EAPC		0.00%	20.84%	-	_	-
65	1463	SS HR Benefit Variance	<u>-</u>	15.73%	20.84%	_	-	_
66	1501	SS Corporate Legal	2,785,770	52.29%	20.84%	580,554	303,564	276,991
67	1502	SS Corporate Secretary	2,700,770	0.00%	20.84%		-	-
68	1503	SS Corporate Governmental Affairs	360,719	0.00%	21.09%	76,076	-	76,076
69	1504	SS Corporate Central Records	192,447	73.74%	21.09%	40,587	29,928	10,659
70	1505	SS Corporate Gas Contract Administration	133,262	0.00%	21.09%	28,105		28,105
71	1508	SS Corporate Energy Assistance	462,140	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
72	1821	SS Gas Supply Executive	349,313	0.00%	20.92%	73,076	-	73,076
73	1822	SS Dallas-Regional Gas Supply	246,719	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
74	1823	SS Dallas Gas Contract Admin	355,621	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
• •			000,021	0.0070	0.0070			

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT SHARED SERVICES ("SSU") ADJUSTED TOTAL LABOR ALLOCATED TO PIPELINE FOR PAYROLL TAX CALCULATION **TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016**

Line No.		Description	Per Book SSU O&M Labor	FY17 Capitalization Rate (1)	Allocation Factor (1), (2)	Total	SSU Capital Allocated to APT	SSU Expense Allocated to APT
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e) = (b) * (d)	(f) = (c) * (e)	(g) = (e) - (f)
75	1825	SS Franklin-Gas Control & Storage	298,464	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
76	1826	SS New Orleans Gas Supply & Services	187,203	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
77	1827	SS Regional Supply Planning	423,365	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
78	1828	SS Jackson-West Region Gas Supply & Services	110,512	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
79	1829	SS Franklin-East Region Gas Supply & Services	-	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
80	1831	SS Dallas Gas Supply	-	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
81	1833	SS Dallas-Corporate Gas Supply Risk Mgmt	105,838	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
82	1835	SS Franklin Gas Control	958,194	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
83	1836	SS TBS-System Support	250,219	0.00%	20.92%	52,346	-	52,346
84	1837	SS TBS-Application Support	688,867	0.00%	20.92%	144,111	-	144,111
85	1838	SS TBS-Technical Support	573,232	0.00%	20.92%	119,920	-	119,920
86	1839	SS TBS-Transportation & Scheduling	203,299	0.00%	20.92%	42,530	-	42,530
87	1901	SS Dallas Employee Relocation Exp (Div 02)	83,695	0.00%	20.84%	17,442	-	17,442
88	1901	SS Dallas Employee Relocation Exp (Div 12)	14,624	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
89	1903	SS Dallas Controller - Miscellaneous	-	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
90	1904	SS Dallas Performance Plan (3)	-	30.50%	0.00%	-	-	-
91	1905	SS Outside Director Retirement Cost	-	0.00%	20.84%	-	-	-
92	1908	SS Dallas SEBP (3)	-	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
93	1910	SS Dallas Overhead Capitalized	-	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
94	1913	SS Dallas Fleet and Corporate Sourcing	541,269	46.75%	21.09%	114,154	53,366	60,787
95	1915	SS Dallas Insurance	-	0.00%	20.84%	-	-	-
96	1953	SS Dallas Enterprise Team Meeting	-	0.00%	20.84%	-	-	-
97	1954	SS Dallas Culture Council	-	0.00%	20.84%	-	-	-
98		TOTAL (Sum of Ln 1 through Ln 97)	\$ 73,459,351		-	\$ 7,645,864	\$ 2,283,380	\$ 5,362,485
99					=			
100		Add: SSU Labor Adjustment (WP_F-2.1, Col (g), Ln 16)						(56,270)
101								
102		Total Adjusted SSU Labor Allocated to APT Account 922 (I	_n 98 + Ln 100)					\$ 5,306,215
103								

104 Notes:

105 1. Factors are displayed only if applicable to APT.

2. Based upon FY17 factors, adjusted to the four-factor formula including Operating Income.
 3. The four-factor allocation factors were set to 0% for cost centers 1132, 1904, and 1908 to remove these costs from this filing and to align with the Final Order in GUD No. 9762,
 Finding of Fact Nos. 72, 76, and 78. and GUD No. 10000.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT TOTAL INCOME TAXES TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Description	Amount
	(a)	(b)
1	Federal Income Tax (Page 2, Col (b), Ln 12)	\$ 57,616,65
2	State Income Tax (Page 3, Col (c), Ln 7)	3,376,82
3	Adjustment	
4	Total Income Tax (Ln 1 + Ln 2)	\$ 60,993,4

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT FEDERAL INCOME TAX TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line		
No.	Description	Amount
	(a)	(b)
1	Return on Rate Base (Schedule G, Col (b), Ln 6)	\$ 156,813,317
2		
3	Interest Expense:	
4	Rate Base (Schedule B, Col (e), Ln 23)	\$ 1,767,599,981
5	Weighted Cost of Debt (Schedule G, Col (b), Ln 17)	2.82%
6	Total (Ln 4 times Ln 5)	\$ 49,810,967
7		
8	Taxable component of return (Ln 1 - Ln 6)	\$ 107,002,350
9		
10	Tax factor (1 / .65) * (.35)	53.85%
11		
12	Federal Income Taxes (Ln 10 times Ln 8)	\$ 57,616,650
13		
14	Note:	
15	1. Federal Income Taxes are calculated at the statutory rate based on TEX. UTIL. CODE §	104.055 (c).

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT STATE FRANCHISE ("GROSS MARGIN") TAX TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line			
No.	Description	Account	Amount
	(a)	(b)	(c)
1	Total Proposed Operating Revenues (Schedule A, Col (d), Ln 25)	480-495	\$ 452,099,810
2	Less:		
3	Taxes Other Than Federal Income Tax- Revenue Related (Schedule F-5, Col (d), Ln 10)	408.1	1,866,252
4	Bad Debt Expense, not included in Purchased Gas Costs	904	(9,169)
5	Gross Profit (Ln 1 - Ln 3 - Ln 4)		\$ 450,242,726
6	Tax Rate		0.75%
7	Tax Due (Ln 5 times Ln 6)	409.1	\$ 3,376,820
8			
9	Note:		

1. State Income Tax is the State Franchise ("Gross Margin") Tax and is calculated at the statutory rate based on

10 TEX. UTIL. CODE § 171.002.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT SUMMARY OF RETURN TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Description		Proposed Rates
	(a)		(b)
1	Net Operating Income/Return		
2	<u>_</u>		
3	Adjustment	5.95%	\$ 49,809,885
4	Cost of Equity	11.50%	\$ 107,003,432
5	Cost of Short-Term Debt	0.00%	\$ -
6			
7	Total Return on Rate Base		\$ 156,813,317
8		I	
9	Rate Base - Capitalization Structure		
10	·		
11	Long-Term Debt	47.36%	\$ 837,135,351
12	Equity	52.64%	\$ 930,464,630
13	Short-Term Debt	0.00%	\$ -
14			
15	Rate Base		\$ 1,767,599,981
16		I	
17	Percent Return - After Tax		
18			
19	Cost of Long-Term Debt		2.82%
20	Return on Equity		6.05%
21	Cost of Short-Term Debt		0.000%
22			
23	Percent Return - After Tax		8.872%

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT CLASS COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Description		Total System	Total City Gate		Total Pipeline Transport	Total Mid-Tex WGIS
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)		(e)	 (f)
1	Adjustment						
2	Debt Cost	47.36%	\$ 837,135,351	\$ 761,677,725	\$	25,237,969	\$ 50,219,657
3	Common Equity	52.64%	930,464,630	846,594,499		28,051,661	55,818,470
4	Total Invested Capital (Ln 2 + Ln 3)		\$ 1,767,599,981	\$ 1,608,272,224	\$	53,289,630	\$ 106,038,127
5			 · · · ·			· · ·	
6	Return Required						
7	Debt Cost	5.95%	\$ 49,809,885	\$ 45,320,126	\$	1,501,669	\$ 2,988,089
8	Common Equity	11.50%	107,003,432	97,358,367		3,225,941	6,419,124
9	Total Return Required (Ln 7 + Ln 8)		\$ 156,813,317	\$ 142,678,493	\$	4,727,610	\$ 9,407,214
10							
11	Operating Revenue						
12	Transportation Revenue		\$ 380,821,971	\$ 354,428,073	\$	12,065,193	\$ 14,328,705
13	Transportation Revenue - Other		 69,411,586	67,126,514		2,285,073	 -
14	Total Operating Revenue (Ln 12 + Ln 13)		\$ 450,233,557	\$ 421,554,586	\$	14,350,266	\$ 14,328,705
15							
16	Operating Expenses						
17	Other Gas Supply Expenses		\$ 6,592	\$ 6,380	\$	212	\$ -
18	Underground Storage Expenses - Operation		4,675,749	4,587,424		88,325	-
19	Underground Storage Expenses - Maintenance		4,257,772	4,104,963		152,810	-
20	Transmission Expenses - Operation		83,579,021	80,592,450		2,986,571	-
21	Transmission Expenses - Maintenance		4,086,626	3,844,061		242,564	-
22	Customer Accounts Expense		2,775,538	2,686,034		89,504	-
23	Administrative and General Expenses		28,028,993	27,145,501		883,492	-
24	Depreciation Expense		81,036,473	78,431,343		2,605,130	-
25	Property-Related Taxes (Ad Valorem)		19,560,136	17,709,410		588,226	1,262,500
26	Property-Related Taxes (Shared Services)		662,063	640,779		21,284	-
27	Property-Related Taxes (DOT Pipeline Fee)		1,859,586	1,793,694		65,892	-
28	Payroll Related Taxes		 1,898,221	1,838,407	-	59,814	 -
29	Total Operating Expenses Before FIT (Sum Ln 17 through Ln 28)		\$ 232,426,770	\$ 223,380,447	\$	7,783,824	\$ 1,262,500
30	State and Federal Income Taxes		 60,993,470	 55,495,646		1,838,832	 3,658,992
31	Total Operating Expenses (Ln 29 + Ln 30)		\$ 293,420,240	\$ 278,876,093	\$	9,622,656	\$ 4,921,492
32							
33	Total Return at Recommended Rates		\$ 156,813,317	142,678,493	\$	4,727,610	\$ 9,407,214
34	Total Rate Base		1,767,599,981	1,608,272,224		53,289,630	106,038,127
35	Rate of Return at Recommended Rates (Ln 33 / Ln 34)		8.87%	8.87%		8.87%	8.87%

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT CLASS COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Description	Total System	Total City Gate	Total Pipeline Transport	Total Mid-Tex WGIS
	(a) (b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
36					
37	Total Revenue Requirement (Ln 31 + Ln 33)	\$ 450,233,557	\$ 421,554,586	\$ 14,350,266	\$ 14,328,705
38	Less: Other Transportation Revenue	69,411,586	67,126,514	2,285,073	-
39	Total Revenue Requirement Excl Other Transport Rev (Ln 37 - Ln 38)	\$ 380,821,971	\$ 354,428,073	\$ 12,065,193	\$ 14,328,705

l in e Ne	Account	Account Description	Total	AF	Classifier	TRANC	STODACE	MID-TEX
Line No.		Account Description	System	Label	Classifier	TRANS	STORAGE	WGIS
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
1	INVESTE	D CAPITAL - GROSS PLANT						
2	Adjustmer							
3	,	STORAGE PLANT						
4	350.00		5 -	STORAG		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
5	350.10	Land	5,515,389	STORAG		-	5,515,389	-
6	350.20	Rights-of-Way	32,592	STORAG		-	32,592	-
7	351.00	Structures and Improvements	24,635,344	STORAG		-	24,635,344	-
8	352.00	Wells	78,403,027	STORAG		-	78,403,027	-
9	353.00	Lines	13,256,043	STORAG		-	13,256,043	-
10	354.00	Compressor Station Equipment	88,256,841	STORAG		-	88,256,841	-
11	355.00	M&R Equipment	50,663,680	STORAG		-	50,663,680	-
12	356.00	Purification Equipment	49,947,598	STORAG		-	49,947,598	-
13	357.00	Other Equipment	621,183	STORAG		-	621,183	-
14	001100	Subtotal (Sum Ln 4 through Ln 13)			-	\$-	\$ 311,331,697	\$ -
15			¢ 011,001,001		-	Ŷ	ф от 1,001,001	Ψ
16		TRANSMISSION PLANT						
17	365.00		\$ 1,232,270	TRANS		\$ 1,232,270	\$ -	\$ -
18	365.10	ROW - Trans Comp Stat	-	TRANS		¢ 1,202,210	• <u>-</u>	Ψ <u>-</u>
19	365.20	ROW - City Gate	18,983,795	TRANS		18,983,795	-	-
20	366.00	Structures and Improvements	11,472,463	TRANS		11,472,463	-	-
21	367.00	Mains - Cathodic Protection	200,439,413	TRANS		200,439,413	-	-
22	367.01	Mains - Steel	1,431,528,224	TRANS		1,431,528,224	-	-
23	367.02	Mains - Plastic	11,591,352	TRANS		11,591,352	-	_
24	368.00	Compressor Station Equipment	150,061,066	TRANS		150,061,066	-	-
25	369.00	M&R Station Equipment	228,773,444	TRANS		228,773,444	-	_
26	370.00	Communication Equipment	14,144,928	TRANS		14,144,928	-	_
20	371.00	Other Equipment	4,923,427	TRANS		4,923,427	-	_
28	071.00	Subtotal (Sum Ln 17 through Ln 27)			-	\$2,073,150,383	\$ -	\$ -
29			\$2,070,100,000		-	¢2,070,100,000	Ψ	Ψ
30		Total Functional Plant in Service (Ln 14 + Ln 28)	\$ 2 384 482 080			\$2,073,150,383	\$ 311,331,697	\$ -
31			\$2,004,402,000		-	¢2,070,100,000	φ στη,σση,σση	Ψ
31		INTANGIBLE PLANT						
32	303.00	-	\$ 6,238,271	FPLANT		\$ 5,385,531	\$ 852,740	¢
	303.00	Subtotal (Ln 33)			_	\$ 5,385,531 \$ 5,385,531	\$ 852,740 \$ 852,740	
34 35			₽ 0,230,271		_	φ 0,000,001	ψ 052,740	Ψ -
30								

	Account		Total	AF				MID-TEX
Line No.	Number	Account Description	System	Label	Classifier	TRANS	STORAGE	WGIS
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
36		GENERAL PLANT						
37	389.00	Land and Land Rights \$	124,981	FPLANT		\$ 107,897	\$ 17,084	\$ -
38	390.00	Structures and Improvements	6,285,064	FPLANT		5,425,928	859,136	-
39	391.00	Office Furniture and Equipment	4,878,716	FPLANT		4,211,821	666,896	-
40	392.00	Transportation Equipment	1,562,956	FPLANT		1,349,308	213,648	-
41	393.00	Stores Equipment	-	FPLANT		-	-	-
42	394.00	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment	9,846,323	FPLANT		8,500,381	1,345,942	-
43	395.00	Laboratory Equipment	172,645	FPLANT		149,045	23,600	-
44	396.00	Power Operated Equipment	3,054,342	FPLANT		2,636,829	417,513	-
45	397.00	Communication Equipment	736,092	FPLANT		635,472	100,620	-
46	397.02	Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios	80,513	FPLANT		69,508	11,006	-
47	397.05	Communication Equipment - Telemetering	114,680	FPLANT		99,004	15,676	-
48	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment	8,193,622	FPLANT		7,073,595	1,120,026	-
49	399.00	Other Tangible Property	71,172	FPLANT		61,443	9,729	-
50	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware	612,444	FPLANT		528,726	83,718	-
51	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software	1,408,668	FPLANT		1,216,110	192,558	-
52	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware	71,397	FPLANT		61,638	9,760	-
53	399.06	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	793,661	FPLANT		685,172	108,489	-
54	399.07	Other Tangible Property - PC Software	794,975	FPLANT		686,306	108,669	-
55		Shared Services General Office	27,471,570	FPLANT		23,716,346	3,755,223	-
56		Shared Services Greenville Data Center	6,449,777	FPLANT		5,568,125	881,651	-
57		Shared Services Aligne Pipe Projects	16,041,414	FPLANT		13,848,634	2,192,779	-
58		Subtotal (Sum Ln 37 through Ln 57) \$	88,765,013		-	\$ 76,631,289	\$ 12,133,724	\$ -
59					-			
60		Total APT Gross Plant (Ln 30 + Ln 34 + Ln 58) _\$	2,479,485,364		_	\$2,155,167,204	\$ 324,318,160	\$ -
		=			=			

Line No.	Account Number	Account Description		Total System	AF Label	Classifier	т	RANS		STORAGE		ID-TEX WGIS
Line No.						(e)		-				
	(a)	(b)		(c)	(d)	(e)		(f)		(g)		(h)
1	INVESTE	CAPITAL - ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION										
2												
3		STORAGE PLANT										
4	350.00	Land and Land Rights	\$	-	STORAG		5	-	\$	-	\$	-
5	350.10	Land		-	STORAG			-		-		-
6	350.20	Rights-of-Way		14,767	STORAG			-		14,767		-
7	351.00	Structures and Improvements		5,855,602	STORAG			-		5,855,602		-
8	352.00	Wells		14,298,665	STORAG			-		14,298,665		-
9	353.00	Lines		3,699,870	STORAG			-		3,699,870		-
10	354.00	Compressor Station Equipment		18,665,963	STORAG			-		18,665,963		-
11	355.00	M&R Equipment		7,776,600	STORAG			-		7,776,600		-
12	356.00	Purification Equipment		7,059,844	STORAG			-		7,059,844		-
13	357.00	Other Equipment		206,980	STORAG			-		206,980		-
14		Subtotal (Sum Ln 4 through Ln 1	3) \$	57,578,292			5	-	\$	57,578,292	\$	-
15		(1111)				—	·			- ,, -		
16		TRANSMISSION PLANT										
17	365.00	Land	\$	-	TRANS	Ş	6	-	\$	-	\$	-
18	365.10	ROW - Trans Comp Stat	•	-	TRANS			-	•	-	•	-
19	365.20	ROW - City Gate		5,589,104	TRANS			5,589,104		-		-
20	366.00	Structures and Improvements		3,140,237	TRANS			3,140,237		-		-
21	367.00	Mains - Cathodic Protection		52,390,846	TRANS			2,390,846		-		-
22	367.01	Mains - Steel		238,931,308	TRANS			8,931,308		-		-
23	367.02	Mains - Plastic		1,485,678	TRANS			1,485,678		-		-
24	368.00	Compressor Station Equipment		42,356,758	TRANS			2,356,758		-		-
25		M&R Station Equipment		55,590,538	TRANS			5,590,538		-		-
26	370.00	Communication Equipment		4,671,498	TRANS			4,671,498		-		-
27	371.00	Other Equipment		1,942,900	TRANS			1,942,900		-		-
28		Subtotal (Sum Ln 17 through Ln 2	7) \$		_			6,098,867	\$	-	\$	-
29			/						<u> </u>			
30		Total Functional Plant in Service (Ln 14 + Ln 2	8) \$	463,677,159			5 40	6,098,867	\$	57,578,292	\$	-
31		· ·								, ,		
32		INTANGIBLE PLANT										
33	303.00	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant	\$	6,238,271	FPLANT	9	6	5,385,531	\$	852,740	\$	-
34	000.00	Subtotal (Ln 3		6,238,271				5,385,531	\$	852,740		-
35			·/ <u> </u>	-,,				-,,	<u> </u>		Ŧ	

	Account		Total	AF				MID-TEX
Line No.	Number	Account Description	System	Label	Classifier	TRANS	STORAGE	WGIS
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
36		GENERAL PLANT						
37	389.00	Land and Land Rights \$	-	FPLANT	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
38	390.00	Structures and Improvements	1,338,571	FPLANT	+	1,155,595	182,976	· _
39	391.00	Office Furniture and Equipment	2,724,584	FPLANT		2,352,148	372,437	-
40	392.00	Transportation Equipment	578,415	FPLANT		499,348	79,066	-
41	393.00	Stores Equipment	, -	FPLANT		-	-	-
42	394.00	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment	2,166,906	FPLANT		1,870,701	296,205	-
43	395.00	Laboratory Equipment	40,384	FPLANT		34,864	5,520	-
44	396.00	Power Operated Equipment	701,214	FPLANT		605,361	95,852	-
45	397.00	Communication Equipment	312,733	FPLANT		269,984	42,749	-
46	397.02	Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios	9,323	FPLANT		8,049	1,274	-
47	397.05	Communication Equipment - Telemetering	50,520	FPLANT		43,614	6,906	-
48	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment	3,556,347	FPLANT		3,070,212	486,134	-
49	399.00	Other Tangible Property	21,487	FPLANT		18,550	2,937	-
50	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware	121,216	FPLANT		104,646	16,570	-
51	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software	675,152	FPLANT		582,862	92,290	-
52	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware	12,875	FPLANT		11,115	1,760	-
53	399.06	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	301,166	FPLANT		259,999	41,168	-
54	399.07	Other Tangible Property - PC Software	503,432	FPLANT		434,616	68,817	-
55		Shared Services General Office	16,402,442	FPLANT		14,160,312	2,242,130	-
56		Shared Services Greenville Data Center	1,968,887	FPLANT		1,699,751	269,137	-
57		Shared Services Aligne Pipe Projects	850,769	FPLANT		734,473	116,296	-
58		Subtotal (Sum Ln 37 through Ln 57) 💲	32,336,423		\$	27,916,199	\$ 4,420,224	\$ -
59								
60		RWIP	(2,689,475)	FPLANT		(2,321,837)	(367,637)	-
61								
62		Total APT Accumulated Depreciation						
02		(Ln 30 + Ln 34 + Ln 58 + Ln 60) _ <u>\$</u>	499,562,378		\$	437,078,760	\$ 62,483,618	\$ -

Line No.	Account Number	Account Description	Total System	AF Label	Classifier	TRANS	STORAGE	MID-TEX WGIS
Line No.	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
	()	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(1)	(3)	()
1	INVESTE	D CAPITAL - NET PLANT						
2								
3		STORAGE PLANT						
4	350.00	Land and Land Rights	\$ -	STORAG		\$ -	\$-	\$ -
5	350.10	Land	5,515,389	STORAG		-	5,515,389	-
6	350.20	Rights-of-Way	17,824	STORAG		-	17,824	-
7	351.00	Structures and Improvements	18,779,742	STORAG		-	18,779,742	-
8	352.00	Wells	64,104,362	STORAG		-	64,104,362	-
9	353.00	Lines	9,556,173	STORAG		-	9,556,173	-
10	354.00	Compressor Station Equipment	69,590,878	STORAG		-	69,590,878	-
11	355.00	M&R Equipment	42,887,080	STORAG		-	42,887,080	-
12	356.00	Purification Equipment	42,887,754	STORAG		-	42,887,754	-
13	357.00	Other Equipment	414,203	STORAG	_	-	414,203	-
14		Subtotal (Sum Ln 4 through Ln 13)	\$ 253,753,405		_	\$ -	\$ 253,753,405	\$ -
15					-			
16		TRANSMISSION PLANT						
17	365.00	Land	\$ 1,232,270	TRANS		\$ 1,232,270	\$ -	\$-
18	365.10	ROW - Trans Comp Stat	-	TRANS		-	-	-
19	365.20	ROW - City Gate	13,394,690	TRANS		13,394,690	-	-
20	366.00	Structures and Improvements	8,332,227	TRANS		8,332,227	-	-
21	367.00	Mains - Cathodic Protection	148,048,567	TRANS		148,048,567	-	-
22	367.01	Mains - Steel	1,192,596,916	TRANS		1,192,596,916	-	-
23	367.02	Mains - Plastic	10,105,674	TRANS		10,105,674	-	-
24	368.00	Compressor Station Equipment	107,704,308	TRANS		107,704,308	-	-
25	369.00	M&R Station Equipment	173,182,906	TRANS		173,182,906	-	-
26	370.00	Communication Equipment	9,473,431	TRANS		9,473,431	-	-
27	371.00	Other Equipment	2,980,527	TRANS		2,980,527	-	-
28		Subtotal (Sum Ln 17 through Ln 27)	\$1,667,051,516		-	\$1,667,051,516	\$ -	\$ -
29					-			
30		Total Functional Plant in Service (Ln 14 + Ln 28)	\$1,920,804,921			\$1,667,051,516	\$ 253,753,405	\$ -
31					•			
32		INTANGIBLE PLANT						
33	303.00	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant	\$-	FPLANT		\$ -	\$-	\$ -
34		Subtotal (Ln 33)	\$ -		-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
35		· · ·			-			

	Account		Total	AF				MID-TEX
Line No.	Number	Account Description	System	Label	Classifier	TRANS	STORAGE	WGIS
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
36		GENERAL PLANT						
37	389.00	Land and Land Rights \$	124,981	FPLANT		\$ 107,897	\$ 17,084	\$ -
38	390.00	Structures and Improvements	4,946,493	FPLANT		4,270,333	676,160	- -
39	391.00	Office Furniture and Equipment	2,154,132	FPLANT		1,859,673	294,459	-
40	392.00	Transportation Equipment	984,541	FPLANT		849,960	134,582	-
41	393.00	Stores Equipment	-	FPLANT		-	-	-
42	394.00	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment	7,679,417	FPLANT		6,629,680	1,049,737	-
43	395.00	Laboratory Equipment	132,261	FPLANT		114,182	18,079	-
44	396.00	Power Operated Equipment	2,353,128	FPLANT		2,031,468	321,661	-
45	397.00	Communication Equipment	423,359	FPLANT		365,488	57,871	-
46	397.02	Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios	71,190	FPLANT		61,459	9,731	-
47	397.05	Communication Equipment - Telemetering	64,160	FPLANT		55,390	8,770	-
48	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment	4,637,275	FPLANT		4,003,383	633,892	-
49	399.00	Other Tangible Property	49,685	FPLANT		42,893	6,792	-
50	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware	491,229	FPLANT		424,080	67,148	-
51	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software	733,516	FPLANT		633,248	100,268	-
52	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware	58,523	FPLANT		50,523	8,000	-
53	399.06	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	492,494	FPLANT		425,173	67,321	-
54	399.07	Other Tangible Property - PC Software	291,542	FPLANT		251,690	39,852	-
55		Shared Services General Office	11,069,128	FPLANT		9,556,034	1,513,093	-
56		Shared Services Greenville Data Center	4,480,889	FPLANT		3,868,374	612,515	-
57		Shared Services Aligne Pipe Projects	15,190,645	FPLANT		13,114,161	2,076,484	-
58		Subtotal (Sum Ln 37 through Ln 57) \$	56,428,590			\$ 48,715,090	\$ 7,713,500	\$ -
59		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			_	· · · ·	· ·	
60		RWIP	2,689,475	FPLANT		2,321,837	367,637	-
61								
62		Total APT Net Plant (Ln 30 + Ln 34 + Ln 58 + Ln 60) _\$	1,979,922,986			\$1,718,088,444	\$ 261,834,542	\$ -

Line No.	Account Number	Account Description	Total System	AF Label	Classifier	TRANS	STORAGE	MID-TEX WGIS
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
1		CAPITAL SUMMARY						
2	INVESTEE	CAFITAL SUMMART						
2		NET PLANT						
4		Gross Plant	\$2,479,485,364			\$2,155,167,204	\$ 324,318,160	\$ -
5		Accumulated Depreciation	499,562,378			437,078,760	62,483,618	Ψ
6		Non-Current Gas in Storage	16,928,914	STORAG			16,928,914	-
7		Total Net Plant (Ln 4 - Ln 5 + Ln 6)	, ,			\$1,718,088,444	\$ 278,763,456	\$ -
8			<i>•</i> .,,,,,,,,,,.			<u>+ .jc,cccj</u>	¢ =: 0,: 00,:00	¥
9		INVESTMENT ADDITIONS						
10		Cash Working Capital	\$ (8,093,285)	FPLANT		\$ (6,986,975)	\$ (1,106,311)	\$ -
11		Materials and Supplies	3,757,677	FPLANT		3,244,022	513,655	-
12		Line Pack	4,385,237	TRANS		4,385,237	-	-
13		Working Gas Stored Underground	106,038,127	MIDTEX		-	-	106,038,127
14		Prepayments	6,656,192	FPLANT		5,746,324	909,867	-
15		Pension and Other Post Employment Benefits Regulatory Asset	6,567,664	FLABOR		5,495,837	1,071,827	-
16		Total Investment Additions (Sum Ln 10 through Ln 15)	\$ 119,311,611			\$ 11,884,445	\$ 1,389,038	\$106,038,127
17								
18		INVESTMENT DEDUCTIONS						
19		Injuries and Damages Reserve	\$ 121,434	FLABOR		\$ 101,616	\$ 19,818	\$ -
20		Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	344,850,951			297,573,789	47,277,161	-
21		Rate Base Adjustments	3,591,145	FLABOR		3,005,079	586,066	-
22		Total Investment Deductions (Sum Ln 19 through Ln 21)	\$ 348,563,530			\$ 300,680,485	\$ 47,883,045	\$ -
23								
24		Total Invested Capital (Ln 7 + Ln 16 - Ln 22)	\$1,767,599,981			\$1,429,292,404	\$ 232,269,449	\$106,038,127

l ine No	Account Number	Account Description	Total System	AF Label	Classifier		TRANS	e.	TORAGE	N	ND-TEX WGIS
	(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)		(f)	- 0	(g)		(h)
1	<u>OPERATI</u>	NG EXPENSES									
2											
3		OTHER GAS SUPPLY EXPENSES				•		•		•	
4	813	Other Gas Supply Expenses	6,592	FPLANT	FIXED	<u>\$</u>	5,691		901	\$	-
5		Total Other Gas Supply Expenses (Ln 4) $\$$	6,592			\$	5,691	\$	901	\$	-
6											
/		UNDERGROUND STORAGE EXPENSES - OPERATION	4 4 9 9 5 7 4	070040	ENCER	•		•	4 400 574	•	
8	814	Operation Supervision and Engineering \$	1,169,571	STORAG	FIXED	\$	-	\$	1,169,571	\$	-
9	816	Wells Expenses	1,065,312	STORAG	FIXED		-		1,065,312		-
10	817	Lines Expenses	13,755	STORAG	FIXED		-		13,755		-
11	818	Compressor Station Expenses - FIXED	1,210,712	STORAG	FIXED		-		1,210,712		-
12	818	Compressor Station Expenses - VARIABLE	1,127,977	STORAG	VARIABLE		-		1,127,977		-
13	820	Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses	52,901	STORAG	FIXED		-		52,901		-
14	821	Purification Expenses	34,791	STORAG	FIXED		-		34,791		-
15	824	Other Expenses	730	STORAG	FIXED		-		730		-
16		Total UG Storage Expenses - Operation									
-		(Sum Ln 8 through Ln 15) <u></u>	4,675,749			\$	-	\$	4,675,749	\$	-
17											
18		UNDERGROUND STORAGE EXPENSES - MAINTENANCE									
19	831	Maintenance of Structures and Improvements \$	1,931	STORAG	FIXED	\$	-	\$	1,931	\$	-
20	832	Maintenance of Reservoirs and Wells	-	STORAG	FIXED		-		-		-
21	834	Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - FIXED	800,439	STORAG	FIXED		-		800,439		-
22	834	Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - VARIABLE	3,356,455	STORAG	VARIABLE		-		3,356,455		-
23	835	Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment	17,671	STORAG	FIXED		-		17,671		-
24	836	Maintenance of Purification Equipment	81,276	STORAG	FIXED		-		81,276		-
25		Total UG Storage Expenses - Maintenance									
		(Sum Ln 19 through Ln 24) _\$	4,257,772			\$	-	\$	4,257,772	\$	
26						•		•		•	
27		Total Storage Expenses (Ln 16 + Ln 25) 💲	8,933,522			\$	-	\$	8,933,522	\$	-
28											

	Account		Total	AF					N	IID-TEX
Line No.	Number	Account Description	System	Label	Classifier	TRANS	S	TORAGE		WGIS
	(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)	(f)		(g)		(h)
29		TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - OPERATION								
30	850	Operation Supervision and Engineering	\$ 314,350	TRANS	FIXED	\$ 314,350	\$	-	\$	-
31	851	System Control and Load Dispatching	1,728,036	TRANS	FIXED	1,728,036		-		-
32	852	Communication System Expenses	1,982,902	TRANS	FIXED	1,982,902		-		-
33	853	Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - FIXED	521,926	TRANS	FIXED	521,926		-		-
34	853	Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - VARIABLE	193,559	TRANS	VARIABLE	193,559		-		-
35	856	Mains Expenses	76,672,780	TRANS	FIXED	76,672,780		-		-
36	857	Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses	1,891,662	TRANS	FIXED	1,891,662		-		-
37	858	Transmission and Compression of Gas by Others	98,867	TRANS	VARIABLE	98,867		-		-
38	859	Other Expenses	33,711	TRANS	FIXED	33,711		-		-
39	860	Rents	141,228	TRANS	FIXED	141,228		-		-
40		Total Transmission Expenses - Operation								
40		(Sum Ln 30 through Ln 39)	\$ 83,579,021			\$ 83,579,021	\$	-	\$	-
41		-								
42		TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - MAINTENANCE								
43	863	Maintenance of Mains	\$ 1,666,305	TRANS	FIXED	\$ 1,666,305	\$	-	\$	-
44	864	Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - FIXED	361,217	TRANS	FIXED	361,217		-		-
45	864	Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - VARIABLE	1,141,166	TRANS	VARIABLE	1,141,166		-		-
46	865	Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment	624,905	TRANS	FIXED	624,905		-		-
47	866	Maintenance of Communication Equipment	160,951	TRANS	FIXED	160,951		-		-
48	867	Maintenance of Other Equipment	132,082	TRANS	FIXED	132,082		-		-
40		Total Transmission Expenses - Maintenance								
49		(Sum Ln 43 through Ln 48)	\$ 4,086,626			\$ 4,086,626	\$	-	\$	-
50		· · · · ·				 · · · ·				
51		Total Transmission Expenses (Ln 40 + Ln 49)	\$ 87,665,647			\$ 87,665,647	\$	-	\$	-
52		· · · · ·								

	Account		Total	AF						N	IID-TEX
Line No.	Number	Account Description	System	Label	Classifier		TRANS	S	STORAGE		WGIS
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)		(f)		(g)		(h)
53		CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS EXPENSES									
54	902	Meter Reading Expenses \$	-	TRANS	FIXED	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
55	904	Uncollectible Accounts	(9,169)	TRANS	FIXED	Ŷ	(9,169)	Ŧ	-	Ŷ	-
56	910	Miscellaneous Customer Service and Informational Expenses	1,432,016	TRANS	FIXED		1,432,016		-		-
57	911	Supervision	108	TRANS	FIXED		108		-		-
58	912	Demonstrating and Selling Expenses	2,450	TRANS	FIXED		2,450		-		-
59	913	Advertising Expenses	6	TRANS	FIXED		6		-		-
60	916	Miscellaneous Sales Expenses	1,350,128	TRANS	FIXED		1,350,128		-		-
04		Total Customer Accounts Expenses									
61		(Sum Ln 54 through Ln 60) \$	2,775,538			\$	2,775,538	\$	-	\$	-
62											
63		ADMINISTRATIVE AND GENERAL EXPENSES									
64	920	Administrative and General Salaries \$	1,036,130	FLABOR	FIXED	\$	867,036	\$	169,094	\$	-
65	921	Office Supplies and Expenses	490,576	FLABOR	FIXED		410,515		80,061		-
66	922	Administrative Expenses Transferred— Credit	16,358,629	FLABOR	FIXED		13,688,941		2,669,688		-
67	923	Outside Services Employed	1,086,424	FLABOR	FIXED		909,123		177,302		-
68	924	Property Insurance	446,860	FPLANT	FIXED		385,776		61,083		-
69	925	Injuries and Damages	448,644	FLABOR	FIXED		375,427		73,218		-
70	926	Employee Pensions and Benefits	8,138,356	FLABOR	FIXED		6,810,197		1,328,160		-
71	930.2	Miscellaneous General Expenses	(236,947)	FLABOR	FIXED		(198,278)		(38,669)		-
72	931	Rents	260,320	FLABOR	FIXED		217,837		42,484		-
73		Total Admin and General Expenses									
		(Sum Ln 64 through Ln 72) _\$	28,028,993			\$	23,466,573	\$	4,562,420	\$	-
74											
75		Total O&M Expense (Ln 5 + Ln 27 + Ln 51 + Ln 61 + Ln 73)	5 127,410,291			\$	113,913,449	\$	13,496,843	\$	-
76											
77		Total O&M Expense Excluding A&G (Ln 75 - Ln 73) _\$	99,381,298			\$	90,446,876	\$	8,934,423	\$	-

Line No.	Account Number	Account Description	Total System	AF Label	Classifier	TRANS	STORAGE	MID-TEX WGIS
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
1	DEPRECI	ATION EXPENSE, OTHER TAX, OPERATING INCOME						
2								
3		DEPRECIATION EXPENSE						
4		Transmission, Storage, and General Plant \$	81,036,473	FPLANT	FIXED	\$ 69,959,202	\$ 11,077,272	\$ -
5		Total Depreciation Expense (Ln 4)	81,036,473	_		\$ 69,959,202	\$ 11,077,272	\$ -
6				_				
7		OTHER TAXES						
8		Property-Related Taxes (Ad Valorem) \$	19,560,136	FPROPTAX	FIXED	\$ 15,796,442	\$ 2,501,193	\$ 1,262,500
9		Property-Related Taxes (Shared Services)	662,063	FPLANT	FIXED	571,562	90,501	-
10		Property-Related Taxes (DOT Pipeline Fee)	1,859,586	TRANS	FIXED	1,859,586	-	-
11		Payroll Related Taxes	1,898,221	FLABOR	FIXED	1,588,436	309,785	-
12		Total Other Taxes (Sum Ln 8 through Ln 11) \$	23,980,006	_		\$ 19,816,027	\$ 2,901,479	\$ 1,262,500
13				_				
14		Total Operating Expenses Before FIT						
14		(Page 10, Ln 75 + Ln 5 + Ln 12) _\$	232,426,770	_		\$ 203,688,677	\$ 27,475,593	\$ 1,262,500
15		—		_				
16		Total Fixed O&M	226,508,746		FIXED	202,255,085	22,991,161	1,262,500
17		Total Variable O&M	5,918,024		VARIABLE	1,433,592	4,484,432	-
18								
19		STATE/FEDERAL INCOME TAX EXPENSE	60,993,470	RATEBASE		49,319,701	8,014,777	3,658,992
20				_				
21		Total Operating Expenses						
21		(Page 10, Ln 75 + Ln 5 + Ln 12 + Ln 19)	293,420,240	=		\$ 253,008,378	\$ 35,490,370	\$ 4,921,492

Line No.	Account Number	Account Description	Total System	AF Label	Classifier	TRANS	ę	STORAGE	MID-1 WG	
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)		(g)	(h))
1	DEVELO	PMENT OF LABOR ALLOCATOR								
2										
3		UNDERGROUND STORAGE EXPENSES - OPERATION								
4	814	Operation Supervision and Engineering	\$ 985,283	STORAG		\$-	\$	985,283	\$	-
5	816	Wells Expenses	41,246	STORAG		-		41,246		-
6	817	Lines Expenses	13,617	STORAG		-		13,617		-
7	818	Compressor Station Expenses	1,210,712	STORAG		-		1,210,712		-
8	820	Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses	42,199	STORAG		-		42,199		-
9	821	Purification Expenses	18,518	STORAG		-		18,518		-
10	824	Other Expenses	-	STORAG		-		-		-
11		Total UG Storage Expenses - Operation		-						
11		(Sum Ln 4 through Ln 10)	\$ 2,311,575			\$-	\$	2,311,575	\$	-
12				-						
13		UNDERGROUND STORAGE EXPENSES - MAINTENANCE								
14	832	Maintenance of Reservoirs and Wells	\$ -	STORAG		\$-	\$	-	\$	-
15	834	Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment	800,439	STORAG		-		800,439		-
16	835	Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment	11,999	STORAG		-		11,999		-
17	836	Maintenance of Purification Equipment	43,119	STORAG	_	-		43,119		-
18		Total UG Storage Expenses - Maintenance								
10		(Sum Ln 14 through Ln 17)	\$ 855,557	_	_	\$-	\$	855,557	\$	-
19										
20		Total UG Storage - O&M Labor (Ln 11 + Ln 18)	\$ 3,167,132	_		\$-	\$	3,167,132	\$	-
21				-						

	Account		Total	AF					ID-TEX
Line No.		Account Description	System	Label	Classifier	TRANS	5	STORAGE	WGIS
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)		(g)	(h)
22		TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - OPERATION							
23	850	Operation Supervision and Engineering	\$ 294,345	TRANS		\$ 294,345	\$	-	\$ -
24	851	System Control and Load Dispatching	1,701,813	TRANS		1,701,813		-	-
25	852	Communication System Expenses	740,357	TRANS		740,357		-	-
26	853	Compressor Station Labor and Expenses	521,926	TRANS		521,926		-	-
27	854	Gas for Compressor Station Fuel	-	TRANS		-		-	-
28	855	Other Fuel and Power for Compressor Stations	-	TRANS		-		-	-
29	856	Mains Expenses	10,654,130	TRANS		10,654,130		-	-
30	857	Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses	1,203,583	TRANS		1,203,583		-	-
31	858	Transmission and Compression of Gas by Others	-	TRANS		-		-	-
32	859	Other Expenses	(70)	TRANS		(70)		-	-
33	860	Rents	-	TRANS		-		-	-
34		Total Transmission Expenses - Operation							
34		(Sum Ln 23 through Ln 33)	\$ 15,116,084			\$ 15,116,084	\$	-	\$ -
35									
36		TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - MAINTENANCE							
37	861	Maintenance Supervision and Engineering	\$ -	TRANS		\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
38	863	Maintenance of Mains	528,208	TRANS		528,208		-	-
39	864	Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment	361,217	TRANS		361,217		-	-
40	865	Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment	133,148	TRANS		133,148		-	-
41	866	Maintenance of Communication Equipment	100,695	TRANS		100,695		-	-
42	867	Maintenance of Other Equipment	 252	TRANS		252		-	-
43		Total Transmission Expenses - Maintenance							
43		(Sum Ln 37 through Ln 42)	\$ 1,123,521			\$ 1,123,521	\$	-	\$ -
44			 						
45		Total Transmission O&M Labor (Ln 34 + Ln 43)	\$ 16,239,605			\$ 16,239,605	\$	-	\$ -
46									
47		Total Functional Labor - O&M (Ln 20 + Ln 45)	\$ 19,406,736			\$ 16,239,605	\$	3,167,132	\$
48									

Line No.	Account Number	Account Description		Total System	AF Label	Classifier		TRANS	5	TORAGE		ID-TEX NGIS
	(a)	(b)		(C)	(d)	(e)		(f)		(g)		(h)
49		CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS EXPENSES										
49 50	902		\$	_	TRANS		\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
50	902 904	Uncollectible Accounts	Ψ	_	TRANS		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	_
52	910	Miscellaneous Customer Service and Informational Expenses		1,179,855	TRANS			1,179,855		-		_
53	911	Supervision		-	TRANS			-		-		-
54	912	Demonstrating and Selling Expenses		-	TRANS			-		-		_
55	916	Miscellaneous Sales Expenses		1,270,153	TRANS			1,270,153		-		_
	0.0	Total Customer Accounts Expenses		.,,				.,,				
56		(Sum Ln 50 through Ln 55)	\$	2,450,008			\$	2,450,008	\$	-	\$	-
57		(•	,,			<u> </u>	,,				
58		ADMINISTRATIVE AND GENERAL EXPENSES										
59	920	Administrative and General Salaries	\$	1,074,826	FLABOR		\$	899,417	\$	175,409	\$	-
60	921	Office Supplies and Expenses		-	FLABOR			-		-		-
61	922	Administrative Expenses Transferred— Credit		-	FLABOR			-		-		-
62	923	Outside Services Employed		-	FLABOR			-		-		-
63	924	Property Insurance		-	FPLANT			-		-		-
64	925	Injuries and Damages		-	FLABOR			-		-		-
65	926	Employee Pensions and Benefits		-	FLABOR			-		-		-
66	928	Regulatory Commission Expenses		-	FLABOR			-		-		-
67	930.2	Miscellaneous General Expenses		-	FLABOR			-		-		-
68	931	Rents		-	FLABOR			-		-		-
69	932	Maintenance of General Plant		-	FPLANT			-		-		-
70		Total Admin and General Expenses										
		(Sum Ln 59 through Ln 69)	\$	1,074,826			\$	899,417	\$	175,409	\$	-
71 72		Total O&M Expense Labor (Sum Ln 47 + Ln 56 + Ln 70)	\$ 2	22,931,570			\$	19,589,029	\$	3,342,541	\$	_
73			Ψ	2,001,010			Ψ	10,000,020	Ψ	0,012,011	Ψ	
		Total O&M Expense Labor Excluding A&G										
74		(Sum Ln 47 + Ln 56)	\$ 2	21,856,744			\$	18,689,612	\$	3,167,132	\$	-
75			÷ –				+	,	+	-,,	Ŧ	
76		Total O&M Direct Labor (Ln 74 - Ln 4 - Ln 23 - Ln 37)	\$ 2	20,577,116			\$	18,395,267	\$	2,181,849	\$	-
77		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
78		Total O&M Expense Labor		18.00%				85.42%		14.58%		0.00%
79												
80		Total O&M Expense Labor Excluding A&G		21.99%				85.51%		14.49%		0.00%
81												
82		Total O&M Direct Labor		21.02%				89.40%		10.60%		0.00%
		GUD	No. 1	0580								

Line No.	Account Number	Account Description	Total System	AF Label	Classifier	TRANS	STORAGE	MID-TEX WGIS
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
1	RETURN	ON RATE BASE						
2 3 4		TOTAL INVESTED CAPITAL	\$1,767,599,981 8.87%			\$1,429,292,404 8.87%	\$ 232,269,449 8.87%	\$ 106,038,127 8.87%
5			\$ 156,821,470			\$ 126,806,822	\$ 20,606,946	\$ 9,407,703
6 7 8		TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSE	232,426,770			203,688,677	27,475,593	1,262,500
9 10		INCOME TAX	60,993,470			49,319,701	8,014,777	3,658,992
10 11 12		TOTAL REVENUE REQUIREMENT	\$ 450,241,710			\$ 379,815,200	\$ 56,097,316	\$ 14,329,194
13 14	FUNCTIO	NAL ALLOCATORS						
15		Transmission Function		TRANS		100.00%	0.00%	0.00%
16		Storage Function		STORAG		0.00%	100.00%	0.00%
17		Functional Plant Ratios		FPLANT		86.33%	13.67%	0.00%
18		Functional Labor Ratios		FLABOR		83.68%	16.32%	0.00%
19		Mid-Tex Working Gas in Storage		MIDTEX		0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
20		Rate Base		RATEBASE		80.86%	13.14%	6.00%
21		Functional Property Tax		FPROPTAX		80.76%	12.79%	6.45%

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT FUNCTIONAL ALLOCATION OF DEFERRED INCOME TAXES TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Description	(Lia	ssets / bilities) - ed Balances	Functional Allocator		TRANS	S	TORAGE	MID	TEX WGIS
	(a)		(b)	(c)		(d)		(e)		(f)
	DEFERRED TAX									
1	Adjustment	\$	(1,361,251)	FPLANT	\$	(1,175,175)	\$	(186,076)	\$	-
2	MIP/VPP Accrual		1,331,214	FPLANT		1,149,244		181,970		-
3	Self Insurance - Adjustment		-	FPLANT		-		-		-
4	Worker's Comp Insurance Reserve		141,522	FLABOR		118,426		23,096		-
5	SEBP Adjustment		1,211,376	FLABOR		1,013,682		197,694		-
6	FAS 106 Adjustment		(2,050,380)	FLABOR		(1,715,763)		(334,617)		-
7	CWIP		-	FPLANT		-		-		-
8	RWIP		(450,230)	FPLANT		(388,686)		(61,544)		-
9	Fixed Asset Cost Adjustment		(457,524,372)	FPLANT	((394,983,129)	(62,541,243)		-
10	Depreciation Adjustment		(30,062,037)	FPLANT		(25,952,710)		(4,109,327)		-
11	Section 481(a) TPR		-	FPLANT		-		-		-
12	TXU - Goodwill Amortization		-	FPLANT		-		-		-
13	Deferred Expense Projects		(24,791)	FPLANT		(21,402)		(3,389)		-
14	UNICAP Section 263A Costs		3,593,587	FPLANT		3,102,362		491,225		-
15	Allowance for Doubtful Accounts		-	FPLANT		-		-		-
16	Prepayments		(242,237)	FPLANT		(209,124)		(33,113)		-
17	Rate Case Accrual		-	FPLANT				-		-
18	WACOG to FIFO Adjustment		(2,180,659)	FPLANT		(1,882,574)		(298,085)		-
19	Reg Asset Benefit Accrual		(3,164,472)	FPLANT		(2,731,905)		(432,567)		-
20	Intra Period Tax Allocation		-	FPLANT		-		-		-
21	Total Deferred Tax (Sum Ln 1 through Ln 20)	\$	(490,782,730)		\$	(423,676,754)	\$ (67,105,976)	\$	-
22					_					

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT FUNCTIONAL ALLOCATION OF DEFERRED INCOME TAXES TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Description	Assets / (Liabilities) - Adjusted Balance	Functional Allocator	I TRANS	STORAGE	MID-TEX WGIS
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
23	SSU ALLOCATION					
24	OUD ALLOUANDA					
25	Directors Deferred Bonus	\$ 166,9	65 FPLANT	\$ 144,142	\$ 22,823	\$ -
26	MIP/VPP Accrual	÷,.		÷ · · ·,· ·=	¢,oo	÷ -
27	Miscellaneous Accrured	-	FPLANT	-	-	-
28	Self Insurance - Adjustment	-	FPLANT	-	-	-
29	Worker's Comp Insurance Reserve	104,6	71 FLABOR	87,589	17,082	-
30	SEBP Adjustment	- ,-	FPLANT	-	-	-
31	Restricted Stock Grant Plan	-	FLABOR	-	-	-
32	Rabbi Trust	-	FPLANT	-	-	-
33	Restricted Stock - MIP	-	FLABOR	-	-	-
34	Director's Stock Awards	5,939,3	95 FPLANT	5,127,510	811,885	-
35	Pension Expense	(30,651,6	00) FLABOR	(25,649,334)	(5,002,266)	-
36	FAS 106 Adjustment	8,944,4	,	7,484,770	1,459,719	-
37	CWIP	-	FPLANT	-	-	-
38	RWIP	(3,7	82) FPLANT	(3,265)	(517)	-
39	Fixed Asset Cost Adjustment	(42,023,5	B1) FPLANT	(36,279,172)	(5,744,409)	-
40	Depreciation Adjustment	17,524,3	67 [°] FPLANT	15,128,876	2,395,491	-
41	Section 481(a) Cushion Gas	-	FPLANT	-	-	-
42	Section 481(a) Line Pack Gas	-	FPLANT	-	-	-
43	Deferred Expense Projects	-	FPLANT	-	-	-
44	Allowance for Doubtful Accounts	-	FPLANT	-	-	-
45	Clearing Account - Adjustment	-	FPLANT	-	-	-
46	Charitable Contribution Carryover	-	FPLANT	-	-	-
47	Prepayments	(4,047,5	88) FPLANT	(3,494,303)	(553,285)	-
48	Federal & State Tax Interest	439,8	87 FPLANT	379,757	60,130	-
49	VA Charitable Contributions	-	FPLANT	-	-	-
50	FD - NOL Credit Carryforward - Non Reg	-	FPLANT	-	-	-
51	FD - NOL Credit Carryforward - Utility	725,716,6	95 FPLANT	626,514,932	99,201,763	-
52	FD - NOL Credit Carryforward - Other	-	FPLANT	-	-	-
53	ST - State Net Operating Loss	-	FPLANT	-	-	-
54	FD - FAS 115 Adjustment	(2,481,5	69) FPLANT	(2,142,351)	(339,218)	-
55	FD - Federal Benefit on State NOL	-	FPLANT	-	-	-
56	FD - AMT Minimum Tax Credit	10,099,2	B6 FPLANT	8,718,765	1,380,521	-
57	ST - Valuation Allow Enterprise Zone ITC	-	FPLANT	-	-	-

GUD No. 10580 Proposal for Decision Date Issued: June 26, 2017

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT FUNCTIONAL ALLOCATION OF DEFERRED INCOME TAXES TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

		Assets /				
		(Liabilities) -	Functional			
Line No.	Description	Adjusted Balances	Allocator	TRANS	STORAGE	MID-TEX WGIS
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
58	FD - Valuation Allow Fed Tax Enterprise Zone ITC	<u>-</u>	FPLANT	_	_	_
59	ST - Enterprise Zone ITC	-	FPLANT	_	_	-
60	FD - Treasury Lock Adjustment - Realized	10,520,828	FPLANT	9,082,685	1,438,143	-
61	FD - Treasury Lock Adjustment - Unrealized	-	FPLANT	-,,	-	-
62	FD - Federal Tax on Enterprise ITC	-	FPLANT	-	-	-
63	Total SSU Deferred Tax (Sum Ln 25 through Ln 62)	\$ 700,248,463	•	\$ 605,100,599	95,147,864	\$ -
64	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•			
65	Allocation Factor			20.84%	20.84%	20.84%
66						
67	SSU Allocation Amount (Ln 63 times Ln 65)			\$ 126,102,965	\$ 19,828,815	\$ -
68						
69	Deferred Tax + SSU Allocation Amount (Ln 21 + Ln 67)		DEF INC TAX	\$ (297,573,789)	\$ (47,277,161)	\$ -
70						
71		Tota	I Plant Related	\$ 200,084,474 \$	\$ 31,681,181	\$ -
72						
73		Total	Labor Related	\$ (18,660,629) \$	\$ (3,639,293)	\$ -
74						
75			ALLOCATOR	CGS	PT	_
76	Transmission - Plant Related	\$ 200,084,474	TPLANT	\$ 192,994,727 \$		
77	Transmission - Labor Related	(18,660,629)	TLABOR	(17,999,413)	(661,216)	
78		\$ 181,423,845		\$ 174,995,314		_
79	TDEFDTAX			96.46%	3.54%	
80						
81	Storage - Plant Related	\$ 31,681,181	SPLANT	\$ 31,320,212 \$		
82	Storage - Labor Related	(3,639,293)	SLABOR	(3,597,827)	(41,465)	_
83	Total Storage	\$ 28,041,888	-	\$ 27,722,385		_
84	SDEFDTAX			98.86%	1.14%	

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT ALLOCATION OF TRANSMISSION COSTS TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

	Account		Total	AF	o		
Line No.		Account Description	Transmissio		Classifier	CGS	<u>PT</u>
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
1	INVESTE	D CAPITAL - GROSS PLANT					
2	Adjustme						
3	,	STORAGE PLANT					
4	350.00	Land and Land Rights	\$-	CONTRACT	9	-	\$-
5	350.10	Land	· _	CONTRACT	·	-	· _
6	350.20	Rights-of-Way	-	CONTRACT		-	-
7	351.00	Structures and Improvements	-	CONTRACT		-	-
8	352.00	Wells	-	CONTRACT		-	-
9	353.00	Lines	-	CONTRACT		-	-
10	354.00	Compressor Station Equipment	-	CONTRACT		-	-
11	355.00	M&R Equipment	-	CONTRACT		-	-
12	356.00	Purification Equipment	-	CONTRACT		-	-
13	357.00	Other Equipment	-	CONTRACT		-	-
14		Subtotal (Sum Ln 4 through Ln 1	3) \$ -			- ·	\$-
15		, U					
16		TRANSMISSION PLANT					
17	365.00	Land	\$ 1,232,27	0 CONTRACT	9	1,188,606	\$ 43,664
18	365.10	ROW - Trans Comp Stat	-	CONTRACT		-	-
19	365.20	ROW - City Gate	18,983,79	5 CONTRACT		18,311,127	672,667
20	366.00	Structures and Improvements	11,472,46	CONTRACT		11,065,951	406,513
21	367.00	Mains - Cathodic Protection	200,439,41	3 CONTRACT		193,337,089	7,102,324
22	367.01	Mains - Steel	1,431,528,22	24 CONTRACT		1,380,803,783	50,724,441
23	367.02	Mains - Plastic	11,591,35	52 CONTRACT		11,180,627	410,725
24	368.00	Compressor Station Equipment	150,061,06	6 CONTRACT		144,743,837	5,317,229
25	369.00	M&R Station Equipment	228,773,44	4 CONTRACT		220,667,138	8,106,306
26	370.00	Communication Equipment	14,144,92	28 CONTRACT		13,643,720	501,208
27	371.00	Other Equipment	4,923,42	27 CONTRACT		4,748,972	174,456
28		Subtotal (Sum Ln 17 through Ln 2	7) \$2,073,150,38	33		51,999,690,850	\$ 73,459,533
29			-		_		
30		Total Functional Plant in Service (Ln 14 + Ln 28	3) \$2,073,150,38	33	9	51,999,690,850	\$ 73,459,533
31							
32		INTANGIBLE PLANT					
33	303.00	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant	\$ 5,385,53	1 CONTRACT	9	5,194,701	\$ 190,830
34		Subtotal (Ln 3	3) \$ 5,385,53	31			\$ 190,830
35		· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT ALLOCATION OF TRANSMISSION COSTS TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

	Account		Total	AF				
Line No.	Number	Account Description	Transmission	Label	Classifier	CGS		PT
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)		(g)
36		GENERAL PLANT						
37	389.00	Land and Land Rights \$	107,897	CONTRACT	\$	104,074	\$	3,823
38	390.00	Structures and Improvements	5,425,928	CONTRACT	Ψ	5,233,667	Ψ	192,261
39	391.00	Office Furniture and Equipment	4,211,821	CONTRACT		4,062,580		149,241
40	392.00	Transportation Equipment	1,349,308	CONTRACT		1,301,497		47,811
41	393.00	Stores Equipment	-	CONTRACT		-		-
42	394.00	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment	8,500,381	CONTRACT		8,199,181		301,201
43	395.00	Laboratory Equipment	149,045	CONTRACT		143,764		5,281
44	396.00	Power Operated Equipment	2,636,829	CONTRACT		2,543,396		93,433
45	397.00	Communication Equipment	635,472	CONTRACT		612,955		22,517
46	397.02	Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios	69,508	CONTRACT		67,045		2,463
47	397.05	Communication Equipment - Telemetering	99,004	CONTRACT		95,496		3,508
48	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment	7,073,595	CONTRACT		6,822,951		250,644
49	399.00	Other Tangible Property	61,443	CONTRACT		59,266		2,177
50	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware	528,726	CONTRACT		509,992		18,735
51	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software	1,216,110	CONTRACT		1,173,019		43,091
52	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware	61,638	CONTRACT		59,454		2,184
53	399.06	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	685,172	CONTRACT		660,893		24,278
54	399.07	Other Tangible Property - PC Software	686,306	CONTRACT		661,988		24,318
55		Shared Services General Office	23,716,346	CONTRACT		22,875,987		840,360
56		Shared Services Greenville Data Center	5,568,125	CONTRACT		5,370,825		197,300
57		Shared Services Aligne Pipe Projects	13,848,634	CONTRACT		13,357,925		490,709
58		Subtotal (Sum Ln 37 through Ln 57) _\$	76,631,289		\$	73,915,954	\$	2,715,335
59								
60		Total APT Gross Plant (Ln 30 + Ln 34 + Ln 58) _ <u>\$</u>	2,155,167,204		\$	2,078,801,505	\$ 7	76,365,698

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT ALLOCATION OF TRANSMISSION COSTS TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Account Number		Total Transmission	AF Label	Classifier	CGS		РТ
Line No.		Account Description	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)		
	(a)	(b)	(0)	(u)	(6)	(1)		(g)
1	INVESTE	D CAPITAL - ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION						
2								
3		STORAGE PLANT						
4	350.00	Land and Land Rights	\$-	CONTRACT	\$; -	\$	-
5	350.10	Land	-	CONTRACT		-		-
6	350.20	Rights-of-Way	-	CONTRACT		-		-
7	351.00	Structures and Improvements	-	CONTRACT		-		-
8	352.00	Wells	-	CONTRACT		-		-
9	353.00	Lines	-	CONTRACT		-		-
10	354.00	Compressor Station Equipment	-	CONTRACT		-		-
11	355.00	M&R Equipment	-	CONTRACT		-		-
12	356.00	Purification Equipment	-	CONTRACT		-		-
13	357.00	Other Equipment	-	CONTRACT		-		-
14		Subtotal (Sum Ln 4 through Ln 13)	\$ -	_	\$; -	\$	-
15		· · · · · ·		_			-	
16		TRANSMISSION PLANT						
17	365.00	Land	\$-	CONTRACT	\$; -	\$	-
18	365.10	ROW - Trans Comp Stat	-	CONTRACT		-		-
19	365.20	ROW - City Gate	5,589,104	CONTRACT		5,391,061		198,043
20	366.00	Structures and Improvements	3,140,237	CONTRACT		3,028,966		111,270
21	367.00	Mains - Cathodic Protection	52,390,846	CONTRACT		50,534,441	1	,856,405
22	367.01	Mains - Steel	238,931,308	CONTRACT		230,465,071	8	3,466,237
23	367.02	Mains - Plastic	1,485,678	CONTRACT		1,433,035		52,643
24	368.00	Compressor Station Equipment	42,356,758	CONTRACT		40,855,898	1	,500,860
25	369.00	M&R Station Equipment	55,590,538	CONTRACT		53,620,756	1	,969,782
26	370.00	Communication Equipment	4,671,498	CONTRACT		4,505,969		165,529
27	371.00	Other Equipment	1,942,900	CONTRACT		1,874,056		68,844
28		Subtotal (Sum Ln 17 through Ln 27)	\$ 406,098,867	_	\$	391,709,254	\$ 14	1,389,614
29				_				
30		Total Functional Plant in Service (Ln 14 + Ln 28)	\$ 406,098,867		\$	391,709,254	\$ 14	1,389,614
31								
32		INTANGIBLE PLANT						
33	303.00	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant	\$ 5,385,531	TPLANT	\$	5,194,701	\$	190,830
34		Subtotal (Ln 33)		-	\$		\$	190,830
35		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		_				·

	Account		Total	AF				
Line No.	Number	Account Description	Transmission	Label	Classifier	CGS		PT
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)		(g)
36		GENERAL PLANT						
37	389.00	Land and Land Rights \$	-	TPLANT	\$	-	\$	-
38	390.00	Structures and Improvements	1,155,595	TPLANT		1,114,648		40,947
39	391.00	Office Furniture and Equipment	2,352,148	TPLANT		2,268,802		83,345
40	392.00	Transportation Equipment	499,348	TPLANT		481,654		17,694
41	393.00	Stores Equipment	-	TPLANT		-		-
42	394.00	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment	1,870,701	TPLANT		1,804,415		66,286
43	395.00	Laboratory Equipment	34,864	TPLANT		33,628		1,235
44	396.00	Power Operated Equipment	605,361	TPLANT		583,911		21,450
45	397.00	Communication Equipment	269,984	TPLANT		260,417		9,567
46	397.02	Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios	8,049	TPLANT		7,764		285
47	397.05	Communication Equipment - Telemetering	43,614	TPLANT		42,069		1,545
48	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment	3,070,212	TPLANT		2,961,423		108,789
49	399.00	Other Tangible Property	18,550	TPLANT		17,893		657
50	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware	104,646	TPLANT		100,938		3,708
51	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software	582,862	TPLANT		562,209		20,653
52	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware	11,115	TPLANT		10,721		394
53	399.06	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	259,999	TPLANT		250,786		9,213
54	399.07	Other Tangible Property - PC Software	434,616	TPLANT		419,216		15,400
55		Shared Services General Office	14,160,312	TPLANT		13,658,559		501,753
56		Shared Services Greenville Data Center	1,699,751	TPLANT		1,639,522		60,229
57		Shared Services Aligne Pipe Projects	734,473	TPLANT		708,448		26,025
58		Subtotal (Sum Ln 37 through Ln 57) \$	27,916,199		\$	26,927,023	\$	989,176
59		· · · · · ·						
60		RWIP	(2,321,837)	TPLANT		(2,239,566)		(82,271)
61			,			,		,
60		Total APT Accumulated Depreciation						
62		(Ln 30 + Ln 34 + Ln 58 + Ln 60) 💲	437,078,760		\$	421,591,412	\$ 1	5,487,348

Line No.	Account Number	Account Description	Total Transmission	AF Label	Classifier	CGS	РТ
Line NO.	(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
	()		(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(3)
1	INVESTE	D CAPITAL - NET PLANT					
2							
3		STORAGE PLANT					
4	350.00	Land and Land Rights	\$-	CONTRACT		ş -	\$-
5	350.10	Land	-	CONTRACT		-	-
6	350.20	Rights-of-Way	-	CONTRACT		-	-
7	351.00	Structures and Improvements	-	CONTRACT		-	-
8	352.00	Wells	-	CONTRACT		-	-
9	353.00	Lines	-	CONTRACT		-	-
10	354.00	Compressor Station Equipment	-	CONTRACT		-	-
11	355.00	M&R Equipment	-	CONTRACT		-	-
12	356.00	Purification Equipment	-	CONTRACT		-	-
13	357.00	Other Equipment	-	CONTRACT		-	-
14		Subtotal (Sum Ln 4 through Ln 13)\$-	-	9	- Ş	\$-
15				-			
16		TRANSMISSION PLANT					
17	365.00	Land	\$ 1,232,270	CONTRACT	9	\$ 1,188,606	\$ 43,664
18	365.10	ROW - Trans Comp Stat	-	CONTRACT		-	-
19	365.20	ROW - City Gate	13,394,690	CONTRACT		12,920,066	474,624
20	366.00	Structures and Improvements	8,332,227	CONTRACT		8,036,984	295,242
21	367.00	Mains - Cathodic Protection	148,048,567	CONTRACT		142,802,648	5,245,919
22	367.01	Mains - Steel	1,192,596,916	CONTRACT		1,150,338,712	42,258,204
23	367.02	Mains - Plastic	10,105,674	CONTRACT		9,747,592	358,082
24	368.00	Compressor Station Equipment	107,704,308	CONTRACT		103,887,939	3,816,370
25	369.00	M&R Station Equipment	173,182,906	CONTRACT		167,046,382	6,136,523
26	370.00	Communication Equipment	9,473,431	CONTRACT		9,137,751	335,679
27	371.00	Other Equipment	2,980,527	CONTRACT		2,874,916	105,611
28		Subtotal (Sum Ln 17 through Ln 27		-	9	1,607,981,597	\$ 59,069,919
29			<u> </u>	-			<u> </u>
30		Total Functional Plant in Service (Ln 14 + Ln 28	\$ 1,667,051,516		9	\$1,607,981,597	\$ 59,069,919
31		Υ		-			. , ,
32		INTANGIBLE PLANT					
33	303.00	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant	\$-	TPLANT	9	6 -	\$-
34	000.00	Subtotal (Ln 33	+				\$-
35			/ <u>+</u>	-		-	.

Line No. Number Account Description Transmission Label Classifier CGS (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) 36 GENERAL PLANT (d) (e) (f) (e) (f) 37 380.00 Land and Land Rights \$ 107,897 TPLANT \$ 104,074 \$ 38 390.00 Structures and Improvements 4,270,333 TPLANT \$ 104,074 \$ 40 392.00 Transportation Equipment 1,859,673 TPLANT 1,793,778 40 392.00 Stores Equipment 6,29,680 TPLANT 819,842 41 393.00 Stores Equipment 114,182 TPLANT 6,394,766 43 396.00 Dever Operated Equipment 2,031,468 TPLANT 1,959,485 45 397.00 Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios 61,459 TPLANT 59,281 47 397.05 Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios 61,459 TPLANT 3861,528		Account		Total	AF				
36 GENERAL PLANT \$ 104,074 \$ 37 389.00 Land and Land Rights \$ 107,897 TPLANT \$ 104,074 \$ 38 390.00 Structures and Improvements 4,270,333 TPLANT 4,119,019 39 391.00 Office Furniture and Equipment 1,859,673 TPLANT 4,119,019 393.00 Stores Equipment 849,960 TPLANT 818,842 11 393.00 Stores Equipment - TPLANT 818,842 41 393.00 Stores Equipment - TPLANT 6,394,766 43 395.00 Laboratory Equipment 114,182 TPLANT 110,136 44 396.00 Power Operated Equipment 2,031,468 TPLANT 1959,485 5 397.00 Communication Equipment - Tiked Radiios 61,459 TPLANT 53,427 48 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment - Telemetering 55,390 TPLANT 34,528 49 399.00 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 424,083 TPLANT 41,373 50<	Line No.	Number	Account Description	Transmission	Label	Classifier	CGS		PT
389.00 Land and Land Rights \$ 107,897 TPLANT \$ 104,074 \$ 38 390.00 Structures and Improvements 4.270,333 TPLANT 4,119,019 39 391.00 Office Furniture and Equipment 1,859,673 TPLANT 4,119,019 40 392.00 Transportation Equipment 849,960 TPLANT 819,842 41 393.00 Stores Equipment - TPLANT 6,394,766 43 395.00 Laboratory Equipment 6,629,680 TPLANT 6,394,766 43 396.00 Power Operated Equipment 2,031,468 TPLANT 110,136 44 396.00 Power Operated Equipment 2,031,468 TPLANT 352,538 46 397.00 Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios 61,459 TPLANT 59,281 47 397.00 Communication Equipment - Telemetering 55,390 TPLANT 59,281 48 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 4,003,383 TPLANT 41,373 50 399.00 Other Tangible Property Servers Mardware 63,224 TPLANT		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)		(g)
389.00 Land and Land Rights \$ 107,897 TPLANT \$ 104,074 \$ 38 390.00 Structures and Improvements 4,270,333 TPLANT 4,119,019 39 391.00 Office Furniture and Equipment 1,859,673 TPLANT 4,119,019 40 392.00 Transportation Equipment 849,960 TPLANT 819,842 41 393.00 Stores Equipment - TPLANT 6,394,766 42 394.00 Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment 6,629,680 TPLANT 6,394,766 43 395.00 Laboratory Equipment 2,031,468 TPLANT 110,136 44 396.00 Power Operated Equipment 2,031,468 TPLANT 352,538 46 397.00 Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios 61,459 TPLANT 59,281 47 399.00 Other Tangible Property 42,893 TPLANT 41,373 50 399.00 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 63,224 TPLANT 41,373 51 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 50,523 TPLANT	36		GENERAL PLANT						
38 390.00 Structures and Improvements 4,270,333 TPLANT 4,119,019 39 391.00 Office Furniture and Equipment 1,859,673 TPLANT 1,793,778 40 392.00 Transportation Equipment 849,960 TPLANT 819,842 41 393.00 Stores Equipment - TPLANT 6,394,766 42 394.00 Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment 6,629,680 TPLANT 6,394,766 43 395.00 Laboratory Equipment 114,182 TPLANT 110,136 44 396.00 Power Operated Equipment 2,031,468 TPLANT 352,538 46 397.00 Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios 61,459 TPLANT 59,281 47 397.05 Communication Equipment - Telemetering 55,390 TPLANT 53,427 48 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 4,003,383 TPLANT 41,373 50 399.00 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 63,248 TPLANT 41,373 51 399.00 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 50,523 T		389.00		107.897	TPLANT	\$	104.074	\$	3,823
39 391.00 Office Furniture and Equipment 1,859,673 TPLANT 1,793,778 40 392.00 Transportation Equipment 849,960 TPLANT 819,842 41 393.00 Stores Equipment - TPLANT 819,842 41 393.00 Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment 6,629,680 TPLANT 6,394,766 42 395.00 Laboratory Equipment 114,182 TPLANT 110,136 43 396.00 Power Operated Equipment 2,031,468 TPLANT 1,959,485 45 397.00 Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios 61,459 TPLANT 59,281 46 397.02 Communication Equipment - Telemetering 55,390 TPLANT 59,281 47 397.05 Communication Equipment 4,003,383 TPLANT 3,861,528 49 399.00 Other Tangible Property 42,893 TPLANT 41,373 50 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 633,248 TPLANT 41,873 51 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 50,523 TPLANT<			8	· ,		Ŧ	,	+	151,314
40 392.00 Transportation Equipment 849.960 TPLANT 819.842 41 393.00 Stores Equipment TPLANT - 42 394.00 Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment 6,629,680 TPLANT 6,394,766 43 395.00 Laboratory Equipment 110,136 - - 44 396.00 Power Operated Equipment 2,031,468 TPLANT 1,959,485 45 397.00 Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios 61,459 TPLANT 59,281 46 397.02 Communication Equipment - Telemetering 55,390 TPLANT 59,281 47 397.05 Communication Equipment - Telemetering 55,390 TPLANT 59,281 47 399.00 Other Tangible Property 42,893 TPLANT 41,373 50 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 4003,383 TPLANT 41,373 51 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 633,248 TPLANT 410,810 52 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 50,523 TPLANT 4			•	, ,			, ,		65,895
41 393.00 Stores Equipment TPLANT - 42 394.00 Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment 6,629,680 TPLANT 6,394,766 43 395.00 Laboratory Equipment 114,182 TPLANT 110,136 44 396.00 Power Operated Equipment 2,031,468 TPLANT 1,959,485 45 397.00 Communication Equipment 365,488 TPLANT 352,538 46 397.02 Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios 61,459 TPLANT 59,281 47 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment Telemetering 55,390 TPLANT 53,427 48 398.00 Other Tangible Property 42,003,383 TPLANT 361,528 49 399.00 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 424,080 TPLANT 41,373 50 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 633,248 TPLANT 48,733 53 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 50,523 TPLANT 48,733 53 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 251,690 <		392.00							30,117
42 394.00 Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment 6,629,680 TPLANT 6,394,766 43 395.00 Laboratory Equipment 114,182 TPLANT 110,136 44 396.00 Power Operated Equipment 2,031,468 TPLANT 1,959,485 45 397.00 Communication Equipment 365,488 TPLANT 352,538 46 397.02 Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios 61,459 TPLANT 59,281 47 397.05 Communication Equipment - Telemetering 55,390 TPLANT 59,281 48 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 4,003,383 TPLANT 3,861,528 49 399.00 Other Tangible Property 42,893 TPLANT 41,373 50 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 424,080 TPLANT 409,054 51 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 63,248 TPLANT 48,733 53 399.06 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 50,523 TPLANT 410,108 54 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware <	41			-			,-		-
44 396.00 Power Operated Equipment 2,031,468 TPLANT 1,959,485 45 397.00 Communication Equipment 365,488 TPLANT 352,538 46 397.02 Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios 61,459 TPLANT 59,281 47 397.05 Communication Equipment - Telemetering 55,390 TPLANT 53,427 48 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 4,003,383 TPLANT 3661,528 49 399.00 Other Tangible Property 42,893 TPLANT 41,373 50 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 424,080 TPLANT 409,054 51 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 633,248 TPLANT 410,108 52 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 50,523 TPLANT 440,708 54 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 251,690 TPLANT 242,772 55 Shared Services General Office 9,556,034 TPLANT 3,731,303 57 Shared Services Aligne Pipe Projects 13,114,161 T	42	394.00		6,629,680	TPLANT		6,394,766		234,915
45 397.00 Communication Equipment 365,488 TPLANT 352,538 46 397.02 Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios 61,459 TPLANT 59,281 47 397.05 Communication Equipment - Telemetering 55,390 TPLANT 53,427 48 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 4,003,383 TPLANT 3,861,528 49 399.00 Other Tangible Property 42,893 TPLANT 41,373 50 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 424,080 TPLANT 409,054 51 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 633,248 TPLANT 410,733 52 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 50,523 TPLANT 48,733 53 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 425,173 TPLANT 410,108 54 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 251,690 TPLANT 42,772 55 Shared Services General Office 9,556,034 TPLANT 9,217,428 56 Shared Services Aligne Pipe Projects 13,114,161	43	395.00	Laboratory Equipment	114,182	TPLANT		110,136		4,046
46 397.02 Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios 61,459 TPLANT 59,281 47 397.05 Communication Equipment - Telemetering 55,390 TPLANT 53,427 48 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 4,003,383 TPLANT 3,861,528 49 399.00 Other Tangible Property 42,893 TPLANT 41,373 50 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 424,080 TPLANT 409,054 51 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 633,248 TPLANT 48,733 53 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 50,523 TPLANT 48,733 53 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 425,173 TPLANT 410,108 54 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 251,690 TPLANT 9,217,428 56 Shared Services General Office 9,556,034 TPLANT 9,217,428 57 Shared Services Aligne Pipe Projects 13,114,161 TPLANT 12,649,477 58 Subtotal (Sum Ln 37 through Ln 57) \$ 48,715,090	44	396.00	Power Operated Equipment	2,031,468	TPLANT		1,959,485		71,983
47 397.05 Communication Equipment - Telemetering 55,390 TPLANT 53,427 48 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 4,003,383 TPLANT 3,861,528 49 399.00 Other Tangible Property 42,893 TPLANT 41,373 50 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 424,080 TPLANT 409,054 51 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 633,248 TPLANT 610,810 52 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 50,523 TPLANT 48,733 53 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 425,173 TPLANT 410,108 54 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 251,690 TPLANT 242,772 55 Shared Services General Office 9,556,034 TPLANT 9,217,428 56 Shared Services Aligne Pipe Projects 13,114,161 TPLANT 12,649,477 58 Subtotal (Sum Ln 37 through Ln 57) \$ 48,715,090 \$ 46,988,931 \$ 1	45	397.00	Communication Equipment	365,488	TPLANT		352,538		12,951
48 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 4,003,383 TPLANT 3,861,528 49 399.00 Other Tangible Property 42,893 TPLANT 41,373 50 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 424,080 TPLANT 409,054 51 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 633,248 TPLANT 610,810 52 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 50,523 TPLANT 48,733 53 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 425,173 TPLANT 410,108 54 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 251,690 TPLANT 242,772 55 Shared Services General Office 9,556,034 TPLANT 9,217,428 56 Shared Services Aligne Pipe Projects 13,114,161 TPLANT 12,649,477 58 Subtotal (Sum Ln 37 through Ln 57) \$ 48,715,090 \$ 46,988,931 \$ 1	46	397.02	Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios	61,459	TPLANT		59,281		2,178
49 399.00 Other Tangible Property 42,893 TPLANT 41,373 50 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 424,080 TPLANT 409,054 51 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 633,248 TPLANT 610,810 52 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 50,523 TPLANT 44,0108 53 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 425,173 TPLANT 410,108 54 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 251,690 TPLANT 242,772 55 Shared Services General Office 9,556,034 TPLANT 9,217,428 56 Shared Services Greenville Data Center 3,868,374 TPLANT 3,731,303 57 Shared Services Aligne Pipe Projects 13,114,161 TPLANT 12,649,477 58 Subtotal (Sum Ln 37 through Ln 57) \$ 48,715,090 \$ 46,988,931 \$ 1	47	397.05	Communication Equipment - Telemetering	55,390	TPLANT		53,427		1,963
50 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware 424,080 TPLANT 409,054 51 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 633,248 TPLANT 610,810 52 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 50,523 TPLANT 48,733 53 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 425,173 TPLANT 410,108 54 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 251,690 TPLANT 242,772 55 Shared Services General Office 9,556,034 TPLANT 9,217,428 56 Shared Services Greenville Data Center 3,868,374 TPLANT 3,731,303 57 Shared Services Aligne Pipe Projects 13,114,161 TPLANT 12,649,477 58 Subtotal (Sum Ln 37 through Ln 57) \$ 48,715,090 \$ 46,988,931 \$ 1	48	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment	4,003,383	TPLANT		3,861,528		141,855
51 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers Software 633,248 TPLANT 610,810 52 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 50,523 TPLANT 48,733 53 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 425,173 TPLANT 410,108 54 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 251,690 TPLANT 242,772 55 Shared Services General Office 9,556,034 TPLANT 9,217,428 56 Shared Services Greenville Data Center 3,868,374 TPLANT 3,731,303 57 Shared Services Aligne Pipe Projects 13,114,161 TPLANT 12,649,477 58 Subtotal (Sum Ln 37 through Ln 57) \$ 48,715,090 \$ 46,988,931 \$ 1	49	399.00	Other Tangible Property	42,893	TPLANT		41,373		1,520
52 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 50,523 TPLANT 48,733 53 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 425,173 TPLANT 410,108 54 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 251,690 TPLANT 242,772 55 Shared Services General Office 9,556,034 TPLANT 9,217,428 56 Shared Services Greenville Data Center 3,868,374 TPLANT 3,731,303 57 Shared Services Aligne Pipe Projects 13,114,161 TPLANT 12,649,477 58 Subtotal (Sum Ln 37 through Ln 57) \$ 48,715,090 \$ 46,988,931 \$ 1	50	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware	424,080	TPLANT		409,054		15,027
53 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 425,173 TPLANT 410,108 54 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 251,690 TPLANT 242,772 55 Shared Services General Office 9,556,034 TPLANT 9,217,428 56 Shared Services Greenville Data Center 3,868,374 TPLANT 3,731,303 57 Shared Services Aligne Pipe Projects 13,114,161 TPLANT 12,649,477 58 Subtotal (Sum Ln 37 through Ln 57) \$ 48,715,090 \$ 46,988,931 \$ 1	51	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software	633,248	TPLANT		610,810		22,438
54 399.07 Other Tangible Property - PC Software 251,690 TPLANT 242,772 55 Shared Services General Office 9,556,034 TPLANT 9,217,428 56 Shared Services Greenville Data Center 3,868,374 TPLANT 3,731,303 57 Shared Services Aligne Pipe Projects 13,114,161 TPLANT 12,649,477 58 Subtotal (Sum Ln 37 through Ln 57) \$ 48,715,090 \$ 46,988,931 \$ 1	52	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware	50,523	TPLANT		48,733		1,790
55 Shared Services General Office 9,556,034 TPLANT 9,217,428 56 Shared Services Greenville Data Center 3,868,374 TPLANT 3,731,303 57 Shared Services Aligne Pipe Projects 13,114,161 TPLANT 12,649,477 58 Subtotal (Sum Ln 37 through Ln 57) \$ 48,715,090 \$ 46,988,931 \$ 1	53	399.06	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	425,173	TPLANT		410,108		15,065
56 Shared Services Greenville Data Center 3,868,374 TPLANT 3,731,303 57 Shared Services Aligne Pipe Projects 13,114,161 TPLANT 12,649,477 58 Subtotal (Sum Ln 37 through Ln 57) \$ 48,715,090 \$ 46,988,931 \$ 1 59 59 \$ 3,731,303 \$ 3,731,303	54	399.07	Other Tangible Property - PC Software	251,690	TPLANT		242,772		8,918
57 Shared Services Aligne Pipe Projects 13,114,161 TPLANT 12,649,477 58 Subtotal (Sum Ln 37 through Ln 57) \$ 48,715,090 \$ 46,988,931 \$ 1 59 59 \$ 48,715,090 \$ 46,988,931 \$ 1	55		Shared Services General Office	9,556,034	TPLANT		9,217,428		338,606
58 Subtotal (Sum Ln 37 through Ln 57) <u>\$ 48,715,090</u> <u>\$ 46,988,931 \$ 1</u> 59	56		Shared Services Greenville Data Center	3,868,374	TPLANT		3,731,303		137,071
59	57		Shared Services Aligne Pipe Projects	13,114,161	TPLANT		12,649,477		464,684
			Subtotal (Sum Ln 37 through Ln 57)	\$ 48,715,090		\$	46,988,931	\$	1,726,159
60 RWIP 2.321.837 TPLANT 2.239.566									
			RWIP	2,321,837	TPLANT		2,239,566		82,271
61 62 Total APT Net Plant (Ln 30 + Ln 34 + Ln 58 + Ln 60) \$1,718,088,444 \$1,657,210,093 \$ 60			Total APT Net Plant (Ln 30 + Ln 34 + Ln 58 + Ln 60)	\$1,718,088,444		\$	1,657,210,093	\$ 6	60,878,350

	Account			Total	AF					
Line No.	Number	Account Description	Т	ransmission	Label	Classifier		CGS		PT
	(a)	(b)		(c)	(d)	(e)		(f)		(g)
1		CAPITAL SUMMARY								
2	INVESTED	CAPITAL SUMMART								
2		NET PLANT								
3		Gross Plant	\$ 2	2,155,167,204			¢o	2,078,801,505	\$	76,365,698
5		Accumulated Depreciation	ψz	437,078,760			ψz	421,591,412	ψ	15,487,348
5		Non-Current Gas in Storage		437,070,700	TPLANT			421,091,412		13,407,340
7		Total Net Plant (Ln 4 - Ln 5 + Ln 6)	\$ 1	718 088 444			\$ 1	,657,210,093	\$	60,878,350
8			ψ1	,710,000,444			ψī	,007,210,000	Ψ	00,070,000
9		INVESTMENT ADDITIONS								
10		Cash Working Capital	\$	(6,986,975)	TPLANT		\$	(6,739,400)	\$	(247,575)
10		Materials and Supplies	Ψ	3,244,022	TPLANT		Ψ	3,129,074	Ψ	114,948
12		Line Pack		4,385,237	TPLANT			4,229,851		155,385
13		Working Gas Stored Underground		4,303,237	TPLANT			4,229,001		-
14		Prepayments		5,746,324	TPLANT			5,542,710		203,614
15		Pension and Other Post Employment Benefits Regulatory Asset		5,495,837	TPLANT			5,301,099		194,738
16		Total Investment Additions (Sum Ln 10 through Ln 15)	\$	11,884,445			\$	11,463,335	\$	421,111
17		Total investment Auditions (outilien to through En 15)	Ψ	11,004,440		•	Ψ	11,400,000	Ψ	721,111
18		INVESTMENT DEDUCTIONS								
19		Injuries and Damages Reserve	\$	101,616	TLABOR		\$	98,016	\$	3,601
20		Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	Ψ	297,573,789	TDEFTAX		Ψ	287,029,628	Ψ	10,544,161
21		Rate Base Adjustments		3,005,079	RATEBASE			2,908,700		96,379
22		Total Investment Deductions (Sum Ln 19 through Ln 21)	\$, ,			\$	290,036,344	\$	10,644,141
23			Ψ	000,000,400			Ψ	200,000,044	Ψ	10,017,141
24		Total Invested Capital (Ln 7 + Ln 16 - Ln 22)	\$1	,429,292,404			\$1	,378,637,084	\$	50,655,320

	Account			Total	AF			
Line No.		Account Description	Tran	smission	Label	Classifier	CGS	PT
	(a)	(b)		(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
1	OPERATI	ING EXPENSES						
2								
3		OTHER GAS SUPPLY EXPENSES						
4	813	Other Gas Supply Expenses	\$	5,691	TPLANT	DEMAND	\$ 5,489	\$ 202
5		Total Other Gas Supply Expenses (Ln 4)	\$	5,691			\$ 5,489	\$ 202
6								
7		UNDERGROUND STORAGE EXPENSES - OPERATION						
8	814	Operation Supervision and Engineering	\$	-	TPLANT	DEMAND	\$ -	\$ -
9	816	Wells Expenses		-	TPLANT	DEMAND	-	-
10	817	Lines Expenses		-	TPLANT	DEMAND	-	-
11	818	Compressor Station Expenses - FIXED		-	TPLANT	DEMAND	-	-
12	818	Compressor Station Expenses - VARIABLE		-	THRUPT	USAGE	-	-
13	820	Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses		-	TPLANT	DEMAND	-	-
14	821	Purification Expenses		-	TPLANT	DEMAND	-	-
15	824	Other Expenses		-	TPLANT	DEMAND	 -	-
16		Total UG Storage Expenses - Operation	l					
10		(Sum Ln 8 through Ln 15)	\$	-			\$ -	\$ -
17								
18		UNDERGROUND STORAGE EXPENSES - MAINTENANCE						
19	831	Maintenance of Structures and Improvements	\$	-	TPLANT	DEMAND	\$ -	\$ -
20	832	Maintenance of Reservoirs and Wells		-	TPLANT	DEMAND	-	-
21	834	Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - FIXED		-	TPLANT	DEMAND	-	-
22	834	Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - VARIABLE		-	THRUPT	USAGE	-	-
23	835	Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment		-	TPLANT	DEMAND	-	-
24	836	Maintenance of Purification Equipment		-	TPLANT	DEMAND	 -	-
25		Total UG Storage Expenses - Maintenance						
		(Sum Ln 19 through Ln 24)	\$	-			\$ -	\$ -
26								
27		Total Storage Expenses (Ln 16 + Ln 25)	\$	-			\$ -	\$ -
28							 	

	Account			Total	AF					
Line No.	Number	Account Description	Tra	ansmission	Label	Classifier		CGS		PT
	(a)	(b)		(c)	(d)	(e)		(f)		(g)
29		TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - OPERATION								
30	850	Operation Supervision and Engineering	\$	314,350	LABTRANO	DEMAND	\$	303,212	\$	11,139
31	851	System Control and Load Dispatching		1,728,036	TPLANT	DEMAND		1,666,805		61,231
32	852	Communication System Expenses		1,982,902	TPLANT	DEMAND		1,912,641		70,262
33	853	Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - FIXED		521,926	TPLANT	DEMAND		503,432		18,494
34	853	Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - VARIABLE		193,559	THRUPT	USAGE		170,119		23,440
35	856	Mains Expenses		76,672,780	TPLANT	DEMAND		73,955,974		2,716,806
36	857	Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses		1,891,662	TPLANT	DEMAND		1,824,633		67,029
37	858	Transmission and Compression of Gas by Others		98,867	THRUPT	USAGE		86,894		11,973
38	859	Other Expenses		33,711	TPLANT	DEMAND		32,517		1,195
39	860	Rents		141,228	TPLANT	DEMAND		136,223		5,004
40		Total Transmission Expenses - Operation								
40		(Sum Ln 30 through Ln 39)	\$	83,579,021			\$	80,592,450	\$	2,986,571
41										
42		TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - MAINTENANCE								
43	863	Maintenance of Mains	\$	1,666,305	TPLANT	DEMAND	\$	1,607,261	\$	59,043
44	864	Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - FIXED		361,217	TPLANT	DEMAND		348,418		12,799
45	864	Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - VARIABLE		1,141,166	THRUPT	USAGE		1,002,970		138,196
46	865	Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment		624,905	TPLANT	DEMAND		602,762		22,143
47	866	Maintenance of Communication Equipment		160,951	TPLANT	DEMAND		155,248		5,703
48	867	Maintenance of Other Equipment		132,082	TPLANT	DEMAND		127,402		4,680
49		Total Transmission Expenses - Maintenance								
		(Sum Ln 43 through Ln 48)	\$	4,086,626			\$	3,844,061	\$	242,564
50 51		Total Transmission Expenses (Ln 40 + Ln 49)	\$	87,665,647			\$	84,436,512	\$	3,229,135
52			Ψ	0.,000,041			Ψ	5 I, 100,0 IZ	Ψ	0,220,100

	Account		Total	AF					
Line No.	Number	Account Description	Transmission	Label	Classifier		CGS		PT
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)		(f)		(g)
53		CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS EXPENSES							
54	902	Meter Reading Expenses	\$-	CUSTOMERS	DEMAND	\$	_	\$	-
55	904	Uncollectible Accounts	(9,169)		DEMAND	Ψ	-	Ψ	(9,169)
56	910	Miscellaneous Customer Service and Informational Expenses	1,432,016	TPLANT	DEMAND		1,381,274		50,742
57	911	Supervision	102,010	TPLANT	DEMAND		104		4
58	912	Demonstrating and Selling Expenses	2,450	TPLANT	DEMAND		2,363		87
59	913	Advertising Expenses	_,6	TPLANT	DEMAND		_,000		0
60	916	Miscellaneous Sales Expenses	1,350,128	TPLANT	DEMAND		1,302,288		47,840
		Total Customer Accounts Expenses	.,,.				.,		,
61		(Sum Ln 54 through Ln 60)	\$ 2,775,538			\$	2,686,034	\$	89,504
62		(14 14 14 3	÷ _,,	_				Ŧ	
63		ADMINISTRATIVE AND GENERAL EXPENSES							
64	920	Administrative and General Salaries	\$ 867,036	TLABOR	DEMAND	\$	836,313	\$	30,722
65	921	Office Supplies and Expenses	410,515	TLABOR	DEMAND		395,969		14,546
66	922	Administrative Expenses Transferred— Credit	13,688,941	TLABOR	DEMAND		13,203,890		485,051
67	923	Outside Services Employed	909,123	TLABOR	DEMAND		876,909		32,214
68	924	Property Insurance	385,776	TPLANT	DEMAND		372,107		13,670
69	925	Injuries and Damages	375,427	TLABOR	DEMAND		362,124		13,303
70	926	Employee Pensions and Benefits	6,810,197	TLABOR	DEMAND		6,568,886		241,311
71	930.2	Miscellaneous General Expenses	(198,278)	TLABOR	DEMAND		(191,252)		(7,026)
72	931	Rents	217,837	TLABOR	DEMAND		210,118		7,719
70		Total Admin and General Expenses		-					
73		(Sum Ln 64 through Ln 72)	\$ 23,466,573	_		\$	22,635,064	\$	831,509
74									
75		Total O&M Expense (Ln 5 + Ln 27 + Ln 51 + Ln 61 + Ln 73)	\$ 113,913,449	_		\$	109,763,099	\$	4,150,350
76				-					
77		Total O&M Expense Excluding A&G (Ln 75 - Ln 73)	\$ 90,446,876	=		\$	87,128,035	\$	3,318,841

	Account		Total	AF					
Line No.	Number	Account Description	Transmission	Label	Classifier		CGS		PT
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)		(f)		(g)
1	DEPRECIA	ATION EXPENSE, OTHER TAX, OPERATING INCOME							
2	DEFICEO	then exi ende, offici tax, of channed income							
3		DEPRECIATION EXPENSE							
4		Transmission, Storage, and General Plant	\$ 69,959,202	TPLANT	DEMAND	\$	67,480,283	\$	2,478,918
5		Total Depreciation Expense (Ln 4)		-		\$	67,480,283	\$	2,478,918
6		······································	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-			- ,,		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
7		OTHER TAXES							
8		Property-Related Taxes (Ad Valorem)	\$ 15,796,442	TPLANT	DEMAND	\$	15,236,715	\$	559,727
9		Property-Related Taxes (Shared Services)	571,562	TPLANT	DEMAND		551,310		20,253
10		Property-Related Taxes (DOT Pipeline Fee)	1,859,586	TPLANT	DEMAND		1,793,694		65,892
11		Payroll Related Taxes	1,588,436	TPLANT	DEMAND		1,532,152		56,284
12		Total Other Taxes (Sum Ln 8 through Ln 11)	\$ 19,816,027	-		\$	19,113,870	\$	702,157
13				-					
14		Total Operating Expenses Before FIT							
14		(Page 10, Ln 75 + Ln 5 + Ln 12)	\$ 203,688,677	_		\$	196,357,253	\$	7,331,424
15				-					
16		Total Demand O&M	202,255,085		DEMAND		195,097,269		7,157,816
17		Total Variable O&M	1,433,592		USAGE		1,259,984		173,609
18									
19		STATE/FEDERAL INCOME TAX EXPENSE	49,319,701	RATEBASE	DEMAND	\$	47,737,919	\$	1,581,782
20				-					
21		Total Operating Expenses (Page 10, Ln 75 + Ln 5 + Ln 12 + Ln 19)				¢	244,095,172	\$	8,913,206
		(r ugo ro, En ro + En o + En 12 + En 13)	φ 200,000,070	-		φ	244,090,172	φ	0,913,200

	Account		Tota	al	AF			
Line No.	Number	Account Description	Transmi	ission	Label	Classifier	CGS	PT
	(a)	(b)	(c)		(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
1	DEVELO	PMENT OF LABOR ALLOCATOR						
2								
3		UNDERGROUND STORAGE EXPENSES - OPERATION						
4	814	Operation Supervision and Engineering	\$	-	TPLANT	\$	-	\$ -
5	816	Wells Expenses		-	TPLANT		-	-
6	817	Lines Expenses		-	TPLANT		-	-
7	818	Compressor Station Expenses		-	TPLANT		-	-
8	820	Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses		-	TPLANT		-	-
9	821	Purification Expenses		-	TPLANT		-	-
10	824	Other Expenses		-	TPLANT		-	-
11		Total UG Storage Expenses - Operation						
11		(Sum Ln 4 through Ln 10)	\$	-		\$	-	\$ -
12								
13		UNDERGROUND STORAGE EXPENSES - MAINTENANCE						
14	832	Maintenance of Reservoirs and Wells	\$	-	TPLANT	\$	-	\$ -
15	834	Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment		-	TPLANT		-	-
16	835	Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment		-	TPLANT		-	-
17	836	Maintenance of Purification Equipment		-	TPLANT		-	-
18		Total UG Storage Expenses - Maintenance						
10		(Sum Ln 14 through Ln 17)	\$	-		\$	-	\$ -
19								
20		Total UG Storage - O&M Expense (Ln 11 + Ln 18)	\$	-		\$	-	\$ -
21								

l ine No	Account Number	Account Description	Tra	Total ansmission	AF Label	Classifier		CGS		РТ
	(a)	(b)		(C)	(d)	(e)		(f)		(g)
22		TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - OPERATION								
23	850	Operation Supervision and Engineering	\$	294,345	LABTRANO		\$	283,916	\$	10,430
24	851	System Control and Load Dispatching	*	1,701,813	TPLANT		+	1,641,511	Ŧ	60,302
25	852	Communication System Expenses		740,357	TPLANT			714,123		26,234
26	853	Compressor Station Labor and Expenses		521,926	TPLANT			503,432		18,494
27	854	Gas for Compressor Station Fuel		-	TPLANT			-		-
28	855	Other Fuel and Power for Compressor Stations		-	TPLANT			-		-
29	856	Mains Expenses		10,654,130	TPLANT			10,276,614		377,516
30	857	Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses		1,203,583	TPLANT			1,160,936		42,647
31	858	Transmission and Compression of Gas by Others		-	TPLANT			-		-
32	859	Other Expenses		(70)	TPLANT			(67)		(2)
33	860	Rents		-	TPLANT			-		- ``
0.4		Total Transmission Expenses - Operation			-					
34		(Sum Ln 23 through Ln 33)	\$	15,116,084			\$	14,580,464	\$	535,620
35					-					
36		TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - MAINTENANCE								
37	861	Maintenance Supervision and Engineering	\$	-	LABTRANM		\$	-	\$	-
38	863	Maintenance of Mains		528,208	TPLANT			509,492		18,716
39	864	Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment		361,217	TPLANT			348,418		12,799
40	865	Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment		133,148	TPLANT			128,430		4,718
41	866	Maintenance of Communication Equipment		100,695	TPLANT			97,127		3,568
42	867	Maintenance of Other Equipment		252	TPLANT			243		9
43		Total Transmission Expenses - Maintenance			_					
		(Sum Ln 37 through Ln 42)	\$	1,123,521	_		\$	1,083,710	\$	39,811
44 45		Total Transmission Expenses - O&M (Ln 34 + Ln 43)	¢	16,239,605			¢	15,664,174	¢	575,430
45 46			ψ	10,239,005	-		ψ	13,004,174	ψ	575,450
40		Total Functional Labor - O&M (Ln 20 + Ln 45)	\$	16.239.605			\$	15,664,174	\$	575,430
48		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,,	=		<u> </u>	,	Ŧ	,
49		CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS EXPENSES								
50	902	Meter Reading Expenses	\$	-	CUSTOMERS		\$	-	\$	-
51	904	Uncollectible Accounts	Ŧ	-	CUNCOL		Ŷ	-	Ŷ	-
52	910	Miscellaneous Customer Service and Informational Expenses		1,179,855	TPLANT			1,138,048		41,807
53	911	Supervision		-	TPLANT			-		-
54	912	Demonstrating and Selling Expenses		-	TPLANT			-		-
55	916	Miscellaneous Sales Expenses		1,270,153	TPLANT			1,225,147		45,006
		Total Customer Accounts Expenses		, .,	-			, -, -		-,
56		(Sum Ln 50 through Ln 55)	\$	2,450,008	_		\$	2,363,195	\$	86,813
57					-					

57

	Account			Total	AF			
Line No.	Number	Account Description	Tra	nsmission	Label	Classifier	CGS	PT
	(a)	(b)		(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
58		ADMINISTRATIVE AND GENERAL EXPENSES						
59	920	Administrative and General Salaries	\$	899,417	TLABXAG		\$ 867,547	\$ 31,870
60	921	Office Supplies and Expenses		-	TLABXAG		-	-
61	922	Administrative Expenses Transferred— Credit		-	TLABXAG		-	-
62	923	Outside Services Employed		-	TLABXAG		-	-
63	924	Property Insurance		-	TPLANT		-	-
64	925	Injuries and Damages		-	TLABXAG		-	-
65	926	Employee Pensions and Benefits		-	TLABXAG		-	-
66	928	Regulatory Commission Expenses		-	TLABXAG		-	-
67	930.2	Miscellaneous General Expenses		-	TLABXAG		-	-
68	931	Rents		-	TLABXAG		-	-
69	932	Maintenance of General Plant		-	TPLANT		-	-
70		Total Admin and General Expenses						
70		(Sum Ln 59 through Ln 69)	\$	899,417			\$ 867,547	\$ 31,870
71								
72		Total O&M Expense Labor (Sum Ln 47 + Ln 56 + Ln 70)	\$	19,589,029			\$ 18,894,916	\$ 694,113
73								
74		Total O&M Expense Labor Excluding A&G						
74		(Sum Ln 47 + Ln 56)	\$	18,689,612			\$ 18,027,369	\$ 662,243
75								
76		Total O&M Direct Labor (Ln 74 - Ln 4 - Ln 23 - Ln 37)	\$	18,395,267			\$ 17,743,453	\$ 651,814
77								
78		Total Transmission O&M Expense Labor		17.20%			96.46%	3.54%
79		·						
80		Total Transmission O&M Expense Labor Excluding A&G		20.66%			96.46%	3.54%
81								
82		Total Transmission O&M Direct Labor		20.41%			96.46%	3.54%

Account		Total	AF			
Number	Account Description	Transmission	Label	Classifier	CGS	PT
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
RETURN O	N RATE BASE					
т	TOTAL INVESTED CAPITAL	\$ 1,429,292,404			\$1,378,637,084	\$ 50,655,320
		8.87%			8.87%	8.87%
		\$ 126,806,822			\$ 122,312,682	\$ 4,494,140
т	TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSE	203,688,677			196,357,253	7,331,424
11	NCOME TAX	49,319,701			47,737,919	1,581,782
т	TOTAL REVENUE REQUIREMENT	\$ 379,815,200			\$ 366,407,854	\$ 13,407,346
	Number (a) RETURN O	Number Account Description	Number Account Description Transmission (a) (b) (c) RETURN ON RATE BASE \$1,429,292,404 8.87% TOTAL INVESTED CAPITAL \$1,429,292,404 8.87% \$ 126,806,822 203,688,677 INCOME TAX 49,319,701	NumberAccount DescriptionTransmissionLabel(a)(b)(c)(d)RETURN ON RATE BASETOTAL INVESTED CAPITAL\$ 1,429,292,404 8.87% \$ 126,806,822TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSE203,688,677INCOME TAX49,319,701	NumberAccount DescriptionTransmissionLabelClassifier(a)(b)(c)(d)(e)RETURN ON RATE BASETOTAL INVESTED CAPITAL\$1,429,292,404 8.87% \$126,806,822\$8,87% 8.87% \$126,806,822TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSE203,688,677INCOME TAX49,319,70149,319,701	Number Account Description Transmission Label Classifier CGS (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) RETURN ON RATE BASE TOTAL INVESTED CAPITAL \$1,429,292,404 8.87% \$1,378,637,084 8.87% TOTAL INVESTED CAPITAL \$1,429,292,404 8.87% \$122,312,682 \$122,312,682 TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSE 203,688,677 196,357,253 INCOME TAX 49,319,701 47,737,919

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT DEVELOPMENT OF CLASS ALLOCATION FACTORS TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Description	Allocation Factor	Total	City Gate	Transport	City Gate	Transport	Reference
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
1	CONTRACT VOLUMES DELIVERED	CONTRACT	\$ 35,695,440	\$ 34,430,616	\$ 1,264,82	4 96.46%	3.54% Sched	lule I (75% weight for PT)
2	Adjustment	TPLANT	2,155,167,204	2,078,801,505	76,365,69	8 96.46%	3.54% Sched	lule H-2, Page 2, Line 60
3	TRANSMISSION OPERATION & MAINT LABOR	TLABOR	19,589,029	18,894,916	694,1 ⁻	3 96.46%	3.54% Sched	lule H-2, Page 14, Line 72
4	TRANSMISSION DEFERRED INCOME TAX	TDEFTAX	181,423,845	174,995,314	6,428,53	1 96.46%	3.54% Sched	lule H-1.1, Page 3, Line 78
5	TOTAL RATE BASE	RATEBASE	1,665,152,999	1,611,748,194	53,404,80	5 96.79%	3.21% Sched	lule H-4, Page 7, Line 7 + Line 16 - Line 19 - Line 20
6	VOLUMES DELIVERED	THRUPT	226,899,801	199,422,153	27,477,64	8 87.89%	12.11% Sched	lule I, Page 1, Col (g), Line 13 and Line 18
7	TRANSMISSION OPERATION LABOR	LABTRANO	14,821,738	14,296,548	525,19	0 96.46%	3.54% Sched	lule H-2, Page 13, Line 24 through Line 33
8	TRANSMISSION MANTENANCE LABOR	LABTRANM	1,123,521	1,083,710	39,8 ⁻	1 96.46%	3.54% Sched	lule H-2, Page 13, Line 38 through Line 42
9	YEAR END NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS	CUSTOMERS	79	8	7	1 10.13%	89.87% Sched	lule I_Billing Determinants Study - Errata.xlsx
10	UNCOLLECTIBLE ACCOUNTS	CUNCOL				0.00%	100.00% No Un	collectible CGS Accounts
11	TRANSMISSION O&M LABOR EXCL A&G LABOR	TLABXAG	18,689,612	18,027,369	662,24	3 96.46%	3.54% Sched	lule H-2, Page 14, Line 74
12	FIXED STORAGE COSTS	SFIX	43,598,107	43,101,360	496,74	98.86%	1.14% Sched	lule H-3.1, Page 1, Line 23
13	TOTAL STORAGE PLANT	SPLANT	324,318,160	320,622,949	3,695,21	2 98.86%	1.14% Sched	lule H-3, Page 2, Line 60
14	STORAGE OPERATION & MAINT LABOR	SLABOR	3,342,541	3,304,457	38,08	4 98.86%	1.14% Sched	lule H-3, Page 14, Line 73
15	STORAGE DEFERRED INCOME TAX	SDEFTAX	28,041,888	27,722,385	319,50	3 98.86%	1.14% Sched	lule H-1.1, Page 3, Line 83
16	VARIABLE STORAGE COSTS	SVAR	4,484,432	4,293,989	190,44	3 95.75%	4.25% Sched	lule H-3.1, Page 2, Line 13
17	STORAGE O&M LABOR EXCL A&G LABOR	SLABXAG	3,167,132	3,131,046	36,08	6 98.86%	1.14% Sched	lule H-3, Page 14, Line 75
18	ALL TO CGS	CGS				100.00%	0.00%	

	Account		Total	AF	.		
Line No.		Account Description	Storage	Label	Classifier	CGS	<u>PT</u>
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
1	INVESTE	D CAPITAL - GROSS PLANT					
2	Adjustme						
3	Aujustine	STORAGE PLANT					
4	350.00	Land and Land Rights \$	_	SFIX	\$	_	\$-
4 5	350.00	Land	5,515,389	SFIX	Ψ	5,452,548	¢ 62,841
6	350.10	Rights-of-Way	32,592	SFIX		32,220	371
7	351.00	Structures and Improvements	24,635,344	SFIX		24,354,654	280,690
8	352.00	Wells	78,403,027	SFIX		77,509,720	893,307
9	353.00	Lines	13,256,043	SFIX		13,105,006	151,037
10	354.00	Compressor Station Equipment	88,256,841	SFIX		87,251,262	1,005,580
10	355.00	M&R Equipment	50,663,680	SFIX		50,086,429	577,251
12	356.00	Purification Equipment	49,947,598	SFIX		49,378,506	569,092
12	357.00	Other Equipment	621,183	SFIX		614,105	7,078
13	357.00	Subtotal (Sum Ln 4 through Ln 13)		SFIX	<u> </u>	307,784,450	\$ 3,547,247
14		Subtotal (Suill Ell 4 tillough Ell 13) <u>s</u>	311,331,097		_φ	307,784,450	φ 3,347,247
15		TRANSMISSION PLANT					
10	365.00	Land \$		SFIX	\$		\$-
18	365.00	ROW - Trans Comp Stat	-	SFIX	φ	-	φ -
10	365.10	ROW - City Gate	-	SFIX		-	-
20	365.20	Structures and Improvements	-	SFIX		-	-
20	367.00	Mains - Cathodic Protection	-	SFIX		-	-
21	367.00	Mains - Califolic Protection Mains - Steel	-	SFIX		-	-
22			-			-	-
	367.02	Mains - Plastic	-	SFIX		-	-
24	368.00	Compressor Station Equipment	-	SFIX		-	-
25	369.00	M&R Station Equipment	-	SFIX		-	-
26 27	370.00	Communication Equipment	-	SFIX		-	-
	371.00	Other Equipment	-	SFIX		-	- •
28		Subtotal (Sum Ln 17 through Ln 27) <u></u> \$	-		\$	-	\$-
29		Total Eurotianal Blant in Service (In 14 - In 20)	244 224 607		¢	207 704 450	¢ 0 5 4 7 0 4 7
30		Total Functional Plant in Service (Ln 14 + Ln 28) \$	311,331,697		\$	307,784,450	\$ 3,547,247
31							
32		INTANGIBLE PLANT		050/		0 40 45 ·	• • • • • •
33	303.00	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant	852,740	SFIX			
34		Subtotal (Ln 33) _\$	852,740		\$	843,024	\$ 9,716
35							

	Account		Total	AF				
Line No.	Number	Account Description	Storage	Label	Classifier	CGS	I	PT
	(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)	(f)	((g)
36		GENERAL PLANT						
37	389.00	Land and Land Rights	5 17,084	4 SFIX	\$	16,890	\$	195
38	390.00	Structures and Improvements	859,136	6 SFIX		849,347		9,789
39	391.00	Office Furniture and Equipment	666,896	6 SFIX		659,297		7,598
40	392.00	Transportation Equipment	213,648	B SFIX		211,214		2,434
41	393.00	Stores Equipment	-	SFIX		-		-
42	394.00	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment	1,345,942	2 SFIX		1,330,607		15,335
43	395.00	Laboratory Equipment	23,600) SFIX		23,331		269
44	396.00	Power Operated Equipment	417,513	B SFIX		412,756		4,757
45	397.00	Communication Equipment	100,620) SFIX		99,474		1,146
46	397.02	Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios	11,006	6 SFIX		10,880		125
47	397.05	Communication Equipment - Telemetering	15,676	6 SFIX		15,498		179
48	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment	1,120,026	6 SFIX		1,107,265		12,761
49	399.00	Other Tangible Property	9,729	9 SFIX		9,618		111
50	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware	83,718	B SFIX		82,764		954
51	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software	192,558	B SFIX		190,364		2,194
52	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware	9,760) SFIX		9,648		111
53	399.06	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	108,489	9 SFIX		107,253		1,236
54	399.07	Other Tangible Property - PC Software	108,669	9 SFIX		107,431		1,238
55		Shared Services General Office	3,755,223	3 SFIX		3,712,437		42,786
56		Shared Services Greenville Data Center	881,651	I SFIX		871,606		10,045
57		Shared Services Aligne Pipe Projects	2,192,779	9 SFIX		2,167,795		24,984
58		Subtotal (Sum Ln 37 through Ln 57)	12,133,72 4	1	\$	11,995,475	\$ 1	138,249
59		· · · · · ·						
60		Total APT Gross Plant (Ln 30 + Ln 34 + Ln 58) _	324,318,160)	\$	320,622,949	\$ 3,6	695,212

l ine Ne	Account		Total	AF	Cleasifier	000	DT
Line No.		Account Description	Storage	Label	Classifier	CGS	PT
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
1	INVESTE	D CAPITAL - ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION					
2	<u></u>						
3		STORAGE PLANT					
4	350.00	Land and Land Rights \$	-	SFIX	\$	-	\$ -
5	350.10	Land	-	SFIX		-	-
6	350.20	Rights-of-Way	14,767	SFIX		14,599	168
7	351.00	Structures and Improvements	5,855,602	SFIX		5,788,885	66,717
8	352.00	Wells	14,298,665	SFIX		14,135,749	162,916
9	353.00	Lines	3,699,870	SFIX		3,657,715	42,156
10	354.00	Compressor Station Equipment	18,665,963	SFIX		18,453,287	212,676
11	355.00	M&R Equipment	7,776,600	SFIX		7,687,995	88,605
12	356.00	Purification Equipment	7,059,844	SFIX		6,979,406	80,438
13	357.00	Other Equipment	206,980	SFIX		204,622	2,358
14		Subtotal (Sum Ln 4 through Ln 13)	57,578,292		\$	56,922,257	\$ 656,035
15							
16		TRANSMISSION PLANT					
17	365.00	Land \$	-	SFIX	\$	-	\$ -
18	365.10	ROW - Trans Comp Stat	-	SFIX		-	-
19	365.20	ROW - City Gate	-	SFIX		-	-
20	366.00	Structures and Improvements	-	SFIX		-	-
21	367.00	Mains - Cathodic Protection	-	SFIX		-	-
22	367.01	Mains - Steel	-	SFIX		-	-
23	367.02	Mains - Plastic	-	SFIX		-	-
24	368.00	Compressor Station Equipment	-	SFIX		-	-
25	369.00	M&R Station Equipment	-	SFIX		-	-
26	370.00	Communication Equipment	-	SFIX		-	-
27	371.00	Other Equipment	-	SFIX		-	-
28		Subtotal (Sum Ln 17 through Ln 27) _\$	-		\$	-	\$ -
29							
30		Total Functional Plant in Service (Ln 14 + Ln 28)	57,578,292		\$	56,922,257	\$ 656,035
31							
32		INTANGIBLE PLANT					
33	303.00	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant \$	852,740	SFIX	\$	843,024	\$ 9,716
34		Subtotal (Ln 33) _\$	852,740		\$	843,024	\$ 9,716
05							

35

GUD No. 10580 Proposal for Decision Date Issued: June 26, 2017

	Account		Total	AF			
Line No.	Number	Account Description	Storage	Label	Classifier	CGS	PT
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
36		GENERAL PLANT					
37	389.00	Land and Land Rights \$	-	SFIX	\$	-	\$ -
38	390.00	Structures and Improvements	182,976	SFIX		180,891	2,085
39	391.00	Office Furniture and Equipment	372,437	SFIX		368,193	4,243
40	392.00	Transportation Equipment	79,066	SFIX		78,165	901
41	393.00	Stores Equipment	-	SFIX		-	-
42	394.00	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment	296,205	SFIX		292,830	3,375
43	395.00	Laboratory Equipment	5,520	SFIX		5,457	63
44	396.00	Power Operated Equipment	95,852	SFIX		94,760	1,092
45	397.00	Communication Equipment	42,749	SFIX		42,262	487
46	397.02	Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios	1,274	SFIX		1,260	15
47	397.05	Communication Equipment - Telemetering	6,906	SFIX		6,827	79
48	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment	486,134	SFIX		480,596	5,539
49	399.00	Other Tangible Property	2,937	SFIX		2,904	33
50	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware	16,570	SFIX		16,381	189
51	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software	92,290	SFIX		91,238	1,052
52	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware	1,760	SFIX		1,740	20
53	399.06	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	41,168	SFIX		40,699	469
54	399.07	Other Tangible Property - PC Software	68,817	SFIX		68,033	784
55		Shared Services General Office	2,242,130	SFIX		2,216,584	25,546
56		Shared Services Greenville Data Center	269,137	SFIX		266,070	3,066
57		Shared Services Aligne Pipe Projects	116,296	SFIX		114,971	1,325
58		Subtotal (Sum Ln 37 through Ln 57) \$	4,420,224		\$	4,369,861	\$ 50,363
59							
60		RWIP	(367,637)	SPLANT		(363,449)	(4,189)
61							
62		Total APT Accumulated Depreciation					
02		(Ln 30 + Ln 34 + Ln 58 + Ln 60) <u></u>	62,483,618		\$	61,771,693	\$ 711,925

(a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) 1 INVESTED CAPITAL - NET PLANT 2 3 STORAGE PLANT 3 STORAGE PLANT 4 350.10 Land and Land Rights \$ - SFIX \$ - \$ - \$ 5 350.10 Land 5,515,389 SFIX 5,452,548 62,84		Account		Total	AF	<i></i>			
Invested CAPITAL - NET PLANT STORAGE PLANT 350.10 Land and Land Rights \$	Line No.		Account Description	Storage	Label	Classifier	CGS		PT
2 STORAGE PLANT 4 350.10 Land and Land Rights \$ SFIX \$ - 1 \$ - \$ - - -		(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)	(†)		(g)
2 STORAGE PLANT 4 350.10 Land and Land Rights \$ SFIX \$ - 1 \$ - \$ - - -	1								
3 STORAGE PLANT 4 350.10 Land and Land Rights \$ SFIX \$ - \$ 5 350.10 Land 5,515,389 SFIX 5,452,548 62,84 6 350.20 Rights-of-Way 17,824 SFIX 17,621 20 7 351.00 Structures and Improvements 18,779,742 SFIX 63,373,971 70,393 9 353.00 Lines 9,556,173 SFIX 9,48,757 79,292 108,88 10 355.00 Purification Equipment 69,590,878 SFIX 42,399,403 488,64 13 350.00 Purification Equipment 42,887,764 SFIX 42,399,403 488,64 14 Stototal (Sum Ln 4 through Ln 13) \$ 253,753,405 \$ - - 16 TRANSMISSION PLANT - SFIX 42,389,100 488,64 - </td <td></td> <td>INVESTE</td> <td>D CAPITAL - NET PLANT</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		INVESTE	D CAPITAL - NET PLANT						
4 350.10 Land and Land Rights \$ - SFIX \$ - SFIX 5 - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S -			STOPACE DI ANT						
5 350.10 Land 5,515,389 SFIX 5,452,548 62,84 6 350.20 Rights-of-Way 17,824 SFIX 17,621 17,621 7 351.00 Structures and Improvements 18,779,742 SFIX 63,373,971 17,021 213,97 8 352.00 Wells 64,104,362 SFIX 63,373,971 70,393 9 353.00 Lines 9,561,73 SFIX 68,797,975 792,90 11 355.00 MRR Equipment 42,887,764 SFIX 42,398,433 488,66 12 356.00 Other Equipment 42,887,754,455 SFIX 42,399,100 488,65 13 357.00 Other Equipment 42,887,754,455 SFIX 42,399,100 488,65 13 357.00 Other Equipment 414,203 SFIX 409,484 4,71 14 Subtotal (Sum Ln 4 through Ln 13) \$ 253,753,405 \$ 2,891,21 - - - 16 TRANSMISSION PLANT \$ 250,862,193 \$ 2,891,21 - - - - -		350 10			SEIX	¢	_	\$	_
6 350.20 Rights-of-Way 17,824 SFIX 17,621 20,20 7 351.00 Structures and Improvements 18,779,742 SFIX 18,565,770 213,97 8 352.00 Wells 64,104,362 SFIX 63,373,971 730,33 9 353.00 Lines 9,556,173 SFIX 9,447,292 108,88 10 355.00 M&R Equipment 42,887,080 SFIX 42,399,100 488,64 13 350.00 M&R Equipment 42,887,754 SFIX 42,399,100 488,64 13 550.00 Purification Equipment 42,887,754 SFIX 42,399,100 488,64 14 Subtotal (Sum Ln 4 through Ln 13) \$ 250,862,193 \$ 2,891,21 1 1 1 409,484 4,71 16 TRANSMISSION PLANT \$ SFIX \$ \$ \$. \$ 250,862,193 \$ 2,891,21 16 Trans Comp Stat - SFIX \$ - 				5 515 290		ų		Ψ	62 9/1
7 351.00 Structures and Improvements 18,779,742 SFIX 18,565,770 213,97 8 352.00 Wells 64,104,362 SFIX 63,373,971 730,33 9 353.00 Lines 9,556,173 SFIX 9,447,292 108,868,797,975 792,900 11 355.00 M&R Equipment 42,887,080 SFIX 42,398,403 488,64 12 356.00 Purification Equipment 42,887,74 SFIX 42,398,403 488,64 13 357.00 Other Equipment 42,887,74 SFIX 42,399,100 488,66 13 357.00 Other Equipment \$ 253,753,405 \$ 250,862,193 \$ 2,891,21 16 TRANSMISSION PLANT \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>203</td>									203
8 352.00 Wells 64,104,362 SFIX 63,373,971 730,39 9 333.00 Lines 9,556,173 SFIX 9,447,292 108,88 10 355.00 M&R Equipment 42,887,080 SFIX 42,398,433 488,64 12 366.00 Purification Equipment 42,887,080 SFIX 42,399,100 488,65 13 357.00 Other Equipment 42,887,754 SFIX 42,399,100 488,64 14 Subtotal (Sum Ln 4 through Ln 13) \$ 253,753,405 \$ 2,891,21 \$ 2,891,21 16 TRANSMISSION PLANT \$ 250,862,193 \$ 2,891,21 \$ - \$ - 17 365.00 Land \$ - SFIX \$ - \$ - 18 365.10 ROW - Trans Comp Stat - SFIX - - 19 365.00 Row - City Gate - SFIX - - 21 367.00 Mains - Cathodic Protection - SFIX - - 23 367.01 Mains - Cathodic Protection - SFIX -			s ,	,			,		
9 353.00 Lines 9,556,173 SF1X 9,447,292 108,88 10 354.00 Compressor Station Equipment 69,590,878 SF1X 68,797,975 792,200 11 355.00 M&R Equipment 42,387,754 SF1X 42,387,433 488,64 12 356.00 Purification Equipment 42,387,754 SF1X 42,389,100 488,65 13 357.00 Other Equipment 42,887,754 SF1X 42,386,43 4,88,65 14 Subtotal (Sum Ln 4 through Ln 13) \$253,753,405 \$250,862,193 \$2,891,21 16 TRANSMISSION PLANT \$10,888 \$12,891,21 \$12,891,21 \$12,891,21 16 TRANSMISSION PLANT \$250,862,193 \$2,891,21 \$1,891,21 \$1,891,21 17 365.00 ROW - Trans Comp Stat \$51X \$1,891,21 \$1,891,21 \$1,891,21 18 365.10 ROW - Trans Comp Stat \$51X \$1,891,21 \$1,891,21 \$1,891,21 19 365.20 ROW - City Gate \$51X \$1,891,21 \$1,891,21 \$1,891,21 20			•	, ,			, ,		'
10 354.00 Compressor Station Equipment 69,590,878 SF1X 68,797,975 792,90 11 355.00 M&R Equipment 42,887,080 SF1X 42,398,433 488,66 12 356.00 Purification Equipment 42,887,754 SF1X 42,399,100 488,65 13 357.00 Other Equipment 414,203 SF1X 42,399,100 488,65 14 Subtotal (Sum Ln 4 through Ln 13) \$ 253,753,405 \$ 40,944 4,71 16 TRANSMISSION PLANT \$ - SF1X \$ - \$ 17 365.00 Land \$ - SF1X \$ - \$ 18 365.10 ROW - Trans Comp Stat - SF1X -	-								
11 355.00 M&R Equipment 42,887,080 SFIX 42,398,433 488,64 12 356.00 Purification Equipment 42,887,080 SFIX 42,398,433 488,64 13 357.00 Other Equipment 42,887,754 SFIX 42,398,100 488,65 14 Subtotal (Sum Ln 4 through Ln 13) \$ 253,753,405 \$ \$ 250,862,193 \$ 2,891,21 16 TRANSMISSION PLANT \$ 250,862,193 \$ 2,891,21 \$ \$ 2,891,21 16 TRANSMISSION PLANT \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - 17 365.00 Land \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ - 18 365.10 ROW - City Gate - \$ FIX - - 20 366.00 Structures and Improvements - \$ \$ FIX - - 21 367.00 Mains - Cathodic Protection - \$ \$ \$ FIX - - 22 367.01 Mains - Steel - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$, ,			, ,		'
12 356.00 Purification Equipment 42,887,754 SF1X 42,399,100 488,65 13 357.00 Other Equipment 414,203 SF1X 409,484 4,71 14 Subtotal (Sum Ln 4 through Ln 13) \$ 253,753,405 \$ 250,862,193 \$ 2,891,21 16 TRANSMISSION PLANT \$ - \$, ,		'
13 357.00 Other Equipment 414,203 SFIX 409,484 4,71 14 Subtotal (Sum Ln 4 through Ln 13) \$ 253,753,405 \$ 250,862,193 \$ 25,891,21 16 TRANSMISSION PLANT \$ 250,862,193 \$ 250,862,193 \$ 250,862,193 \$ 250,812,193 17 365.00 Land \$ - SFIX \$ - \$ - 18 365.10 ROW - Trans Comp Stat - SFIX \$ - \$ - 19 365.20 ROW - City Gate - SFIX - - 20 366.00 Structures and Improvements - SFIX - - 21 367.00 Mains - Cathodic Protection - SFIX - - 22 367.01 Mains - Plastic - SFIX - - - 23 367.02 Mains - Plastic - SFIX - - - 24 368.00 Compressor Station Equipment - SFIX - - - 25 369.00 M&R Station Equipment - SFIX </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>, ,</td> <td></td> <td>,</td>							, ,		,
14 Subtotal (Sum Ln 4 through Ln 13) \$ 253,753,405 16 TRANSMISSION PLANT 17 365.00 Land \$ - \$ SFIX \$ -							, ,		
15 TRANSMISSION PLANT 17 365.00 Land \$ - SFIX \$ - \$ - 18 365.10 ROW - Trans Comp Stat - SFIX - - 19 365.20 ROW - City Gate - SFIX - - 20 366.00 Structures and Improvements - SFIX - - 20 367.00 Mains - Cathodic Protection - SFIX - - 21 367.02 Mains - Steel - SFIX - - 23 367.02 Mains - Plastic - SFIX - - 23 367.02 Mains - Plastic - SFIX - - 24 368.00 Compressor Station Equipment - SFIX - - 25 369.00 M&R Station Equipment - SFIX - - 26 370.00 Communication Equipment - SFIX - - 28 Subtotal (Sum Ln 17 through Ln 27) \$ - - - -		357.00			SFIX			<u> </u>	
16 TRANSMISSION PLANT 17 365.00 Land \$ - \$FIX \$ - \$ - 18 365.00 ROW - Trans Comp Stat - \$FIX - \$ - 19 365.20 ROW - City Gate - \$FIX - - - 20 366.00 Structures and Improvements - \$FIX - - - 21 367.00 Mains - Cathodic Protection - \$FIX - - - 22 367.01 Mains - Steel - \$FIX - - - 23 367.02 Mains - Plastic - \$FIX - - - 24 368.00 Compressor Station Equipment - \$FIX - - - 25 369.00 M&R Station Equipment - \$FIX - - - 26 370.00 Communication Equipment - \$FIX - - - 29 \$ \$			Subtotal (Sum Ln 4 through Ln 13) _\$_	253,753,405		\$	250,862,193	\$ 2	2,891,212
17 365.00 Land \$ - SFIX \$ - \$ - \$ - 18 365.10 ROW - Trans Comp Stat - SFIX - - - 19 365.20 ROW - City Gate - SFIX - - - 20 366.00 Structures and Improvements - SFIX - - - 21 367.00 Mains - Cathodic Protection - SFIX - - - 22 367.01 Mains - Steel - SFIX - - - 23 367.02 Mains - Plastic - SFIX - - - 23 367.02 Mains - Plastic - SFIX - - - 24 368.00 Compressor Station Equipment - SFIX - - - 25 369.00 M&R Station Equipment - SFIX - - - 26 371.00 Other Equipment - SFIX - - - 29									
18 365.10 ROW - Trans Comp Stat - SF1X - - 19 365.20 ROW - City Gate - SF1X - - 20 366.00 Structures and Improvements - SF1X - - 20 366.00 Mains - Cathodic Protection - SF1X - - 21 367.00 Mains - Steel - SF1X - - - 23 367.02 Mains - Plastic - SF1X - - - 23 367.02 Mains - Plastic - SF1X - - - 24 368.00 Compressor Station Equipment - SF1X - - - 25 369.00 M&R Station Equipment - SF1X - - - 26 370.00 Communication Equipment - SF1X - - - 28 Subtotal (Sum Ln 17 through Ln 27) \$ - \$ - - - 29 Total Functional Plant in Service (Ln 14 + Ln 28) \$	-								
19 365.20 ROW - City Gate - SFIX - - 20 366.00 Structures and Improvements - SFIX - - 21 367.00 Mains - Cathodic Protection - SFIX - - 22 367.01 Mains - Steel - SFIX - - 23 367.02 Mains - Plastic - SFIX - - 24 368.00 Compressor Station Equipment - SFIX - - 24 368.00 M&R Station Equipment - SFIX - - 25 369.00 M&R Station Equipment - SFIX - - 26 370.00 Communication Equipment - SFIX - - 28 Subtotal (Sum Ln 17 through Ln 27) \$ - - - - 29 Total Functional Plant in Service (Ln 14 + Ln 28) \$ 253,753,405 \$ 250,862,193 \$ 2,891,21 30 303.00 Miscellaneous Intangible Plant \$ - <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>\$</td> <td>-</td> <td>\$</td> <td>-</td>				-		\$	-	\$	-
20 366.00 Structures and Improvements - SFIX - - 21 367.00 Mains - Cathodic Protection - SFIX - - 22 367.01 Mains - Steel - SFIX - - 23 367.02 Mains - Plastic - SFIX - - 23 367.02 Mains - Plastic - SFIX - - 24 368.00 Compressor Station Equipment - SFIX - - 25 369.00 M&R Station Equipment - SFIX - - 26 370.00 Communication Equipment - SFIX - - 28 Subtotal (Sum Ln 17 through Ln 27) \$ - - - 29 Total Functional Plant in Service (Ln 14 + Ln 28) \$ 253,753,405 \$ 250,862,193 \$ 2,891,21 30 Miscellaneous Intangible Plant \$ - SFIX \$ - \$ -	-			-			-		-
21 367.00 Mains - Cathodic Protection - SFIX -			,	-			-		-
22 367.01 Mains - Steel - SFIX - <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td>			1	-			-		-
23 367.02 Mains - Plastic - SFIX - </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td>				-			-		-
24 368.00 Compressor Station Equipment - SFIX -	22	367.01	Mains - Steel	-			-		-
25 369.00 M&R Station Equipment - SFIX - <	23			-			-		-
26 370.00 Communication Equipment - SFIX -	24	368.00	Compressor Station Equipment	-	SFIX		-		-
27 371.00 Other Equipment - SFIX - </td <td>25</td> <td>369.00</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>SFIX</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td>	25	369.00		-	SFIX		-		-
28 Subtotal (Sum Ln 17 through Ln 27) - \$ -	26	370.00	Communication Equipment	-	SFIX		-		-
29 30 Total Functional Plant in Service (Ln 14 + Ln 28) \$ 253,753,405 \$ 250,862,193 \$ 2,891,21 31 31 32 INTANGIBLE PLANT 33 303.00 Miscellaneous Intangible Plant \$ - \$ SFIX \$ - \$ -	27	371.00		-	SFIX		-		-
30 Total Functional Plant in Service (Ln 14 + Ln 28) \$ 253,753,405 \$ 250,862,193 \$ 2,891,21 31 32 INTANGIBLE PLANT \$ -	28		Subtotal (Sum Ln 17 through Ln 27) \$	-		\$	-	\$	-
31 32 INTANGIBLE PLANT 33 303.00 Miscellaneous Intangible Plant \$ - SFIX \$ - \$ -	29								
32 INTANGIBLE PLANT 33 303.00 Miscellaneous Intangible Plant \$ - SFIX	30		Total Functional Plant in Service (Ln 14 + Ln 28) \$	253,753,405		\$	250,862,193	\$ 2	2,891,212
33 303.00 Miscellaneous Intangible Plant \$ - SFIX \$ - \$ -	31								
33 303.00 Miscellaneous Intangible Plant \$ - SFIX \$ - \$ -			INTANGIBLE PLANT						
		303.00	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant \$	-	SFIX	\$	-	\$	-
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			8	-	-				-
35						<u>_</u> +		Ŧ	

	Account		Total	AF				
Line No.	Number	Account Description	Storage	Label	Classifier	CGS		PT
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)		(g)
36		GENERAL PLANT						
37	389.00	Land and Land Rights	5 17,084	SFIX	\$	16,890	\$	195
38	390.00	Structures and Improvements	676,160	SFIX		668,456	•	7,704
39	391.00	Office Furniture and Equipment	294,459	SFIX		291,104		3,355
40	392.00	Transportation Equipment	134,582	SFIX		133,048		1,533
41	393.00	Stores Equipment	-	SFIX		-		-
42	394.00	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment	1,049,737	SFIX		1,037,777		11,960
43	395.00	Laboratory Equipment	18,079	SFIX		17,873		206
44	396.00	Power Operated Equipment	321,661	SFIX		317,996		3,665
45	397.00	Communication Equipment	57,871	SFIX		57,212		659
46	397.02	Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios	9,731	SFIX		9,620		111
47	397.05	Communication Equipment - Telemetering	8,770	SFIX		8,670		100
48	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment	633,892	SFIX		626,669		7,222
49	399.00	Other Tangible Property	6,792	SFIX		6,714		77
50	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware	67,148	SFIX		66,383		765
51	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software	100,268	SFIX		99,125		1,142
52	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware	8,000	SFIX		7,909		91
53	399.06	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	67,321	SFIX		66,554		767
54	399.07	Other Tangible Property - PC Software	39,852	SFIX		39,398		454
55		Shared Services General Office	1,513,093	SFIX		1,495,853		17,240
56		Shared Services Greenville Data Center	612,515	SFIX		605,536		6,979
57		Shared Services Aligne Pipe Projects	2,076,484	SFIX		2,052,825		23,659
58		Subtotal (Sum Ln 37 through Ln 57)	5 7,713,500		\$	7,625,614	\$	87,886
59								
60		RWIP	367,637	SPLANT		363,449		4,189
61 62		Total APT Net Plant (Ln 30 + Ln 34 + Ln 58 + Ln 60)	6 261,834,542		\$	258,851,256	\$	2,983,287

	Account		Total	AF			
Line No.	Number	Account Description	Storage	Label	Classifier	CGS	PT
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
1		CAPITAL SUMMARY					
2	INVEOTED O						
3	NE	ET PLANT					
4		ross Plant \$	324,318,160			\$ 320,622,949	\$ 3,695,212
5	Ac	ccumulated Depreciation	62,483,618			61,771,693	711,925
6		on-Current Gas in Storage	16,928,914			16,736,029	192,884
7		Total Net Plant (Ln 4 - Ln 5 + Ln 6) \$				\$ 275,587,285	\$ 3,176,171
8		· · · · ·		_	_		
9	IN	IVESTMENT ADDITIONS					
10	Ca	ash Working Capital \$	(1,106,311) SPLANT		\$ (1,093,706)	\$ (12,605)
11	Ma	laterials and Supplies	513,655	SPLANT		507,803	5,852
12	Lir	ne Pack	-	TPLANT		-	-
13	W	/orking Gas Stored Underground	-	SPLANT		-	-
14	Pr	repayments	909,867	SPLANT		899,501	10,367
15	Pe	ension and Other Post Employment Benefits Regulatory Asset	1,071,827	SPLANT	_	1,059,614	12,212
16		Total Investment Additions (Sum Ln 10 through Ln 15) _\$	1,389,038	_		\$ 1,373,212	\$ 15,826
17							
18		IVESTMENT DEDUCTIONS					
19		juries and Damages Reserve \$	- /			\$ 19,592	\$ 226
20	Ac	ccumulated Deferred Income Taxes	47,277,161	SDEFTAX		46,738,495	538,666
21	Ra	ate Base Adjustments	586,066		_	567,270	18,796
22		Total Investment Deductions (Sum Ln 19 through Ln 21) <u></u>	47,883,045	_		\$ 47,325,357	\$ 557,688
23							
24		Total Invested Capital (Ln 7 + Ln 16 - Ln 22)	232,269,449	=	=	\$ 229,635,140	\$ 2,634,309

	Account		Total	AF			
Line No.	Number	Account Description	Storage	Label	Classifier	CGS	PT
	(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
1	OPERATI	ING EXPENSES					
2							
3		OTHER GAS SUPPLY EXPENSES					
4	813	Other Gas Supply Expenses \$	901	SFIX	DEMAND	891	\$ 10
5		Total Other Gas Supply Expenses (Ln 4)	901	_		\$ 891	\$ 10
6				_			
7		UNDERGROUND STORAGE EXPENSES - OPERATION					
8	814	Operation Supervision and Engineering \$	1,169,571	SLABOR	DEMAND	\$ 1,156,246	\$ 13,326
9	816	Wells Expenses	1,065,312	SFIX	DEMAND	1,053,174	12,138
10	817	Lines Expenses	13,755	SFIX	DEMAND	13,598	157
11	818	Compressor Station Expenses - FIXED	1,210,712	SFIX	DEMAND	1,196,918	13,795
12	818	Compressor Station Expenses - VARIABLE	1,127,977	SVAR	USAGE	1,080,074	47,902
13	820	Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses	52,901	SFIX	DEMAND	52,298	603
14	821	Purification Expenses	34,791	SFIX	DEMAND	34,394	396
15	824	Other Expenses	730	SFIX	DEMAND	722	8
16		Total UG Storage Expenses - Operation		_			
10		(Sum Ln 8 through Ln 15) \$	4,675,749			\$ 4,587,424	\$ 88,325
17				-			
18		UNDERGROUND STORAGE EXPENSES - MAINTENANCE					
19	831	Maintenance of Structures and Improvements \$	1,931	SFIX	DEMAND	\$ 1,909	\$ 22
20	832	Maintenance of Reservoirs and Wells	-	SFIX	DEMAND	-	-
21	834	Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - FIXED	800,439	SFIX	DEMAND	791,319	9,120
22	834	Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - VARIABLE	3,356,455	SVAR	USAGE	3,213,915	142,540
23	835	Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment	17,671	SFIX	DEMAND	17,470	201
24	836	Maintenance of Purification Equipment	81,276	SFIX	DEMAND	80,349	926
05		Total UG Storage Expenses - Maintenance		-			
25		(Sum Ln 19 through Ln 24) <u></u> \$	4,257,772	_		\$ 4,104,963	\$ 152,810
26							
27		Total Storage Expenses (Ln 16 + Ln 25) 💲	8,933,522	-		\$ 8,692,387	\$ 241,135
28							

Account		Total	AF						
Number	Account Description	Storage	Label	Classifier		CGS		PT	
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)		(f)		(g)	
	TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - OPERATION								
850	Operation Supervision and Engineering \$	-	SFIX	DEMAND	\$	-	\$	-	
851	System Control and Load Dispatching	-	SFIX	DEMAND		-		-	
852	Communication System Expenses	-	SFIX	DEMAND		-		-	
853	Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - FIXED	-	SFIX	DEMAND		-		-	
853	Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - VARIABLE	-	SVAR	USAGE		-		-	
856	Mains Expenses	-	SFIX	DEMAND		-		-	
857	Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses	-	SFIX	DEMAND		-		-	
858	Transmission and Compression of Gas by Others	-	SFIX	USAGE		-		-	
859	Other Expenses	-	SFIX	DEMAND		-		-	
860	Rents	-	SFIX	DEMAND		-		-	
	Total Transmission Expenses - Operation								
	(Sum Ln 30 through Ln 39) 💲	-			\$	-	\$	-	
	TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - MAINTENANCE								
863	Maintenance of Mains	-	SFIX	DEMAND		-		-	
864	Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - FIXED	-	SFIX	DEMAND		-		-	
864	Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - VARIABLE	-	SVAR	USAGE		-		-	
865	Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment	-	SFIX	DEMAND		-		-	
866	Maintenance of Communication Equipment	-	SFIX	DEMAND		-		-	
867	Maintenance of Other Equipment	-	SFIX	DEMAND		-		-	
	Total Transmission Expenses - Maintenance								
	(Sum Ln 43 through Ln 48) _\$_	-			\$	-	\$	-	
	Total Transmission Expanses (1 = 40 · 1 = 40)				¢		¢		
	10 tai 1 ransmission Expenses (Lh 40 + Lh 49) $\frac{5}{5}$	-	,		φ	-	φ	-	
	Number (a) 850 851 852 853 853 853 853 856 857 858 859 860 863 864 864 864 865 866	Number Account Description (a) (b) TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - OPERATION 850 Operation Supervision and Engineering 851 System Control and Load Dispatching 852 Communication System Expenses 853 Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - FIXED 853 Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - VARIABLE 856 Mains Expenses 857 Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses 858 Transmission and Compression of Gas by Others 859 Other Expenses 860 Rents Total Transmission Expenses - Operation (Sum Ln 30 through Ln 39) \$ TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - MAINTENANCE 863 Maintenance of Mains 864 Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - FIXED 865 Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - VARIABLE 865 Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment 866 Maintenance of Communication Equipment 867 Maintenance of Other Equipment 868 Maintenance of Other Equipment 867 Maintenance	NumberAccount DescriptionStorage(a)(b)(c)TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - OPERATION850Operation Supervision and Engineering\$ -851System Control and Load Dispatching-852Communication System Expenses-853Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - FIXED-853Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - VARIABLE-856Mains Expenses-857Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses-858Transmission and Compression of Gas by Others-859Other Expenses-860Rents-Total Transmission Expenses - Operation (Sum Ln 30 through Ln 39)863Maintenance of Mains-864Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - FIXED-865Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment - VARIABLE-863Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - VARIABLE-864Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment-865Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - VARIABLE-866Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment-867Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment-868Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment-869Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment-861Maintenance of Communication Equipment-862Maintenance of Other Equipment-863Main	NumberAccount DescriptionStorageLabel(a)(b)(c)(d)TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - OPERATION850Operation Supervision and Engineering\$.851System Control and Load Dispatching852Communication System Expenses853Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - FIXED854Mains Expenses855Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - VARIABLE856Mains Expenses857Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses858Transmission and Compression of Gas by Others859Other Expenses860RentsTotal Transmission Expenses - Operation (Sum Ln 30 through Ln 39).863Maintenance of MainsTRANSMISSION EXPENSES - MAINTENANCE863Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - FIXED864Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - VARIABLE865Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - VARIABLE866Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment867Maintenance of Communication Equipment868Maintenance of Communication Equipm	Number Account Description Storage Label Classifier (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - OPERATION 850 Operation Supervision and Engineering \$ - SFIX DEMAND 851 System Control and Load Dispatching - SFIX DEMAND 852 Communication System Expenses - SFIX DEMAND 853 Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - FIXED - SFIX DEMAND 853 Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - VARIABLE - SVAR USAGE 856 Mains Expenses - SFIX DEMAND 857 Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses - SFIX DEMAND 858 Transmission and Compression of Gas by Others - SFIX DEMAND 860 Rents - SFIX DEMAND Tetal Transmission Expenses - Operation (Sum Ln 30 through Ln 39) \$ - SFIX DEMAND <td col<="" td=""><td>Number Account Description Storage Label Classifier (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - OPERATION 850 Operation Supervision and Engineering \$ - SFIX DEMAND \$ 851 System Control and Load Dispatching - SFIX DEMAND \$ 852 Communication System Expenses - SFIX DEMAND \$ 853 Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - FIXED - SFIX DEMAND 853 Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - VARIABLE - SVAR USAGE 856 Mains Expenses - SFIX DEMAND 857 Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses - SFIX DEMAND 858 Transmission and Compression of Gas by Others - SFIX DEMAND 860 Rents - SFIX DEMAND </td><td>NumberAccount DescriptionStorageLabelClassifierCGS(a)(b)(c)(d)(e)(f)TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - OPERATION850Operation Supervision and Engineering\$-SFIXDEMAND\$851System Control and Load Dispatching-SFIXDEMAND-852Communication System Expenses-SFIXDEMAND-853Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - FIXED-SFIXDEMAND-854Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - VARIABLE-SVARUSAGE-855Mains Expenses-SFIXDEMAND-856Mains Expenses-SFIXDEMAND-857Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses-SFIXDEMAND-858Transmission and Compression of Gas by Others-SFIXDEMAND-860Rents-SFIXDEMAND-Total Transmission Expenses - Operation (Sum Ln 30 through Ln 39) \$-\$FIX DEMAND-864Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - FIXED-SFIXDEMAND-865Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - FIXED-SFIXDEMAND-866Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - SFIXDEMAND866Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - SFIXDEMAND867</td><td>NumberAccount DescriptionStorageLabelClassifierCGS(a)(b)(c)(d)(e)(f)TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - OPERATION850Operation Supervision and Engineering\$-SFiXDEMAND\$\$\$851System Control and Load Dispatching-SFiXDEMAND-\$\$\$852Communication System Expenses-SFiXDEMAND\$853Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - FIXED-SFiXDEMAND854Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - VARIABLE-SVARUSAGE855Mains Expenses-SFiXDEMAND856Mains Expenses-SFiXDEMAND857Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses-SFiXDEMAND858Transmission and Compression of Gas by Others-SFiXDEMAND859Other Expenses-SFiXDEMAND860Rents-SFiXDEMANDTRANSMISSION EXPENSES - MAINTENANCE864Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - FIXED-SFiXDEMAND-864Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - VARIABLE-SFiXDEMAND-865Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - SFiX<</td></td>	<td>Number Account Description Storage Label Classifier (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - OPERATION 850 Operation Supervision and Engineering \$ - SFIX DEMAND \$ 851 System Control and Load Dispatching - SFIX DEMAND \$ 852 Communication System Expenses - SFIX DEMAND \$ 853 Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - FIXED - SFIX DEMAND 853 Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - VARIABLE - SVAR USAGE 856 Mains Expenses - SFIX DEMAND 857 Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses - SFIX DEMAND 858 Transmission and Compression of Gas by Others - SFIX DEMAND 860 Rents - SFIX DEMAND </td> <td>NumberAccount DescriptionStorageLabelClassifierCGS(a)(b)(c)(d)(e)(f)TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - OPERATION850Operation Supervision and Engineering\$-SFIXDEMAND\$851System Control and Load Dispatching-SFIXDEMAND-852Communication System Expenses-SFIXDEMAND-853Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - FIXED-SFIXDEMAND-854Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - VARIABLE-SVARUSAGE-855Mains Expenses-SFIXDEMAND-856Mains Expenses-SFIXDEMAND-857Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses-SFIXDEMAND-858Transmission and Compression of Gas by Others-SFIXDEMAND-860Rents-SFIXDEMAND-Total Transmission Expenses - Operation (Sum Ln 30 through Ln 39) \$-\$FIX DEMAND-864Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - FIXED-SFIXDEMAND-865Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - FIXED-SFIXDEMAND-866Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - SFIXDEMAND866Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - SFIXDEMAND867</td> <td>NumberAccount DescriptionStorageLabelClassifierCGS(a)(b)(c)(d)(e)(f)TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - OPERATION850Operation Supervision and Engineering\$-SFiXDEMAND\$\$\$851System Control and Load Dispatching-SFiXDEMAND-\$\$\$852Communication System Expenses-SFiXDEMAND\$853Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - FIXED-SFiXDEMAND854Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - VARIABLE-SVARUSAGE855Mains Expenses-SFiXDEMAND856Mains Expenses-SFiXDEMAND857Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses-SFiXDEMAND858Transmission and Compression of Gas by Others-SFiXDEMAND859Other Expenses-SFiXDEMAND860Rents-SFiXDEMANDTRANSMISSION EXPENSES - MAINTENANCE864Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - FIXED-SFiXDEMAND-864Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - VARIABLE-SFiXDEMAND-865Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - SFiX<</td>	Number Account Description Storage Label Classifier (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - OPERATION 850 Operation Supervision and Engineering \$ - SFIX DEMAND \$ 851 System Control and Load Dispatching - SFIX DEMAND \$ 852 Communication System Expenses - SFIX DEMAND \$ 853 Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - FIXED - SFIX DEMAND 853 Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - VARIABLE - SVAR USAGE 856 Mains Expenses - SFIX DEMAND 857 Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses - SFIX DEMAND 858 Transmission and Compression of Gas by Others - SFIX DEMAND 860 Rents - SFIX DEMAND	NumberAccount DescriptionStorageLabelClassifierCGS(a)(b)(c)(d)(e)(f)TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - OPERATION850Operation Supervision and Engineering\$-SFIXDEMAND\$851System Control and Load Dispatching-SFIXDEMAND-852Communication System Expenses-SFIXDEMAND-853Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - FIXED-SFIXDEMAND-854Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - VARIABLE-SVARUSAGE-855Mains Expenses-SFIXDEMAND-856Mains Expenses-SFIXDEMAND-857Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses-SFIXDEMAND-858Transmission and Compression of Gas by Others-SFIXDEMAND-860Rents-SFIXDEMAND-Total Transmission Expenses - Operation (Sum Ln 30 through Ln 39) \$-\$FIX DEMAND-864Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - FIXED-SFIXDEMAND-865Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - FIXED-SFIXDEMAND-866Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - SFIXDEMAND866Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - SFIXDEMAND867	NumberAccount DescriptionStorageLabelClassifierCGS(a)(b)(c)(d)(e)(f)TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - OPERATION850Operation Supervision and Engineering\$-SFiXDEMAND\$\$\$851System Control and Load Dispatching-SFiXDEMAND-\$\$\$852Communication System Expenses-SFiXDEMAND\$853Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - FIXED-SFiXDEMAND854Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - VARIABLE-SVARUSAGE855Mains Expenses-SFiXDEMAND856Mains Expenses-SFiXDEMAND857Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses-SFiXDEMAND858Transmission and Compression of Gas by Others-SFiXDEMAND859Other Expenses-SFiXDEMAND860Rents-SFiXDEMANDTRANSMISSION EXPENSES - MAINTENANCE864Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - FIXED-SFiXDEMAND-864Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - VARIABLE-SFiXDEMAND-865Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - SFiX<

	Account		Total	AF					
Line No.	Number	Account Description	Storage	Label	Classifier		CGS		PT
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)		(f)		(g)
53		CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS EXPENSES							
54	902	Meter Reading Expenses \$	-	CUSTOMERS	DEMAND	\$	-	\$	-
55	904	Uncollectible Accounts	-	CUNCOL	DEMAND	Ŷ	-	Ŷ	-
56	910	Miscellaneous Customer Service and Informational Expenses	-	SFIX	DEMAND		-		-
57	911	Supervision	-	SFIX	DEMAND		-		-
58	912	Demonstrating and Selling Expenses	-	SFIX	DEMAND		-		-
59	913	Advertising Expenses	-	SFIX	DEMAND		-		-
60	916	Miscellaneous Sales Expenses	-	SFIX	DEMAND		-		-
0.4		Total Customer Accounts Expenses		-					
61		(Sum Ln 54 through Ln 60) \$	-			\$	-	\$	-
62		· · · · · ·		-					
63		ADMINISTRATIVE AND GENERAL EXPENSES							
64	920	Administrative and General Salaries \$	169,094	SLABOR	DEMAND	\$	167,167	\$	1,927
65	921	Office Supplies and Expenses	80,061	SLABOR	DEMAND		79,149		912
66	922	Administrative Expenses Transferred— Credit	2,669,688	SLABOR	DEMAND		2,639,270		30,418
67	923	Outside Services Employed	177,302	SLABOR	DEMAND		175,282		2,020
68	924	Property Insurance	61,083	SPLANT	DEMAND		60,387		696
69	925	Injuries and Damages	73,218	SLABOR	DEMAND		72,383		834
70	926	Employee Pensions and Benefits	1,328,160	SLABOR	DEMAND		1,313,027		15,133
71	930.2	Miscellaneous General Expenses	(38,669)	SLABOR	DEMAND		(38,229)		(441)
72	931	Rents	42,484	SLABOR	DEMAND		42,000		484
73		Total Admin and General Expenses		-					
		(Sum Ln 64 through Ln 72) _\$	4,562,420	_		\$	4,510,437	\$	51,983
74									
75		Total O&M Expense (Ln 5 + Ln 27 + Ln 51 + Ln 61 + Ln 73)	13,496,843	=		\$	13,203,714	\$	293,128
76 77		Total O&M Expense Excluding A&G (Ln 75 - Ln 73) \$	8,934,423			\$	8,693,278	\$	241,145
			0,001,420	=		Ψ	0,000,210	Ψ	_ 11,110

	Account		Total	AF			
Line No.	Number	Account Description	Storage	Label	Classifier	CGS	PT
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
1	DEPRECIAT	TION EXPENSE, OTHER TAX, OPERATING INCOME					
2							
3		DEPRECIATION EXPENSE					
4	T	Fransmission, Storage, and General Plant \$	11,077,272	SPLANT	DEMAND	\$ 10,951,060	\$ 126,212
5		Total Depreciation Expense (Ln 4)	11,077,272	-		\$ 10,951,060	\$ 126,212
6		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,- ,	-		 -,,	
7	c	OTHER TAXES					
8	F	Property-Related Taxes (Ad Valorem) \$	2,501,193	SPLANT	DEMAND	\$ 2,472,695	\$ 28,498
9	F	Property-Related Taxes (Shared Services)	90,501	SPLANT	DEMAND	89,470	1,031
10	F	Property-Related Taxes (DOT Pipeline Fee)	-	SPLANT	DEMAND	-	-
11	F	Payroll Related Taxes	309,785	SPLANT	DEMAND	306,255	3,530
12		Total Other Taxes (Sum Ln 8 through Ln 11)	2,901,479	-		\$ 2,868,420	\$ 33,059
13				-			
14		Total Operating Expenses Before FIT					
14		(Page 10, Ln 75 + Ln 5 + Ln 12) 💲	27,475,593			\$ 27,023,194	\$ 452,399
15				-			
16	Т	Fotal Demand O&M	22,991,161		DEMAND	22,729,205	261,956
17	Т	Fotal Variable O&M	4,484,432		USAGE	4,293,989	190,443
18							
19	S	STATE/FEDERAL INCOME TAX EXPENSE	8,014,777	RATEBASE	DEMAND	\$ 7,757,727	\$ 257,050
20				-			
21		Total Operating Expenses					
21		(Page 10, Ln 75 + Ln 5 + Ln 12 + Ln 19)	35,490,370			\$ 34,780,921	\$ 709,449

	Account		Total	AF			
Line No.	Number	Account Description	Storage	Label	Classifier	CGS	PT
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
1	DEVELO	PMENT OF LABOR ALLOCATOR					
2							
3		UNDERGROUND STORAGE EXPENSES - OPERATION					
4	814	Operation Supervision and Engineering \$	985,2	283 SFIX		\$ 974,057	\$ 11,226
5	816	Wells Expenses	41,2	246 SFIX		40,776	470
6	817	Lines Expenses	13,6	617 SFIX		13,462	155
7	818	Compressor Station Expenses	1,210,7	712 SFIX		1,196,918	13,795
8	820	Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses	42,1	I99 SFIX		41,718	481
9	821	Purification Expenses	18,5	518 SFIX		18,307	211
10	824	Other Expenses		- SFIX		-	-
4.4		Total UG Storage Expenses - Operation			-		
11		(Sum Ln 4 through Ln 10) \$	2,311,5	575		\$ 2,285,237	\$ 26,338
12		· · · · ·			-		
13		UNDERGROUND STORAGE EXPENSES - MAINTENANCE					
14	832	Maintenance of Reservoirs and Wells \$		- SFIX		\$-	\$ -
15	834	Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment	800,4	139 SFIX		791,319	9,120
16	835	Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment	11,9	999 SFIX		11,862	137
17	836	Maintenance of Purification Equipment	43,1	I 19 SFIX		42,628	491
10		Total UG Storage Expenses - Maintenance			-		
18		(Sum Ln 14 through Ln 17) \$	855,5	557		\$ 845,809	\$ 9,748
19		· · · · ·			-	· · ·	 -
20		Total UG Storage - O&M Labor (Ln 11 + Ln 18) \$	3,167,1	132		\$ 3,131,046	\$ 36,086
21		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-		

l ine No	Account Number	Account Description	Total Storage	AF Label	Classifier	CGS		РТ
Line No.	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)		(g)
22		TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - OPERATION						
22 23	850	Operation Supervision and Engineering		SFIX	\$		\$	
23 24	850 851	System Control and Load Dispatching		SFIX	φ	-	φ	-
24 25	852	Communication System Expenses	-	SFIX		-		-
25 26	853		-	SFIX		-		-
26 27	853 854	Compressor Station Labor and Expenses	-	SFIX		-		-
		Gas for Compressor Station Fuel	-	SFIX		-		-
28	855	Other Fuel and Power for Compressor Stations	-			-		-
29	856	Mains Expenses	-	SFIX		-		-
30	857	Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses	-	SFIX		-		-
31	858	Transmission and Compression of Gas by Others	-	SFIX		-		-
32	859	Other Expenses	-	SFIX		-		-
33	860	Rents	-	SFIX		-		-
34		Total Transmission Expenses - Operation						
		(Sum Ln 23 through Ln 33)	- 5	_	\$	-	\$	-
35 36		TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - MAINTENANCE						
36 37	001			SFIX	¢		\$	
	861	Maintenance Supervision and Engineering			\$	-	Ф	-
38	863	Maintenance of Mains	-	SFIX		-		-
39	864	Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment	-	SFIX		-		-
40	865	Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment	-	SFIX		-		-
41	866	Maintenance of Communication Equipment	-	SFIX		-		-
42	867	Maintenance of Other Equipment	-	SFIX		-		-
43		Total Transmission Expenses - Maintenance			•		•	
4.4		(Sum Ln 37 through Ln 42) <u>S</u>	; -	_	\$	-	\$	-
44 45		Total Transmission O&M Labor (Ln 34 + Ln 43)			\$	-	\$	-
46				_	Ŷ		Ψ	
47		Total Functional Labor - O&M (Ln 20 + Ln 45)	3,167,132		\$	3,131,046	\$	36,086
48		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-, -, -	=		-, - ,	•	/
49		CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS EXPENSES						
50	902	Meter Reading Expenses		CUSTOMERS	\$	-	\$	_
51	904	Uncollectible Accounts	-	CUNCOL	Ψ	_	Ψ	-
52	910	Miscellaneous Customer Service and Informational Expenses	-	SFIX		_		-
53	911	Supervision	_	SFIX		_		_
53 54	912	Demonstrating and Selling Expenses	_	SFIX		-		-
55	912	Miscellaneous Sales Expenses	_	SFIX		-		-
55	310	Total Customer Accounts Expenses	-			-		5
56		(Sum Ln 50 through Ln 55)	-		\$	-	\$	-
			, -	_	φ		ψ	-

	Account			Total	AF					
Line No.	Number	Account Description		Storage	Label	Classifier		CGS		PT
	(a)	(b)		(c)	(d)	(e)		(f)		(g)
58		ADMINISTRATIVE AND GENERAL EXPENSES								
59	920		\$	175,409	SLABXAG		\$	173,410	\$	1,999
60	921	Office Supplies and Expenses	Ψ	-	SLABXAG		Ψ	-	Ψ	1,555
61	922	Administrative Expenses Transferred— Credit		-	SLABXAG			-		-
62	923	Outside Services Employed		-	SLABXAG			-		-
63	924	Property Insurance		-	SPLANT			-		-
64	925	Injuries and Damages		-	SLABXAG			-		-
65	926	Employee Pensions and Benefits		-	SLABXAG			-		-
66	928	Regulatory Commission Expenses		-	SLABXAG			-		-
67	930.2	Miscellaneous General Expenses		-	SLABXAG			-		-
68	931	Rents		-	SLABXAG			-		-
69	932	Maintenance of General Plant		-	SPLANT			-		-
70		Total Admin and General Expenses								
70		(Sum Ln 59 through Ln 69)	\$	175,409			\$	173,410	\$	1,999
71										
72		Total O&M Expense Labor (Sum Ln 47 + Ln 56 + Ln 70)	\$	3,342,541			\$	3,304,457	\$	38,084
73										
74		Total O&M Expense Labor Excluding A&G								
74		(Sum Ln 47 + Ln 56) S	\$	3,167,132			\$	3,131,046	\$	36,086
75										
76		Total O&M Direct Labor (Ln 74 - Ln 4 - Ln 23 - Ln 37)	\$	2,181,849			\$	2,156,989	\$	24,860
77										
78		Total Storage O&M Expense Labor		24.77%				25.03%		12.99%
79										
80		Total Storage O&M Expense Labor Excluding A&G		35.45%				36.02%		14.96%
81										
82		Total Storage O&M Direct Labor		28.10%				28.62%		10.91%

	Account			Total	AF				
Line No.	Number	Account Description		Storage	Label	Classifier	CGS		PT
	(a)	(b)		(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)		(g)
1	RETURN C	DN RATE BASE							
2									
3		TOTAL INVESTED CAPITAL	\$	232,269,449			\$ 229,635,140	\$	2,634,309
4				8.87%			8.87%		8.87%
5			\$	20,606,946			\$ 20,373,230	\$	233,716
6									
7		TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSE		27,475,593			27,023,194		452,399
8									,
9		ΙΝCOME ΤΑΧ		8,014,777			7,757,727		257,050
10				0,01,,11			.,		201,000
10		TOTAL REVENUE REQUIREMENT	\$	56,097,316			\$ 55,154,151	\$	943,165
			Ψ	00,001,010			 00,101,101	Ψ	010,100

Line No.	Description	Classifier	Reference	Amount		Allocat	tions	
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
1	ALLOCATOR FOR FIXED STO	RAGE COSTS						
2								
3	Adjustment		Schedule H-1, Page 7, Line 24	\$ 232,269,449				
4	Rate of Return		Schedule G, Line 20	8.87%				
5	Return on Rate Base		Line 3 x Line 4	\$ 20,606,946				
6	Fixed Storage Expenses		Schedule H-3, Page 11, Line 17	22,991,161				
7	Total Fixed Storage Costs		Sum (Ln 5 + Ln 6)	\$ 43,598,107				
8								
9								
10				[Alloca	ation to System a	nd 3rd Party S	torage
11					CGS	Storage	System & 3rd	Party Storage
12	Fixed Storage Costs							
13	Capacity	0.5	Line 7 x Classifier	\$ 21,799,053	90.51%	\$ 19,729,583	9.49%	\$ 2,069,470
14	Deliverability	0.5	Line 7 x Classifier	21,799,053	90.68%	19,766,575	9.32%	2,032,479
15	Total Fixed Storage Costs		Sum (Ln 13 + Ln 14)	\$ 43,598,107		\$ 39,496,158		\$ 4,101,949
16								
17								_
18				-		Allocation to		
19					Allocator	CGS	PT	
20	Allocation of Fixed Storage Cost	<u>s</u>						
21	Fixed CGS Storage Cost			\$ 39,496,158	CGS	\$ 39,496,158		
22	Fixed System & 3rd Party			4,101,949	THRUPT	3,605,201	496,747	-
23	Total Fixed Storage Cost		Sum (Ln 21 + Ln 22)	\$ 43,598,107		\$ 43,101,360		-
24	Fixed Storage Cost Allocator					98.86%	1.14%	

Line No.	Description	Classifier	Reference		Amount		Alloca	tior	ns	
	(a)	(b)	(c)		(d)	(e)	(f)		(g)	(h)
1	ALLOCATOR FOR VARIABLE ST	ORAGE CO	STS							
2										
3						Allocati	on to System a	Ind	3rd Party St	orage
4						CGS St	torage	S	ystem & 3rd	Party Storage
5	Variable Storage Costs		Schedule H-3, Page 11, Line 18	\$	4,484,432	64.93%	\$ 2,911,828		35.07%	\$ 1,572,604
6										
7										
8							Allocation to	Rat	e Classes	
9						Allocator	CGS		PT	
10	Allocation of Variable Storage Cost	s								
11	Variable CGS Storage Cost			\$	2,911,828	CGS	\$ 2,911,828	\$	-	
12	Variable System & 3rd Party				1,572,604	THRUPT	1,382,160.90		190,442.89	
13	Total Variable Storage Cost		Sum (Ln 11 + Ln 12)	\$	4,484,432	-	\$ 4,293,989	\$	190,443	
14	Variable Storage Cost Allocator						95.75%		4.25%	
15										
16										
17				N	/orking Gas	Max. Withdrawl	TY Injection/			
17	SYSTEM STORAGE ALLOCATOR	RS		0	Capacity (1)	Capacity (1)	Withdrawl (2)			
18					(MMcf)	(MMcf)	(MMBtu)			
19	Total Capacity/Usage				39,243	1,434	28,715,859			
20	CGS Capacity/Usage				35,518	1,300	18,645,761			
21	Net of CGS Capacity/Usage		Ln 19 - Ln 20		3,726	134	10,070,098			
22	System and 3rd Party % of Capacit	ty	Ln 21 / Ln 19		9.49%	9.32%	35.07%			
23	· · ·	-								
24	Notoo:									

24 Notes:

25 1. Per Relied File, "Schedule I_Billing Determinants Study".

26 2. Per Relied File, "FY16 Class Cost of Service Workpapers.xlsx".

l ine No	Account Number	Account Description	Classified		TOTAL PIPELINE		TOTAL CGS		TOTAL PT	мп	TOTAL D-TEX WGIS
Line no.	(a)	(b)	(C)		(d)		(e)		(f)		(g)
			()				~ /				
1		<u> D CAPITAL - GROSS PLANT</u>									
2	Adjustmer										
3		STORAGE PLANT									
4	350.00	Land and Land Rights		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
5	350.10	Land			5,515,389		5,452,548		62,841		-
6	350.20	Rights-of-Way			32,592		32,220		371		-
7	351.00	Structures and Improvements			24,635,344		24,354,654		280,690		-
8	352.00	Wells			78,403,027		77,509,720		893,307		-
9	353.00	Lines			13,256,043		13,105,006		151,037		-
10	354.00	Compressor Station Equipment			88,256,841		87,251,262		1,005,580		-
11	355.00	M&R Equipment			50,663,680		50,086,429		577,251		-
12	356.00	Purification Equipment			49,947,598		49,378,506		569,092		-
13	357.00	Other Equipment			621,183		614,105		7,078		-
14		Subtotal (Sum Ln 4 through Ln 13)		\$	311,331,697	\$	307,784,450	\$	3,547,247	\$	-
15											
16		TRANSMISSION PLANT									
17	365.00	Land		\$	1,232,270	\$	1,188,606	\$	43,664	\$	-
18	365.10	ROW - Trans Comp Stat			-		-		-		-
19	365.20	ROW - City Gate			18,983,795		18,311,127		672,667		-
20	366.00	Structures and Improvements			11,472,463		11,065,951		406,513		-
21	367.00	Mains - Cathodic Protection			200,439,413		193,337,089		7,102,324		-
22	367.01	Mains - Steel		1	,431,528,224	1	1,380,803,783		50,724,441		-
23	367.02	Mains - Plastic			11,591,352		11,180,627		410,725		-
24	368.00	Compressor Station Equipment			150,061,066		144,743,837		5,317,229		-
25	369.00	M&R Station Equipment			228,773,444		220,667,138		8,106,306		-
26	370.00	Communication Equipment			14,144,928		13,643,720		501,208		-
27	371.00	Other Equipment			4,923,427		4,748,972		174,456		-
28		Subtotal (Sum Ln 17 through Ln 27)		\$2	2,073,150,383	\$ ´	1,999,690,850	\$	73,459,533	\$	-
29		(6)		<u> </u>					, ,		
30		Total Functional Plant in Service (Ln 14 + Ln 28)		\$2	2,384,482,080	\$ 2	2,307,475,300	\$	77,006,780	\$	-
31				-	,,		,,		, ,		
32		INTANGIBLE PLANT									
33	303.00	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant		\$	6,238,271	\$	6,037,725	\$	200,546	\$	-
34	000.00	Subtotal (Ln 33)		\$	6,238,271	\$	6,037,725		200,546	\$	
35				Ψ	0,200,211	Ψ	0,001,120	Ψ	_00,070	¥	
00											

	Account				TOTAL		TOTAL	TOTAL	٦	TOTAL
Line No.	Number	Account Description	Classified		PIPELINE		CGS	PT	MID-	TEX WGIS
	(a)	(b)	(c)		(d)		(e)	(f)		(g)
36		GENERAL PLANT								
37	389.00	Land and Land Rights		\$	124,981	\$	120,964	\$ 4,018	\$	-
38	390.00	Structures and Improvements			6,285,064		6,083,015	202,050		-
39	391.00	Office Furniture and Equipment			4,878,716		4,721,877	156,839		-
40	392.00	Transportation Equipment			1,562,956		1,512,711	50,245		-
41	393.00	Stores Equipment			-		-	-		-
42	394.00	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment			9,846,323		9,529,787	316,536		-
43	395.00	Laboratory Equipment			172,645		167,095	5,550		-
44	396.00	Power Operated Equipment			3,054,342		2,956,152	98,190		-
45	397.00	Communication Equipment			736,092		712,429	23,664		-
46	397.02	Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios			80,513		77,925	2,588		-
47	397.05	Communication Equipment - Telemetering			114,680		110,993	3,687		-
48	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment			8,193,622		7,930,216	263,405		-
49	399.00	Other Tangible Property			71,172		68,884	2,288		-
50	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware			612,444		592,756	19,689		-
51	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software			1,408,668		1,363,382	45,285		-
52	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware			71,397		69,102	2,295		-
53	399.06	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware			793,661		768,147	25,514		-
54	399.07	Other Tangible Property - PC Software			794,975		769,418	25,557		-
55		Shared Services General Office			27,471,570		26,588,424	883,146		-
56		Shared Services Greenville Data Center			6,449,777		6,242,432	207,345		-
57		Shared Services Aligne Pipe Projects			16,041,414		15,525,720	515,693		-
58		Subtotal (Sum Ln 37 through Ln 57)		\$	88,765,013	\$	85,911,428	\$ 2,853,585	\$	-
59								 		
60		Total APT Gross Plant (Ln 30 + Ln 34 + Ln 58)		\$2	,479,485,364	\$2	,399,424,454	\$ 80,060,910	\$	-

Line No.	Account Number	Account Description	Classified		TOTAL PIPELINE		TOTAL CGS		TOTAL PT		TOTAL -TEX WGIS
	(a)	(b)	(c)		(d)		(e)		(f)		(g)
1	INVESTE	D CAPITAL - ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION									
2											
3	050.00	STORAGE PLANT		•		•		•		•	
4	350.00	Land and Land Rights		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
5	350.10	Land			-		-		-		-
6	350.20	Rights-of-Way			14,767		14,599		168		-
7	351.00	Structures and Improvements			5,855,602		5,788,885		66,717		-
8	352.00	Wells			14,298,665		14,135,749		162,916		-
9	353.00	Lines			3,699,870		3,657,715		42,156		-
10	354.00	Compressor Station Equipment			18,665,963		18,453,287		212,676		-
11	355.00	M&R Equipment			7,776,600		7,687,995		88,605		-
12	356.00	Purification Equipment			7,059,844		6,979,406		80,438		-
13	357.00	Other Equipment		-	206,980	•	204,622	^	2,358	^	-
14		Subtotal (Sum Ln 4 through Ln 13)		\$	57,578,292	\$	56,922,257	\$	656,035	\$	-
15											
16	005.00	TRANSMISSION PLANT		•		•		•		•	
17	365.00	Land		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
18	365.10	ROW - Trans Comp Stat			-		-		-		-
19	365.20	ROW - City Gate			5,589,104		5,391,061		198,043		-
20	366.00	Structures and Improvements			3,140,237		3,028,966		111,270		-
21	367.00	Mains - Cathodic Protection			52,390,846		50,534,441		1,856,405		-
22	367.01	Mains - Steel			238,931,308		230,465,071		8,466,237		-
23		Mains - Plastic			1,485,678		1,433,035		52,643		-
24	368.00	Compressor Station Equipment			42,356,758		40,855,898		1,500,860		-
25	369.00	M&R Station Equipment			55,590,538		53,620,756		1,969,782		-
26	370.00	Communication Equipment			4,671,498		4,505,969		165,529		-
27	371.00	Other Equipment		_	1,942,900	<u>_</u>	1,874,056	<u> </u>	68,844	<u>^</u>	-
28		Subtotal (Sum Ln 17 through Ln 27)		\$	406,098,867	\$	391,709,254	\$	14,389,614	\$	-
29				•	400 077 450	•		•	45 0 45 0 40	•	
30		Total Functional Plant in Service (Ln 14 + Ln 28)		\$	463,677,159	\$	448,631,511	\$	15,045,648	\$	-
31											
32		INTANGIBLE PLANT		•	• • • • • • ·	•		•		•	
33	303.00	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant		\$	6,238,271		6,037,725		200,546		-
34		Subtotal (Ln 33)		\$	6,238,271	\$	6,037,725	\$	200,546	\$	-
35											

	Account			TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL		TOTAL
Line No.	Number	Account Description	Classified	PIPELINE	CGS	PT	MID-	TEX WGIS
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)		(g)
36		GENERAL PLANT						
37	389.00	Land and Land Rights		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-
38	390.00	Structures and Improvements		1,338,571	1,295,539	43,032		-
39	391.00	Office Furniture and Equipment		2,724,584	2,636,996	87,589		-
40	392.00	Transportation Equipment		578,415	559,820	18,595		-
41	393.00	Stores Equipment		-	-	-		-
42	394.00	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment		2,166,906	2,097,245	69,661		-
43	395.00	Laboratory Equipment		40,384	39,086	1,298		-
44	396.00	Power Operated Equipment		701,214	678,671	22,542		-
45	397.00	Communication Equipment		312,733	302,679	10,054		-
46	397.02	Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios		9,323	9,024	300		-
47	397.05	Communication Equipment - Telemetering		50,520	48,896	1,624		-
48	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment		3,556,347	3,442,019	114,328		-
49	399.00	Other Tangible Property		21,487	20,796	691		-
50	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware		121,216	117,319	3,897		-
51	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software		675,152	653,447	21,705		-
52	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware		12,875	12,461	414		-
53	399.06	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware		301,166	291,485	9,682		-
54	399.07	Other Tangible Property - PC Software		503,432	487,248	16,184		-
55		Shared Services General Office		16,402,442	15,875,143	527,300		-
56		Shared Services Greenville Data Center		1,968,887	1,905,592	63,295		-
57		Shared Services Aligne Pipe Projects		850,769	823,419	27,350		-
58		Subtotal (Sum Ln 37 through Ln 57)		\$ 32,336,423	\$ 31,296,884	\$ 1,039,539	\$	-
59		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
60		RWIP		\$ (2,689,475)	\$ (2,603,015)	\$ (86,460)	\$	-
61						,		
		Total APT Accumulated Depreciation						
62		(Ln 30 + Ln 34 + Ln 58 + Ln 60)		\$ 499,562,378	\$ 483,363,105	\$ 16,199,273	\$	-

Line No.	Account Number	Account Description	Classified		TOTAL PIPELINE		TOTAL CGS		TOTAL PT	мі	TOTAL D-TEX WGIS
	(a)	(b)	(c)		(d)		(e)		(f)		(g)
1	INVESTE	<u>D CAPITAL - NET PLANT</u>									
2 3		STORAGE PLANT									
3	350.00	Land and Land Rights		\$		\$		\$		\$	
4 5	350.00	Land		φ	- 5,515,389	φ	- 5,452,548	φ	62,841	φ	-
6	350.20	Rights-of-Way			17,824		17,621		203		_
7	351.00	Structures and Improvements			18,779,742		18,565,770		213,972		_
8	352.00	Wells			64,104,362		63,373,971		730,391		_
9	353.00	Lines			9,556,173		9,447,292		108,881		-
10	354.00	Compressor Station Equipment			69,590,878		68,797,975		792,904		-
11	355.00	M&R Equipment			42,887,080		42,398,433		488,646		-
12	356.00	Purification Equipment			42,887,754		42,399,100		488,654		-
13	357.00	Other Equipment			414,203		409,484		4,719		-
14		Subtotal (Sum Ln 4 through Ln 13)		\$	253,753,405	\$	250,862,193	\$	2,891,212	\$	-
15											
16		TRANSMISSION PLANT									
17	365.00	Land		\$	1,232,270	\$	1,188,606	\$	43,664	\$	-
18	365.10	ROW - Trans Comp Stat			-		-		-		-
19	365.20	ROW - City Gate			13,394,690		12,920,066		474,624		-
20	366.00	Structures and Improvements			8,332,227		8,036,984		295,242		-
21	367.00	Mains - Cathodic Protection			148,048,567		142,802,648		5,245,919		-
22	367.01	Mains - Steel		1	,192,596,916	1	1,150,338,712		42,258,204		-
23	367.02	Mains - Plastic			10,105,674		9,747,592		358,082		-
24	368.00	Compressor Station Equipment			107,704,308		103,887,939		3,816,370		-
25	369.00	M&R Station Equipment			173,182,906		167,046,382		6,136,523		-
26	370.00	Communication Equipment			9,473,431		9,137,751		335,679		-
27	371.00	Other Equipment			2,980,527		2,874,916		105,611		-
28		Subtotal (Sum Ln 17 through Ln 27)		\$ 1	,667,051,516	\$ 1	1,607,981,597	\$	59,069,919	\$	-
29				•		•		•		•	
30		Total Functional Plant in Service (Ln 14 + Ln 28)		\$1	,920,804,921	\$1	1,858,843,790	\$	61,961,131	\$	-
31											
32	000.00			۴		۴		٠		¢	
33	303.00	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ \$	-
34		Subtotal (Ln 33)		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
35											

	Account				TOTAL		TOTAL		TOTAL	٦	TOTAL
Line No.	Number	Account Description	Classified		PIPELINE		CGS		PT	MID-	TEX WGIS
	(a)	(b)	(c)		(d)		(e)		(f)		(g)
36		GENERAL PLANT									
30	389.00	Land and Land Rights		\$	124,981	¢	120,964	¢	4,018	¢	_
38	390.00	Structures and Improvements		Ψ	4,946,493	Ψ	4,787,475	Ψ	159,018	Ψ	_
39	391.00	Office Furniture and Equipment			2,154,132		2,084,881		69,250		_
39 40	392.00	Transportation Equipment			984,541		952,891		31,651		_
40	393.00	Stores Equipment			304,341		352,031		51,051		_
41	394.00	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment			7,679,417		7,432,542		246,875		_
42	395.00	Laboratory Equipment			132,261		128,009		4,252		_
43	396.00	Power Operated Equipment			2,353,128		2,277,481		75,647		_
44	390.00	Communication Equipment			423,359		409,749		13,610		-
45	397.00	Communication Equipment - Fixed Radiios			71,190		68,901		2,289		_
40	397.02	Communication Equipment - Telemetering			64,160		62,098		2,209		_
47	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment			4,637,275		4,488,197		149,077		_
40	399.00	Other Tangible Property			49,685		48,088		1,597		_
49 50	399.00 399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers Hardware			49,005		475,437		15,792		-
50	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers Software			733,516		709,935		23,581		-
52	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware			58,523		56,641		1,881		-
53	399.03	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware			492,494		476,662		15,833		-
53 54	399.00 399.07	Other Tangible Property - PC Failoware			492,494 291,542		282,170		9,372		-
54 55	399.07	Shared Services General Office			11,069,128		10,713,281		9,372 355,846		-
56		Shared Services General Onice Shared Services Greenville Data Center			4,480,889		4,336,839		144,050		-
50		Shared Services Aligne Pipe Projects			15,190,645		14,702,302		488,343		-
57 58		Subtotal (Sum Ln 37 through Ln 57)		¢	56,428,590	\$	54,614,545	¢	1,814,045	\$	<u> </u>
		Subiotal (Sull El S7 tillough El S7)		φ	50,420,590	φ	54,014,545	φ	1,014,045	φ	
59 60		RWIP		\$	2,689,475	¢	2,603,015	¢	86,460	¢	
61		KWIF		φ	2,009,475	φ	2,003,015	φ	00,400	φ	-
62		Total APT Net Plant (Ln 30 + Ln 34 + Ln 58 + Ln 60)		\$ 1	,979,922,986	\$ 1	,916,061,349	\$	63,861,637	\$	

Line No.	Account Number	Account Description	Classified		TOTAL PIPELINE		TOTAL CGS	TOTAL PT	м	TOTAL D-TEX WGIS
Line No.	(a)	(b)	(C)		(d)		(e)	(f)		(g)
	()	()			()		()	()		(0)
1	INVESTED	CAPITAL SUMMARY								
2										
3		NET PLANT								
4		Gross Plant		\$2	2,479,485,364	\$2	2,399,424,454	\$ 80,060,910	\$	-
5		Accumulated Depreciation			499,562,378		483,363,105	16,199,273		-
6		Non-Current Gas in Storage			16,928,914		16,736,029	192,884		-
7		Total Net Plant (Ln 4 - Ln 5 + Ln 6)		\$ ´	,996,851,900	\$ ´	1,932,797,378	\$ 64,054,521	\$	-
8										
9		INVESTMENT ADDITIONS								
10		Cash Working Capital		\$	(8,093,285)	\$	(7,833,105)	\$ (260,180)	\$	-
11		Materials and Supplies			3,757,677		3,636,877	120,800		-
12		Line Pack			4,385,237		4,229,851	155,385		-
13		Working Gas Stored Underground			106,038,127		-	-		106,038,127
14		Prepayments			6,656,192		6,442,211	213,981		-
15		Pension and Other Post Employment Benefits Regulatory Asset			6,567,664		6,360,713	206,950		-
16		Total Investment Additions (Sum Ln 10 through Ln 15)		\$	119,311,611	\$	12,836,547	\$ 436,937	\$	106,038,127
17										
18		INVESTMENT DEDUCTIONS								
19		Injuries and Damages Reserve		\$	121,434	\$	117,608	\$ 3,826	\$	-
20		Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes			344,850,951		333,768,124	11,082,827		-
21		Rate Base Adjustments			3,591,145		3,475,970	115,175		-
22		Total Investment Deductions (Sum Ln 19 through Ln 21)		\$	348,563,530	\$	337,361,701	\$ 11,201,829	\$	-
23										
24		Total Invested Capital (Ln 7 + Ln 16 - Ln 22)	DEMANDRB	\$ ´	,767,599,981	\$ ´	1,608,272,224	\$ 53,289,630	\$	106,038,127

l ine No	Account Number		Classified		TOTAL PIPELINE		TOTAL CGS		TOTAL PT	міг	TOTAL -TEX WGIS
Line No.	(a)	(b)	(C)		(d)		(e)		(f)	WITE	(g)
1	<u>OPERATI</u>	NG EXPENSES									
2											
3	0.4.0	OTHER GAS SUPPLY EXPENSES	DEMAND	•	0 500	•	0.000	•	040	•	
4	813	Other Gas Supply Expenses	DEMAND	\$	6,592		6,380		212		-
5		Total Other Gas Supply Expenses (Ln 4)		\$	6,592	\$	6,380	\$	212	\$	-
6											
7		UNDERGROUND STORAGE EXPENSES - OPERATION		•		•		•	10.000	•	
8	814	Operation Supervision and Engineering	DEMAND	\$	1,169,571	\$	1,156,246	\$	13,326	\$	-
9	816	Wells Expenses	DEMAND		1,065,312		1,053,174		12,138		-
10	817	Lines Expenses	DEMAND		13,755		13,598		157		-
11	818	Compressor Station Expenses - FIXED	DEMAND		1,210,712		1,196,918		13,795		-
12	818	Compressor Station Expenses - VARIABLE	USAGE		1,127,977		1,080,074		47,902		-
13	820	Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses	DEMAND		52,901		52,298		603		-
14	821	Purification Expenses	DEMAND		34,791		34,394		396		-
15	824	Other Expenses	DEMAND		730		722		8		-
16		Total UG Storage Expenses - Operation									
-		(Sum Ln 8 through Ln 15)		\$	4,675,749	\$	4,587,424	\$	88,325	\$	-
17											
18		UNDERGROUND STORAGE EXPENSES - MAINTENANCE									
19	831	Maintenance of Structures and Improvements	DEMAND	\$	1,931	\$	1,909	\$	22	\$	-
20	832	Maintenance of Reservoirs and Wells	DEMAND		-		-		-		-
21	834	Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - FIXED	DEMAND		800,439		791,319		9,120		-
22	834	Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - VARIABLE	USAGE		3,356,455		3,213,915		142,540		-
23	835	Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment	DEMAND		17,671		17,470		201		-
24	836	Maintenance of Purification Equipment	DEMAND		81,276		80,349		926		-
25		Total UG Storage Expenses - Maintenance									
		(Sum Ln 19 through Ln 24)		\$	4,257,772	\$	4,104,963	\$	152,810	\$	-
26											
27		Total Storage Expenses (Ln 16 + Ln 25)		\$	8,933,522	\$	8,692,387	\$	241,135	\$	-
28											

	Account				TOTAL		TOTAL		TOTAL		TOTAL
Line No.	Number	Account Description	Classified		PIPELINE		CGS		PT	MID	-TEX WGIS
	(a)	(b)	(c)		(d)		(e)		(f)		(g)
29		TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - OPERATION									
30	850	Operation Supervision and Engineering	DEMAND	\$	314,350	\$	303,212	\$	11,139	\$	_
31	851	System Control and Load Dispatching	DEMAND	Ψ	1,728,036	Ψ	1,666,805	Ψ	61,231	Ψ	-
32	852	Communication System Expenses	DEMAND		1,982,902		1,912,641		70,262		-
33	853	Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - FIXED	DEMAND		521,926		503,432		18,494		-
34	853	Compressor Station Labor and Expenses - VARIABLE	USAGE		193,559		170,119		23,440		-
35	856	Mains Expenses	DEMAND		76,672,780		73,955,974		2,716,806		-
36	857	Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses	DEMAND		1,891,662		1,824,633		67,029		-
37	858	Transmission and Compression of Gas by Others	USAGE		98,867		86,894		11,973		-
38	859	Other Expenses	DEMAND		33,711		32,517		1,195		-
39	860	Rents	DEMAND		141,228		136,223		5,004		-
10		Total Transmission Expenses - Operation			,		,				
40		(Sum Ln 30 through Ln 39)		\$	83,579,021	\$	80,592,450	\$	2,986,571	\$	-
41											
42		TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - MAINTENANCE									
43	863	Maintenance of Mains	DEMAND	\$	1,666,305	\$	1,607,261	\$	59,043	\$	-
44	864	Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - FIXED	DEMAND		361,217		348,418		12,799		-
45	864	Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment - VARIABLE	USAGE		1,141,166		1,002,970		138,196		-
46	865	Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment	DEMAND		624,905		602,762		22,143		-
47	866	Maintenance of Communication Equipment	DEMAND		160,951		155,248		5,703		-
48	867	Maintenance of Other Equipment	DEMAND		132,082		127,402		4,680		-
49		Total Transmission Expenses - Maintenance									
-		(Sum Ln 43 through Ln 48)		\$	4,086,626	\$	3,844,061	\$	242,564	\$	-
50				•		•		•		•	
51		Total Transmission Expenses (Ln 40 + Ln 49)		\$	87,665,647	\$	84,436,512	\$	3,229,135	\$	-
52											

	Account				TOTAL		TOTAL		TOTAL	Т	OTAL
Line No.	Number	Account Description	Classified		PIPELINE		CGS		PT	MID-T	EX WGIS
	(a)	(b)	(c)		(d)		(e)		(f)		(g)
53		CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS EXPENSES									
54	902	Meter Reading Expenses	DEMAND	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_
55	904	Uncollectible Accounts	DEMAND	Ŧ	(9,169)	Ŷ	-	Ŷ	(9,169)	Ŷ	-
56	910	Miscellaneous Customer Service and Informational Expenses	DEMAND		1,432,016		1,381,274		50,742		-
57	911	Supervision	DEMAND		108		104		4		-
58	912	Demonstrating and Selling Expenses	DEMAND		2,450		2,363		87		-
59	913	Advertising Expenses	DEMAND		6		5		0		-
60	916	Miscellaneous Sales Expenses	DEMAND		1,350,128		1,302,288		47,840		-
		Total Customer Accounts Expenses			11 -		,,		,		
61		(Sum Ln 54 through Ln 60)		\$	2,775,538	\$	2,686,034	\$	89,504	\$	-
62									,		
63		ADMINISTRATIVE AND GENERAL EXPENSES									
64	920	Administrative and General Salaries	DEMAND	\$	1,036,130	\$	1,003,481	\$	32,649	\$	-
65	921	Office Supplies and Expenses	DEMAND		490,576		475,118		15,458		-
66	922	Administrative Expenses Transferred— Credit	DEMAND		16,358,629		15,843,160		515,469		-
67	923	Outside Services Employed	DEMAND		1,086,424		1,052,191		34,234		-
68	924	Property Insurance	DEMAND		446,860		432,494		14,365		-
69	925	Injuries and Damages	DEMAND		448,644		434,507		14,137		-
70	926	Employee Pensions and Benefits	DEMAND		8,138,356		7,881,913		256,444		-
71	930.2	Miscellaneous General Expenses	DEMAND		(236,947)		(229,481)		(7,466)		-
72	931	Rents	DEMAND		260,320		252,118		8,203		-
73		Total Admin and General Expenses									
73		(Sum Ln 64 through Ln 72)		\$	28,028,993	\$	27,145,501	\$	883,492	\$	-
74											
75		Total O&M Expense (Ln 5 + Ln 27 + Ln 51 + Ln 61 + Ln 73)		\$	127,410,291	\$	122,966,813	\$	4,443,478	\$	-
76											
77		Total O&M Expense Excluding A&G (Ln 75 - Ln 73)		\$	99,381,298	\$	95,821,313	\$	3,559,986	\$	-

	Account				TOTAL		TOTAL		TOTAL		TOTAL
Line No.	Number	Account Description	Classified		PIPELINE		CGS		PT	MIC	D-TEX WGIS
	(a)	(b)	(c)		(d)		(e)		(f)		(g)
	DEDDEOU										
1	DEPRECIA	ATION EXPENSE, OTHER TAX, OPERATING INCOME									
2											
3		DEPRECIATION EXPENSE									
4		Transmission, Storage, and General Plant	DEMAND	\$	81,036,473	\$	78,431,343	\$	2,605,130	\$	-
5		Total Depreciation Expense (Ln 4)		\$	81,036,473	\$	78,431,343	\$	2,605,130	\$	-
6											
7		OTHER TAXES									
8		Property-Related Taxes (Ad Valorem)	DEMAND	\$	19,560,136	\$	17,709,410	\$	588,226	\$	1,262,500
9		Property-Related Taxes (Shared Services)	DEMAND		662,063		640,779		21,284		-
10		Property-Related Taxes (DOT Pipeline Fee)	DEMAND		1,859,586		1,793,694		65,892		-
11		Payroll Related Taxes	DEMAND		1,898,221		1,838,407		59,814		-
12		Total Other Taxes (Sum Ln 8 through Ln 11)		\$	23,980,006	\$	21,982,290	\$	735,215	\$	1,262,500
13		(č ,							,		<u> </u>
-		Total Operating Expenses Before FIT									
14		(Page 10, Ln 75 + Ln 5 + Ln 12)		\$	232,426,770	\$	223,380,447	\$	7,783,824	\$	1,262,500
15				_	,,	Ŧ	,,.	Ŧ	.,	Ŧ	.,,
16		STATE/FEDERAL INCOME TAX EXPENSE	DEMAND		60,993,470		55,495,646		1,838,832		3,658,992
17			DEMAND		00,000,470		00,400,040		1,000,002		0,000,002
18		Total Demand O&M	DEMAND		287,502,216		273,322,120		9,258,604		4,921,492
10			USAGE		5,918,024		5,553,973		9,238,004 364,051		4,921,492
-		Total Usage O&M	USAGE		5,910,024		5,555,975		304,031		-
20		Total Operating Expenses									
21		(Page 10, Ln 75 + Ln 5 + Ln 12 + Ln 16)		¢	202 420 240	¢	070 076 000	¢	0 600 650	¢	4 001 400
		(raye 10, L11 / 5 + L11 5 + L11 12 + L11 16)		\$	293,420,240	\$	278,876,093	\$	9,622,656	\$	4,921,492

Line No.	Description	TOTAL PIPELINE	TOTAL CITY GATE	TOTAL TRANSPORT	MID-TEX ONLY	Reference
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
1	Rate Base	\$ 1,767,599,981	\$ 1,608,272,224	\$ 53,289,630	\$ 106,038,127	Schedule H-4, Page 7, Line 24
2	Adjustment		8.872%	8.872%	8.872%	Schedule G, Line 20
3	Return on Rate Base (Ln 1 times Ln 2)	\$ 156,821,470	\$ 142,685,912	\$ 4,727,856	\$ 9,407,703	=
4	Total Operating Expenses	293,420,240	278,876,093	9,622,656	4,921,492	Schedule H-4, Page 11, Line 23
5	Total Revenue Requirement (Ln 3 + Ln 4)	\$ 450,241,710	\$ 421,562,005	\$ 14,350,512	\$ 14,329,194	
6			96.71%	3.29%		=
7						
8	Less: Other Revenue Credit	69,411,586	67,126,514	2,285,073	-	Schedule K-4, Col (c), Line 11
9	Total Costs Excl Revenue Credit (Ln 5 - Ln 8)	380,830,124	354,435,491	12,065,439	14,329,194	
10			93.07%	3.17%	3.76%	≓

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT ADJUSTED BILLING DETERMINANTS TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

		Ν	IDQ (12 Month)			Volume	
Line No.	Rate Class	Base Year	Adjustment	Test Year	Base Year	Adjustment	Test Year
1	City Gate Service (CGS)						
2	Adjustment	32,392,056	401,244	32,793,300	171,830,360	20,637,688	192,468,048
3							
4	Other CGS						
5	Coserv	1,401,480	97,320	1,498,800	5,721,445	(33,901)	5,687,544
6	Texas Gas	90,000	-	90,000	191,368	545,225	736,593
7	Navasota	36,000	-	36,000	489,570	-	489,570
8	Terra Gas	5,460	-	5,460	4,471	1,050	5,521
9	Rising Star	2,700	-	2,700	17,414	-	17,414
10	WTG	2,280	-	2,280	6,471	18	6,489
11	Corix Utilities (1)	2,076	-	2,076	10,974	-	10,974
12							
13	Total CGS	33,932,052	498,564	34,430,616	178,272,073	21,150,080	199,422,153
14							
15	Pipeline Transportation (PT)						
16	Industrial (Rate PT)	1,916,220	(229,788)	1,686,432	31,178,385	(3,700,737)	27,477,648
17							
18	Total PT	1,916,220	(229,788)	1,686,432	31,178,385	(3,700,737)	27,477,648
19							
20	Total Billing Units	35,848,272	268,776	36,117,048	209,450,458	17,449,343	226,899,801
21							
22	For Allocation Purposes Only	<u>/:</u>					
23	PT Interruptible Adjustment (Ln	18 x 75%)	75.0%	1,264,824			
	. , ,	,		. ,			

24 25 <u>Note:</u>

26 1. Corix Utilities, formerly Mitchell County, Assignment and Assumption Agreement effective August 23, 2016.

Data Source:

Schedule I_Billing Determinants Study.xlsx

			TOTAL		TOTAL		TOTAL		TOTAL	
Line No.	Description	Classified	PIPELINE		CGS		PT	MI	D-TEX WGIS	Reference
	(a)	(b)	(C)		(d)		(e)		(f)	(g)
	<u>COSTS</u>									
1	Adjustment	DEMANDRB	\$ 1,767,599,981	\$1	,608,272,224	\$	53,289,630	\$	106,038,127	Schedule H-4, Page 7, Line 24
2	Overall Rate of Return				8.87%		8.87%			Schedule H, Line 9 / Line 4
3	Demand Return on Rate Base		\$ 156,813,317	\$	142,678,493	\$	4,727,610	\$	9,407,214	Line 1 times Line 2
4	Demand Expenses	DEMAND	287,502,216		273,322,120		9,258,604		4,921,492	Schedule H-4, Page 11, Line 19
5	Total Demand Costs		\$ 444,315,533	\$	416,000,613	\$	13,986,214	\$	14,328,705	Line 3 + Line 4
6	Less: Other Revenue Credit		69,411,586		67,126,514		2,285,073		-	Schedule H, Line 13
7	Total Costs for Demand Charge		\$ 374,903,946	\$	348,874,100	\$	11,701,142	\$	14,328,705	Line 5 - Line 6
8	-									•
9	Usage Costs	USAGE	5,918,024		5,553,973		364,051		-	Schedule H-4, Page 11, Line 20
10					- , ,		,			
11	Base Revenue Requirement		\$ 450,233,557	\$	421,554,586	\$	14,350,266	\$	14.328.705	Line 5 + Line 9
12	Less: Other Revenue Credit		69,411,586		67,126,514	•	2,285,073	•	-	Line 6
13	Total Revenue Requirement Excl. Other Transport Rev.			\$	354,428,073	\$, ,	\$	14,328,705	Line 11 - Line 12
14							, ,			=
15										
16	BILLING UNITS									
17	MMBTU		226,899,801		199,422,153		27,477,648		192,468,048	Schedule K-1
18			,000,001		,				,,	
19	Contract MDQ - Annual		36,117,048		34,430,616		1,686,432		32,793,300	Schedule K-1
20			,-,-,-		, ,		.,		,,	
21	PROPOSED RATES using ATMOS COSS									
22	Capacity Charge	•		\$	10.13267	\$	6.93840	\$	0.43694	Line 7 / Line 19
23				*						
24	Usage Charge			\$	0.02785	\$	0.01325	\$	-	Line 9 / Line 17

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT SUMMARY OF CURRENT AND PROPOSED RATE STRUCTURE TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Description	C	urrent	Proposed	
	(a)		(c)		
1	Rate CGS - Mid-Tex				
2	Capacity Charge per MDQ	\$	9.1275	\$ 10.20461	
3	Adjustment	\$	0.8134	\$ 0.43694	
4	Usage Charge per MMBtu	\$	0.0276	\$ 0.02785	
5					
6	Rate CGS - Other				
7	Capacity Charge per MDQ	\$	9.1275	\$ 10.20461	
8	Usage Charge per MMBtu	\$	0.0276	\$ 0.02785	
9					
10	Rate PT				
11	Capacity Charge per MDQ	\$	4.8331	\$ 5.46962	
12	Usage Charge per MMBtu	\$	0.0163	\$ 0.01325	

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT SUMMARY PROOF OF REVENUE AT CURRENT RATES TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Description		Total	Reference
	(a)		(b)	(C)
4	Data CCC Mid Tay			
1 2	Rate CGS - Mid-Tex			
3	Adjustment			
4	Capacity Charge	\$	3.6263	GUD 10000
5	Mid-Tex Working Gas In Storage Charge	Ψ	0.8134	GUD 10000
6	Annual Rider REV Adjustment		0.1392	GUD 10540
7	2010 Interim Rate Adjustment		0.4036	GUD 10078
8	2011 Interim Rate Adjustment		0.4691	GUD 10144
9	2012 Interim Rate Adjustment		0.8507	GUD 10240
10	2013 Interim Rate Adjustment		1.3701	GUD 10338
11	2014 Interim Rate Adjustment		1.0994	GUD 10422
12	2015 Interim Rate Adjustment		1.1691	GUD 10422 GUD 10497
13			1.1001	000 10401
14	Capacity Charge per MDQ	\$	9,9409	Sum (Ln 4 through Ln 12)
15	Capacity charge per me a	Ψ	0.0100	
16	Usage Charge per MMBtu	\$	0.0276	GUD 10000
17	Cougo chaigo por mineta	Ψ	0.0210	
18	Billing Units:			
19	MDQ Capacity		32,793,300	Schedule I
20	Total MMBtu		192,468,048	Schedule I
21	rotar hinibta		102,100,010	Conocator
22	Present Revenue:			
23	Capacity Charges	\$	325,994,916	Ln 14 x Ln 19
24	Usage Charges	~	5,312,118	Ln 16 x Ln 20
25	Less: Rider REV Revenue		4,564,827	Ln 6 x Ln 19
26	Total Present Revenue Rate CGS - Mid-Tex	\$	326,742,207	Ln 23 + Ln 24 - Ln 25

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT SUMMARY PROOF OF REVENUE AT CURRENT RATES TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Description	Total	Reference
	(a)	(b)	Reference (C) GUD 10000 GUD 10540 GUD 10078 GUD 10144 GUD 10240 GUD 10338 GUD 10422 GUD 10497 Sum (Ln 4 through Ln 11) GUD 10000 Schedule I Schedule I Ln 13 x Ln 18 Ln 15 x Ln 19 Ln 5 x Ln 18 Ln 22 + Ln 23 - Ln 24
1	Rate CGS - Other		
2			
3	Rate Characteristics:		
4	Capacity Charge	\$ 3.6263	GUD 10000
5	Annual Rider REV Adjustment	0.1392	GUD 10540
6	2010 Interim Rate Adjustment	0.4036	GUD 10078
7	2011 Interim Rate Adjustment	0.4691	GUD 10144
8	2012 Interim Rate Adjustment	0.8507	GUD 10240
9	2013 Interim Rate Adjustment	1.3701	GUD 10338
10	2014 Interim Rate Adjustment	1.0994	GUD 10422
11	2015 Interim Rate Adjustment	1.1691	GUD 10497
12	·		
13	Capacity Charge per MDQ	\$ 9.1275	Sum (Ln 4 through Ln 11)
14			
15	Usage Charge per MMBtu	\$ 0.0276	GUD 10000
16			
17	Billing Units:		
18	MDQ Capacity	1,637,316	Schedule I
19	Total MMBtu	6,954,105	Schedule I
20			
21	Present Revenue:		
22	Capacity Charges	\$ 14,944,602	Ln 13 x Ln 18
23	Usage Charges	191,933	Ln 15 x Ln 19
24	Less: Rider REV Revenue	227,914	Ln 5 x Ln 18
25	Total Present Revenue Rate CGS - Other	\$ 14,908,621	Ln 22 + Ln 23 - Ln 24

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT SUMMARY PROOF OF REVENUE AT CURRENT RATES TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Description	Total Reference (b) (c) 2.3061 GUD 10000					
	(a)	(b)	(c)				
1	Rate PT						
2							
3	Rate Characteristics:						
4	Capacity Charge	\$ 2.3061	GUD 10000				
5	Annual Rider REV Adjustment	0.0740	GUD 10540				
6	2010 Interim Rate Adjustment	0.2454	GUD 10078				
7	2011 Interim Rate Adjustment	0.2513	GUD 10144				
8	2012 Interim Rate Adjustment	0.3731	GUD 10240				
9	2013 Interim Rate Adjustment	0.5932	GUD 10338				
10	2014 Interim Rate Adjustment	0.4729	GUD 10422				
11	2015 Interim Rate Adjustment	0.5171	GUD 10497				
12							
13	Capacity Charge per MDQ	\$ 4.8331	Sum (Ln 4 through Ln 11)				
14							
15	Usage Charge per MMBtu	\$ 0.0163	GUD 10000				
16							
17	Billing Units:						
18	MDQ Capacity	1,686,432	Schedule I				
19	Total MMBtu	27,477,648	Schedule I				
20							
21	Present Revenue:						
22	Capacity Charges	\$ 8,150,694	Ln 13 x Ln 18				
23	Usage Charges	447,886	Ln 15 x Ln 19				
24	Less: Rider REV Revenue	124,796	Ln 5 x Ln 18				
25	Total Present Revenue Rate PT	\$ 8,473,784	Ln 22 + Ln 23 - Ln 24				

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT SUMMARY PROOF OF REVENUE AT PROPOSED RATES TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	No. Description Total		Reference	
	(a)		(b)	(c)
1	Rate CGS - Mid-Tex			
2				
3	Adjustment			
4	Capacity Charge per MDQ	\$	10.20461	Schedule J
5	Mid-Tex Working Gas In Storage Charge	\$	0.43694	Schedule J
6				
7	Usage Charge per MMBtu	\$	0.02785	Schedule J
8				
9	Billing Units:			
10	MDQ Capacity		32,793,300	Schedule K-1
11	Total MMBtu		192,468,048	Schedule K-1
12				
13	Proposed Revenue:			
14	Capacity Charges	\$	348,971,623	(Ln 4 + Ln 5) x Ln 10
15	Usage Charges		5,360,235	Ln 7 x Ln 11
16	Total Proposed Revenue Rate CGS - Mid-Tex	\$	354,331,858	Ln 14 + Ln 15

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT SUMMARY PROOF OF REVENUE AT PROPOSED RATES TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Line No. Description		Total	Reference
	(a)		(b)	(c)
1	Rate CGS - Other			
2				
3	Rate Characteristics:			
4	Capacity Charge per MDQ	\$	10.20461	Schedule J
5				
6	Usage Charge per MMBtu	\$	0.02785	Schedule J
7				
8	Billing Units:			
9	MDQ Capacity		1,637,316	Schedule K-1
10	Total MMBtu		6,954,105	Schedule K-1
11				
12	Proposed Revenue:			
13	Capacity Charges	\$	16,708,175	Ln 4 x Ln 9
14	Usage Charges		193,672	Ln 6 x Ln 10
15	Total Proposed Revenue Rate CGS - Other	\$	16,901,847	Ln 13 + Ln 14

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT SUMMARY PROOF OF REVENUE AT PROPOSED RATES TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Description Total		Total	Reference
	(a)		(b)	(c)
1	Rate PT			
2				
3	Rate Characteristics:			
4	Capacity Charge per MDQ	\$	5.46962	Schedule J
5				
6	Usage Charge per MMBtu	\$	0.01325	Schedule J
7				
8	Billing Units:			
9	MDQ Capacity		1,686,432	Schedule K-1
10	Total MMBtu		27,477,648	Schedule K-1
11				
12	Proposed Revenue:			
13	Capacity Charges	\$	9,224,148	Ln 4 x Ln 9
14	Usage Charges		364,079	Ln 6 x Ln 10
15	Total Proposed Revenue Rate PT	\$	9,588,227	Ln 13 + Ln 14

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT PROPOSED CHANGE IN PRO FORMA REVENUE TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Description	Total	Rate PT	Total CGS	Mid-Tex	Other CGS
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
1	PROFORMA REVENUE					
2	Current Rates	\$ 350,124,612	\$ 8,473,784	\$ 341,650,827	\$ 326,742,207	\$ 14,908,621
3	Adjustment	380,821,932	9,588,227	371,233,705	354,331,858	16,901,847
4	Rate Increase	\$ 30,697,320	\$ 1,114,443	\$ 29,582,877	\$ 27,589,651	\$ 1,993,226
5	% Change	8.8%	13.2%	8.7%	8.4%	13.4%
6						
7	ALLOCATED COST					
8	Total City Gate			\$ 354,428,073		
9	Mid-Tex WGIS			14,328,705		
10	Total Rev. Requirement	\$ 380,821,971	\$ 12,065,193	\$ 368,756,778	•	
11						
12	Difference (Ln 3 - Ln 10)	\$ (39)	\$ (2,476,966)	\$ 2,476,927		

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT OTHER REVENUE **TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016**

Line No.	Description	Reference		Amount
	(a)	(b)	(c)	
1	Total Other Revenue	(1)	\$	74,859,733
2				
3	Adjustment	(2)		5,106,314
4				
5	Adjusted Other Revenue (Ln. 1 - Ln. 3)		\$	69,753,419
6				
7	Gas Utility Pipeline Tax Rate	WP_F-5.1, Ln 25, Col (b)		0.4901%
8				
9	Gas Utility Pipeline Tax	Ln 5 times Ln 7	\$	341,833
10				
11	Adjusted Other Revenue Net of Utility Tax	Ln 5 minus Ln 9	\$	69,411,586
12				
13	Notes:			

Notes: 13

1. Adjusted Account 489.2 through Account 495. See relied file, "FY16 Detail Trial Balance.xlsx", tab "Revenue". 14

2. For the adjustment to Other Revenue, please see Confidential Relied File, "Schedule K-4_Other Revenue 15

Adjustment (CONFIDENTIAL).xlsx".

Proposal for Decision

ATTACHMENT 3

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT SUMMARY PROOF OF REVENUE AT RECOMMENDED RATES TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Description	Total		Reference
	(a)	(b)		(c)
1	Rate CGS - Mid-Tex			
2				
3	Adjustment			
4	Capacity Charge per MDQ	\$	10.20461	Schedule J
5	Mid-Tex Working Gas In Storage Charge	\$	0.43694	Schedule J
6				
7	Usage Charge per MMBtu	\$	0.02785	Schedule J
8				
9	Billing Units:			- · · · · · ·
10	MDQ Capacity		32,793,300	Schedule K-1
11	Total MMBtu		192,468,048	Schedule K-1
12				
13 14	Proposed Revenue:	\$	240.074.000	(= 4 + = 5) + = 40
14	Capacity Charges Usage Charges	Ф	348,971,623	(Ln 4 + Ln 5) x Ln 10 Ln 7 x Ln 11
15	Total Proposed Revenue Rate CGS - Mid-Tex	\$	5,360,235 354,331,858	Ln 14 + Ln 15
10	Total Proposed Revende Rate COS - Mild-Tex	φ	334,331,030	EII 14 + EII 15
1	Rate CGS - Other			
2				
3	Rate Characteristics:			
4	Capacity Charge per MDQ	\$	10.20461	Schedule J
5				
6	Usage Charge per MMBtu	\$	0.02785	Schedule J
7				
8	Billing Units:			
9	MDQ Capacity		1,637,316	Schedule K-1
10	Total MMBtu		6,954,105	Schedule K-1
11				
12	Proposed Revenue:	•	40 700 477	
13	Capacity Charges	\$	16,708,175	Ln 4 x Ln 9
14	Usage Charges	<u>_</u>	193,672	Ln 6 x Ln 10
15	Total Proposed Revenue Rate CGS - Other	\$	16,901,847	Ln 13 + Ln 14

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS PIPELINE - TEXAS ("APT") STATEMENT OF INTENT SUMMARY PROOF OF REVENUE AT RECOMMENDED RATES TEST YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Line No.	Description		Total	Reference
	(a)		(b)	(c)
1	Rate PT			
2	<u></u>			
3	Rate Characteristics:			
4	Capacity Charge per MDQ	\$	5.46962	Schedule J
5				
6	Usage Charge per MMBtu	\$	0.01325	Schedule J
7				
8	Billing Units:		1 000 100	
9 10	MDQ Capacity Total MMBtu		1,686,432	Schedule K-1 Schedule K-1
10			27,477,648	Schedule K-1
12	Proposed Revenue:			
13	Capacity Charges	\$	9,224,148	Ln 4 x Ln 9
14	Usage Charges	Ŷ	364,079	Ln 6 x Ln 10
15	Total Proposed Revenue Rate PT	\$	9,588,227	Ln 13 + Ln 14
	СНЕСК			
	TOTAL at Recommended Rates			\$ 380,821,932
	Transport RR			\$ 380,821,971
	difference			\$ 39

Proposal for Decision

ATTACHMENT 4

(not including Rider RA – Retention Adjustment or Rider TAX – Tax Adjustment)

RATE SCHEDULE:	CGS – MID-TEX	
APPLICABLE TO:	Mid-Tex	
EFFECTIVE DATE:		

Application

Applicable, in the event that Company has entered into a Transportation Agreement for City Gate Service to a Local Distribution Company (Customer) connected to the Atmos Pipeline -Texas System for the transportation of all natural gas supplied by Customer to a Point or Points of Delivery.

Type of Service

This service provides firm transportation and storage service to Local Distribution Companies. Where service of the quantity and type required by Customer is not already available at a Point of Delivery, additional charges and special contract arrangements between Company and Customer may be required prior to service being furnished at such Point of Delivery.

Monthly Rate

Customer's monthly bill will be calculated by adding the Monthly Customer and Usage charges to the amounts and quantities due under the Riders listed below. The Monthly Customer Charge each month will be equal to the Capacity Charge, as adjusted, multiplied by the Customer's Maximum Daily Quantity (MDQ) as set forth in the Transportation Agreement between Customer and Company. Total Usage Charges each month will be equal to the Usage Charge multiplied by the volume of gas delivered to Customer by Company during such month:

Charge	Amount
Capacity	\$ 10.20461 per MMBtu of MDQ ¹
Capacity Charge related to Mid-Tex Working Gas	\$ 0.43694 per MMBtu of MDQ
Total Capacity Charge	\$ 10.64155 per MMBtu of MDQ
Usage	\$ 0.02785 per MMBtu

Rider RA: Plus a quantity of gas as calculated in accordance with Rider RA.

Rider TAX: Plus an amount for tax calculated in accordance with Rider TAX.

Rider SUR: Plus an amount for surcharges calculated in accordance with Rider SUR.

Rider REV: Plus an amount per MMBtu in accordance with Rider REV as an adjustment to the Capacity Charge per MMBtu of MDQ.

MDQ Adjustment: If Shipper's daily usage on any day exceeds Shipper's MDQ as set forth herein by 10% or more, then Shipper's MDQ will be increased to equal such daily usage up to the firm capacity available through the then existing APT facilities. The effective date of such increase in the MDQ will be the first day of the calendar month following the day on which Shipper's daily usage exceeded Shipper's MDQ by 10% or more.

¹ The Capacity Charge per MMBtu will be adjusted annually as calculated pursuant to Rider REV.

RATE SCHEDULE:	CGS – MID-TEX	
APPLICABLE TO:	Mid-Tex	
EFFECTIVE DATE:		

Imbalance Fees

All fees charged to Customer under this Rate Schedule will be charged based on the quantities determined under the applicable Transportation Agreement and quantities will not be aggregated for any Customer with multiple Transportation Agreements for the purposes of such fees.

Monthly Imbalance Fees

Customer shall pay Company the greater of (i) \$0.10 per MMBtu, or (ii) 150% of the difference per MMBtu between the highest and lowest "midpoint" price for the Katy point listed in *Platts Gas Daily* in the table entitled "Daily Price Survey" during such month, for the MMBtu of Customer's monthly Cumulative Imbalance, as defined in the applicable Transportation Agreement, at the end of each month that exceeds 10% of Customer's receipt quantities for the month.

Curtailment Overpull Fee

Upon notification by Company of an event of curtailment or interruption of Customer's deliveries, Customer will, for each MMBtu delivered in excess of the stated level of curtailment or interruption, pay Company 200% of the midpoint price for the Katy point listed in *Platts Gas Daily* published for the applicable Gas Day in the table entitled "Daily Price Survey."

Replacement Index

In the event the "midpoint" or "common" price for the Katy point listed in *Platts Gas Daily* in the table entitled "Daily Price Survey" is no longer published, Company will calculate the applicable imbalance fees utilizing a daily price index recognized as authoritative by the natural gas industry and most closely approximating the applicable index.

Agreement

A transportation agreement is required.

Notice

Service hereunder and the rates for service provided are subject to the orders of regulatory bodies having jurisdiction and to the Company's Tariff for Gas Service.

Special Conditions

In order to receive service under Rate CGS – Mid-Tex, Customer must have the type of meter required by Company. Customer must pay Company all costs associated with the acquisition and installation of the meter.

RATE SCHEDULE:	CGS – OTHER			
APPLICABLE TO:	CoServ, WTG Marketing, Inc., the City of Rising Star, the City of Navasota, Corix Utilities (Texas), Inc., Terra Gas Supply, Texas Gas Service Co., and Other City Gate Service Customers, except Mid-Tex			
EFFECTIVE DATE:				

Application

Applicable, in the event that Company has entered into a Transportation Agreement for City Gate Service to a Local Distribution Company (Customer), other than Mid-Tex, connected to the Atmos Pipeline -Texas System for the transportation of all natural gas supplied by Customer to a Point or Points of Delivery.

Type of Service

This service provides firm transportation and storage service to Local Distribution Companies. Where service of the quantity and type required by Customer is not already available at a Point of Delivery, additional charges and special contract arrangements between Company and Customer may be required prior to service being furnished at such Point of Delivery.

Monthly Rate

Customer's monthly bill will be calculated by adding the Monthly Customer and Usage charges to the amounts and quantities due under the Riders listed below. The Monthly Customer Charge each month will be equal to the Capacity Charge, as adjusted, multiplied by the Customer's Maximum Daily Quantity (MDQ) as set forth in the Transportation Agreement between Customer and Company. Total Usage Charges each month will be equal to the Usage Charge multiplied by the volume of gas delivered to Customer by Company during such month:

Charge	Amount
Capacity	\$ 10.20461 per MMBtu of MDQ ¹
Total Capacity Charge	\$ 10.20461 per MMBtu of MDQ
Usage	\$ 0.02785 per MMBtu

Rider RA: Plus a quantity of gas as calculated in accordance with Rider RA.

Rider TAX: Plus an amount for tax calculated in accordance with Rider TAX.

Rider SUR: Plus an amount for surcharges calculated in accordance with Rider SUR.

Rider REV: Plus an amount per MMBtu in accordance with Rider REV as an adjustment to the Capacity Charge per MMBtu of MDQ.

MDQ Adjustment: If Shipper's daily usage on any day exceeds Shipper's MDQ as set forth herein by 10% or more, then Shipper's MDQ will be increased to equal such daily usage up to the firm capacity available through the then existing APT facilities. The effective date of such increase in the MDQ will be the first day of the calendar month following the day on which Shipper's daily usage exceeded Shipper's MDQ by 10% or more.

¹ The Capacity Charge per MMBtu will be adjusted annually as calculated pursuant to Rider REV.

RATE SCHEDULE:	CGS – OTHER	
APPLICABLE TO:	CoServ, WTG Marketing, Inc., the City of Rising Star, the City of Navasota, Corix Utilities (Texas), Inc., Terra Gas Supply, Texas Gas Service Co., and Other City Gate Service Customers, except Mid-Tex	
EFFECTIVE DATE:		

Imbalance Fees

All fees charged to Customer under this Rate Schedule will be charged based on the quantities determined under the applicable Transportation Agreement and quantities will not be aggregated for any Customer with multiple Transportation Agreements for the purposes of such fees.

Monthly Imbalance Fees

Customer shall pay Company the greater of (i) \$0.10 per MMBtu, or (ii) 150% of the difference per MMBtu between the highest and lowest "midpoint" price for the Katy point listed in *Platts Gas Daily* in the table entitled "Daily Price Survey" during such month, for the MMBtu of Customer's monthly Cumulative Imbalance, as defined in the applicable Transportation Agreement, at the end of each month that exceeds 10% of Customer's receipt quantities for the month.

Curtailment Overpull Fee

Upon notification by Company of an event of curtailment or interruption of Customer's deliveries, Customer will, for each MMBtu delivered in excess of the stated level of curtailment or interruption, pay Company 200% of the midpoint price for the Katy point listed in *Platts Gas Daily* published for the applicable Gas Day in the table entitled "Daily Price Survey."

Replacement Index

In the event the "midpoint" or "common" price for the Katy point listed in *Platts Gas Daily* in the table entitled "Daily Price Survey" is no longer published, Company will calculate the applicable imbalance fees utilizing a daily price index recognized as authoritative by the natural gas industry and most closely approximating the applicable index.

Agreement

A transportation agreement is required.

Notice

Service hereunder and the rates for service provided are subject to the orders of regulatory bodies having jurisdiction and to the Company's Tariff for Gas Service.

Special Conditions

In order to receive service under Rate CGS - Other, Customer must have the type of meter required by Company. Customer must pay Company all costs associated with the acquisition and installation of the meter.

RATE SCHEDULE:	PT - PIPELINE TRANSPORTATION	
APPLICABLE TO:	Entire System	
EFFECTIVE DATE:		

Applicability

This rate schedule is applicable to service by the Company under a Transportation Agreement - Pipeline, to a customer directly connected to the Atmos Pipeline-Texas System for the transportation of all natural gas supplied by Customer or Customer's agent for delivery to Customer at one Point of Delivery.

Not applicable for service to City Gate Service customers.

Type of Service

This service provides interruptible transportation service to end use customers. Where service of the quantity and type desired by Customer is not already available at a Point of Delivery, additional charges and special contract arrangements between Company and Customer may be required prior to service being furnished at such Point of Delivery.

Monthly Rate

Customer's monthly bill will be calculated by adding the Monthly Customer and Usage charges to the amounts and quantities due under the Riders listed below. The Monthly Customer Charge each month will be equal to the Capacity Charge, as adjusted, multiplied by the Customer's Maximum Daily Quantity (MDQ) as set forth in the Transportation Agreement between Customer and Company. Total Usage Charges each month will be equal to the Usage Charge multiplied by the volume of gas delivered to Customer by Company during such month:

Charge	Amount	
Capacity	\$ 5.46962 per MMBtu of MDQ	
Total Capacity Charge	\$ 5.46962 per MMBtu of MDQ	
Usage	\$ 0.01325 per MMBtu	

Rider RA: Plus a quantity of gas as calculated in accordance with Rider RA.

Rider TAX: Plus an amount for tax calculated in accordance with Rider TAX.

Rider MF: Plus an amount for municipal fees calculated in accordance with Rider MF.

Rider SUR: Plus an amount for surcharges calculated in accordance with Rider SUR.

Rider REV: Plus an amount per MMBtu in accordance with Rider REV as an adjustment to the Capacity Charge per MMBtu of MDQ.

RATE SCHEDULE:	PT - PIPELINE TRANSPORTATION	
APPLICABLE TO:	Entire System	
EFFECTIVE DATE:		

Imbalance Fees

All fees charged to Customer under this Rate Schedule will be charged based on the quantities determined under the applicable Transportation Agreement and quantities will not be aggregated for any Customer with multiple Transportation Agreements for the purposes of such fees.

Monthly Imbalance Fees

Customer shall pay Company the greater of (i) \$0.10 per MMBtu, or (ii) 150% of the difference per MMBtu between the highest and lowest "midpoint" price for the Katy point listed in *Platts Gas Daily* in the table entitled "Daily Price Survey" during such month, for the MMBtu of Customer's monthly Cumulative Imbalance, as defined in the applicable Transportation Agreement, at the end of each month that exceeds 10% of Customer's receipt quantities for the month.

Curtailment Overpull Fee

Upon notification by Company of an event of curtailment or interruption of Customer's deliveries, Customer will, for each MMBtu delivered in excess of the stated level of curtailment or interruption, pay Company 200% of the midpoint price for the Katy point listed in *Platts Gas Daily* published for the applicable Gas Day in the table entitled "Daily Price Survey."

Replacement Index

In the event the "midpoint" or "common" price for the Katy point listed in *Platts Gas Daily* in the table entitled "Daily Price Survey" is no longer published, Company will calculate the applicable imbalance fees utilizing a daily price index recognized as authoritative by the natural gas industry and most closely approximating the applicable index.

MDQ Adjustment

If a Customer's daily usage on any day exceeds the Customer's MDQ as set forth in the applicable Transportation Agreement by 10% or more, the Customer's MDQ shall be increased to equal such daily usage. The effective date of such increase in the MDQ shall be the first day of the calendar month that begins following the day on which the Customer's daily usage exceeded the Customer's MDQ.

Agreement

A transportation agreement is required.

Notice

Service hereunder and the rates for service provided are subject to the orders of regulatory bodies having jurisdiction and to the Company's Tariff for Gas Service.

Special Conditions

In order to receive service under Rate PT, Customer must have the type of meter required by Company. Customer must pay Company all costs associated with the acquisition and installation of the meter.

RIDER:	RIDER MF – MUNICIPAL FEE ADJUSTMENT	
APPLICABLE TO:	Entire System	
EFFECTIVE DATE:		

Application

Applicable to Customers inside the corporate limits of an incorporated municipality that imposes a municipal fee upon Company for the Gas Service provided to Customer.

Monthly Adjustment

Company will adjust Customer's bill each month in an amount equal to the municipal fees payable for the Gas Service provided to Customer by Company. Municipal franchise fees are determined by each municipality's ordinance. Each municipality's ordinance will specify the percentage and applicability of municipal fees.

From time to time, Company will make further adjustments to Customer's bill to account for any over- or under-recovery of municipal fees by Company.

RIDER:	REV – REVENUE ADJUSTMENT	
APPLICABLE TO:	Rate CGS – Mid-Tex, Rate CGS – Other and Rate PT	
EFFECTIVE DATE:		

Applicability

This Rider will apply to Rate CGS – Mid-Tex, Rider CGS - Other and Rate PT.

Transition Provision

The adjustment under this Rider in effect pursuant to the version authorized in GUD No. 10295 will remain in effect following the effective date of the Final Order in GUD No. 10580 until October 31, 2017.

Adjustment Mechanism

Effective each November 1, rate schedules subject to this Rider will be adjusted based on the change in 1) the level of Other Revenue for the twelve-month period from July 1 of the prior year through June 30 of the current year from 2) the level of Other Revenue credited to the total cost of service in GUD Docket No. 10580.

Seventy-five percent of the difference between 1) the level of Other Revenue for the twelve-month period from July 1 of the prior year through June 30 of the current year less revenue related taxes and 2) the level of Other Revenue credited to the total cost of service in GUD Docket No. 10580 less revenue related taxes shall be allocated to the Rate CGS class and the Rate PT class in the same proportion as the Other Revenue was allocated to each class in GUD Docket No. 10580, as determined from the dollar amounts for Other Revenue Credit on Schedule J to the Final Order in GUD No. 10580, Columns (d) and (e), Line 6 for each class, respectively, as compared to the Total System Other Revenue Credit on Schedule J, Column (c), Line 6. Any difference between the amount authorized to be collected from each class under this Rider during the twelve-month period beginning on the second prior November 1 will be added to or subtracted from each class, and that result shall be divided by 12 to calculate a monthly amount. The resulting amount shall be added to or subtracted from the capacity charge for each class.

Such adjusted capacity charge will then be adjusted for any Interim Rate Adjustments approved by the Commission after the issuance of the Final Order in GUD Docket No. 10580.

Adjustment Review Process

No later than August 15th of each year, Atmos Pipeline – Texas shall file with the Commission an "Other Revenue Adjustment" Report showing the following:

- 1. The actual Other Revenue billed by Atmos Pipeline Texas during the twelve-month period from July 1 of the prior year through June 30 of the current year by FERC Account;
- 2. A listing of the customers in the Other Revenue class by coded reference showing monthly volumes and monthly revenues for each customer;
- 3. The then current contractual MDQ and the MDQ in the prior year's filing for each customer in each class (CGS and PT);
- 4. The Other Revenue allocation percentages for each class derived from Schedule J;
- 5. The calculations described in the Adjustment Mechanism Section of this Rider REV and supporting schedules;
- 6. A confidential cross reference listing of the Customer codes and names (for Railroad Commission Staff only);

RIDER:	REV – REVENUE ADJUSTMENT	
APPLICABLE TO:	Rate CGS – Mid-Tex, Rate CGS – Other and Rate PT	
EFFECTIVE DATE:		

- A statement on whether or not the proposed adjustment would generate additional revenue of more than 2 ½% of Atmos Pipeline – Texas' annual per books revenue for the twelve-month period ending on June 30 of the current year; and
- 8. Proposed tariffs showing the proposed adjusted rates.

Atmos Pipeline – Texas shall provide notice to all directly affected customers by bill insert or direct mail on the same date as the date of the filing of the Other Revenue Adjustment Report. The notice shall provide the customers with the proposed adjustment and contact information on where the customer can inspect a copy of the filing. If the requested adjustment would generate additional revenue of more than 2 ½% of Atmos Pipeline – Texas' annual per books revenue for the twelve-month period ending on June 30 of the current year, the notice shall contain a statement that within 20 days of the date of the filing the customer has a right to:

- 1. request a copy of the complete filing and the address for making such a request;
- 2. file a reply and the address for filing a reply; and
- 3. request a hearing and the address for making such a request.

If a hearing is requested, the Hearings Division of the Commission shall conduct the hearing, which shall be limited in scope to the sole issue of the reasonableness of any increase greater than 2 ½% of Atmos Pipeline – Texas' annual per books revenue for the twelve-month period ending on June 30 of the current year, on an expedited basis in order to permit the Commission to approve, adjust or deny the proposed Other Revenue Adjustment on or before November 1 of the current year.

The Commission shall have the opportunity to review the Other Revenue Adjustment Report and may submit discovery requests until the 40th day following the filing date of the Other Revenue Adjustment Report. Directly affected customers may also submit discovery requests until that date. The Commission shall approve, adjust or deny the proposed Other Revenue Adjustment on or before November 1 of the current year. Atmos Pipeline – Texas shall have the right to appeal the Commission's decision by filing a Motion for Rehearing with the Commission within 20 days following the issuance of the Commission's decision.

Atmos Pipeline – Texas shall promptly reimburse the Commission for the cost of reviewing each Other Revenue Adjustment Report upon the invoicing of such cost.

The Other Revenue Adjustment, as adjusted by the Commission, shall be implemented on November 1 of the current year and shall remain in effect until revised on the following November 1st.

RIDER:	SUR – SURCHARGES	
APPLICABLE TO:	Rate CGS – Mid-Tex, Rate CGS – Other and Rate PT	
EFFECTIVE DATE:		

Applicability

This Rider is applicable to customer classes as authorized by the state or any governmental entity or regulatory authority pursuant to any statute, order, rule, contract, or agreement.

Monthly Calculation

Surcharges will be calculated in accordance with the applicable statute, order, rule, contract, or agreement.

GUD No. 10580 - MAOP

The following surcharge as authorized in GUD No. 10580 shall be recovered from the Rate CGS – Mid-Tex, Rate CGS – Other and Rate PT customers by adding an amount equal to each customer's MDQ times \$0.03958 per MMBtu of MDQ to their otherwise applicable total customer charge for each month for a 60month period.

GUD No. 10580 – GRIP Refund

The following refund as authorized in GUD No. 10580 shall be made to the Rate CGS – Mid-Tex, Rate CGS – Other and Rate PT customers by crediting each customer's bill in the month of September 2017 by an amount equal to each customer's MDQ times (\$0.0315) for CGS and (\$0.0163) for PT per MMBtu of MDQ.